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Abstract

Background: The increase in the numbers of patients with palliative care needs has resulted in growing pressures on the small number of specialist palliative care providers within the New Zealand context. These pressures can potentially be eased by ensuring an adequately trained workforce, beginning with undergraduate training in the healthcare field. The goal of the present review is to ascertain what tools exist to measure the effectiveness of undergraduate palliative care education initiatives.

Method: A systematic review of both qualitative and quantitative literature was undertaken. Searches within ERIC, CINAHL Plus, Medline and Medline in Progress and Google Scholar databases were conducted for the period 1990-2011. A checklist adapted from Hawker et al. (2002) was utilised to select and assess data.

Results: Fourteen of the 112 articles met the inclusion criteria. Overall inconsistencies in the amount of validation information provided and a narrow focus on aspects of palliative care competence was apparent. No universally applicable validated questionnaire to assess the effectiveness of undergraduate palliative care education could be identified.

Conclusion: The increased focus by educational institutions on instilling palliative care skills in healthcare students necessitates the development of comprehensive and validated tools to evaluate the effectiveness of education initiatives.
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What instruments have been used to measure the effectiveness of palliative care education initiatives at the undergraduate level? A systematic literature review

**Background**

An ageing population coupled with advances in the treatment of chronic degenerative diseases, is likely to lead to an increased demand for health professionals who are well educated in the knowledge and skills required to provide quality care to those diagnosed with a life limiting illness. The changing nature of palliative care has also seen a rise in its demand. In particular, older people are more likely than younger people to die from cardiovascular diseases, stroke, some cancers (e.g. prostate cancer), and following neurological conditions, such as Parkinson’s disease and dementias. Data indicates that these conditions typically have a very different dying trajectory than cancer, the disease for which models of palliative care were originally developed. In response, palliative care has evolved to include a wide array of treatments and therapies, provided across diverse settings including acute care, intensive care, emergency services as well as hospice and home-based care. Given these trends, there is a recognition both nationally and internationally of the necessity of redesigning palliative care services to better meet patient and family/whanau needs, as demonstrated by the generation of new policies and guidelines for the incorporation of palliative care into the health services. With an estimated 380 full-time specialist palliative
care providers across New Zealand, there is mounting pressure on this small group to cater to this growing need in addition to providing education and support to the 100,000 generalist palliative care providers. International studies have identified that ‘generalists’ often feel ill equipped to manage patients’ palliative care needs and, in particular, struggle with identifying when a palliative approach may be appropriate. This growing demand can potentially be addressed by ensuring an adequately trained workforce, beginning with undergraduate healthcare training. According to Keating and Teed, “Growing social demand for skills in the provision of palliative care services places pressure on health professional courses to produce exemplary graduates” (p 5). Various authors have offered suggestions as to the content for undergraduate palliative care training including: an understanding of the basic principles of palliative care, appropriate interventions, planning and assessment as well as an understanding of both the diversity and inter-professional team working of clinical specialisations in the delivery of palliative care. Several authors have also recommended the inclusion of education surrounding loss and grief in order to facilitate both the ability to offer psychosocial support for patients and their families as well as aid in the development of coping skills for health professionals working in this area.

---

1 Generalist palliative care has been defined as “palliative care provided for those affected by life-limiting illness as an integral part of standard clinical practice by any healthcare professional who is not part of a specialist palliative care team… It is provided in hospitals by general ward staff, as well as disease specific teams – for instance oncology, respiratory, renal and cardiac teams.” 14
A training needs analysis (TNA) conducted by the National End of Life Care Programme and Connected in the UK reported that “while most health staff have some involvement in end of life care, few had received more than basic level training in this sensitive and challenging area.”

With an increased focus on instilling appropriate skills in healthcare students, a growing number of institutions are introducing palliative care education initiatives throughout their undergraduate curriculum. Despite this increase, a great deal of debate, both nationally and internationally still surrounds the adequacy of current programmes in preparing tomorrow’s health professionals with the requisite knowledge, skills and attitudes to competently deliver palliative care. Internationally, research has indicated continued deficiencies in undergraduate palliative care education in relation to programme availability, structure and content. These findings have resulted in the development of a number of measurement tools designed to identify ‘gaps’ in current programmes as well as to inform recommendations for the future curriculum development. The evaluation of the impact of undergraduate palliative care education poses both methodological and measurement challenges. While much work has been devoted to measuring changes in undergraduate student attitudes, knowledge and skills, to date there is exists no common agreement on universally applicable measurement tools. The aim of the present critical literature review was therefore to identify and evaluate the existing questionnaire tools.
**Aim**

To identify instruments which have been used to assess the effectiveness of palliative care education initiatives at the undergraduate level, and to consider the psychometric properties of those instruments.

**Methods**

A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative literature was undertaken using a framework developed by Hawker and Payne. This framework was considered to be suitable as it provided a review structure adaptable to a range of methodological approaches. The review was conducted in the following stages: (1) search strategy; (2) inclusion criteria; (3) assessment of relevance; (4) data extraction and appraisal; and (5) data synthesis.

**Search Strategy**

The goal of this research was to conduct a systematic and comprehensive review of published evidence related to the selection/inclusion criteria. A list of keywords was developed by consensus among the reviewers, and relevant databases were searched including: ERIC, CINAHL Plus, Medline and Medline in Progress and Google Scholar, for the period 1990 to 2011. Keywords included: programme, assessment, evaluation, effect, impact, education, palliative care,
terminal care, nursing undergraduate and student. Wild card searches were used to account for word variations.

**Inclusion criteria**

Inclusion criteria were developed through a process of consultation between the reviewers. Consideration for inclusion required the following: 1) the topic of the research must measure skill and knowledge development and/or attitudinal change following participation in a palliative care education programme and 2) the research participants must be students at the undergraduate level. The literature was further limited to peer-reviewed articles published in English between 1990 and 2011. Both qualitative and quantitative research was eligible for inclusion.

**Assessment of relevance**

To achieve the final selection, three systematic and objective stages of assessment were utilised examining in turn the title, abstract and body of the paper. An initial scoping exercise, conducted by HN involved reviewing the title, and where necessary, abstract of the retrieved search items. Independent assessments of the retrieved literature by the two reviewers HN and RF were conducted utilizing a checklist developed by Hawker et al. These assessments were then compared and any disagreements were discussed and resolved.
Results

A total of 14 out of an initial 112 articles met the inclusion criteria for this review. Excluded articles were not relevant to the identified criteria (See Figure 1). Of the included articles, seven of the studies included instruments that assessed undergraduate nursing students\textsuperscript{23-29} three studies included tools that assessed medical undergraduates,\textsuperscript{30-32} while one study included measures designed for physiotherapy undergraduates.\textsuperscript{33} Of the remaining studies: one assessed healthcare and medical undergraduates\textsuperscript{34} and two studies\textsuperscript{35,36} included instruments designed to assess persons from a range of disciplines. Three of the articles were based on studies conducted in the UK\textsuperscript{23,30,31}, six were conducted in the U.S\textsuperscript{24,26,27,28,32,35}, one was conducted in Canada\textsuperscript{25}; one in Australia\textsuperscript{36}; two in India\textsuperscript{29,33} and one in Hungary.\textsuperscript{34} The results of the review are presented below as both a summary and evaluation in light of the research objective. The 14 identified articles reported on the use or development of 13 questionnaire tools.

[Figure 1 here]

Overview of the instruments

The majority of studies utilised pre-existing instruments.\textsuperscript{23,24,25,26,27,30,31,34,36} One of the studies used an instrument that was developed in the sixties,\textsuperscript{36} two studies used instruments that were developed in the seventies\textsuperscript{25,34}, two were developed in the eighties,\textsuperscript{25,27} two were developed in the nineties\textsuperscript{24,30} and four were developed from 2000 onwards.\textsuperscript{26,31,33,35} Two of these studies modified the
tool to fit the purpose of their research either by changing the terminology used or the question format. A small number of the studies included original measures.

**Indicators**

The studies assessed in the review utilised a variety of indicators to measure the effectiveness of palliative care education. Four of the studies included measures of the medical knowledge attained by the participants an indicator of programme effectiveness. Attitudes/opinions related to palliative care delivery were also assessed. Perceptions of confidence in dealing with issues related to palliative care delivery were also utilised as an indicator of attained competence as well as frequency of experience in palliative care delivery. Finally a number of studies examined attitudes and emotional reactions to death and dying.

**Content**

Theoretical knowledge was assessed by Arber et al. and Kwekkeboom et al. using the Palliative Care Quiz for Nursing (PCQN). Items focused on the philosophy, and principles of palliative care, the management of symptoms and psychosocial and spiritual care of individuals and families. Velayudhan et al. developed multiple choice questionnaires: one version for medical students which
contained 20 items, and one version for nursing students which contained 15 items. The focus was predominantly on theoretical knowledge although also included some psychosocial open-ended questions such as “what is quality of life?” Kumar et al. 33 employed the Physical Therapy in Palliative Care – Knowledge, Attitudes, Beliefs and Experiences Scale (PTiPC-KABE Scale) 33 to research critical care nurses. The 37-item self-report measure consisted of both quantitative and qualitative data relating to the participants perceived knowledge (as well as attitudes, beliefs and experiences of palliative care).

Changes to participant’s confidence levels associated with providing palliative care were measured by Mason and Ellershaw 30, 31 using the Self-Efficacy in Palliative Care Scale (SEPC). 38 The 23-item SEPC contains three distinct subscales (communication, patient management, and multi-professional team work). Lack of confidence in one’s abilities can negatively impact on performance. 39 A study by Yetterberg et al. 40 indicated that the self-assessed confidence of medical students correlated with performance on a variety of interventions and competence assessment measures.

A plethora of educational studies over the last decade have demonstrated the importance of positive attitudes to learning. 41-44 Attitudinal data around providing end of life care was similarly assessed in the included studies. In addition to use of the PCQN, Kwekkeboom et al. 26 included a 12-item scale designed by Bradley et al. 45 to assess physician and nurses’ attitudes about care at
the end of life. The domains covered included: views about roles and responsibilities of health care professionals in caring for terminal patients, the extent to which palliative care provides additional benefits not offered in conventional medical care, views about the role, and importance of clinician-patients communication.

Previous studies have demonstrated that students who have completed clinical rotations and courses in palliative care expressed more comfort with death and caring for dying patients. To address this aspect of effective education, Kwekkeboom et al. assessed nursing students concerns about caring for dying patients using a 6-item scale designed by Milton representing major areas of concern to nursing students. Participants were asked to rate their degree of comfort when dealing with a dying patient and their family members, ability to locate resources needed to care for a dying patients, and ability to handle their own emotions.

Barrerre et al., Frommelt and Mallory all utilised the Frommelt Attitude toward Care of the Dying (FATCOD) instrument. FATCOD was developed by Frommelt in 1988 to assess nurses’ attitudes toward caring for terminally ill individuals and their families. Schwartz et al. explored changes to participants’ attitudes towards death using the Concept of a Good Death Measure. The instrument contains 17 descriptive positive statements relating to a “good” death. Based on the research by Walden-Galuszko and associates, the measure
incorporates the concepts of a “traditional” versus a “modern” death, and includes topics one might consider important at the end of life. Items were developed based on discussions with clinicians as well as a review of the lay and professional literature. The measure assesses three domains: closure, personal control, and clinical criteria.

Death anxiety was measured by Mason and Ellershaw \textsuperscript{30,31} using the Thanatophobia Scale (TS) \textsuperscript{53} to measure attitudes and expected outcomes of providing care for palliative care patients. Hegedus et al. \textsuperscript{34} employed the Multidimensional Fear of Death Scale (MFODS) \textsuperscript{54} developed by Neimeyer and Moore in 1994 based on the work by Hoelter (1979). Drawing on Hoelter’s \textsuperscript{55} definition of fear of death; “an emotional reaction involving subjective feelings of unpleasantness and concern based on contemplation or anticipation of any several facets related to death” (Hoelter \textsuperscript{55} in Hegedus et al. \textsuperscript{34}, pg. 265). Hurtig et al. \textsuperscript{25} used the Confrontation-Integration of Death Scale (CIDS) developed by Klug \textsuperscript{56} and adapted by Chandler. \textsuperscript{57} CIDS measures two areas of what Hurtig et al. describe as “the reconciliation with death construct”: “death confrontation” (contemplation of death), and “death integration” (the positive emotional response to death confrontation) \textsuperscript{25} (pg. 31). Personal experience of death was accounted for using an open-ended question.
Schwartz et al. 32 used the Concerns about Dying (CD) instrument. 58 The CD contains 10 ten descriptive statements designed to assess an individual’s comfort level in caring for the dying as well as general concerns about death. The CD is split into three parts: general concerns about death and dying, spirituality, and concerns about working with the dying.

Mooney 36 used the revised Collett-Lester Fear of Death Scale, 59 originally created in 1969. 60 The instrument contains four subscales, each containing seven items focusing on items relating to one’s own death or the death of others.

**Structure**

The format most commonly utilised across the included studies was a Likert-type scale with 12 of the 14 included studies incorporating this format. A 1-5 scale was most frequently used. 24, 26, 27, 32-36 Hurtig and Stewin 25 included the Confrontation and Integration of Death scale (CIDS) 56 which used a 4 point scale in each of two subscales of 10 items (integration factor) and 8 items (confrontation factor) respectively. High scores correspond to the possession of a high degree of a factor. Kwekkeboom et al’s 26 “Concerns about caring for dying patients” questionnaire also recorded responses in a 4 –point Likert-type format with 0 = “not at all” to 4 = “very much so”. Higher scores indicated more concern / worry. The “Concept of a Good Death” 51 measure utilized by Schwartz et al, 32 incorporated a 4 point Likert format as well. The measure assessed the perceived essential components at the end of life among a number of dimensions including
spiritual peace, acceptance, freedom from pain, closure etc rated along scales ranging from 1 “not necessary” to 4 “essential”. The Thanatophobia scale included in the studies by Mason and Ellershaw recorded responses along a 7 point Likert scale measuring the level of agreement with 7 statements of negative attitude towards caring for a dying patient. The “Completion Survey” measure designed by Thompson assessed respondent confidence level in dealing with patients who are dying. The items consisted of four topics addressing level of comfort at the beginning of the educational intervention and repetition of those topics assessing level of confidence at the conclusion of the programme.

The Palliative Care Quiz for Nurses (PCQN) incorporated in the studies by Arber and Kwekkeboom et al utilized a true/false/don’t know format to measure nurse’s knowledge of palliative care. Knowledge was also assessed by Velayudhan et al utilizing a multiple-choice format. Finally the Self-Efficacy in Palliative Care Scale (SEPC) incorporated by Mason and Ellershaw measured confidence in performing practice based objectives on a 100 mm Visual Analogue Scale.

**Psychometric properties**

Of the 14 reviewed articles two studies omitted validation information for included measures and five of the studies referenced previous validation of included tools. The amount of detail reported in the remaining articles also varied considerably. Kumar, Jim and Sisodia reported the test-retest reliability for a
pilot of the PTiPC-KABE Scale for twenty-four participants. In contrast, the Self-Efficacy in Palliative Care (SEPC) and Thanatophobia scales were rigorously validated in the studies by Mason and Ellershaw. In terms of reliability data, internal consistency as measured by Cronbach’s alpha was most often utilised. The Palliative Care Quiz for Nurses (PCQN) utilized in both the Arber and Kwekkeboom et al studies was assessed for reliability by the authors of the measures using the Kuder-Richardson (KR-20) formula for dichotomous variables. The Confrontation-Integration of Death Scale (CIDS), utilized by Hurtig and Stewin was also assessed using the KR-20. Moderate-to-good psychometric properties in relation to reliability were reported for the validated instruments as indicated by the magnitude of the coefficients, ranging from .65 to .95. Split-half reliability for the Revised Collett-Lester Fear of Death Scale utilized by Mooney ranged from .72 to .91. Test-retest reliability was demonstrated for a majority of the measures incorporated in the studies including: the PCQN, FATCOD, MFODS, CIDS, Attitudes about Care at the End of Life, Revised Collett-Lester Fear of Death Scale, PTiPC-KABE Scale, Concept of a Good Death and Concerns about Dying measures. Structural validity was demonstrated through principal component analysis for measures included in a three of the studies. Content validity was assessed for the FATCOD and FATCOD B as well as the Concerns about caring for dying patients measure (Kwekkeboom, pers. comm.)
Practicality

Of the 14 included articles, 13 reported response rates of 60% or greater. The study by Schwartz et al.\textsuperscript{32} reported a response rate of 90% for the interclerkship component of the study. In contrast, the response rate for the longitudinal elective component was 53%. Frommelt\textsuperscript{35} reported the number of persons in both the control and experimental groups. The number of persons from whom the sample was drawn was not specified. A number of the studies incorporated longitudinal designs, each of which were subject to attrition in participant numbers in the post-test component.\textsuperscript{23, 24, 27, 30, 31, 32, 36} Among the explanations for this attrition in the study by Barrere et al.\textsuperscript{24} was the listed loss of 9 students from the traditional programme (13% decrease) and five from the accelerated programme (11% decrease) as well the student’s opting not to complete the follow-up questionnaire. Mason and Ellershaw\textsuperscript{30, 31} reported a small number of questionnaires returned with incomplete sections indicative of problems in formulating a response to some of the included items in both the 2008 and 2010 studies. The authors report no significant demographic differences (e.g. gender, previous experience) for this subgroup, although the analyses were not presented. Other issues associated with study measures include a lack of established validity for an instrument as cited by Kumar et al.\textsuperscript{33}, although the research cited good test-retest reliability for the measure. Question wording was also an issue in the study by Arber\textsuperscript{23} who cited problems with ambiguity in some of the questions found in
the PCQN 37 within the British context. Mallory 27 also noted a limitation of the included tool (FATCOD) 50 in its ability to identify all factors (e.g. all previous education, all death experiences) that could have impacted on the participants’ attitudes toward care of the dying. Finally, Barrere et al 24 reported limitations in the forced-choice format of the included instrument preventing the respondents from elaborating on the rationale behind their selections.

Discussion

Evaluation of programme effectiveness is an essential component in the delivery of quality undergraduate palliative care education. Such evaluations can provide information valuable in assessing both individual achievement and programme success. Therefore the inclusion of valid instrument in the evaluation of undergraduate education in palliative care is essential. According to Meekin et al, 62 the evaluation of a palliative care education programme’s effectiveness: “should take into account the singularly broad range of knowledge, skills, and attitudes that must coalesce for a student to develop competence in the area” (p. 987-988). To some extent, the study measures reviewed have addressed on some of the areas outlined above. Questionnaire tools have ranged from the very specific in focus (Completion Survey 28) to more inclusive measures (PTiPC-KABE Scale 33). However no measure was located that comprehensively addressed all of the areas required in the effective assessment of palliative care competence. Furthermore, the focus of most of the measures is directed at students from a
narrow range of health professions. For example the PTiPC-KABE Scale assessed the palliative care related knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and experiences of physical therapy students. Most frequently however the measures were directed towards assessing nursing students (Palliative Care Quiz for Nurses (PCQN), Frommelt Attitudes toward Care of the Dying Scale for nurses (FATCOD), Confrontation-Integration of Death Scale (CIDS), Concerns about caring for dying patients questionnaire, Completion Survey, medical students (Self-efficacy in Palliative Care Scale (SEPC), Concept of a Good Death, Concerns about Dying (CD) or both Thanatophobia Scale, Attitudes about Care at the End of Life questionnaire, Palliative Care Knowledge Questionnaire. Two of the tools were directed at more diverse populations (FATCOD, Form B, Revised Collett-Lester Fear of Death Scale)

A further issue concerns the fact that all of the included measures relied on self-report data to gauge the effectiveness of palliative care education. This type of data can provide valuable insights into acquired theoretical knowledge as well as provide documentation of changes in attitudes or perceptions of palliative care. However self-report data may not provide the best measure of behavioural competence in palliative care delivery, which is the objective of educational initiatives. Overall, self-assessment is by design both subjective and context dependent. Self-reported abilities may vary from actual abilities and
therefore measures of this design are insufficient in providing an accurate accounting of both individual and programme effectiveness. In line with the issue of effective evaluation, a number of the included study measures focussed on the assessment of attitudes (e.g. FATCOD, MFODS). While studies within healthcare have supported a link between attitude and behaviour, behaviours are influenced by a number of factors other than just the particular attitude under study. Measurements of attitudes alone are therefore insufficient in achieving education evaluation goals.

Ultimately the measurement of the effectiveness of palliative care education initiatives cannot rely on the creation of one universal tool. One method of overcoming the shortcomings of existing measures as recommended by Weissman et al would be to correlate indicators of perceived levels of competence with observed performance such as in Objective Structured Clinical Examination Stations (OSCE’s) as a means to evaluate the effectiveness of an educational programme. Although not without limitations, the method has been used extensively in the assessment of palliative care competency. In fact, the recommendations by Mason and Ellershaw include the following comment:”the addition of observed structured clinical examinations (OSCEs) would strengthen this study and further validate the effects of the educational programme (p. 691).” Thus self-assessments should be complimented by reliable and valid external sources of information. In essence a multidimensional approach to
assessment is required incorporating a variety of indicators and employing methodological triangulation to achieve a strategy capable of accurately assessing the effectiveness of palliative care initiatives at both the individual and programme level.

As with any other piece of research, this current review has a few notable limitations. Notwithstanding the comprehensive search, retrieval and review strategies employed, reviewed articles were limited to those published in English and the grey literature was not searched. However, no previous research examining the tools for effectively evaluating undergraduate palliative care programmes across diverse healthcare fields could be identified.

The growing pressure to produce healthcare professionals competent in the delivery of palliative care and the consequent proliferation of programmes to meet that need points to the necessity of developing research in this area. Comprehensive and validated methodologies to assess both individual and programme development will be crucial to the success of these education initiatives. The findings of the present review provide evidence for the need for further work to achieve these goals.
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Appendix 1

Table 1: Summary of the included research articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study Aims</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Arber (2001) 23          | • To measure student nurses’ knowledge of palliative care at the beginning and end of a module in palliative care using the PCQN.  
                          • To identify significant changes in student nurses’ knowledge of palliative care at the end of the module. | Undergraduate nursing students                    | Kingston University, UK           | Pre-test/post-test questionnaire | 74%            | Statistically significant increase in students’ knowledge of palliative care following intervention (median 2.00) (Wilcoxon signed rank test; p =0.001) |
| Barrere, Durkin &        | Evaluation of the influence of the integration of the ELNEC curriculum into a baccalaureate nursing program on student’s attitudes toward care of the dying | Senior baccalaureate nursing students             | Quinnipiac University, Hamden, U.S | Pre-test/post-test questionnaire | 71%            | Attitudes towards care of the dying patient positively affected following intervention (t=-5.977, p=.000)  
                          | LaCoursiere (2008) 24                                                    |                                                   |                                   |                               |                | Multiple regression analyses indicated that no previous experience with the terminally ill was the strongest predictor of a change in attitude from the beginning to the end of the program (β = .332, p = .004) |
| Frommelt (2003) 35       | To compare the relationship between an individual’s attitude toward caring for terminally ill persons and their families, before and after participating in an educational program of study on death and dying. | Undergraduate students                            | Private Catholic liberal arts college, Midwestern U.S | Pre-test/post-test questionnaire | Not specified | Significant change in attitude in the experimental group following intervention (t=7.283, p<0.05) |
| Hegedus, Zana &          | • To assess improvement in death anxiety in medical students and health care workers attending | Medical students and health care workers          | Institute of Behavioural Sciences, Semmelweis University | Pre-test/post-test questionnaire | 60% of consenting medical students  
                          | Szabó (2008) 34                                                          |                                                   |                                   |                               | 96% of         | Reduction in most of the factors of fear of death and overall fear of death.  
<pre><code>                      |                                                                            |                                                   |                                   |                               |                | Significant decrease in Fear of Conscious Death factor for women (t=0.050, p &lt; .05). Students aged between 22 and 30 demonstrated more significant changes in |
</code></pre>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research Question</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hurtig &amp; Stewin</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>To examine the effect of death education and experience on nursing student’s attitude towards death.</td>
<td>First level (no clinical experience) class of diploma nursing students.</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Fear for Significant Other (t=0.009, p &lt; .05) and Fear of Conscious Death (t =0.055, p &lt; .05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar, Jim &amp; Sisodia</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>To measure the changes in knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and experiences of student physiotherapists who attended a palliative care training program.</td>
<td>Student physiotherapists. Academic Institution, India.</td>
<td>Pre-post quasi-experimental design</td>
<td>Statistically significant (p &lt; .05) changes observed in knowledge (7.844.61 points), attitudes (9.468.06 points), beliefs (4.883.29 points) and experiences (15.811.28 points).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwekkeboom, Vahl, Eland</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>To evaluate the impact of a Palliative Care Companion program.</td>
<td>Undergraduate nursing students. College of Nursing, University of Wisconsin-Madison, U.S</td>
<td>Pre-test/post-test questionnaire</td>
<td>Significant increase in knowledge (F = 4.69, p &lt; .05) and attitude (F = 10.05, p &lt; .01) scores in the experimental group following intervention. Significant decrease in concerns about nursing dying patients (F = 5.93, p &lt; .05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallory</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>To evaluate the effect of a palliative care educational component on the attitudes toward care of the dying in undergraduate nursing students.</td>
<td>Undergraduate nursing students. Two state universities in the Appalachian Mountains, North Carolina, United States</td>
<td>Quasi experimental, longitudinal design was used with a pre-test, intervention and double post-test format.</td>
<td>Significant difference between measures of attitude toward care of the dying following intervention ( t = 3.85, p = .00).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study Title</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Mason & Ellershaw (2008) 30 | To examine a short programme of education and a placement in a specialist palliative care unit will have a significant effect on:  
• Perceived efficacy in caring for the dying patient  
• Perceived outcomes in caring for the dying patient | Fourth year medical undergraduates | University of Liverpool Medical School, UK | A pre- and post-survey was completed using a composite questionnaire, comparing two cohorts | Cohort 1 = 65%  
Cohort 2 = 69.5% | Statistically significant improvements in SEPC and TS scores for both cohorts (communication, cohort 1 t=-16.53/cohort 2 t=-34.74, patient management, cohort 1 t=-22.19/cohort 2 t=-39.58, multidisciplinary team-working, cohort 1 t=-15.72/cohort 2 t=-26.14, Thanatophobia, cohort 1 z=-7.6/cohort 2 z=10.33). |
| Mason & Ellershaw (2010) 31 | To examine a short programme of education and a placement in a specialist palliative care unit will have a significant effect on:  
• Perceived efficacy in caring for the dying patient  
• Perceived outcomes in caring for the dying patient | Undergraduate nursing students | University of Liverpool Medical School, UK | A pre- and post-survey was completed using a composite questionnaire  
A purposively selected Focus group | 64% | Significant improvements following intervention in perceived efficacy (communication t=-16.41, p=0.001; patient management t=-22.31, p=0.001; multidisciplinary teamwork t=-15.56, p<0.001); and Thanatophobia (z=-7.51, p<0.001). |
| Mooney (2005) 36 | To examine the effectiveness of a death education program in reducing death anxiety | Undergraduate medical undergraduates | Griffith University Gold Coast Campus | Pre-post test. | 90% | Decrease noted in death anxiety following intervention based on observed differences in mean scores. (No inferential statistical test results presented). |
| Schwartz, Clive, Mazor, Ma, Reed & Clay (2005) 32 | To evaluate the effectiveness of two validated measures in detecting attitude change caused by two EOL curricula: a year-long Elective and a day-long Inter-Clerkship for medical undergraduates | BSN nursing students | University of Massachusetts Medical School | A case control design and a one group pre-test/post-test design. | Elective = 53%  
Interclerkship = 90% | Post-elective there was less concern about working with dying patients (adjusted change score -0.45, p<0.0001) and decrease in general concern (adjusted change score -0.17, p=0.10).  
Following the interckerkship students’ patient based concerns about death decreased (t=-1.96, p=0.054). No statistically significant changes seen in spiritual beliefs or general concerns about death, or in the valuation of closure or clinical palliative care. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thompson (2005)</th>
<th>To assess the degree of change in comfort level that students experienced during the time that they participated in an EOL course</th>
<th>Nursing Students</th>
<th>Jefferson College of Health Sciences, Roanoke, Va.</th>
<th>A questionnaire was completed on the final day of class</th>
<th>100%</th>
<th>Increase in average comfort scores from 4.8/10 pre-intervention to 7.5 indicating nearly a 30% improvement.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velayudhan, Ollapally, Upadhyaya, Nair &amp; Aldo (2004)</td>
<td>To evaluate the effectiveness of a newly introduced palliative care training course through evaluation of student’s knowledge of care.</td>
<td>Third year nursing students, 4th year medical students</td>
<td>St John’s National Academy of Medical Sciences, Bangalore, India</td>
<td>Pre-test, post-test one and post-test two with questionnaires</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Improvement seen in knowledge scores following intervention (9.08 to 10.43, p&lt;0.05). Post-test two scores not significantly different from the pre-test (8.43) or control group (8.36).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2

### Table 1: Characteristics of adopted measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Psychometric Properties</th>
<th>Example Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arber (2001)</td>
<td>Palliative Care Quiz for Nurses (PCQN)</td>
<td>20 items&lt;br&gt;Domains:&lt;br&gt;• Philosophy and principles of palliative care&lt;br&gt;• Management of pain and other symptoms&lt;br&gt;• Psychosocial and spiritual care of individuals and families</td>
<td>Developed by Ross, McDonald &amp; McGuinness 37 informed by the work of Dieckmann et al 76 and Pratt et al 77 in Alzheimer’s disease knowledge testing.</td>
<td>Internal consistency: Utilising the Kuder-Richardson (KR-20) formula for dichotomous variables: .78 Ross, McDonald &amp; McGuinness 37</td>
<td>1. Palliative care is only appropriate in situations where there is evidence of irreversible deterioration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwekkeboom, Vahl, Eland (2005)</td>
<td>Palliative Care Quiz for Nurses (PCQN)</td>
<td>Concept: nurses level of palliative care knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Test-retest reliability .56 Ross, McDonald &amp; McGuinness 37</td>
<td>2. The analgesic effect of other opioids is measured against that of morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: student nurses</td>
<td>Target population: student nurses</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. The extent of the disease determines the methods of pain management.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrere, Durkin &amp; LaCoursiere (2008)</td>
<td>Frommelt Attitudes toward Care of the Dying Scale for nurses (FATCOD)</td>
<td>30 items&lt;br&gt;Domains:&lt;br&gt;• Attitudes towards patient&lt;br&gt;• Attitudes towards family members</td>
<td>Developed by Frommelt 50. Informed by Wieczorek’s Attitude Towards Nursing Care of the Dying Child scale as cited in Ward and Fetler 78 personal experience and concerns expressed by nurses at workshops 61</td>
<td>Content validity index (CVI) was computed (1.00). Determination of interrater agreement was computed (0.98) 61 Test-retest reliability (n = 18) with scores compiled and then computed using Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Coefficient (r=94). Second test-retest conducted using sample of 30 (r=0.90) 61</td>
<td>4. Nursing care for the patient's family should continue throughout the period of grief and bereavement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallory (2003)</td>
<td>Frommelt Attitudes toward Care of the Dying Scale for nurses (FATCOD)</td>
<td>Concept: nurses’ attitudes toward caring for terminally ill persons and their families</td>
<td></td>
<td>Internal consistency score (alpha coefficient) 0.89 79</td>
<td>5. I would not want to be assigned to care for a dying person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: student nurses</td>
<td>Target population: student nurses</td>
<td></td>
<td>6. The nurse should not be the one to talk about death with the dying person.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Neimeyer and Moore 44  
Cronbach’s α of .65 to .82 for eight subscales test-retest (3 week period) .61 to .81 80  
1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I am afraid of dying very slowly.  
2 3 4 5  2. I dread visiting a funeral home.  
1 2 3 4 5  3. I would like to donate my body to science.  
1 2 3 4 5  4. I have a fear of people in my family dying. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
Neimeyer and Moore 44  
Cronbach’s α of .65 to .82 for eight subscales test-retest (3 week period) .61 to .81 80  
1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I am afraid of dying very slowly.  
2 3 4 5  2. I dread visiting a funeral home.  
1 2 3 4 5  3. I would like to donate my body to science.  
1 2 3 4 5  4. I have a fear of people in my family dying. |
| Hurtig & Stewin (1990) 25 | Confrontation-Integration of Death Scale (CIDS) | Developed by Klug 56  
Reformulated to Klug Death Acceptance Scale (KDAS) 81  
Test-retest reliability: confrontation items .59  
integration items .55  
Internal Consistency – (Kuder-Richardson-20 coefficients) of .81 and .85.  
Discriminant validity: Templar Death Anxiety Scale Confrontation -.11  
Integration .16 56 | 1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I avoid discussing death when the occasion presents itself.  
2. When possible, I will attend the funeral of a deceased friend.  
3. I enjoy life more as a result of facing the fact of death. |
| Hurtig & Stewin (1990) 25 | Confrontation-Integration of Death Scale (CIDS) | Developed by Klug 56  
Reformulated to Klug Death Acceptance Scale (KDAS) 81  
Test-retest reliability: confrontation items .59  
integration items .55  
Internal Consistency – (Kuder-Richardson-20 coefficients) of .81 and .85.  
Discriminant validity: Templar Death Anxiety Scale Confrontation -.11  
Integration .16 56 | 1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I avoid discussing death when the occasion presents itself.  
2. When possible, I will attend the funeral of a deceased friend.  
3. I enjoy life more as a result of facing the fact of death. |

### Note:
- **MFODS**: Multidimensional Fear of Death Scale
- **CIDS**: Confrontation-Integration of Death Scale
- **KDAS**: Klug Death Acceptance Scale

### Domains:
- **Fear of dying process**
- **Fear of the dead**
- **Fear of being destroyed**
- **Fear for significant others**
- **Fear of the unknown**
- **Fear of conscious death**
- **Fear for the body after death**
- **Fear of premature death**

### Scoring:
- 5-point Likert Scale

---

**Concept:** Emotional reactions to several facets related to death. **Target population:** Medical students and health care workers.

**Domains:**
1. Fear of dying process
2. Fear of the dead
3. Fear of being destroyed
4. Fear for significant others
5. Fear of the unknown
6. Fear of conscious death
7. Fear for the body after death
8. Fear of premature death

**Scoring:**
1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  

---

**Confrontation:** Death confrontation (the conscious contemplation of one’s death) and death integration (the positive emotional assimilation of the consequence of death confrontation).

**Integration:** Death integration (the positive emotional assimilation of the consequence of death confrontation).

**Domains:**
1. Death confrontation
2. Death integration

**Scoring:**
4 point Likert-type scale

---

**Developed by Hoelter:** Mean reliability coefficient = .75  
Neimeyer and Moore 44  
Cronbach’s α of .65 to .82 for eight subscales test-retest (3 week period) .61 to .81 80  
1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I am afraid of dying very slowly.  
2 3 4 5  2. I dread visiting a funeral home.  
1 2 3 4 5  3. I would like to donate my body to science.  
1 2 3 4 5  4. I have a fear of people in my family dying.  

---

**Developed by Klug:**  
Reformulated to Klug Death Acceptance Scale (KDAS) 81  
Test-retest reliability: confrontation items .59  
integration items .55  
Internal Consistency – (Kuder-Richardson-20 coefficients) of .81 and .85.  
Discriminant validity: Templar Death Anxiety Scale Confrontation -.11  
Integration .16 56  
1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I avoid discussing death when the occasion presents itself.  
2. When possible, I will attend the funeral of a deceased friend.  
3. I enjoy life more as a result of facing the fact of death.  

---

**Developed by Klug:**  
Reformulated to Klug Death Acceptance Scale (KDAS) 81  
Test-retest reliability: confrontation items .59  
integration items .55  
Internal Consistency – (Kuder-Richardson-20 coefficients) of .81 and .85.  
Discriminant validity: Templar Death Anxiety Scale Confrontation -.11  
Integration .16 56  
1 = Strongly agree  
2 = Mildly agree  
3 = Neither agree nor disagree  
4 = Mildly disagree  
5 = Strongly disagree  
1 2 3 4 5  1. I avoid discussing death when the occasion presents itself.  
2. When possible, I will attend the funeral of a deceased friend.  
3. I enjoy life more as a result of facing the fact of death.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitudes about Care at the End of Life questionnaire</th>
<th>Developed by Bradley, Cicchetti, Fried, Rousseau, Johnson-Hurzeler, Kasl et al.</th>
<th>Test-retest reliability, Cronbach’s α (.86). Factor analysis – 9/12 had loading &gt; 0.50. The results suggest 3 factors: Professional Responsibility, Perceived Efficacy of Hospice and Physician-Patient Communication. Modified version recorded Cronbach’s α &lt; .38 Time 1 &amp; Time 2</th>
<th>Physicians do not have a role in hospice care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kwekkeboom, Vahl, Eland (2005) 26</td>
<td>12-item Domains: • Role of healthcare professionals in caring for terminally ill • Views on palliative care • Views on clinician-patient communication</td>
<td>Scoring: 5-point Likert Scale (strongly disagree-strongly agree) Negative items reverse coded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target populations: physicians, nurses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy in Palliative Care Scale (SEPC)</td>
<td>Developed by Barrington &amp; Murrie 38</td>
<td>Cronbach's α of 0.84-0.85 recorded. Varimax rotated principal components analysis of the SEPC Scale suggested 3 distinct factors, as theoretically expected, with high factor loadings of 0.45-0.89 at pre- and post-test 30, 31</td>
<td>I would feel (very anxious to very confident) in relation to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason &amp; Ellershaw (2008) 30</td>
<td>Participants rate their confidence in performing practice based objectives on a 100 mm Visual Analogue Scale. 23-items in the three sub-scales assessing perceived efficacy.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Using the actual word cancer to impart the diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason &amp; Ellershaw (2010) 31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thanatophobia Scale</td>
<td>Developed by Merrill, Dales &amp; Thornby. 53</td>
<td>Internal consistency Cronbach's α 0.92-0.95 Principal components analysis of the Thanatophobia Scale unidimensional factor solution. Factor loadings of 0.60-0.81 at pre- and post-test. 30, 31</td>
<td>When patients begin to discuss death, I feel uncomfortable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thanatophobia Scale</td>
<td>7-item scale. Participants rate how much they agree / disagree with expressed negative attitudes on a 7-point likert scale. Greater cumulative scores indicate negative attitudes.</td>
<td></td>
<td>I don’t look forward to being the personal nurse for a dying patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: undergraduate medical students nursing students</td>
<td></td>
<td>Managing dying patients traumatizes me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooney (2005)</td>
<td>Revised Collett-Lester Fear of Death Scale</td>
<td>Designed to measure overt (conscious) death fear and anxiety. Four subscales containing seven items. Scoring on a 5-point scale ranging from 5 “very”, 4-3-2 “somewhat” and 1 “not” The circled scores are summed for each 7-item subscale.</td>
<td>Collett-Lester Fear of Death Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concept: overt (conscious) death fear and anxiety. Target population: adults &gt; 18 years.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Test-retest reliability: 0.85 death of self, 0.79 dying of self, 0.86 death of others 0.83 dying of others Split-half reliability - 0.91, 0.89, 0.72, and 0.87 Third Version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Consistency Cronbach α’s Death of Self, 0.91; Dying of Self, 0.92; Death of Others, 0.88; Dying of Others 0.92. Item-total correlations &gt; .47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2 Characteristics of author-developed instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Development Details</th>
<th>Reliability/Validity</th>
<th>Additional Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frommelt (2003)</strong> 35</td>
<td>Frommelt Attitudes toward Care of the Dying Scale (FATCOD, Form B)</td>
<td>30 items</td>
<td>Developed by Frommelt in 1988 and altered for study by Frommelt in 2003</td>
<td>Content validity index re-computed for altered tool using judges as participants. Interrater agreement of 1.00 was computed. Test-retest (.92).</td>
<td>1. Giving care to the dying person is a worthwhile experience. 2. Death is not the worst thing that can happen to a person. 3. I would be uncomfortable talking about impending death with the dying person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concept: attitudes of all students from a variety of programmes toward care of the terminally ill and their families.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: students from a range of programs of study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Domains: • Attitudes towards patient • Attitudes towards family members</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scoring: 5-point Likert Scale Made up of an equal number of positively and negatively worded items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kumar, Jim &amp; Sisodia (2011) 33</strong></td>
<td>Physical therapy in palliative care-knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and experiences scale (PTiPC-KABE Scale)</td>
<td>37 item self report measure collecting quantitative and qualitative data</td>
<td>Developed by Kumar, Jim &amp; Sisodia based on the Neonatal Palliative Care Attitudes Scale by Kain, Gardiner and Yates 83</td>
<td>Test-retest reliability (ICC=.80-.90) using 24 participants.</td>
<td>I feel a sense of personal failure when a patient dies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concept: palliative care knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and experiences</td>
<td>Domains: • Knowledge • Attitudes • Beliefs • Experiences of palliative care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: physical therapy students</td>
<td>Scoring: 5-point Likert-type scale (strongly disagree-strongly agree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kwekkeboom, Vahl, Eland (2005) 26</strong></td>
<td>Concerns about caring for dying patients questionnaire</td>
<td>6 item scale representing major areas of concern to nursing students.</td>
<td>Developed by Kwekkeboom, Vahl, Eland. Based on the major areas of concern to nursing student outlined by Milton 49</td>
<td>Content validity assessed by three palliative care experts (Kwekkeboom, pers. comm.) Time 1 Cronbach’s α .87 Time 2 Cronbach’s α .89</td>
<td>How concerned or worried are you about… 1. providing emotional support to grieving families 2. providing emotional support to dying patients 3. being able to provide postmortem care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concept: Concerns about caring for a palliative care population</td>
<td>Scoring on 4-point scale with 0 = not at all to 4 = very much so. Higher scores indicate more concern / worry.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target population:</td>
<td>Concept of a Good Death measure</td>
<td>Developed by</td>
<td>Item frequency distributions and Cronbach’s alpha’s (α= 0.75, 0.83, and 0.62). Moderately high stability over a 14-day retest period (ICC = 0.66, 0.83, and 0.70).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nursing students</td>
<td>Concept: subjective components of a good death</td>
<td>Schwartz, Mazor, Ma, Reed &amp; Clay (2005)</td>
<td>That family and doctors follow the person’s wishes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: medical undergraduates</td>
<td>Scoring: 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 “not necessary” to 4 “essential”.</td>
<td>That there be mental alertness until the end.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 descriptive statements assess three domains;</td>
<td>Developed by</td>
<td>That it be painless or largely pain-free.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Closure</td>
<td>Schwartz, Mazor, Rogers, Ma &amp; Reed,</td>
<td>Clinical Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Personal control</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Clinical criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scoring: 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 “not necessary” to 4 “essential”.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concerns about Dying (CD) instrument</td>
<td>10 descriptive statements assess three domains;</td>
<td>Test-retest reliability (τ = -0.84, 0.89, 0.83), internal consistency (α=0.73, 0.76, 0.85).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concept: comfort level in working with dying patients and general concerns about death.</td>
<td>• anxiety in dealing with death and/or dying patients:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target population: medical undergraduates</td>
<td>• general concern about death, spirituality,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 descriptive statements assess three domains;</td>
<td>• concerns about working with dying patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• anxiety in dealing with death and/or dying patients:</td>
<td>Scoring: 5-point Likert type scale ranging from 1 “disagree completely” to 5 “agree completely”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• general concern about death, spirituality,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• concerns about working with dying patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Item 7 Course approval rating</td>
<td>Developed by Mazor, Schwartz, &amp; Rogers 58</td>
<td>I get anxious or uncomfortable when I think about my own death.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I believe that my soul or spirit will continue after death.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spiritual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I am worried about how I will react emotionally to dying patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patient-related</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participants were asked to rate their comfort level based on a scale from 0 to 10 on which 0 = completely uncomfortable and 10 = completely comfortable.</td>
<td>No validation information provided.</td>
<td>At the beginning of this course I felt confident in my ability to care for a dying patient and his/her family.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 items included ratings of pre and post course confidence in:</td>
<td></td>
<td>At the conclusion of this course I felt confident in my ability to care for a dying patient and his/her family.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ability to care for dying patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ability to locate resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ability to handle emotions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Item 7 Course approval rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Velayudhan, Ollapally, Upadhyaya, Nair & Aldo (2004) | Palliative Care Knowledge Questionnaire | Medical student version: 20 items. Nursing student version: 15 items. Multiple-choice format: Domains assessed:  
- Pain and symptom assessment and treatment  
- Ethical and legal aspects of end of life care  
- Communication skills  
- Personal reflection  
- Psychosocial, spiritual and cultural aspects of death and dying  
- Working as part of an interdisciplinary team | Developed by Velayudhan, Ollapally, Upadhyaya, Nair & Aldo 29 | No validation information provided. | Sample question for nurses:  
The effectiveness of nurse – patient communication is best validated by:  
(a) Client’s feedback  
(b) health team conference  
(c) Patient’s physiologic adaptation  
(d) Medical assessment  
(e) Improvement in health.  
Sample question for medical students:  
Paracetmol is:  
a. Centrally acting  
b. Peripherally acting  
c. Anti-inflammatory  
d. a & b  
e. a & c |
Studies identified through searches
(n = 112)

Full text retrieved for more detailed evaluation
(n = 41)

Rejected at title/abstract stage
(n = 71)

Rejected (n = 27)
- Not undergraduates (16)
- Not education (5)
- Pre-1990s (1)
- Incorrect focus (e.g. evaluating course/course delivery) (5)

Studies meeting inclusion criteria and included in review
(n = 14)

Figure 1: Flow chart of the included literature.