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Debate and Dispute.

ACE Papers is a collection of working papers. An aim is to stimulate debate and dispute among teachers and those engaged in teacher education, and to provide a forum through which to receive feedback over one’s developing academic ideas.

Teacher Education sits in an uncomfortable position in regard to debate. It is publicly perceived to be both the way and the place that teachers learn how to get the stuff needed for the rest of their career. Just what that stuff is however is a matter of reoccurring discussions. Behind often emotionally loaded words about what should happen in teacher education, are competing philosophical positions over education itself. Various publications raise issues over the role and function of compulsory schooling and teachers, over the role and function of the teacher education process and the content that teachers should have or believe. The process of becoming and staying a teacher is experienced however individually and reflects that teachers' understanding of their role and influences their perceived effectiveness. Debate goes to the heart of the sociology of teacher education, although it is seldom expressed. Disputes are usually avoided because they pose a threat to the smooth functioning of an organisation, as well as the creation of future teachers. Those who do dispute generally leave moving to the safety of the outside.

A recent article by the libertarian Deborah Coddington about "teacher training" portrayed teacher education as being shallow, play way and non-academic, promoting state-sanctioned social liberal causes within the bums on seats, EFTS model of funding (Coddington 2001). The article attempted to make sense of the process but Coddington added very little that was new to the discussion. In all the confusion she uncovered there is not any real understanding of the competing and contradictory roles that teacher education is called by the state to perform. Teacher Education is simultaneously the maintainer of various existing traditions and the provider of the possibilities for the future, in the now thousands of different and autonomous schools. It is restricted by funding while being politically leg roped. Is teacher education about training or a wider consideration of education? For a professional is a continual tension.

Debate about teacher education invariably involves the teacher education curriculum. Helen Dixon, Ruth Williams and Ivan Snook in “Conflicting perceptions. Teachers: Technicians or Professionals?” in this edition use the student evaluations of two Education courses to discuss the theoretical approaches that under pin the Auckland College of Education B. Ed (Tchg) degree. They contend that teaching is a learned profession rather than a technical training.

Another part of the debate is the current circling issue over who is best to teach Maori and Pacifica children. In “They don’t look at me and say you’re a palagi” Vicki Carpenter, Colleen McMurchy-Pilkington and Sue Sutherland, report some of the findings of their work seeking to identify the elements of what makes a competent
teacher of low decile schools. They use Bourdieu’s concept of *habitus* as their explanatory tool and reveal how effective teachers are very much a matter of perception.

Roy Nash also considers Bourdieu, and suggests that possibly Bourdieu may be undergoing some self-revision. In his quest for “The Real Bourdieu”, Nash has some quite telling criticisms of the received wisdom of sociology of education for teachers. Teachers are actively engaged in social reproduction, and Bourdieu’s recent ideas offer some possibility of finding a framework for understanding.

Kathryn Scantlebury, Elizabeth McKinley and Joce Jesson attempt to look critically at the social role that science education plays using concepts drawn from Edward Said’s post-colonialism. They suggest that strategies promoted for an equitable science education for minority groups, may in effect be creating a new form of a colonised ‘Other’ by not making conscious the social structures of which science education is a part.

Jill Smith raises questions about Multi-culturalism and Bi-culturalism for Art Education especially over the role that Pakeha Art teachers have to consider in implementing a mandated curriculum supporting Maori Art.

Teacher Education does not however end at graduation. Teachers education occurs throughout their career as Professional Development. Courses are run to impart teacher knowledge. Glynn Lorrigan and Fran Baker raise some important questions over the site of where that professional development takes place. Should it be the school or a tertiary institution?

Teaching processes in Senior Biology was the subject of a research project that Mavis Haigh uses to illustrate her discussion of interpretive case study as a research method.

Brent Mawson completes this issue of ACE papers with two papers that examine “Technological Literacy in Young Children”. The first looks at the issues over technology literacy for young children while the second paper reports on the young children’s understandings.

Ideas need to be continually tested and challenged. Disagreement is a necessity of freedom. I commend the various papers in this issue of ACE papers to you and hope that you as reader will offer the various authors the challenge of a dispute over their opinions or findings.
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