

# RESEARCHSPACE@AUCKLAND

## http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

### ResearchSpace@Auckland

### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <a href="http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback">http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</a>

# General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

# REVISIONING EVANGELICAL THEOLOGY: AN EXPLORATION, EVALUATION AND EXTENSION OF THE THEOLOGICAL METHOD OF STANLEY J. GRENZ

Brian S. Harris B.Soc.Sc B.Th B.Th(Hons) M.Th

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Theology, The University of Auckland, 2007

### **ABSTRACT**

In spite of the rapid growth of evangelicalism there is a paucity of reflection on its theological method. The transition from modernity to postmodernity, with the accompanying call for a postfoundationalist rather than a foundationalist method, has provided additional challenges to evangelicalism. Canadian theologian Stanley J. Grenz has proposed a model for evangelical theological construction that utilizes scripture, tradition and culture as the sources for theology, and the Trinity, community and eschatology as its focal motifs. He supplements these with the belief that the Spirit guides the church, and that the community of faith will therefore be pneumatologically guided as it communally attempts to discern truth in a changed context. Grenz believes that his theological method moves beyond foundationalism as it appeals to a trio of interacting sources, rather than to the single source of scripture.

In exploring and evaluating Grenz' theological method, this thesis tests the research proposition "that Stanley Grenz' theological method effectively revisions evangelical theology." To ascertain the validity of the proposition, it utilizes four evaluative questions which explore the originality, theological coherence, appropriateness and effectiveness of Grenz' method for evangelical theology. The application of his model in his text, *Welcoming but Not Affirming*, serves as a test case to determine the implications of his method.

Concluding that Grenz' model makes only a modest contribution towards revisioning evangelical theological method, the concluding chapters of the research explore ways to supplement Grenz' model to allow a stronger affirmation of the research proposition. Utilizing Wolterstorff's concept of control beliefs, it proposes that Grenz' model would be more effective if he added a control belief to guide his theological construction, and motivates for adopting the control belief *the gospel liberates*. In addition, it argues that Grenz' three focal motifs for theology need to be preceded by the gathering motif of the cross, arguing that if seen outside of this gathering motif, the motifs of Trinity, community and eschatology lack adequate substance.

Noting the often acrimonious context in which theological revisioning takes place, the research ends with a plea for the empowerment of imagination in theological construction.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have supported and encouraged me as I have worked on this research project. Special thanks are due to:

- My wife Rosemary, and our children Nick, Amy and Jett. They have coped with a husband and father who has been less present than he would have liked to be. They bring great joy into my life.
- 2) My supervisors, Dr Martin Sutherland and Dr Nicola Hoggard-Creegan. Their wise counsel, enthusiasm and confidence in this project have been a source of encouragement and inspiration. I have learnt much from them. Without them, this project would not have been possible.
- 3) My work colleagues at the Baptist Theological College of Western Australia. Especial thanks are due to Dr Michael Parsons, Head of the Department of Christian Thought and History at the College, for pointing me towards numerous articles and texts, and for arguing back in a way that helped me to clarify my thinking.
- 4) Staff at the Carey Baptist Theological College in Vancouver, Canada, for being willing to interact with me about the work of their late colleague, Stan Grenz. Especial thanks to Dr Jonathan Wilson, Grenz' successor at Carey, for his interest in this project.
- 5) The School of Theology at the University of Auckland, who have been efficient, courteous and helpful at every stage of this research journey. Their provision of a research grant was also most helpful.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAR	RT ONE: INTRODUCING THIS RESEARCH, EVANGELICALISM AND THE WORK OF STANLEY J.GRENZ	1
1,	Introductory Considerations 1.1 Why this Thesis? A Narrative Introduction 1.2 The Goals and Purpose of this Research 1.3 About Stanley J. Grenz 1.4 Research Proposition and Four Evaluative Questions 1.5 Research Method and Outline 1.6 Chapter Summary	2 2 6 8 12 17 22
2.	<ul> <li>Exploring Evangelical Theology</li> <li>2.1 Introduction</li> <li>2.2 The Growth of Evangelicalism</li> <li>2.3 Divisions within Evangelicalism</li> <li>2.3.1 Case Study 1: Changes to the Southern Baptist Convention's 'The Baptist Faith and Message'</li> <li>2.3.2 Case Study 2: The Attempt to Expel Clark Pinnock from the Evangelical Theological Society</li> <li>2.4 The Debate over the Historical Roots of Evangelicalism</li> <li>2.5 The Struggle to Articulate Evangelicalism's Characteristics and Theology</li> <li>2.6 Moving Beyond Modernity and Foundationalism: A Current Challenge for Evangelical Theological Method</li> <li>2.7 Chapter Summary</li> </ul>	23 24 26 26 30 32 36 42
3.	An Exploration of the Work of Stanley J. Grenz 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Towards Revisioning Evangelical Theology 3.3 Exploring Revisioning Evangelical Theology 3.4 Towards Theology for the Community of God 3.5 Theology for the Community of God 3.6 Grenz and Egalitarian versus Complementarian Views on the Role of Women 3.7 A Primer on Postmodernism 3.8 The Moral Quest 3.9 Sexual Ethics and Welcoming but Not Affirming 3.10 Renewing the Center 3.11 Beyond Foundationalism 3.12 The Matrix of Christian Theology 3.13 Chapter Summary  PART TWO: AN EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION OF THE THEOLOGICAL METHOD OF STANLEY J. GRENZ	48 48 53 58 69 70 73 75 77 79 80 83 84 85
4.	An Exploration and Evaluation of Grenz' Choice and Use of Scripture as a Source for Theology 4.1 Introduction 4.2 The Relationship Between the Sources of Theology, the Work of the Spirit and the Community of Faith 4.3 Scripture as Theology's Norming Norm 4.4 Responses to Grenz' Views of Scripture	88 88 90 91 104

		Evaluative Questions	115
	4.5.1	Does Grenz' revisioning of the role of scripture make an original	
	150	contribution to evangelical theological construction?	115
	4.5.2	Does Grenz' revisioning of the role of scripture reflect a	
	4.5.3	theological method that is coherent and credible?	119
	4.5.5	Does Grenz' revisioning of the role of scripture contribute to the revisioning of evangelical theology?	101
	4.5.4	Is Grenz' revisioning of the role of scripture effective?	121
	4.6 Conch	usion	122 124
			144
5.	An Exploi	ration and Evaluation of Grenz' Choice and Use of Tradition and	I
	Culture as	s Sources for Theology	125
		d Sola Scriptura	125
	5.2 Tradit	ion as Theology's Hermeneutical Trajectory	127
	5.3 Cultur	e as Theology's Embedding Context	136
	5.4 Scripti	ure, Tradition and Culture as Conversation Partners for the Spirit ed Community	
		Evaluative Questions	143
	5.5.1	Does Grenz' use of tradition and culture make an original	143
	5.5.1	contribution to evangelical theological construction?	144
	5.5.2	Does Grenz' use of tradition and culture as theological sources	144
		reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?	145
	5.5.3	Does Grenz' use of tradition and culture as sources in theological	143
		construction contribute to the revisioning of evangelical theology?	148
	5.5.4	Is Grenz' use of tradition and culture in theological construction	
		effective in revisioning evangelical theology?	149
	5.6 Conclu	ision	150
6.	An Evoler	ention and English Co. 100 to 127 and 127	
U.		ration and Evaluation of Grenz' Choice and Use of Focal Motifs gical Construction	4.50
	6.1 Introdu		152
	O. I IIILIOUI		150
			152
	6.2 The Tr	inity as Theology's Structuring Motif	153
	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motif unity as Theology's Integrative Motif ology as Theology's Orienting Motif	153 164
	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motif unity as Theology's Integrative Motif ology as Theology's Orienting Motif valuative Questions	153
	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original	153 164 173
	<ul><li>6.2 The Tr</li><li>6.3 Common</li><li>6.4 Eschate</li><li>6.5 Four E</li><li>6.5.1</li></ul>	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?	153 164 173
	<ul><li>6.2 The Tr</li><li>6.3 Common</li><li>6.4 Eschate</li><li>6.5 Four E</li></ul>	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological	153 164 173 178
	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Commo 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?	153 164 173 178
	<ul><li>6.2 The Tr</li><li>6.3 Common</li><li>6.4 Eschate</li><li>6.5 Four E</li><li>6.5.1</li></ul>	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision	153 164 173 178 179
	<ul> <li>6.2 The Tr</li> <li>6.3 Commod</li> <li>6.4 Eschate</li> <li>6.5 Four E</li> <li>6.5.1</li> <li>6.5.2</li> <li>6.5.3</li> </ul>	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?	153 164 173 178
	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Commo 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning	153 164 173 178 179 181
	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?	153 164 173 178 179 181 183
	<ul> <li>6.2 The Tr</li> <li>6.3 Commod</li> <li>6.4 Eschate</li> <li>6.5 Four E</li> <li>6.5.1</li> <li>6.5.2</li> <li>6.5.3</li> </ul>	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?	153 164 173 178 179 181
7.	<ul> <li>6.2 The Tr</li> <li>6.3 Commod</li> <li>6.4 Eschate</li> <li>6.5 Four E</li> <li>6.5.1</li> <li>6.5.2</li> <li>6.5.3</li> <li>6.5.4</li> <li>6.6 Conclusion</li> </ul>	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?	153 164 173 178 179 181 183
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclusting Grenz' Me	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifology as Theology's Orienting Motifivaluative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of	153 164 173 178 179 181 183
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclu Grenz' Me Grenz' Me to Homose:	cinity as Theology's Integrative Motifunity as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response examily	153 164 173 178 179 181 183
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Commo 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclu  Grenz' Me to Homose: 7.1 Introdu	cinity as Theology's Integrative Motifunity as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response examily ction	153 164 173 178 179 181 183 185 186
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclust Grenz' Meto Homoses 7.1 Introdu 7.2 Welcom	rinity as Theology's Integrative Motifunity as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response xuality etion  ming but Not Affirming	153 164 173 178 179 181 183 185 186
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclu  Grenz' Me Grenz' Me to Homose: 7.1 Introdu 7.2 Welcom 7.3 General	cinity as Theology's Integrative Motifunity as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  Sethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response exaction ming but Not Affirming  I Issues	153 164 173 178 179 181 183 185 186
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclu  Grenz' Me Grenz' Me to Homose: 7.1 Introdu 7.2 Welcom 7.3 Genera: 7.4 Method	rinity as Theology's Integrative Motifunity as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response examity ction  ming but Not Affirming  Issues  dological Issues	153 164 173 178 179 181 183 185 186 187 191 204 207
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclu  Grenz' Me Grenz' Me to Homose: 7.1 Introdu 7.2 Welcom 7.3 General 7.4 Method 7.4.1	rinity as Theology's Structuring Motifunity as Theology's Integrative Motifulative Actions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response xuality ction  ming but Not Affirming  Issues  lological Issues  Scripture	153 164 173 178 179 181 183 185 186 187 191 204 207 207
7.	6.2 The Tr 6.3 Common 6.4 Eschate 6.5 Four E 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.6 Conclu  Grenz' Me Grenz' Me to Homose: 7.1 Introdu 7.2 Welcom 7.3 Genera: 7.4 Method	rinity as Theology's Integrative Motifunity as Theology's Orienting Motifulative Questions  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs make an original contribution to evangelical theological construction?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs reflect a theological method that is coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' use of the three focal motifs genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' use of the three focal motifs effective in revisioning evangelical theology?  sion  ethod in Practice: An Exploration and Evaluation of the Use of ethod in Welcoming but Not Affirming: An Evangelical Response examity ction  ming but Not Affirming  Issues  dological Issues	153 164 173 178 179 181 183 185 186 187 191 204 207

	7.4.4 7.4.5 7.4.6 7.5 Four E 7.5.1	Trinity Community Eschatology valuative Questions Does Grenz' method as applied in <i>Welcoming but Not Affirming</i> make an original contribution to evangelical theological	213 215 217 220
	7.5.2	construction? Is Grenz' theological method, as applied in <i>Welcoming but Not</i>	220
	7.5.3	Affirming, coherent and credible?  Does Grenz' method, as reflected in Welcoming but Not Affirming	221
	7.5.4	genuinely revision evangelical theology?  Is Grenz' method, as applied in Welcoming but Not Affirming,	224
	7.6 Conclu	effective in revisioning evangelical theology?	225 226
PAI	RT 3: EXTE	ENDING THE THEOLOGICAL METHOD OF STANLEY J.	
		GRENZ: A CONSTRUCTIVE PROPOSAL	228
0			
8.	Beyond Gi	enz: Towards a Genuinely Revisioned Evangelical Theology	229
8.	8.1 Introdu		<b>229</b> 229
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Question	on One	
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates	229 231 231
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief <i>the gospel liberates</i> 1.1 Rationale for adopting <i>the gospel liberates</i> as a control belief	229 231 231 231
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2	or One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates	229 231 231 231 238
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2 8.2.2	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates Proposal Two: Adopt the cross as theology's gathering motif	229 231 231 231 238 245
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2 8.2.2 8.2.2	or One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates Proposal Two: Adopt the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.1 Rationale for adopting the cross as theology's gathering motif	229 231 231 231 238 245 245
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2 8.2.2 8.2 8.2 8.3 Questic	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates Proposal Two: Adopt the cross as theology's gathering motif	229 231 231 231 238 245 245 245
8.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2 8.2.2 8.2 8.3 Questic Space	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates Proposal Two: Adopt the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.1 Rationale for adopting the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.2 The cross and convertive piety In Two and the Embrace of Theological Imagination as a Creative	229 231 231 231 238 245 245 248
δ.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2 8.2.2 8.2 8.2 8.3 Questic	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates Proposal Two: Adopt the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.1 Rationale for adopting the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.2 The cross and convertive piety In Two and the Embrace of Theological Imagination as a Creative	229 231 231 231 238 245 245 245
9.	8.1 Introdu 8.2 Questic 8.2.1 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.3 Questic Space 8.4 Conclus	on One Proposal One: Adopt the control belief the gospel liberates 1.1 Rationale for adopting the gospel liberates as a control belief 1.2 Implications of adopting the control belief the gospel liberates Proposal Two: Adopt the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.1 Rationale for adopting the cross as theology's gathering motif 2.2 The cross and convertive piety In Two and the Embrace of Theological Imagination as a Creative	229 231 231 231 238 245 245 248

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary of findings from four evaluative questions

233

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

BFM: The Baptist Faith and Message ETS: Evangelical Theological Society

UFMCC: Universal Fellowship of Metropolitan Community Church

### CONVENTIONS FOLLOWED

**Apostrophe s after z:** This most frequently applies to Grenz' name. In this research the s is not added unless material is being quoted, in which case the decision of the original author is retained.

**Bibliography:** I have limited myself to works actually cited in this research. While it would have been possible to list literally hundreds of additional texts and articles that have in some way been formative for this project, it is not possible to be fully objective as to where such a record should start or end, so I have opted for the formula of listing only that which is referred to.

Bibliographic style: The Turabian Bibliographic style, as implemented by Endnote 7, is used.

Capitalization: The capitalization of certain terms (e.g. scripture) is discretionary. Where discretionary, capitals are not used in this research unless material is being quoted, in which case the decision of the original author is retained.

Gender inclusive language: Where practical, gender inclusive language is used. While recognising the dangers of ascribing gender to God, the schema of Father, Son and Holy Spirit is followed, rather than alternate suggestions (e.g. Creator, Redeemer and Sanctifier). Where material is quoted, the decision of the original author is retained.

Quotations: Quotations of 40 words or longer are indented.