

http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <u>http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</u>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

"THE EFFECT OF COULOMB DAMPING ON SINGLE AND MULTIDEGREE OF FREEDOM STRUCTURES"

Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

- at the -

School of Engineering University of Auckland New Zealand

- by -

RONALD L. MAYES, B.E. (Civil)(Hons.)

September, 1972

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work described in this thesis was carried out at the University of Auckland, School of Engineering, under the supervision of Professor N.A. Mowbray, Head of the Department of Civil Engineering. His guidance, encouragement and many helpful suggestions in the preparation of this work are sincerely appreciated.

The patience and understanding shown by the author's wife during the course of this work, are greatly appreciated. Also the encouragement of the author's parents during his academic career are very much appreciated.

Most sincere thanks are due to the Departmental Secretary, Mrs. G. Margetts, for her tireless effort which has enabled the work to be completed on time, and for her care and thoroughness in setting out and typing the text.

Thanks are also due to the author's fellow research students of the S.S.D.C. for their stimulating and interesting discussions during the course of the work.

Finally, the author would like to gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance given him by the University Grants Committee in the form of a Post-Graduate Scholarship.

ABSTRACT

This study compares the effects of viscous and coulomb damping on the dynamic response of single and multidegree of freedom structures.

It was found that coulomb damping was less effective than viscous damping in reducing the steady-state resonant amplitude of all non-linear structures when the steady-state amplitude was greater than two. Also, there is no single, simple relationship relating the amount of viscous damping to coulomb damping for equal resonant steady-state response of non-linear structures.

For the earthquake excitation of the single-degree-of-freedom structure it was found that coulomb damping was less effective than viscous damping in reducing the velocity and displacement spectral response values for short period structures whereas for longer period structures coulomb damping was much more effective than viscous damping. Both forms of damping had a paradoxical effect on the acceleration response of non-linear structures in that an increase in damping generally causes an increase in the acceleration response.

The closed solution of a multidegree of freedom structure with viscous and coulomb damping subjected to a sinusoidal forcing function is derived and used to obtain approximate values of viscous and coulomb damping from small amplitude vibration tests. It is clear from the results obtained that if coulomb damping is present in small amplitude vibrations of a structure then the concept of equivalent viscous damping will result in the structure being overdamped when subjected to an earthquake ground motion.

CONTENTS

Page

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | |
|------------------|---|------|
| ABSTRACT | | ii |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2 | DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF SINGLE-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM OSCILLATORS | |
| | SUBJECTED TO SINUSOIDAL EXCITATION | 9 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 9 |
| 2.2 | Skeleton Curves | 9 |
| 2.3 | Hysteresis Loops | 17 |
| 2.4 | Properties of Hysteretic Behaviour | . 17 |
| 2.5 | Properties of the Ramberg-Osgood Hysteresis Loops | 20 |
| 2.6 | Solution of the Basic Ramberg-Osgood Equation | 21 |
| 2.7 | Steady-State Response by Energy Method | 22 |
| 2.7.1 | Energy Dissipated per Cycle | 22 |
| 2.7.2 | Energy Input Per Cycle | 29 |
| 2.7.3 | Amplitude of Non-Linear Steady-State Response | 30 |
| 2.7.4 | Accuracy of the Energy Method in the Determination of the | |
| | Resonant Steady-State Amplitude | 38 |
| 2.8 | Steady-State Oscillations by the Method of Slowly Varying | |
| | Parameters | 39 |
| 2.8.1 | Introduction | 39 |
| 2.8.2 | Equations of the Response Curves | 39 |
| 2.8.3 | Evaluation of $S(x_0)$ and $C(x_0)$ for a Bilinear-Hysteretic | |
| | Force Deflection Relationship | 44 |
| 2.8.4 | Evaluation of $S(x_0)$ and $C(x_0)$ for a Ramberg-Osgood Force- | |
| | Deflection Relationship | 47 |
| 2.9 | Frequency Response Curves | 52 |
| 2.9.1 | Verification of the Response Curves | 61 |
| 2.10 | Infinite Response at Resonance | 68 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESPONSE OF SINGLE-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM OSCILLATORS SUBJECTED | |
| | TO EARTHQUAKE MOTION | 73 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 73 |
| 3.2 | A Class of Non-Linear Structures | 73 |
| 3.3 | Application of Hysteretic Formulation to the Ramberg-Osgood | |
| | Structure | 79 |

Page

| 3. | 4 Solution of the Single-Degree-of-Freedom Equation of | |
|---------|--|-----|
| | Motion by the Exact Method | 84 |
| 3. | 5 Computation of Spectra | 99 |
| 3. | 6 The Computer Programs | 100 |
| 3. | 7 Errors in Spectra Calculations | 108 |
| 3. | 8 Accuracy of the Computer Programs RMBH1 and ROSO3 | 112 |
| 3. | 9 The Energy Equation of a Non-Linear Structure Subjected | |
| | to an Earthquake | 120 |
| 3. | 10 Response of Various Non-Linear Structures to Earthquake | |
| | Excitation | 123 |
| 3. | 11 Presentation and Discussion of Results | 124 |
| CHAPTER | 4 THEORY OF STRUCTURAL TESTING | 155 |
| 4. | | 163 |
| 4. | | 165 |
| 4. | | 167 |
| | | |
| 4. | | 170 |
| | Damping Only 5 Forced Excitation of Pure Natural Modes, by Synchronized | 210 |
| 4. | Vibration Excitaters Acting on Only One Mass of a System | |
| | | 172 |
| | with Viscous Damping | 212 |
| 4. | 6 Steady-State Amplitude of a Single-Degree-of-Freedom System | 173 |
| | with Coulomb Damping that Lags the Velocity of the System | 175 |
| 4. | 7 Forced Excitation with Synchronized Vibration Exciters Acting | |
| | on Only One Mass of a System with Viscous and Coulomb | 178 |
| ÷ | Damping | 1/0 |
| 4. | 8 Determination of the Stiffness and Viscous Damping Matrix | 183 |
| | from Experimentally Determined Modal Properties | 105 |
| 4. | .9 Finding the Natural Frequencies and Mode Shapes from | 191 |
| | known System Properties | 191 |
| | 10 Determination of Viscous Damping from Experimental Results | 194 |
| 4. | .11 Determination of Viscous and Coulomb Damping from Experi- | 201 |
| | mental Results | 201 |
| CHAPTER | 5 EXPERIMENTAL AND TEST RESULTS | 204 |
| 5 | 1 Introduction | 204 |
| 5 | .2 Solution of the Equation of Motion of an Elastic Multidegree | |
| | of Freedom Structure | 204 |
| 5 | .3 Nielson's Experimental Test Results | 210 |

| 5.4 | Determination of the Stiffness Matrix From the Experimental | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Results | 217 |
| 5.5 | Natural Frequencies and Mode Shapes Determined from the | |
| | Model of the Structure | 220 |
| 5.6 | Determination of the Viscous Damping Matrix | 223 |
| 5.7 | Computer Programs | 226 |
| 5.8 | Determination of the Accuracy of the Computer Programs | |
| | EMD01 and EMS01 | 230 |
| 5.9 | Tests of the Closed Solution of a Structure with Coulomb | |
| | Damping Subjected to a Sinusoidal Forcing Function | 232 |
| 5.10 | Determination of Approximate Values of Viscous and Coulomb | |
| | Damping From Small Amplitude Vibration Tests | 237 |
| 5.11 | Response of a Multidegree of Freedom Structure with Viscous | |
| | and Coulomb Damping Subjected to an Earthquake | 241 |
| CHAPTER 6 | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 243 |
| APPENDIX 1 | | |
| A.1.1 | IBM 1130 Computer System | 247 |
| A.1.2 | Program RMBH1 | 247 |
| A.1.3 | Program ROSO3 | 263 |
| A.1.4 | Program EMDO1 | 274 |
| A.1.5 | Program EMSO1 | 286 |
| A.1.6 | Program PHLAG | 296 |
| A.1.7 | Program COUPL | 296 |
| A.1.8 | Program MODAL | 296 |
| A.1.9 | Program FOPY | 307 |
| A.1.10 | Programs SVPAR and SVPRO | 307 |

Page