



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

### *ResearchSpace@Auckland*

#### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

#### **General copyright and disclaimer**

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS

AND

SHEAR LIP SIZE

THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

AT THE

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND

NEW ZEALAND

BY

MAN-ON LAI

1977

## ABSTRACT

The plane strain fracture toughness of a high strength low alloy steel, En 25, tempered from the as-quenched state to 650°C, and a high strength aluminium alloy, 7075-T6, have been determined using compact tension specimens of varying thicknesses from 4 to 25mm. The size of the shear lips,  $B_{SL}$ , measured at the free surfaces of the specimens was found to be independent of the specimen thickness and to be related to the true plane strain fracture toughness,  $K_{IC}$ , through the expression

$$B_{SL} = 0.41 ( K_{IC} / \sigma_{ys} )^{2.02},$$

where  $\sigma_{ys}$  is the 0.2% proof stress of the material. A rationale for this behaviour is that  $B_{SL}$  is approximately equal to the size of the plane stress plastic zone,  $r_y$ , at the surfaces of a plate specimen, which from theoretical analysis, has been shown to be

$$r_y = \frac{\pi}{8} ( K_{IC} / \sigma_{ys} )^2.$$

The ASTM standard plane strain fracture toughness test method has been proven to be insensitive to detect excess yielding in the specimens tempered at temperatures higher than 450°C. At high temps, yielding fracture mechanics approaches were used to determine the true  $K_{IC}$  values.

The fracture toughness versus shear lip size relationship is believed to have considerable importance in the analysis of service failures and in the preliminary study of specimen size effect in plane strain fracture toughness determination.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work described in the present thesis was carried out at the School of Engineering, University of Auckland, under the supervision of Associate-Professor W.G. Ferguson.

Sincere thanks are expressed to the following:

Associate-Professor W.G. Ferguson for his continual supervision, help, valuable suggestions, patience and encouragement throughout the research.

Professor A.L. Titchener for his guidance, interest and advice during the sabbatical leave of Associate-Professor W.G. Ferguson.

Dr N.E. Clark for helpful advice and discussion.

The technical staff of the School of Engineering especially Messrs J. Brown, R. Haines, B. Craig and P. Selby for their technical competence, Messrs D. Stringer, W. Laan and E. Sinclair for their assistance with the use of equipment in the research.

The New Zealand Pottery and Ceramics Research Association for the use of their M.T.S. testing equipment and the technical assistance of Messrs P.N. Thorby and D. Bridgeman.

The University Grants Committee and Lee's Foundation of Singapore for financial assistance.

The staff members who endeavoured to find means to finance the research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 FRACTURE TOUGHNESS - CONCEPT AND TESTING	4
2.1 Basic concept of fracture toughness	4
2.2 Effect of plastic yielding at crack tip	7
2.3 Effect of specimen thickness	9
2.4 Fracture toughness - thickness curve	14
2.5 Effect of test and metallurgical variables	18
2.5.1 Effect of temperature and strain rate	18
2.5.2 Effect of anisotropy	19
2.5.3 Effect of impurities	20
2.6 Shear lip	22
2.7 Standard plane strain fracture toughness testing	25
CHAPTER 3 CRACK TIP PLASTIC ZONE	29
3.1 Crack tip stress field	29
3.2 Crack tip plastic zone	30
3.3 Plastic zone size prediction	33
3.3.1 Dugdale Model	33
3.3.2 Bilby-Cottrell-Swinden Model	35
3.4 Plane strain plastic zone	36
3.5 Shape of plastic zone	37
3.6 Effect of plastic zone	46
CHAPTER 4 YIELDING FRACTURE MECHANICS	48
4.1 Introduction	48
4.2 Crack tip opening displacement	49
4.2.1 Concept of crack tip opening displacement	49
4.2.2 Crack tip opening displacement testing	51

	<u>page</u>	
4.3	The J-contour integral	53
4.3.1	Concept of the J-contour integral	53
4.3.2	J-contour integral testing	55
4.4	The equivalent energy method	57
4.4.1	Concept of the equivalent energy method	57
4.4.2	Equivalent energy concept testing	59
4.5	Conclusion	60
CHAPTER 5	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	62
5.1	Introduction	62
5.2	Material	62
5.2.1	Steel	62
5.2.2	Aluminium	64
5.3	Specimen geometry	64
5.4	Specimen preparation	66
5.4.1	Machining	66
5.4.2	Heat treatment	66
	5.4.2.1 Steel specimen	66
	5.4.2.2 Aluminium specimen	67
5.4.3	Fatigue cracking	68
5.5	Compact tension specimen testing	68
5.5.1	Clip gauge displacement measurement	68
5.5.2	Load measurement	70
5.5.3	Fracture test	71
5.5.4	Fracture toughness $K_{IC}$ calculation	71
5.5.5	Rejection criteria	73
5.5.6	$K_C$ calculation	74
5.6	Calculation of yielding fracture mechanics parameters from fracture test records	75
5.6.1	C.O.D. approach	75
5.6.2	J-integral approach	76
5.6.3	Equivalent energy approach	77
5.7	Measurement of C.O.D. and J-integral at crack initiation	77
5.7.1	Specimen testing	77

	<u>Page</u>
5.7.2 $\delta_i$ analysis	78
5.7.3 $J_{IC}$ analysis	79
5.8 Side-grooved specimen testing	79
5.9 Below room temperature fracture testing	80
5.10 Tensile testing	81
5.10.1 Specimen preparation	81
5.10.2 Tensile test	83
5.11 Shear lip size measurement	83
5.12 Hardness measurement	84
5.13 Micro-hardness measurement	85
5.14 Optical microscopy	85
5.15 Electron fractography	85
5.16 Specimen nomenclature	86
 CHAPTER 6	
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	88
6.1 Introduction	88
6.2 Tensile data	88
6.3 Fracture toughness data	94
6.3.1 Effect of tempering temperature	94
6.3.2 Effect of specimen thickness	97
6.3.3 Effect of specimen orientation	102
6.3.4 Effect of testing temperature	104
6.4 Error analysis	105
6.4.1 Tensile data	105
6.4.2 Fracture toughness data	105
6.5 Correlation of fracture toughness with shear lip size	106
6.6 Correlation of $K_{IC}$ with other parameters	108
6.6.1 Tensile data	108
6.6.2 Stretch zone	110
6.7 Fracture toughness from yielding fracture mechanics	112
6.7.1 C.O.D. at crack initiation	112
6.7.2 J-contour integral	113
6.8 Fracture toughness data from side-grooved compact tension specimen	114
6.9 Comparison of $K_{IC}$ with $K_{COD}$ , $K_J$ and $K_{EE}$	116
6.10 Fractography	119
6.10.1 Optical microscopic observations	119

	<u>Page</u>
6.10.2 Scanning electron microscopic observations	120
6.11 Metallography	126
6.12 Micro-hardness	127
CHAPTER 7 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	128
7.1 Introduction	128
7.2 Tensile properties	128
7.3 Fracture toughness data	131
7.3.1 Effect of tempering temperature	131
7.3.2 Effect of specimen thickness	138
7.3.3 Effect of anisotropy	146
7.3.4 Effect of alloy elements	152
7.3.5 Errors	153
7.4 Correlation between fracture toughness and shear lip size	154
7.4.1 Validity of fracture toughness data	157
7.4.2 Yielding fracture mechanics data	166
7.4.3 Side-grooved specimen data	170
7.4.4 $\delta_i$ and $J_{IC}$ data	170
7.4.5 Below ambient temperature testing data	173
7.4.6 Application	176
7.4.7 Possible limitations of $K_{IC}^B / B_{SL}$ relationship	179
7.5 Summarizing discussion	184
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS	187
CHAPTER 9 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE INVESTIGATIONS	191
APPENDIX A	193
APPENDIX B	198
APPENDIX C	199
APPENDIX D	200
APPENDIX E	201
APPENDIX F	202
APPENDIX G	203



	<u>Page</u>
APPENDIX H	204
APPENDIX I	206
APPENDIX J	207
APPENDIX K	216
APPENDIX L	219
APPENDIX M	221
APPENDIX N	222
APPENDIX O	224
REFERENCES	225