



Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.
<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library [Thesis Consent Form](#)

Evaluation of simulation-based education in the management of medical emergencies.

Jennifer Mary Weller

This thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Medicine, The University of Auckland, 2005.

Abstract

Evaluation of simulation-based education in the management of medical emergencies.

Introduction

The traditional approach to medical education is changing and simulation is increasingly being incorporated into the curriculum, particularly in the context of emergency care.

Simulation takes many forms, but this thesis refers only to whole patient simulators, where a computerised mannikin placed in a clinically appropriate environment is used to recreate a realistic clinical encounter. The focus of this work is the management of medical emergencies.

Aim

The overall aim of this body of work is to evaluate the effectiveness of simulation-based education across a range of different learners, and to investigate the properties of simulation-based assessment.

In particular:

- To review the literature on the effectiveness of simulation, and its use in assessment.
- To evaluate the current status and effectiveness of CME interventions and the relative usefulness of simulation courses in this context.
- To determine if simulation-based courses in crisis management can lead to changes in physician behaviour.

- To evaluate student perceptions of learning in a simulator environment.
- To assess students' ability to manage simulated emergencies, and their opinion of simulation-based assessment.
- To define the psychometric properties and feasibility of simulation-based assessment in anaesthesia, the accuracy of self-assessment and the impact on learning.

Methods and Results

A number of different methods were used, which will be described in detail in the subsequent chapters. Overall, the results provide evidence for the effectiveness of simulation across a range of applications. Simulation-based assessment is acceptable, likely to have a positive impact on learning, and evidence support aspects of validity. Reliable scores can be generated but large numbers of cases are required.

Conclusions

There is sufficient evidence to recommend incorporating simulation-based courses into the acute care curriculum of medical undergraduates. Simulation is effective in CME in the context of anaesthesia crisis management and this is likely to apply to other acute care specialties. Like other clinically based assessments, extended testing time is required to generate reliable scores, limiting the feasibility of large scale, simulation-based exit examinations.

Preface and Acknowledgements

This thesis is a synthesis of the following series of publications around the theme of simulation in medical education:

Weller, J. and A. Woodward, Continuing Medical Education: What for? How? And how much is it worth. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, 2004. 117(1193): p. U876.

Weller, J. and M. Harrison, Continuing education and New Zealand anaesthetists: an analysis of current practice and future needs. *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care*, 2004. 32(1): p. 59-63.

Weller, J., L. Wilson, and B. Robinson, Survey of change in practice following simulation-based training in crisis management. *Anaesthesia*, 2003. 58(5): p. 471-473.

Weller, J., A. Dowell, M. Kljakovic, and B. Robinson, Simulation training for medical emergencies in general practice. *Medical Education*, 2005. in press.

Weller, J., Simulation in undergraduate medical education: bridging the gap between theory and practice. *Medical Education*, 2004. 38: p. 32-38.

Weller, J., B. Robinson, P. Larsen, and C. Caldwell, Simulation-based training to improve acute care skills in medical undergraduates. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, 2004. 117(1204).

Weller, J., B. Robinson, B. Jolly, L. Watterson, M. Joseph, S. Bajenov, A. Houghton, and P. Larsen, Psychometric characteristics of simulation-based assessment in anaesthesia and accuracy of self-assessed scores. *Anaesthesia*, 2005. 60(3): p. 245-50.

I would like to acknowledge the contribution made by my co-authors, and in particular by Dr Brian Robinson, the Director of the National Patient Simulation Centre, without whose support a number of these studies would not have been possible.

I would also like to acknowledge my colleagues who assisted me during the writing of this thesis. Professor Iain Martin who provided invaluable advice on each chapter as it was written, and Ms Dulcie Brake for her help in proof-reading and formatting the final document.

Finally I would like to acknowledge the suggestions and encouragement provided by my husband, Professor Alistair Woodward.

Abstract.....	ii
Preface and Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
1 Evaluation of simulation-based education in the management of medical emergencies.....	1
1.1 The changing landscape of medical education	1
1.2 Simulation in medical education.....	4
1.3 Where does simulation fit in the broader picture of health education?	5
2 Patient simulators in medical education: a review of the literature	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 To what extent is simulation being used in medical education?.....	9
2.2.1 Undergraduates	9
2.2.2 Physiology and pharmacology.....	9
2.2.3 Transition to clinical practice.....	10
2.2.4 Resident doctors.....	10
2.2.5 Medical Specialists	11
2.3 What evidence is there on the effectiveness of simulation-based education?	12
2.3.1 Undergraduates	14
2.3.2 Resident doctors.....	16
2.3.3 Specialists and specialist trainees	18

2.4	Discussion.....	21
2.5	Using simulation to assess performance	24
2.5.1.	Undergraduates/new graduates	25
2.5.2	Specialist trainees/specialists	31
2.5.3	Anaesthesia	33
2.6	Summary	41
3	Continuing medical education: simulation and change in practice	43
3.1	Introduction.....	43
3.2	Searching for evidence on educational interventions: does continuing medical education work [93]?.....	43
3.3.	Simulation in the CME continuum: A survey of current practices in Continuing Education in New Zealand Anaesthetists [149].....	47
3.3.1	Method	47
3.3.2	Results.....	49
3.3.3	Discussion.....	54
3.4	Change in practice following simulation training in crisis management: a survey [150]	56
3.4.1	Methods.....	57
3.4.2	Results.....	58
3.4.3	Discussion.....	61
3.5	Simulation training for medical emergencies in general practice [151].....	63

3.5.1	Context and setting	63
3.5.2	Why the idea was necessary	63
3.5.3	What was done	64
3.5.4	Evaluation of the results.....	64
3.5.5	Summary	65
3.6	Conclusion	66
4	An argument for including simulation in the medical undergraduate curriculum in critical care.....	
4.1	Introduction.....	68
4.2	A systematic analysis of critical care skills in new medical graduates.....	69
4.2.1	The evidence on critical care competence of newly graduating doctors	70
4.2.2	Adequacy of undergraduate programmes in care of the critically ill.....	72
4.3	Attempts to improve junior doctors' management of critically ill patients	73
4.4	Current place of simulation in the undergraduate medical curriculum in emergency care	75
4.5	Summary of evidence	76
4.6	Simulation in undergraduate medical education: bridging the gap between theory and practice [182]	77
4.6.1	Methods.....	77
4.6.2	Results.....	80
4.6.3	Discussion.....	84

4.7	Patient simulators to improve acute care skills in junior doctors [183].....	86
4.7.1	Method	87
4.7.2	Results.....	88
4.7.3	Discussion.....	92
4.7.4	Limitations of this study	93
4.8	Justification of simulation in medical education	94
4.8.1	Theoretical and empirical evidence to support simulation	95
4.8.2	Should we seek more evidence?	96
4.8.3	Are we looking for the right outcome measures?	97
4.8.4	Is simulator assessment ready for take-off?.....	98
4.9	Conclusion	99
5	Psychometric characteristics of simulation-based assessment [188].....	100
5.1	Background.....	100
5.1.1	The rationale for performance assessment.....	100
5.1.2	Evaluating an assessment tool	102
5.1.3	Assessment of performance in anaesthesia using the patient simulator: the story so far.....	103
5.2	Psychometric characteristics of simulation-based assessment of anaesthesia trainees.....	105
5.2.1	The aim	105
5.2.2	Methods.....	105

5.2.3	Results.....	108
5.2.4	Discussion.....	111
5.2.5	Limitations and further areas of study	113
5.3	Conclusion	114
6	Simulator assessment from the perspective of the candidate, and the accuracy of self-assessed scores [188]	115
6.1	Introduction.....	115
6.2	Simulator assessment from the perspective of anaesthesia trainees	115
6.2.1	Aim	116
6.2.2	Methods.....	116
6.2.3	Results.....	116
6.2.4	Discussion.....	121
6.3	The ability of anaesthesia trainees to assess their own performance in a simulated anaesthetic emergency.....	123
6.3.1	Aim	123
6.3.2	Methods.....	124
6.3.3	Results.....	124
6.3.4	Discussion.....	125
6.4	Summary	128
7	Simulation: present and future	130
7.1	The future utopia of simulation.....	130

7.2 Simulated hospital graduates virtual doctors	133
7.3 Back to the present.....	134
7.4 Effectiveness of simulation-based education: current status	135
7.5 Simulation and assessment: current status.....	137
7.6 Limitations and gaps	139
7.7 The way forward.....	140
7.8 Conclusion	141
References.....	143

List of Tables

Table 1: Effectiveness of simulation courses	13
Table 2: Summary of assessment studies	24
Table 3: The number of anaesthetists reporting involvement in each of a list of CME activities in the preceding 2 years. (% of the 292 responses)	50
Table 4: The ranking of different CME activities	51
Table 5: Changes to practice reported by 182 anaesthetists (open question).....	52
Table 6: Motivation for participation in CME. (275 written responses to an open question, more than one motivating factor suggested by some respondents)	53
Table 7: Impediments to participation in CME. (% of 292 responses. 17 did not report any impediment).....	54
Table 8: Reasons for increased confidence in ability to manage a crisis following simulation course, given by 36 respondents. Values are number (%).	59
Table 9: Areas of changes to routine practice following simulation course given by 36 respondents. Values are number (%).	60
Table 10: Key learning points obtained from simulation course, given by 62 respondents. Values are number (%).	61
Table 11: Student evaluation of workshop: Total 33 (100%) responses.....	81
Table 12: Move in competency with material before and after the simulator session.....	81
Table 13: Key learning points. Students responding (total 31) to each theme.....	83
Table 14: Scores for fourth and sixth year students for the three baseline and repeat scenarios	89
Table 15: Comparison of average checklist scores for baseline and repeat scenarios	90
Table 16: Thematic analysis of written responses on the process of learning	91
Table 17: Students' opinions of simulation as an assessment tool	92
Table 18: Overall performance variance components for candidate, case and judge, and the interaction between these components	109

Table 19: Generalisability coefficients (G) for a selection of different numbers of cases and judges	110
Table 20: D study for nested design: where one judge is assigned to mark each case	110
Table 21: Most and least realistic aspects of the simulations. N=numbers of responses.....	120

List of Figures

Figure 1: Search Strategy	70
Figure 2: Miller’s Triangle	100
Figure 3: Trainees’ ratings of realism of the individual scenarios.....	117
Figure 4: Trainees’ ratings of how well the three scenarios assessed their ability to manage the event in real life.....	117
Figure 5: Trainees’ rating of the value of the simulation as a learning experience	118
Figure 6. Trainees’ rating of the value of the debrief as a learning experience.....	119