



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

### *ResearchSpace@Auckland*

#### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

#### **General copyright and disclaimer**

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.



**THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND**  
**NEW ZEALAND**

**Hemispheric Asymmetries  
in the  
Attentional Blink**

By Antje Holländer  
2004

Department of Psychology, University of Auckland,  
Auckland, New Zealand

A thesis presented to the University of Auckland in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

## Table of contents

List of Figures .....	5
List of Tables .....	9
Abstract .....	10
List of Symbols/Abbreviations .....	12
Acknowledgements .....	14
Chapter 1 .....	15
General Introduction .....	15
RSVP Method .....	16
The Attentional Blink and Lag 1 Sparring .....	19
The Role of Task Difficulty on the Blink Magnitude .....	22
Conditions that Trigger the Attentional Blink .....	25
The Requirement of a Target Task .....	25
Masking as a Modulator of the Attentional Blink .....	26
Masking the Target Item .....	27
Masking the Probe Item .....	28
Probe/Target-Distractor Discriminability .....	29
The Nature of the Target and the Probe .....	31
Models of the Attentional Blink .....	34
The Inhibition Model/Gating Theory .....	35
The Interference Model .....	37
Dwell-Time Model .....	39
Two-Stage Model .....	40
Central Interference Theory .....	42
Overview .....	43
Neuropsychological Issues raised by the Attentional Blink .....	46
Electrophysiological Approaches .....	48
Aims of this Research .....	50

---

Chapter 2 .....	53
Experiment 1 .....	53
Introduction .....	53
Method .....	54
Participants .....	54
Stimuli .....	54
Procedure .....	55
Results .....	55
Discussion .....	57
Experiment 2 .....	58
Introduction .....	58
Method .....	58
Participants .....	58
Stimuli .....	58
Procedure .....	59
Results .....	62
Target Detection .....	62
Probe Detection .....	62
Between-Stream Presentations .....	65
Within-Stream Presentations .....	66
Discussion .....	67
Experiment 3 .....	69
Introduction .....	69
Method .....	69
Participants .....	69
Stimuli .....	70
Procedure .....	70
Results .....	70
Target Location .....	70
Probe Detection .....	71

---

Between-Stream Presentations.....	73
Within-Stream Presentations.....	73
Discussion .....	74
Deficits Found during the Same Stream Condition .....	74
Deficits Found during the Opposite Stream Condition .....	76
Chapter 3 .....	79
Experiment 4 .....	79
Introduction.....	79
Method.....	81
Participants .....	81
Acquisition of cycle phase/mood .....	82
Stimuli .....	83
Procedure.....	85
Results.....	86
Target Identification.....	86
Probe Detection .....	87
Between-Stream Presentation .....	88
Within-Stream Presentation .....	88
Hormone/Behaviour Relationships.....	93
Effects of Mood .....	94
Discussion .....	95
Chapter 4 .....	100
Experiment 5 .....	100
Introduction.....	100
Method.....	101
Participants .....	101
Stimuli and Tasks.....	101
Procedure.....	102
EEG Acquisition .....	103
Results.....	104
Behavioural Results .....	104

---

ERPs to Probe Item .....	106
ERPs to Target Item.....	115
Discussion .....	116
Experiment 6 .....	120
Introduction .....	120
Method.....	121
Participants .....	121
Stimuli and Task.....	121
Procedure.....	122
EEG Acquisition .....	124
Results.....	124
Behavioural Performance.....	124
ERPs to Probe Item .....	126
Discussion .....	133
Chapter 5.....	135
General Discussion .....	135
What explains the LVF advantage?.....	140
At what processing stage does the AB occur?.....	141
Overall Summary .....	143
Reference List.....	144
Appendix.....	161

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Schematic representation of stimulus presentation. ....	18
Figure 1.2. Inhibition Model/Gating Theory (Raymond et al., 1992).....	36
Figure 1.3. Interference Model (Shapiro et al., 1994).....	38
Figure 1.4. Two-Stage Model (Chun & Potter, 1995).....	41
Figure 2.1. Mean percentage of correct report of the probe, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe in Experiment 1. The solid line represents the control condition, the dotted line the experimental condition. ....	56
Figure 2.2. Schematic representation of stimulus presentation in Experiments 2 and 3. In this example, the target and probe were presented in opposite streams. ....	61
Figure 2.3. Mean performance of correct probe report in the LVF and RVF when target and probe were presented in different VHF, given that the target was correctly reported, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in Experiment 2. ....	63
Figure 2.4. Mean performance of correct probe report of the probe in the LVF and RVF when target and probe were presented in the same VHF, given that the target was correctly reported, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in Experiment 2. ....	63
Figure 2.5. Mean performance correct report of the probe in left and right visual fields when the target and the probe were presented in opposite visual fields, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in Experiment 3. ....	72
Figure 2.6. Mean performance of correct report of the probe in left and right visual fields when the target and the probe were presented in the same visual field, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in Experiment 3. ....	72

- Figure 2.7. Probe detection accuracy from the control condition of Experiments 2 and 3, collapsed across visual field. Same stream conditions are conceptualised as "cued", and opposite stream condition as "non-cued". A pattern typical of attentional cuing is shown, with poorer performance shortly after the non-informative cue (white target) in the non-cued stream. .... 77
- Figure 3.1. Mean performance of correct probe report in left and right visual fields when the target and the probe were presented in opposite visual fields, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of both post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in the menses. . 89
- Figure 3.2. Mean performance of correct probe report in left and right visual fields when the target and the probe were presented in opposite visual fields, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of both post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in the midluteal phase. .... 89
- Figure 3.3. Mean performance of correct probe report in left and right visual fields when the target and the probe were presented in opposite visual fields, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of both post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in the menses. . 90
- Figure 3.4. Mean performance of correct probe report in left and right visual fields when the target and the probe were presented in opposite visual fields, given that the target item was correctly reported, as a function of both post-target positions of the probe, shown separately for experimental and control conditions in the luteal phase. .... 90
- Figure 3.5. Mean error  $[(\text{experimental condition} - \text{control condition}) / \text{control condition}]$  when the target and the probe were presented in the LVF (right hemisphere) and RVF (left hemisphere), as a function of menstrual phase. Hormone dependent reductions of right-hemisphere advantage in performing a dual-task occur during the midluteal phase. .... 92



- Figure 4.1. Position of the 129 electrodes on the head. Full circles indicate electrodes closest to the positions of the 10-20 system. The labels accord to the international 10-20 system. .... 103
- Figure 4.2. Mean percentage of correct report of the probe, given that the target item was correctly identified, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe. The solid line represents the control condition, the dotted line the experimental condition. .... 105
- Figure 4.3. Global field power (GFP) comparing the experimental condition with the control condition in the blink and no-blink periods, and comparing the blink with the no-blink period for the control and experimental condition. The arrows indicate the centre of the time windows selected for further analysis..... 107
- Figure 4.4. Scalp distribution for the averaged difference waveforms control/blink minus experimental/blink and experimental/no-blink minus experimental/blink for TW 2 (260-340 ms). .... 111
- Figure 4.5. ERPs elicited by probe items in probe present trials (6A) and ERPs elicited by distractors in the positions corresponding to the probe in probe absent trials (6B), recorded at electrode 37 (equivalent to C3 of the international 10-20 system), with negative activation showing up..... 112
- Figure 4.6. LORETA source estimations showing areas that are differentially activated for the experimental and control conditions during the blink, and thus represent the AB, by analysing the difference waveform control/blink minus experimental/blink for TW 2 (260-340 ms). The scale bar indicates the strength of activation, with lighter areas indicating increased activity. .... 114
- Figure 4.7. Global field power (GFP) for the control and experimental condition related to correct identified target items for probe absent trials. The arrow indicates the centre of the time window for further analysis..... 115
- Figure 4.8. ERPs elicited by correct identified target items, recorded at electrode 129 (equivalent to Cz of the international 10-20 system), with negative activation showing up..... 116
- Figure 4.9. Bliss-symbols (N=24) used as stimuli. .... 122
- Figure 4.10. Schematic representation of stimulus presentation for Experiment 6. .... 123
- Figure 4.11. Mean percentage of correct report of the probe, given that the target item was correctly identified, as a function of the eight post-target positions of the probe.

- The solid line represents the control condition, the dotted line the experimental condition. .... 125
- Figure 4.12. Global field power (GFP) comparing the experimental condition with the control condition in the blink and no-blink periods, and comparing the blink with the no-blink period for the control and experimental condition. The arrows indicate the centre of the time windows selected for further analysis. .... 127
- Figure 4.13. Scalp distribution for the averaged difference waveforms control/blink minus experimental/blink and experimental/no-blink minus experimental/blink for TW 1 (240-320 ms). .... 130
- Figure 4.14. ERPs elicited by probe items in probe present trials recorded at electrode 68, with negative activation showing up. .... 130
- Figure 4.15. LORETA source estimations showing areas that are differentially activated for the experimental and control conditions during the blink, and thus represent the AB, by analysing the difference waveform control/blink minus experimental/blink for TW1 (240-320 ms). The scale bar indicates the strength of activation, with lighter areas indicating increased activity. .... 132

## List of Tables

Table 1.1. Classification of existing models regarding the AB .....	45
Table 3.1. Regression weights ( $\beta$ ) of the multiple regression, with AB in the LVF and RVF as dependent variables, and estradiol and progesterone levels as predictors for both sessions.....	94

## Abstract

The *attentional blink* (AB) refers to a decrement in detecting the occurrence of a probe item if it closely follows a previous target item in a stream of stimuli in rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP). In a series of experiments I investigated the question of hemispheric asymmetries in the AB.

Experiment 1 was a simplification of the experiment by Raymond et al. (1992) to determine whether the particular stimuli and task conditions of my study would produce an AB. In Experiments 2 and 3, two RSVP streams were presented in parallel, one in each visual field. The AB occurred only when participants both identified and located the target, and not when they simply located it. When targets and probes were both presented in the right visual field (RVF), the typical AB pattern was obtained, sparing probes in the first post-target location ("lag 1 sparing"). However, the AB was greatly attenuated when both target and probe were in the left visual field (LVF). When target and probe were in different spatial locations, there was a strong decrement in detecting the probe in the first post-target position—again more marked in the RVF. Cross-stream decrements may reflect the transient effects of shifting attention, while the AB itself appeared to be largely restricted to within-stream sequences, and to processing by the left cerebral hemisphere.

Experiment 4 was a further behavioural study, in which I examined differences in functional cerebral asymmetries modulated by gonadal steroid hormones during the menstrual cycle in women. Twenty-one right handed women, with regular menstrual cycle, were tested with a double RSVP task (one stream in each visual field) during the low steroid menses and the high steroid midluteal phase. An AB was obtained bilaterally in the midluteal phase, while during menses the probe detection deficit was evident only

in the RVF. Low steroid levels appeared to stabilize functional cerebral asymmetries. In contrast, high levels of estradiol and progesterone in the midluteal phase appeared to reduce functional asymmetries due to a selective enhancement of the AB in the right hemisphere.

In Experiment 5 and 6 I recorded event-related potentials (ERPs) to examine the temporal course of the AB. Probe-related ERPs were compared between the control condition and the experimental condition when the probe was presented in the blink period (post-target position 2-4) and in the no-blink period (post-target position 6-8). In the control condition in which the subjects were told to ignore the target, there was a negative peak around 300 ms following the probe, regardless of whether the probe was presented during the blink phase or during the no-blink phase. The same peak was found for the experimental condition when the probe was presented during the no-blink phase, but was missed for probes presented during the blink phase. This finding provides strong evidence that the AB reflects an impairment in a postperceptual stage of probe processing, probably at the stage of working memory. I replicated the finding (Vogel, Luck, & Shapiro, 1998) that the AB and the P3 elicited by the target component are related. Source localisation of electrophysiological activities using low-resolution electromagnetic tomography (LORETA; Pascual-Marqui, Michel, & Lehmann, 1994) revealed reduced activation during the AB in the left cerebral hemisphere when letters were used as stimuli, whereas the right hemisphere showed reduced activation when symbols were used.

## List of Symbols/Abbreviations

AB	Attentional blink
Ag	Silver
AgCl	Silver chloride
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BA	Brodmann area
$\beta$	Regression weight
CC	Control condition
CSTM	Conceptual short-term memory
C <sub>z</sub>	Common vertex
EC	Experimental condition
EEG	Electoencephalogram
EOG	Electooculomogram
ERPs	Event-related potentials
fMRI	Functional magnetic resonance imaging
GABA	Gamma-amino-butane-acid
GFP	Global field power
IPL	Inferior parietal lobe
LORETA	Low-resolution electromagnetic tomography
LQ	Asymmetry index
LTM	Long-term memory
LVF	Left visual field
N1	Negative deflection about 100 ms
N400	Negative deflection about 400 ms
n.s.	Not significant
N <sub>z</sub>	Nasal vertex
P1	Positive deflection about 100 ms
P3	Positive deflection about 300 ms
PCA	Principal component analysis
PMS	Premenstrual syndrome
RSVP	Rapid serial visual presentation

---

RVF	Right visual field
SD	Standard deviation
sess	Session
SOA	Stimuli onset asynchrony
STC	Short-term consolidation
STCI-S18	State-trait-cheerfulness-inventory
STG	Superior temporal gyrus
STM	Short-term memory
TW	Time window
VHF	Visual half field
VSTM	Visual short-term memory

## Acknowledgements

I thank my supervisors; Professor Michael Corballis and Dr Tony Lambert, and my Advisors Dr Jeff Hamm and Dr Ian Kirk for their time, ideas, helpful discussions and constructive suggestions.

In particular, I want to thank my senior supervisor, Professor Corballis, for encouraging me to convert my Master Program to a PhD Program. I want to thank him for his patience dealing with my Germanisms mixed with my English writing, and for taking time to explain to me the (for me not logical) English Grammar. Furthermore I thank him for making sure my life outside of University is sorted out, especially since I live on the other side of the world from my parents.

As a consequence of Dr Hamm's patience and illuminating explanations, the analysis of the EEG data was enjoyable. Further I want to thank him for programming all my experiments. Experiment 4 would not have been possible without the time, co-operation and experience of Dr Markus Hausmann from the Universität Bochum - Germany, whose research topic is the sex hormonal modulation of cerebral asymmetries. I want to thank him for his ideas and support.

A thank to many students and staff members of the Auckland University Department of Psychology who assisted me in various ways. I thank all participants for their time and support. A special thank to Breon Gravatt for reading my thesis although it was a marvellous sunny weekend.

Finally I thank my family and my partner for their long-term support, their faith in me and their love.