

LIBRARY Te Tumu Herenga THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND

http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <u>http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</u>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

LAND VALUES ON THE AUCKLAND URBAN PERIPHERY SPATIAL PATTERNS AND TEMPORAL CHANGE

A Thesis Presented for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy University of Auckland

Department of Geography May 1976

Warren Moran

Ø.

To Pauline, Michael, Bridget, and Kate for help, and things missed along the way.

ABSTRACT

Assessed values from the files of the New Zealand Valuation Department are the main dependent variable in this research. Unimproved values for individual parcels of land are aggregated into 301 areal units and multiple regression analysis is used to explain the spatial pattern of values in 1970. Temporal variations in the pattern of unimproved values and the influence of increasing values on the distribution of agricultural land use are also explored. The study area comprise most of the territory over which the Auckland Regional Authority has jurisdiction.

Both 'accessibility' and 'area-characteristics' models are used to interpret the pattern of unimproved values in 1970. In general the area-characteristics models are the most successful, but higher R² values are achieved with hybrid models that combine road distance from the CBD modified by travel time, with such characteristics of areas as size of holding, land use, and terrain. In analysing the pattern of values in 1955, 1960, and 1965 compared with 1970, the statistical contribution of road distance to the CBD compared with terrain is stressed. Distance becomes a more effective predictor of value through time, while the explanation contributed by terrain-characteristics deteriorates.

The large increases in the value of land that the region has experienced during the period studied are shown to have had less influence on the distribution of agriculture than has been suggested in other contexts. The main reasons advanced for the resilience of agriculture is its ability to compete for land, which is influenced by legislation allowing the postponement of rates (territorial local authority land taxes) on some agricultural land. The means by which quotas are allocated to farmers supplying liquid milk, and the marketing of fruit and vegetables direct to consumers, are also important in maintaining agricultural land in production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Valuer General gave permission to use the files of the Auckland District Office where all the staff I consulted were extremely helpful. Mr I. Ashby, the District Valuer (Rural), Mr A.D. Guy, and Mr T.W. Hoskin willingly gave their time and knowledge to help me interpret files and discover the archives. My conversations with them while collecting data made me wary of over-emphasising theory.

In discussing the research design Dr A.G. Anderson's divergent thinking suggested avenues for exploration. He also commented on parts of the manuscript, as did Dr M.J. Taylor. Dr P.L. Hosking gave unstintingly of his valuable advice and help with computer programming. Together with Professor W.A.V. Clark he made me aware of both the usefulness and pitfalls of spatial regression. The Research Committee of the University of Auckland gave financial assistance to help with the data collection. Special thanks to Elizabeth Morey for typing the thesis and Denise Kelsall, Meredith Lovell-Jackson, and Don Branch for the cartography. My supervisor Professor K.B. Cumberland commented critically and helpfully on drafts of the manuscript. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF TABLES CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION 1 CHAPTER TWO LAND VALUE THEORY: THE DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES 15 CHAPTER THREE SPATIAL REGRESSION AND THE DATA SET 487 CHAPTER FOUR THE AREAL PATTERN OF UNIMPROVED **VALUE 1970** 67 CHAPTER FIVE TEMPORAL CHANGES IN THE DISTANCE-UNIMPROVED VALUE RELATIONSHIP 103 CHAPTER SIX INCREASE IN LAND VALUE AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURE 140 CHAPTER SEVEN CONCLUSION 163 REFERENCES 174 APPENDIX ONE DATA AND COMPUTER PROGRAMMES 190 APPENDIX TWO SOURCES OF MAPS 191

LIST OF FIGURES

Following Page

Figure 1.1	Study Area in Relation to Territorial Authorities	1
Figure 1.2	Some Relationships Between the Value and Use of Land in New Zealand	6
Figure 1.3	Place Names	13
Figure 2.1	Mean Size of Holding 1970	37
Figure 2.2	Vineyards and Orchards	40
Figure 2.3	Market Gardens	41
Figure 2.4	Town Supply Dairying	42
Figure 2.5	Factory Supply Dairying	43
Figure 2.6	Fattening and Grazing	44
Figure 2.7	Terrain Measure	45
Figure 4.1	Unimproved Value	70
Figure 4.2	Profiles of Unimproved Value	72
Figure 4.3	Residuals From Accessibility Model	76
Figure 4.4	Residuals from the Area-Characteristics Model	83
Figure 4.5	Residuals from Hybrid Best-fit Model	92
Figure 5.1	Percentage Increase in Unimproved Value 1955-1970	108
Figure 5.2	'Ten Acre Blocks' in Part of Waitemata County 1970	111
Figure 5.3	Unimproved Value in Standard Deviation Units	115
Figure 5.4	Scatter Diagrams of Unimproved Value Against Distance 1955, 1960, 1965, 1970	110
		119

Following Page

Figure 5.5	Comparison of Residuals from 1955 and 1970 Regressions of Unimproved	
	Value on Distance	120
Figure 5.6	Residuals from the Distance- Unimproved Value Model 1955	121
Figure 5.7	Residuals from the Distance- Unimproved Value Model 1970	122
Figure 5.8	Residuals from the Regression of Unimproved Value on Distance. Riverhead-Kumeu-Huapai and Albany- Redvale-Coatesville	125
Figure 5.9	Percentage of Areal Units Classified into Various Land Uses - 1970. Riverhead-Huapai-Kumeu and Albany- Redvale-Coatesville	126
Figure 5.10	Farming Capabilities	130
Figure 6.1	Rates Postponement	156

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1Inter-correlations of Some Distance Measures and Logarithm of Unimproved Value33Table 2.2Land Use Classification38Table 3.1Results of Bivariate Curve Fitting of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Distance (X Variable) for 1970 Data56Table 3.2Results of Bivariate Curve Fitting of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Size of Holding (X Variable) for 1970 Data56aTable 3.3Correlation Matrix of Variables Used in Regression Equations59Table 3.4Principal Components Analysis of Independent Variables with Varimax Rotation62Table 4.1Results of Accessibility Model74Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models90Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations118Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model135Table 6.1Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farms 1960-1970150			
Table 3.1Results of Bivariate Curve Fitting of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Distance (X Variable) for 1970 Data56Table 3.2Results of Bivariate Curve Fitting of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Size of Holding (X Variable) for 1970 Data56aTable 3.3Correlation Matrix of Variables Used in Regression Equations59Table 3.4Principal Components Analysis of Independent Variables with Varimax Rotation62Table 4.1Results of Accessibility Model74Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models90Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations118Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model135	Table 2.1	Measures and Logarithm of Unimproved	33
and Distance Curve Fitting of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Distance (X Variable) for 1970 Data 56 Table 3.2 Results of Bivariate Curve Fitting of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Size of Holding (X Variable) for 1970 Data 56a Table 3.3 Correlation Matrix of Variables Used in Regression Equations 59 Table 3.4 Principal Components Analysis of Independent Variables with Varimax Rotation 62 Table 4.1 Results of Accessibility Model 74 Table 4.2 Results of Area-characteristics Model 81 Table 4.3 Results of Hybrid Models 89 Table 4.4 Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models 90 Table 4.5 Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities 97 Table 5.1 Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations 118 Table 5.2 Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model 135	Table 2.2	Land Use Classification	38
Table 3.3Correlation Matrix of Variable) and Size of Holding (X Variable) for 1970 Data56aTable 3.3Correlation Matrix of Variables Used in Regression Equations59Table 3.4Principal Components Analysis of Independent Variables with Varimax Rotation62Table 4.1Results of Accessibility Model74Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models89Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations118Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Model135Table 6.1Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farms 1060 10001000	Table 3.1	of Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and	56
InterferenceSuperiordin Regression Equations59Table 3.4Principal Components Analysis of Independent Variables with Varimax Rotation62Table 3.4Principal Components Analysis of Independent Variables with Varimax Rotation62Table 4.1Results of Accessibility Model74Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models89Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved 	Table 3.2	Unimproved Value (Y Variable) and Size	56a
Table 4.1Results of Accessibility Model74Table 4.1Results of Accessibility Model74Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models89Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations118Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model135Table 6.1Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Earer 1060 tone100	Table 3.3	Correlation Matrix of Variables Used in Regression Equations	59
Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model74Table 4.2Results of Area-characteristics Model81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models89Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various 	Table 3.4	Independent Variables with Varimax	62
Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models81Table 4.3Results of Hybrid Models89Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations118Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model135Table 6.1Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farme 1960 1976135	Table 4.1	Results of Accessibility Model	.74
Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.4Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations91Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model135Table 6.1Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farme 1960 1976197	Table 4.2	Results of Area-characteristics Model	81
Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models90Table 4.5Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities97Table 5.1Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations97Table 5.2Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model135Table 6.1Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farme 1960 1976137	Table 4.3	Results of Hybrid Models	89
Table 5.1 Results of Regressions of Unimproved Value on Distance with Various Transformations 97 Table 5.2 Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model 118 Table 6.1 Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farme 1960 1976 135	Table 4.4	Correlation Matrix of Residuals from Three Hybrid Models	90
Value on Distance with Various Transformations 118 Table 5.2 Constants and Standard Regression Coefficients for Distance-terrain 135 Table 6.1 Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farma 1960 1970	Table 4.5	Results of the 'Best-fit' Models for the Constituent Local Authorities	97
Coefficients for Distance-terrain Model 135 Table 6.1 Number of Quotas Allocated to New	Table 5.1	Value on Distance with Various	118
Table 6.1 Number of Quotas Allocated to New	Table 5.2	Coefficients for Distance-terrain	135
	Table 6.1	Number of Quotas Allocated to New Town Supply Farms 1960-1970	

Page