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Abstract

The present paper generalises results by Lutz and Ryabko. We prove a martingale characterisation of exact Hausdorff dimension. On this base we introduce the notion of exact constructive dimension of (sets of) infinite strings.

Furthermore, we generalise Ryabko’s result on the Hausdorff dimension of the set of strings having asymptotic Kolmogorov complexity ≤ α to the case of exact dimension.
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The paper addresses a problem from Algorithmic Information Theory. In his papers [Lut00, Lut03] Lutz came up with an effectivisation of Hausdorff dimension, called constructive dimension. Constructive dimension characterises the algorithmic complexity of (sets of) infinite strings as real numbers. It turned out to be equivalent to asymptotic Kolmogorov complexity (cf. [Sta05]) and is related to the concept of partial randomness of infinite strings [Tad02, CST06]. However, the results of Reimann and Stephan [RS06] show, unlike the case of random infinite strings, different notions of Kolmogorov complexity (cf. [Usp92, US96]) yield different notions of partial randomness.

To distinguish these types of partial randomness requires a refinement of the complexity scale of (sets of) infinite strings. The present paper shows that an effectivisation of Hausdorff’s original concept of dimension [Hau18], referred to as exact Hausdorff dimension in [MGW87, GMW88, MM09], is possible and leads, similarly to the case of “usual” dimensions (cf. [Rya84, Rya86, Sta93, Sta98, Lut00, Lut03]), to close connections between exact Haus-
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dorff dimension and exact constructive dimension. In contrast to the “usual” constructive or Hausdorff dimension an exact dimension of a string or a set of strings is a real function, referred to as gauge function [MGW87, GMW88, MM09]. This makes it more difficult to specify uniquely ‘the’ exact Hausdorff dimension of set of strings.

After introducing some notation, in Section 2, we present Hausdorff’s original approach [Hau18], give a definition of what is an exact Hausdorff dimension of a set and generalise the martingale characterisation of Hausdorff dimension [Lut00, Lut03].

In Section 3, using Levin’s and Schnorr’s (cf. [ZL70, Sch71]) optimal left computable super-martingale, we obtain in a natural way a definition of exact constructive dimension. Here we also derive the particularly interesting fact that the exact dimension of an infinite string $\xi$ can be identified with Levin’s [ZL70] universal left computable continuous semi-measure $M$ restricted to the set of finite prefixes of $\xi$.

It is well-known (cf. [Usp92, US96]) that Levin’s semi-measure $M$ yields the a priori complexity $K_A$, a particular kind of Kolmogorov complexity. In the fourth section we generalise Ryabko’s result that the set of infinite strings having asymptotic Kolmogorov complexity $\leq \alpha$ has Hausdorff dimension $\alpha$ and obtain, for the special case of the a priori complexity $K_A$ and for a large class of gauge functions, a similar coincidence in the case of exact dimensions.

Finally, in Section 5, we apply our results to the family of functions of the logarithmic scale, which was also considered by Hausdorff [Hau18]. Here we give evidence that, unlike the case of asymptotic Kolmogorov complexity, the results involving exact dimensions depend on the kind of complexity (cf. [Usp92, US96]) we use. We show, in particular, that an analogous coincidence as proved in Section 4 does not hold for plain Kolmogorov complexity.
1 Notation and Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the notation used throughout the paper. By \( \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) we denote the set of natural numbers and by \( \mathbb{Q} \) the set of rational numbers. Let \( X \) be an alphabet of cardinality \( |X| = r \geq 2 \). By \( X^* \) we denote the set of finite words on \( X \), including the empty word \( e \), and \( X^\omega \) is the set of infinite strings (\( \omega \)-words) over \( X \).

For \( w \in X^* \) and \( \eta \in X^* \cup X^\omega \) let \( w \cdot \eta \) be their concatenation. This concatenation product extends in an obvious way to subsets \( W \subseteq X^* \) and \( B \subseteq X^* \cup X^\omega \).

We denote by \( |w| \) the length of the word \( w \in X^* \) and \( \text{pref}(B) \) is the set of all finite prefixes of strings in \( B \subseteq X^* \cup X^\omega \). We shall abbreviate \( w \in \text{pref}(\eta) \) (\( \eta \in X^* \cup X^\omega \)) by \( w \sqsubseteq \eta \), and \( \eta[0..n] \) is the \( n \)-length prefix of \( \eta \) provided \( |\eta| \geq n \). A language \( W \subseteq X^* \) is referred to as prefix-free if \( w \sqsubseteq v \) and \( w, v \in W \) imply \( w = v \). If \( W \subseteq X^* \) then \( \text{Min}_{\sqsubseteq} W := \{ w : w \in W \land \forall v (v \in W \rightarrow v \not\sqsubseteq w) \} \) is the (prefix-free) set of minimal w.r.t. \( \sqsubseteq \) elements of \( W \).

A super-martingale is a function \( \mathcal{V} : X^* \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) which satisfies \( \mathcal{V}(e) \leq 1 \) and the super-martingale inequality

\[
 r \cdot \mathcal{V}(w) \geq \sum_{x \in X} \mathcal{V}(wx) \quad \text{for all} \quad w \in X^* .
\]

If Eq. (1) is satisfied with equality \( \mathcal{V} \) is called a martingale. Closely related with (super-)martingales are continuous (or cylindrical) (semi-)measures \( \mu : X^* \rightarrow [0, 1] \) where \( \mu(e) \leq 1 \) and \( \mu(w) \geq \sum_{x \in X} \mu(wx) \) for all \( w \in X^* \).

Indeed, if \( \mathcal{V} \) is a super-martingale then \( \mu(w) := r^{-|w|} \cdot \mathcal{V}(w) \) is a continuous (semi-)measure, and vice versa. It should be mentioned that for any continuous semi-measure \( \mu \) and every prefix-free subset \( W \subseteq X^* \) the inequality \( \sum_{w \in W} \mu(w) \leq 1 \) holds. This proves also the corresponding super-martingale inequality for prefix-free sets \( W \subseteq X^* \):

\[
 \mathcal{V}(e) \geq \sum_{w \in W} r^{-|w|} \cdot \mathcal{V}(w)
\]

For a computable domain \( D \), such as \( \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q} \) or \( X^* \), we refer to a
function \( f : D \to \mathbb{R} \) as left computable (or approximable from below) provided the set \( \{(d, q) : d \in D \land q \in Q \land q < f(d)\} \) is computably enumerable. Accordingly, a function \( f : D \to \mathbb{R} \) is called right computable (or approximable from above) if the set \( \{(d, q) : d \in D \land q \in Q \land q > f(d)\} \) is computably enumerable, and \( f \) is computable if \( f \) is right and left computable.

If we refer to a function \( f : D \to Q \) as computable we usually mean that it maps the domain \( D \) to the domain \( Q \), that is, it returns the exact value \( f(d) \in Q \).

### 2 Hausdorff’s approach

A function \( h : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) is referred to as a gauge function provided \( h \) is positive, right continuous and non-decreasing. The \( h \)-dimensional outer measure of a set \( F \subseteq X^\omega \) on the space \( X^\omega \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{H}^h(F) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \left\{ \sum_{v \in V} h(r^{-|v|}) : V \subseteq X^* \land F \subseteq V \cdot X^\omega \land \min_{v \in V} |v| \geq n \right\}.
\]

If \( \lim_{t \to 0} h(t) > 0 \) then \( \mathcal{H}^h(F) < \infty \) if and only if \( F \) is finite.

The usual \( \alpha \)-dimensional Hausdorff measure \( \mathcal{H}^\alpha \) is defined by the family of gauge functions \( h_\alpha(t) = t^\alpha \), that is, \( \mathcal{H}^\alpha = \mathcal{H}^{h_\alpha} \). Here \( h_0(t) = t^0 \) defines the counting measure on \( X^\omega \).

In this case it is possible to define the (usual) Hausdorff dimension of a set \( F \subseteq X^\omega \) as

\[
\dim_H F := \sup\{\alpha : \alpha = 0 \lor \mathcal{H}^\alpha(F) = \infty\} = \inf\{\alpha : \alpha \geq 0 \land \mathcal{H}^\alpha(F) = 0\}.
\]

As we see from Eq. (3) for our purposes the behaviour of gauge function is of interest only in a small vicinity of 0. Moreover, in many cases we are not interested in the exact value of \( \mathcal{H}^h(F) \) when \( 0 < \mathcal{H}^h(F) < \infty \). Thus we can often make use of scaling a gauge function and altering it in a range \((\varepsilon, 1] \) apart from 0.

The following properties of gauge functions \( h \) and the related
measure $\mathcal{H}^h$ are proved in the standard way (see e.g. [Edg08, Fal90]).

**Property 1** Let $h, h'$ be gauge functions.

1. If $c_1 \cdot h(r^{-n}) \leq h'(r^{-n}) \leq c_2 \cdot h(r^{-n})$ for some $c_1, c_2$, $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$, then $c_1 \cdot \mathcal{H}^h(F) \leq \mathcal{H}^{h'}(F) \leq c_2 \cdot \mathcal{H}^h(F)$.

2. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(r^{-n})}{h'(r^{-n})} = 0$ then $\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F) < \infty$ implies $\mathcal{H}^h(F) = 0$, and $\mathcal{H}^h(F) > 0$ implies $\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F) = \infty$.

Here the first property could be called equivalence of gauge functions. In fact, if $h$ and $h'$ are equivalent in the sense of Property 1 then for all $F \subseteq X^\omega$ the measures $\mathcal{H}^h(F)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F)$ are both zero, finite or infinite. In the same way the second property gives an pre-order of gauge functions. The pre-order is denoted by $\prec$ where $h' \prec h$ is an abbreviation for $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(r^{-n})}{h'(r^{-n})} = 0$, that is, $h(r^{-n})$ tends faster to 0 than $h'(r^{-n})$ as $n$ tends to infinity.

By analogy to the change-over-point $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} F$ (see Eq. (4)) for $\mathcal{H}^h(F)$ the partial pre-order $\prec$ yields a suitable notion of Hausdorff dimension in the range of arbitrary gauge functions.

**Definition 1** We refer to a gauge function $h$ as **exact Hausdorff dimension function** for $F \subseteq X^\omega$ provided

$$\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } h' \prec h, \\ 0, & \text{if } h \prec h' \end{cases}.$$  

Remark that, since $\prec$ is not a total ordering, nothing is said about the measure $\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F)$ for functions $h'$ which are equivalent or not comparable to $h$. Hausdorff called a function $h$ *dimension* of $F$ provided $0 < \mathcal{H}^h(F) < \infty$. This case is covered by our definition and Property 1.

One easily observes that $h_0(t) := t$ yields $\mathcal{H}^{h_0}(F) \leq 1$, thus $\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F) = 0$ for all $h'$, $h_0 \prec h'$. Therefore, we can always assume that a gauge function satisfies $h(t) > t^2$, $t \in (0,1)$. 

2.1 Exact Hausdorff dimension and martingales

In this section we show a generalisation of Lutz’s theorem to arbitrary gauge functions. To obtain a transparent notation we do not use Lutz’s s-gale notation but instead we follow Schnorr’s approach of combining martingales with order functions. For a discussion of both approaches see Section 13.2 of [DH10].

Let, for a super-martingale \( V : X^* \to [0, \infty) \), a gauge function \( h \) and a value \( c \in (0, \infty) \) be \( S_{c,h}[V] := \{ \xi : \xi \in X^\omega \land \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{V(\xi[0,n])}{r^n h(r^{-n})} \geq c \} \). In particular, \( S_{\infty,h}[V] \) is the set of all \( \omega \)-words on which the super-martingale \( V \) is successful w.r.t. the order function \( f(n) = r^n \cdot h(r^{-n}) \) in the sense of Schnorr [Sch71].

Now we can prove the analogue to Lutz’s theorem. In view of Property 1 we split the assertion into two parts.

**Lemma 1** Let \( F \subseteq X^\omega \) and \( h, h' \) be gauge functions such that \( h < h' \) and \( H^h(F) < \infty \). Then \( F \subseteq S_{\infty,h'}[V] \) for some martingale \( V \).

**Proof.** First we follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 13.2.3 in [DH10] and show the assertion for \( H^h(F) = 0 \). Thus there are prefix-free subsets \( U_i \subseteq X^* \) such that \( F \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \cdot X^\omega \) and \( \sum_{u \in U_i} h(r^{-|u|}) \leq 2^{-i} \).

Define \( V_i(w) := \begin{cases} r^{|w|} \cdot \sum_{wu \in U_i} h(r^{-|wu|}), & \text{if } w \in \text{pref}(U_i) \setminus U_i, \\ \sup\{ r^{|v|} \cdot h(r^{-|v|}) : v \subseteq w \land v \in U_i \}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \).

In order to prove that \( V_i \) is a martingale we consider three cases:

\( w \in \text{pref}(U_i) \setminus U_i \): Since then \( U_i \cap w \cdot X^* = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_i \cap wx \cdot X^* \), we have \( V_i(w) = r^{|w|} \cdot \sum_{wu \in U_i} h(r^{-|wu|}) = r^{-1} \cdot \sum_{x \in X} V_i(wx) \).

\( w \in U_i \cdot X^* \): Let \( w \in v \cdot X^* \) where \( v \in U_i \). Then \( V_i(w) = V_i(wx) = r^{|v|} \cdot h(r^{-|v|}) \) whence \( V_i(w) = r^{-1} \cdot \sum_{x \in X} V_i(wx) \).

\( w \notin \text{pref}(U_i) \cup U_i \cdot X^* \): Here \( V_i(w) = V_i(wx) = 0 \).

---

\(^1\)This yields \( V_i(w) = 0 \) for \( w \notin \text{pref}(U_i) \cup U_i \cdot X^* \).
Now, set $\mathcal{V}(w) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i(w)$.

Then, for $\xi \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \cdot \mathcal{X}_\omega$ there are $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi[0..n_i] \in U_i$ and we obtain $\frac{\mathcal{V}(\xi[0..n_i])}{p^{n_i} h'(r^{-n_i})} \geq \frac{\mathcal{V}(\xi[0..n_i])}{p^{n_i} h'(r^{-n_i})} = \frac{h(r^{-n_i})}{h'(r^{-n_i})}$ which tends to infinity as $i$ tends to infinity.

Now let $\mathcal{H}^h(F) < \infty$. Then $h < \sqrt{h \cdot h'} < h'$. Thus $\mathcal{H}^h(F) = 0$ and we can apply the first part of the proof to the functions $\sqrt{h \cdot h'}$ and $h'$.

The next lemma is in some sense a converse to Lemma 1.

**Lemma 2** Let $h$ be a gauge function, $c \in (0, \infty]$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be a super-martingale. Then $\mathcal{H}^h(S_{c,h}[\mathcal{V}]) \leq \frac{\mathcal{V}(c)}{c}$.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the assertion for $c < \infty$.

Define $V_k := \{w : w \in X^* \land |w| \geq k \land \frac{\mathcal{V}(w)}{p^{|w|} h(r^{-|w|})} \geq c - 2^{-k}\}$ and set $U_k := \text{Min}_\subseteq V_k$. Then $S_{c,h}[\mathcal{V}] \subseteq \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U_k \cdot \mathcal{X}_\omega$.

Now $\sum_{w \in U_k} h(r^{-|w|}) \leq \sum_{w \in U_k} h(r^{-|w|}) \cdot \frac{\mathcal{V}(w)}{p^{r^{-|w|} h(r^{-|w|})}} \cdot \frac{1}{c-2^{-k}}$

$= \frac{1}{c-2^{-k}} \cdot \sum_{w \in U_k} \frac{\mathcal{V}(w)}{p^{r^{-|w|}}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{V}(c)}{c-2^{-k}}$ (cf. Eq. (2)).

Thus $\mathcal{H}^h(\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U_k \cdot \mathcal{X}_\omega) \leq \frac{\mathcal{V}(c)}{c}$.

Lemmas 1 and 2 yield the following martingale characterisation of exact Hausdorff dimension functions.

**Theorem 1** Let $F \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\omega$. Then a gauge function $h$ is an exact Hausdorff dimension function for $F$ if and only if

1. for all gauge functions $h'$ with $h \prec h'$ there is a super-martingale $\mathcal{V}$ such that $F \subseteq S_{c_{\infty,h'}}[\mathcal{V}]$, and

2. for all gauge functions $h''$ with $h'' \prec h$ and all super-martingales $\mathcal{V}$ it holds $F \not\subseteq S_{c_{\infty,h''}}[\mathcal{V}]$.

**Proof.** Assume $h$ to be exact for $F$ and $h \prec h'$. Then $h \prec \sqrt{h \cdot h'} \prec h'$. Thus $\mathcal{H}^{\sqrt{h \cdot h'}}(F) = 0$ and applying Lemma 1 to $\sqrt{h \cdot h'}$ and $h'$ yields a super-martingale $\mathcal{V}$ such that $F \subseteq S_{c_{\infty,h'}}[\mathcal{V}]$.

If $h'' \prec h$ then $\mathcal{H}^{h''}(F) = \infty$ and according to Lemma 2 $F \not\subseteq S_{c_{\infty,h''}}[\mathcal{V}]$ for all super-martingales $\mathcal{V}$. 
Conversely, let Conditions 1 and 2 be satisfied. Let $h < h'$, and let $V$ be a super-martingale such that $F \subseteq S_{\infty,h'}[V]$. Now Lemma 2 shows $\mathcal{H}^{h'}(F) \leq \mathcal{H}^{h'}(S_{\infty,h'}[V]) = 0$.

Finally, suppose $h'' < h$ and $\mathcal{H}^{h''}(F) < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{\sqrt{h''}}(F) = 0$ and Lemma 1 shows that there is a super-martingale $V$ such that $F \subseteq S_{\infty,\sqrt{h''}}[V]$. This contradicts Condition 2.

Lemmas 1 and 2 also show that we can likewise formulate Theorem 1 for martingales instead of super-martingales.

3 Constructive dimension: the exact case

The constructive dimension is a variant of dimension defined analogously to Theorem 1 using only left computable super-martingales. For the usual family of gauge functions $h_\alpha(t) = t^\alpha$ it was introduced by Lutz [Lut00] and resulted, similarly to $\dim_H$ in a real number assigned to a subset $F \subseteq X^\omega$. In the case of left computable super-martingales the situation turned out to be simpler because the results of Levin [ZL70] and Schnorr [Sch71] show that there is an optimal left computable super-martingale $U$, that is, every other left computable super-martingale $V$ satisfies $V(w) \leq c_V \cdot U(w)$ for all $w \in X^*$ and some constant $c_V > 0$ not depending on $w$. Thus we may define

**Definition 2** Let $F \subseteq X^\omega$. We refer to $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as an exact constructive dimension function for $F$ provided $F \subseteq S_{\infty,h'}[U]$ for all $h', h < h'$ and $F \not\subseteq S_{\infty,h''}[U]$ for all $h'', h'' < h$.

Originally, Levin showed that there is an optimal left computable continuous semi-measure $M$ on $X^\omega$.

Thus we might use $U_M$ with $U_M(w) := r^{|w|} \cdot M(w)$ as our optimal left computable super-martingale. The proof of the next theorem makes use of this fact and of the inequality $M(w) \geq M(w \cdot v)$.

**Theorem 2** The function $h_\xi$ defined by $h_\xi(r^{-n}) := M(\tilde{\xi}[0..n])$ is an exact constructive dimension function for the set $\{\tilde{\xi}\}$. 

Closely related to Levin’s optimal left computable semi-measure is the \textit{a priori entropy} (or \textit{complexity}) $KA : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by
\begin{equation}
KA(w) := \lfloor - \log_r M(w) \rfloor \quad (5)
\end{equation}

First we mention the following bound from [Mie08].

\textbf{Theorem 3} Let $F \subseteq X^\omega$, $h$ be a gauge function and $H^h(F) > 0$.

Then for every $c > 0$ with $H^h(F) > c \cdot M(e)$ there is a $\xi \in F$ such that $KA(\xi[0..n]) \geq ae - \log_r h(r^{-n}) - \log_r c$.

This lower bound on the maximum complexity of an infinite string in $F$ yields a set-theoretic lower bound on the success sets $S_{c,h}[U]$ of $U$.

\textbf{Theorem 4} Let $-\infty < c < \infty$ and let $h$ be a gauge function. Then there is a $c' > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\{ \xi : \exists n(KA(\xi[0..n]) \leq \log_r h(r^{-n}) + c) \} \subseteq S_{c',h}[U].
\end{equation}

\textbf{Proof.} If $\xi$ has infinitely many prefixes such that $KA(\xi[0..n]) \leq -\log_r h(r^{-n}) + c$ then, since $U(w) \geq c'' \cdot r^n \cdot M(w)$ for a suitable $c'' > 0$, we obtain in view of Eq. (5)
\begin{equation}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(\xi[0..n])}{r^n h(r^{-n})} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c'' \cdot r^n \cdot M(\xi[0..n])}{r^n h(r^{-n})} \geq c'' \cdot r^{-c-1}.
\end{equation}

\textbf{Corollary 1} Let $h, h'$ be gauge functions such that $h \prec h'$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then
\begin{enumerate}
\item $\{ \xi : KA(\xi[0..n]) \leq \log_r h(r^{-n}) + c \} \subseteq S_{\infty,h'}[U]$, and
\item $H^{h'}(\{ \xi : KA(\xi[0..n]) \leq \log_r h(r^{-n}) + c \}) = 0$.
\end{enumerate}

\textbf{4 Complexity}

In this section we are going to show that, analogously to Ryabko’s and Lutz’s results for the “usual” dimension the bound given in Corollary 1 is tight for a large class of (computable) gauge functions. To this end we prove that certain sets of infinite strings diluted according to a gauge function $h$ have positive Hausdorff measure $H^h$. 
4.1 A generalised dilution principle

We are going to show that for a large family of gauge functions, a set of finite positive measures can be constructed. Our construction is a generalisation of Hausdorff’s 1918 construction. Instead of his method of cutting out middle thirds in the unit interval we use the idea of dilution functions as presented in [Sta08]. In fact dilution appears much earlier (see e.g. [Dal74, Sta93, Lut03]).

We consider prefix-monotone mappings, that is, mappings \( \varphi : X^* \to X^* \) satisfying \( \varphi(w) \subseteq \varphi(v) \) whenever \( w \subseteq v \). We call a function \( g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) a modulus function for \( \varphi \) provided \( |\varphi(w)| = g(|w|) \) for all \( w \in X^* \). This, in particular, implies that \( |\varphi(w)| = |\varphi(v)| \) for \( |w| = |v| \) when \( \varphi \) has a modulus function.

Every prefix-monotone mapping \( \varphi : X^* \to X^* \) defines as a limit a partial mapping \( \varphi : \subseteq X^\omega \to X^\omega \) in the following way: \( \text{pref}(\varphi(\xi)) = \text{pref}(\varphi(\text{pref}(\xi))) \) whenever \( \varphi(\text{pref}(\xi)) \) is an infinite set, and \( \varphi(\xi) \) is undefined when \( \varphi(\text{pref}(\xi)) \) is finite.

If, for some strictly increasing function \( g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \), the mapping \( \varphi \) satisfies the conditions \( |\varphi(w)| = g(|w|) \) and for every \( v \in \text{pref}(\varphi(X^*)) \) there are \( w_v \in X^* \) and \( x_v \in X \) such that

\[
\varphi(w_v) \subseteq v \subseteq \varphi(w_v \cdot x_v) \land \forall y (y \in X \land y \neq x_v \rightarrow v \nsubseteq \varphi(w_v \cdot y))
\]

then we call \( \varphi \) a dilution function with modulus \( g \). If \( \varphi \) is a dilution function then \( \varphi \) is a one-to-one mapping.

For the image \( \varphi(X^\omega) \) we obtain the following bounds on its Hausdorff measure.

**Theorem 5** Let \( g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) be a strictly increasing function, \( \varphi \) a corresponding dilution function and \( h : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) be a gauge function. Then

1. \( H^h(\varphi(X^\omega)) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(r^{-g(n)})}{r^n} \)

2. If \( c \cdot r^{-n} \leq \#(r^{-g(n)}) \) then \( c \leq H^h(\varphi(X^\omega)) \).
If Corollary 2 holds, then in particular, \( h \) for upwardly convex gauge functions allow for a construction of a set of positive finite measure. In connection with Theorem 5 and Corollary 2 it is of interest which gauge functions allow for a construction of a set of positive finite measure via dilution. Hausdorff's cutting out was demonstrated for upwardly convex gauge functions. We consider the slightly more general case of functions fulfilling the following.

**Lemma 3** If a gauge function \( h \) is upwardly convex on some interval \((0, \varepsilon)\) and \( \lim_{t \to 0} h(t) = 0 \) then there is an \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) there is an \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying

\[
r^{-n} < h(r^{-m}) \leq r^{-n+1}.
\]

In particular, Eq. (7) implies that the gauge function \( h \) does not tend faster to 0 than the identity function \( \text{id} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** If \( h \) is monotone, upwardly convex on \((0, \varepsilon)\) and \( h(0) = 0 \) then, in particular, \( h(\gamma) \geq \gamma \cdot h(\gamma') / \gamma' \) whenever \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma' \leq \varepsilon \). Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) be the largest number such that \( r^{-n} < h(r^{-m}) \).

Then \( h(r^{-m-1}) \leq r^{-n} < h(r^{-m}) \leq r \cdot h(r^{-m-1}) \leq r^{-n+1} \). \( \square \)

---

A function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is called **upwardly convex** if \( f(a + t(b - a)) \geq f(a) + t(f(b) - f(a)) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \).
**Remark 1** Using the scaling factor \( c = r^{n_0} \), that is, considering \( c \cdot h \) instead of \( h \) and taking \( h'(1) = \min\{c \cdot h(t), r\} \) one can always assume that \( n_0 = 0 \) and \( h'(1) > 1 \). Defining then \( g(n) := \max\{m : m \in \mathbb{N} \land r^{-n} < h(r^{-m})\} \) we obtain via Property 1 and Corollary 2 that for every gauge function \( h \) fulfilling Eq. (7) there is a subset \( F_h \) of \( X^\omega \) having finite and positive \( \mathcal{H}^h \)-measure.

### 4.2 Computable gauge functions

The aim of this section is to show that the modulus function \( g \) and thus the dilution function \( \varphi \) can be chosen computable if the gauge function \( h \) fulfilling Eq. (7) is computable.

**Lemma 4** Let \( h : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a computable gauge function satisfying the conditions that \( 1 < h(1) < r \) and for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there is an \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( r^{-n} < h(r^{-m}) \leq r^{-n+1} \). Then there is a computable strictly increasing function \( g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) such that \( r^{-n-1} < h(r^{-g(n)}) < r^{-n+1} \).

**Proof.** We define \( g \) inductively. To this end we compute for every \( n \geq 1 \) a closed interval \( I_n \) such that \( h(r^{-g(n)}) \in I_n \subset (r^{-n}, \min I_{n-1}) \).

We start with \( g(0) := 0 \) and \( I_{-1} = [r, r+1] \) and estimate \( I_0 \) as an sufficiently small approximating interval of \( h(r^{-g(0)}) > 1 \) satisfying \( I_0 \subseteq (1, r) \).

Assume now that for \( n \) the value \( g(n) \) and the interval \( I_n \) satisfying \( h(r^{-g(n)}) \in I_n \subset (r^{-n}, \min I_{n-1}) \) are computed.

We search for an \( m \) and an approximating interval \( I(m) \), \( h(r^{-m}) \in I(m) \), such that \( I(m) \subset (r^{-n-1}, \min I_n) \). Since \( \liminf_{m \to \infty} h(r^{-m}) = 0 \) and \( \exists m \) \( r^{-n-1} < h(r^{-m}) \leq r^{-n} \) < \( \min I_n \) this search will eventually be successful. Define \( g(n+1) \) as the first such \( m \) found by our procedure and set \( I_n := I(m) \).

Finally, the monotonicity of \( h \) implies \( g(n+1) > g(n) \).

With Corollary 2 we obtain the following.
Corollary 3 Under the hypotheses of Lemma 4 there is a computable dilution function \( \varphi : X^* \to X^* \) such that \( r^{-1} \leq H^h(\overline{\varphi}(X^\omega)) \leq r \).

4.3 Complexity of diluted infinite strings

In the final part of this section we show that, for a large class of computable gauge functions, the set \( \{ \xi : KA(\xi[0..n]) \leq \log_r h(r^n) + c\} \) (see Corollary 1) has the function \( h \) as an exact dimension function, that is, a converse to Corollary 1.2.

We use the following estimate on the a priori complexity of a diluted string from \[Sta08\].

Theorem 6 Let \( \varphi : X^* \to X^* \) be a one-to-one prefix-monotone recursive function satisfying Eq (6) with strictly increasing modulus function \( g \). Then
\[
|KA(\overline{\varphi}(\xi)[0..g(n)]) - KA(\xi[0..n])| \leq O(1) \text{ for all } \xi \in X^\omega.
\]

This auxiliary result yields that certain sets of non-complex strings have non-null \( h \)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Theorem 7 If \( h : Q \to \mathbb{R} \) is a computable gauge function satisfying Eq. (7) then there is a \( c \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
H^h(\{ \xi : KA(\xi[0..\ell]) \leq -\log_r h(r^{-\ell}) + c\}) > 0.
\]

Proof. From the gauge function \( h \) we construct a computable dilution function \( \varphi \) with modulus function \( g \) such that \( r^{-(l+k+1)} < g(r^{-g(l)}) < r^{-(l+k-1)} \) for a suitable constant \( k \) (cf. Lemma 4 and Remark 1). Then, according to Corollary 3, \( H^h(\overline{\varphi}(X^\omega)) > 0 \).

Using Theorem 6 we obtain \( KA(\overline{\varphi}(\xi)[0..g(l)]) \leq KA(\xi[0..l]) + c_1 \leq l + c_2 \) for suitable constants \( c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfy \( g(l) < n \leq g(n+1) \). Then \( KA(\overline{\varphi}(\xi)[0..n]) \leq KA(\overline{\varphi}(\xi)[0..g(l+1)]) \leq l + 1 + c_2 \).

Now from \( l + k - 1 < -\log_r h(r^{-g(l)}) \leq -\log_r h(r^{-n}) \) we obtain the assertion \( KA(\overline{\varphi}(\xi)[0..n]) \leq -\log_r h(r^{-n}) + k + c_2 \).

Now Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 7 the following analogue to Ryabko’s \[Rya84\] result.
**Lemma 5** If $h : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ is a computable gauge function satisfying Eq. (7) then there is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h$ is an exact Hausdorff dimension for the sets \{\(\xi : KA(\xi[0..n]) \leq i_0 - \log_r h(r^{-n}) + c\) and \{\(\zeta : KA(\zeta[0..\ell]) \leq a_e - \log_r h(r^{-\ell}) + c\)\}.

## 5 Functions of the logarithmic scale

The final part of this paper is devoted to a generalisation of the “usual” dimensions using Hausdorff’s family of functions of the logarithmic scale. This family is, similarly to the family $h^\alpha(t) = t^\alpha$, also linearly ordered and, thus, allows for more specific versions of Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 7.

A function of the form where the first non-zero exponent satisfies $p_i > 0$

$$h(p_0,\ldots,p_k)(t) = t^{p_0} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\log^i t)^{p_i}$$

(8)

is referred to as a function of the logarithmic scale (see [Hau18]). Here we have the convention that $\log^i t = \max\{\log_r \ldots \log_r t, 1\}$.

One observes that the lexicographic order on the tuples $(p_0,\ldots,p_k)$ yields an order of the functions $h(p_0,\ldots,p_k)$ in the sense that $(p_0,\ldots,p_k) >_{\text{lex}} (q_0,\ldots,q_k)$ if and only if $h(p_0,\ldots,p_k)(t) < h(q_0,\ldots,q_k)(t)$.

This gives rise to a generalisation of the “usual” Hausdorff dimension as follows.

$$\dim_{H}^{(k)} F := \sup\{(p_0,\ldots,p_k) : \mathcal{H}^{h(p_0,\ldots,p_k)}(F) = \infty\} = \inf\{(p_0,\ldots,p_k) : \mathcal{H}^{h(p_0,\ldots,p_k)}(F) = 0\}$$

(9)

When taking supremum or infimum we admit also values $-\infty$ and $\infty$ although we did not define the corresponding functions of the logarithmic scale. E.g. $\dim_{H}^{(1)} F = (0,\infty)$ means that $\mathcal{H}^{h(0,\gamma)}(F) = \infty$ but $\mathcal{H}^{h(\alpha,\gamma)}(F) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in (0,\infty)$ and all $\alpha > 0$.

The following theorems generalise Ryabko’s [Rya84] result on the “usual” Hausdorff dimension (case $k = 0$) of the set of strings having asymptotic Kolmogorov complexity $\leq p_0$. 

Let $h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_k)}$ be a function of the logarithmic scale. We define its first logarithmic truncation as $\beta_h(t) := -\log_T h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1})}$. Observe that $\beta_h(r^{-n}) = p_0 \cdot n + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i \cdot \log^j n$ and $-\log h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_k)}(r^{-n}) = \beta_h(r^{-n}) + p_k \cdot \log^k n$, for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then from Corollary 1.2 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 8 ([Mie10])** Let $k > 0$, $(p_0, \ldots, p_k)$ be a $(k+1)$-tuple and $h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_k)}$ be a function of the logarithmic scale. Then

$$\dim_H^{(k)} \left\{ \xi : \xi \in X^\omega \land \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) - \beta_h(2^{-n})}{\log^k n} < p_k \right\} \leq (p_0, \ldots, p_k).$$

*Proof.* From $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) - \beta_h(2^{-n})}{\log^k n} < p_k$ follows $\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) \leq \beta_h(2^{-n}) + p'_k \cdot \log^k n + O(1)$ for some $p'_k < p_k$. Thus $h_{(p_0, \ldots, p'_k)} < h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_k)}$ and the assertion follows from Corollary 1.2. \(\square\)

Using Theorem 7 we obtain a partial converse to Theorem 8 slightly refining Satz 4.11 of [Mie10].

**Theorem 9** Let $k > 0$, $(p_0, \ldots, p_k)$ be a $(k+1)$-tuple where $p_0 > 0$ and $p_0, \ldots, p_{k-1}$ are computable numbers. Then for the function $h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_k)}$ it holds

$$\dim_H^{(k)} \left\{ \xi : \xi \in X^\omega \land \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) - \beta_h(2^{-n})}{\log^k n} \leq p_k \right\} \geq (p_0, \ldots, p'_k).$$

*Proof.* Let $p'_k < p_k$ be a computable number. Then $h_{(p_0, \ldots, p'_k)}$ is a computable gauge function, $h_{(p_0, \ldots, p'_k)} < h_{(p_0, \ldots, p_k)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^H(\{\xi : \text{KA}(\xi|0..n) \leq -\log_T h(r^{-n}) + c_h\}) > 0$ for $h = h_{(p_0, \ldots, p'_k)}$ and some constant $c_h$. Moreover the relation $\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) \leq -\log_T h(r^{-n}) + c_h$ implies $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) - \beta_h(2^{-n})}{\log^k n} \leq p_k$.

Thus $\dim_H^{(k)} \left\{ \xi : \xi \in X^\omega \land \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{KA}(\xi|0..n) - \beta_h(2^{-n})}{\log^k n} \leq p_k \right\} \geq (p_0, \ldots, p'_k)$.

As $p'_k$ can be made arbitrarily close to $p_k$ the assertion follows. \(\square\)

Ryabko’s [Rya84] theorem is independent of the kind of complexity we use. The following example shows that, already in case $k = 1$, Theorem 8 does not hold for plain Kolmogorov complexity $\text{KS}$ (cf. [Usp92, US96, DH10]).
Example 1 It is known that $KS(\xi[0..n]) \leq n - \log r, n + O(1)$ for all $\xi \in X^\omega$ (cf. [DH10, Corollary 3.11.3]). Thus every $\xi \in X^\omega$ satisfies
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{KS(\xi[0..n]) - n}{\log n} < -\frac{1}{2}.
\]
Consequently,
\[
\dim_H(\{\xi : \xi \in X^\omega \land \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{KS(\xi[0..n]) - n}{\log n} < -\frac{1}{2}\}) = (1, 0) > \text{lex} (1, -\frac{1}{2}).
\]
It would be desirable to prove Theorem 7 for arbitrary gauge functions or Theorem 9 for arbitrary $(k + 1)$-tuples. One obstacle is that, in contrast to the case of real number dimension where the computable numbers are dense in the reals, already the computable pairs $(p_0, p_1)$ are not dense in the above mentioned lexicographical order of pairs. This can be verified by the following fact.

Remark 2 Let $p_0 \in (0, 1)$. If $r^{-p_0} \leq h(r^{-n}) \leq n \cdot r^{-p_0} n$ for a computable function $h : Q \to R$ and sufficiently large $n \in N$ then $p_0$ is a computable real. Thus, if $p_0$ is not a computable number, the interval between $h(p_0,0)$ and $h(p_0,1)$ does not contain a computable gauge function.
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