



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

THE BENTHIC ECOLOGY
OF THE
ENTRANCE TO THE WHANGATEAU HARBOUR,
NORTHLAND, NEW ZEALAND.

by
Roger Vernon Grace.

A thesis submitted towards the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Zoology, at the University
of Auckland. 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND

LIBRARY
BIOLOGY

THESIS

73-131

cop. 2

Biology
Thesis

73-131

cop. 2

FRONTISPIECE.

Young boys watch juvenile koheru
sheltering in the shade beneath
the Ti Point wharf.



CONTENTS.

	Page
- 1 <u>GENERAL INTRODUCTION.</u>	1
1.1 <u>AIMS OF THIS WORK.</u>	1
- 1.2 <u>GEOGRAPHY.</u>	1
- 1.3 <u>HYDROLOGY.</u>	3
- 1.4 <u>GEOLOGY.</u>	3
- 1.5 <u>MARINE BENTHIC ECOLOGY.</u>	5
2 <u>TIDAL STREAM PATTERNS AND HYDROLOGY.</u>	7
2.1 <u>INTRODUCTION.</u>	7
2.2 <u>TIDAL STREAM PATTERNS.</u>	7
2.2.1 <u>The ebb stream.</u>	8
2.2.2 <u>The flood stream.</u>	10
2.2.3 <u>Comparison of surface and bottom currents.</u>	12
2.2.4 <u>Consequences of tidal stream patterns.</u>	14
2.3 <u>HYDROLOGY.</u>	14
2.3.1 <u>Hydrology of the Hauraki Gulf.</u>	15
2.3.2 <u>Hydrology of the Whangateau Harbour.</u> ✓	16
- 2.3.3 <u>Hydrology of the harbour entrance: methods.</u> ✓	17
2.3.4 <u>Late summer, low water after several days with rain.</u>	18
2.3.5 <u>Late summer, high water, no recent heavy rain.</u>	20
2.3.6 <u>Early winter, low water, immediately after very heavy rain.</u>	21
2.3.7 <u>Early winter, high water, one day after heavy rain.</u>	23
2.3.8 <u>Early winter, low water, one day after heavy rain.</u>	24
2.4 <u>CONCLUSIONS.</u>	25
3 <u>A TEXTURAL ANALYSIS OF THE SEDIMENTS.</u>	28
3.1 <u>INTRODUCTION.</u>	28
3.2 <u>METHODS.</u>	28

4.3.2	<u>The benthic communities.</u>	67
4.3.2.1	<u>Chione - Nucula - Macomona</u> community.	68
4.3.2.2	<u>Atrina - Paphirus - Maoricolpus</u> community.	71
4.3.2.3	<u>Amphidesma australe</u> community.	77
	(a) adult faciation.	77'
	(b) juvenile faciation.	83'
4.3.2.4	<u>Amphidesma subtriangulatum</u> community.	85'
4.3.2.5	<u>Gari</u> community.	87
4.3.2.6	<u>Tawera - Dosinia</u> community.	92
4.4	<u>PARALLEL COMMUNITIES.</u>	96
4.4.1	<u>Published accounts of parallels of N.Z. benthic communities.</u>	97
4.4.2	<u>Parallels and ecological replicates of Whangateau Harbour entrance communities.</u>	100
4.4.2.1	<u>Chione - Nucula - Macomona</u> community.	100
4.4.2.2	<u>Atrina - Paphirus - Maoricolpus</u> community.	105
4.4.2.3	<u>Amphidesma australe</u> community.	110
	(a) adult faciation.	110
	(b) juvenile faciation.	111
4.4.2.4	<u>Amphidesma subtriangulatum</u> community.	112
4.4.2.5	<u>Gari</u> community.	116
4.4.2.6	<u>Tawera - Dosinia</u> community.	118
4.4.3	<u>Summary of world parallels of the Whangateau communities.</u>	120
4.5	<u>AMPHIDESMA AUSTRALE: POST-LARVAE, JUVENILES, AND ADULTS.</u>	123
4.5.1	<u>Post-larval development of Amphidesma australe.</u>	123
4.5.2	<u>Comparison with juvenile tuatua, Amphidesma subtriangulatum.</u>	125
4.5.3	<u>Distribution of post-larval, juvenile, and adult Amphidesma australe.</u>	126
4.5.3.1	Post-larval distribution.	127
4.5.3.2	Juvenile distribution.	128
4.5.3.3	Adult distribution.	128
4.5.3.4	Comparison of post-larval, juvenile, and adult distributions.	129

4.5.4	<u>Discussion.</u>	129
4.5.5	<u>Early growth of Amphidesma australe.</u>	130
4.5.6	<u>Sediment preferences of post-larval and adult Amphidesma australe.</u>	132
4.5.7	<u>A possible "planktonic" method of dispersal of adult A.australe?</u>	133
4.6	<u>A CHECKLIST AND DISCUSSION OF FISHES.</u>	134
4.6.1	<u>Introduction.</u>	134
4.6.2	<u>Habitat zones and fish distributions.</u>	135
4.6.2.1	Sand in harbour.	135
4.6.2.2	Sand outside harbour.	135
4.6.2.3	Coarse shell in main channel.	135
4.6.2.4	Algal belt in harbour.	136
4.6.2.5	Rock patch at harbour entrance.	137
4.6.2.6	Rocky coast outside the harbour.	138
4.6.2.7	Surface and midwater in harbour.	138
4.6.2.8	Surface and midwater outside the harbour.	139
4.6.3	<u>Possible influence of fish on the benthos.</u>	139
5	<u>ANIMAL-ANIMAL AND ANIMAL-SEDIMENT RELATIONSHIPS: A COMPUTER ANALYSIS.</u>	141
5.1	<u>INTRODUCTION.</u>	141
5.1.1	<u>Types of analysis employed.</u>	141
5.1.2	<u>Fauna selected for analysis: the Y variates.</u>	142
5.1.3	<u>Environmental properties: the X variates.</u>	143
5.1.4	<u>Transformations of the data.</u>	144
5.2	<u>RESULTS.</u>	144
5.2.1	<u>Correlation matrices.</u>	144
5.2.1.1	Species x species.	144
5.2.1.2	Species x sediments.	145
5.2.2	<u>Principal component analysis.</u>	146
5.2.2.1	Communities derived from analysis of 74 samples.	148
5.2.2.2	Communities derived from analysis of 93 samples.	150

5.2.2.3	Correlations between principal components (y-functions) and sediments.	150
5.2.3	<u>Canonical discrimination analysis.</u>	151
5.2.3.1	Grouping based on "intuitive" community analysis.	152
5.2.3.2	Grouping based on principal component analysis.	153
5.3	<u>CONCLUSIONS.</u>	154
6	<u>THE DISTRIBUTION AND PALEOECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF DEAD MOLLUSC SHELLS.</u>	156
6.1	<u>INTRODUCTION.</u>	156
6.2	<u>PRINCIPAL CAUSES OF DEATH OF THE COMMON BIVALVES.</u>	158
6.2.1	<u>Starfish predation.</u>	158
6.2.2	<u>Whelk predation.</u>	159
6.2.3	<u>Smothering by sediment.</u>	160
6.2.4	<u>Fish predation.</u>	160
6.2.5	<u>Bird predation.</u>	161
6.2.6	<u>Washing out.</u>	161
6.2.7	<u>Other predators.</u>	161
6.2.8	<u>Human interference.</u>	162
6.2.9	<u>Other causes.</u>	163
6.3	<u>INDIRECT EVIDENCE OF THE ORIGINAL PRESENCE OF LIVING ORGANISMS.</u>	163
6.4	<u>EROSION OF SHELLS.</u>	164
6.5	<u>ORIENTATION OF DEAD SHELLS.</u>	166
6.6	<u>RE-WORKING OF OLD SHELL DEPOSITS.</u>	167
6.7	<u>POTENTIAL LOSS OF INFORMATION THROUGH NON-PRESERVATION.</u>	169
6.8	<u>DEAD SHELLS OF COMMUNITY-CHARACTERISING SPECIES.</u>	170
6.9	<u>QUANTITATIVE DATA ON DEAD SHELL DISTRIBUTIONS.</u>	172
6.9.1	<u>Apparent gain in mollusc species after death.</u>	173
6.9.2	<u>Interpretation of dead shell movement.</u>	174
6.9.3	<u>The distribution of shells of Chione stutchburyi, Amphidesma australe, and Tawera spissa.</u>	178
6.9.3.1	<u>Chione - Amphidesma - Tawera shell ratios.</u>	182

6.9.3.2	Correlations between living and dead <u>Chione</u> , <u>Amphidesma</u> , and <u>Tawera</u> .	183
6.10	<u>SUMMARY OF SHELL MOVEMENT.</u>	186
6.11	<u>CONCLUSIONS.</u>	188
7	<u>SUMMARY.</u>	190
8	<u>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.</u>	193
9	<u>REFERENCES.</u>	194
	<u>APPENDICES.</u>	