



Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library

[Thesis Consent Form](#)

A Public Silence

Discursive Practices Surrounding Homosexuality
in Public Mental Health Services
in Aotearoa/New Zealand

David Semp

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology
The University of Auckland
2006

Considerable research points to an elevated prevalence of mental health problems and suicide for men who have sex with men (MSM). Yet there is little research on how public mental health services (PMHS) does, or could, address the needs of MSM. When such literature does appear, a common suggestion is that queer staff will be necessary to improve PMHS for MSM through a process of ‘matching’. Yet, no research has specifically explored the views of queer staff or MSM clients on this. Further, a positivist trend within the existing literature contains individualising and essentialising assumptions that limit our understanding of relationships between MSM and PMHS. Adopting a critical social constructionist perspective, I argue that Foucauldian theory and its analysis of the relationships between discourses, power, and subjectivity, enables research to focus on the social and structural processes constructing mental health care for MSM. This thesis explores the discursive construction of the relationships between MSM and PMHS, and the implications of this for practice.

My analysis begins by explicating commonly circulating discourses of homosexuality, and of mental health, in New Zealand. These discourses are evidenced in (but not limited to) academic literature, governmental documents, and queer and mainstream media. They provide a framework for the analysis of interviews with 12 queer staff and 13 MSM clients of PMHS. The analyses illustrate the multiple discourses informing the MSM’s subjectivities as homosexuals and show the predominant discourses they draw on to account for their mental health problems. I suggest the term ‘homonegative trauma’ to denote this. Analyses of the staff and clients’ accounts around the ‘disclosure’ of homosexuality within PMHS reveal discursive power relations which restrain staff, and some clients, from acknowledging homosexuality.

I consider two strategies for disrupting this heteronormative silence within PMHS. I contend that the notion of ‘matching’ queer clients and staff is a minoritising one, with limited ability to counter heteronormativity. In contrast, a universalising approach requires all staff to initiate conversations with all clients about sexuality. Making a comparison between staff inquiring about sexuality, and the currently recommended practice of staff

asking about sexual abuse, I argue that this analogy provides useful resources to support such a universalising move.

I conclude by arguing for systemic and structural changes in PMHS to support staff to routinely enquire about sexuality. If done with an awareness of the discursive complexity involved, such a shift has the potential to disrupt heteronormative practices within PMHS. My analysis suggests that the power of the medical discourse in particular, will be a significant restraint to such a change. However, if heteronormative practices within PMHS remain unchallenged they will continue to silence some MSM clients, thereby, maintaining the homonegative trauma described by most of the MSM clients interviewed. This would reproduce a tendency within the medical discourse to focus on individual pathology and to evade the ways in which social marginalisation and oppression can be constructive of mental health problems.

Acknowledgements

I now know, a PhD is as much about process as about content. I have been fortunate in having support for both. I wish to thank the many people who have sustained me in the construction of this PhD:

John Read, and Debbie Payne, have been fantastic supervisors, colleagues, and friends. Their willingness and ability to work together, with their different perspectives, has helped me to balance the tensions often present between discursive and ‘applied’ research. I also thank them both for their constant wisdom, support, and encouragement throughout the research.

To the 13 clients, and 12 staff, who participated in the interviews. Without them, this research would not have been possible. I realise that discourse analysis often presents accounts that differ from how participants might view their own comments. Yet I hope that my analyses adhere to my declared intention to work towards queer-affirmative practices within public mental health services.

The many organisations, and individuals, within the wider queer communities, who in various ways enabled the research to take place. In particular, to the Burnett Centre of the New Zealand Aids Foundation, for agreeing to offer support to any participants who may have wanted it as a result of participating in the research.

Barbara Grant, has been a wonderful friend, academic mentor, and PhD companion. She has contributed greatly to my formation as a writer. I have many fond memories of shared PhD weekends in Waipu, filled with conversation, laughter, good food and wine, and of course, much writing.

Todd Brackley, Bernadette Guerin, and Jin Tai have engaged in much talking, reading and considerable encouragement. Over the last two years, Bernadette became a constant PhD buddy through our writing days on Thursdays and Fridays.

Jennifer Howarth, who through many sustaining conversations helped me navigate the various ways in which this research intersected multiple aspects of my subjectivity.

Two PhD writing groups - in the early days, Mei Lin Hansen, Lyn Lavery, Helen Mavoa, Barbara Grant. Then Debbie Payne, Melissa Spence, Rain Lamdin, and Bernadette Guerin. These groups provided opportunities to discuss the process of being a PhD student, grapple with theory, and provide each other with feedback on writing.

The Critical Psychology Group of the University of Auckland - thanks to Nicola Gavey and Virginia Braun for allowing me to intermittently attend the group and participate in the interesting discussions within it.

Auckland District Health Board, and Waitemata District Health Board, for granting me study leave to conduct this PhD. To both of these boards, and to Counties Manukau District Health Board for allowing the involvement of staff and clients within their respective mental health services.

To my colleagues and friends at St Lukes Community Mental Health Centre, who over the last four years have continually supported me and my research – Ruth Allen, Mike Batcheler, Annie Manning, Sara Nevezie, and Leigh Westgate. Also to Dave Graham, who has been a most supportive manager.

To Queer Rigour, a consultation group of gay therapists, for their continued interest and encouragement – Gavin Stansfield, David Thomson, Dale Wiren, and Jeremy Younger.

The Psychology Department of the University of Auckland, and the Postgraduate Research Fund, for supporting my attendance at conferences. I am also grateful to the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology for awarding me a Top Achiever Doctoral Scholarship.

My friends, and family, for their ongoing encouragement and support, and for accepting my often putting my PhD first. In particular, to Ernie Barrington, Liadan Cotter, Kirsten Van Kessel, Elisa Lavelle, David Petherbridge, Leena St Martin, Janet-Mae Stratford, and Dale Wiren.

Finally, to Jin Tai who, along with Toby, has accompanied and supported me in more ways than I could have hoped for through this long journey. I am truly grateful.

Table of Contents

Preface: <i>Noticing a Silence</i> Homosexuality in Public Mental Health Services.....	1
PART ONE: APPROACHES TO UNDERSTANDING HOMOSEXUALITY AND MENTAL HEALTH.....	
3	
Chapter One: <i>A Troubling Absence</i> A Critical Review of Research on Mental Health and Mental Health Services for MSM.....	5
DSM and the ‘Science’ of Pathology and Justice.....	6
A New Alliance: Lesbian and Gay Psychology.....	11
A Private Affair: Research on Queer Clients’ Experiences of Mental Health Services.....	16
A Liberal and Private Science: Limitations of Research on PMHS and Queer People	28
Chapter Two: <i>Queer ‘Truths’</i> Choosing Theory for Studying ‘Queerness’ and Madness’.....	35
Social Constructionism: The relational construction of ‘truths’	37
Homosexuality and ‘Mental Illness’ as Social Constructions.....	38
Individual Experience and Change in Social Constructionism	40
Constructing Queer Knowledges	48
The Politics of Using Social Constructionist Theory: ‘If it is constructed then it isn’t real’.	49
Which Type of Discourse Analysis?.....	54
PART TWO: METHODS.....	
57	
Chapter Three: <i>Queer Positions</i> Negotiating Relationships and Analysing Discourse	59
Choosing Texts and Desiring Numbers: A Multi-Method Approach	60
‘Risk’ and ‘Safety’: A Queer Orientation to Research.....	62
Establishing Relationships and Negotiating Multiple Positionings	69
Preparing Texts for Analysis	80
Doing Foucauldian Discourse Analysis	81
Challenging Practice by Producing Local and Partial Knowledges	83
PART THREE: EXPLICATING DISCOURSES OF HOMOSEXUALITY AND OF MENTAL HEALTH	
87	
Chapter Four: <i>What are Ya?</i> Discourses of Homosexuality in Aotearoa/New Zealand.....	89
Heteronormativity.....	91
One: Equal Rights Discourse – “2-4-6-8 Gay is just as good as straight”	93
Two: Pathological Discourse – Homosexuality as sickness.....	99

Three: The Conservative Discourse – ‘What they do in private is their own business’	103
Four: Liberation Discourse – ‘Stick it in your ear!’	108
Five: Christian Supremacist Discourse – Homosexuality as ‘sin’	112
Six: Takatāpui Discourse – A Māori Discourse of Homosexuality	116
Seven: Queer Discourse – ‘We’re here, we’re queer, get used to it.’	120
So, ‘What are Ya?’	126
Chapter Five: <i>Cultures, Minds, and Bodies</i> Discourses of ‘Mental Illness’ in New Zealand	127
One: The Medical Discourse – The Gaze that Heals	128
Two: The Psy Discourse – Creating and Maintaining the Norm	134
Three: Critical Discourse of Mental Health – Constructions of Disorder	140
Four: Whare Tapa Wha: A Māori Discourse of Mental Health	148
The Dis-ordering of Discourses	153
Chapter Six: <i>Uneasy Companions</i> Relationships between Discourses of Mental Health	155
A Tale of Two Psy’s: The relationship between psychiatry and the psy-therapies.	155
Doctors First: The Biopsychosocial Model	158
Discourses of Mental Health in New Zealand Mental Health Policy	162
Oppression, Colonisation, Distress, and Illness: Competing Discourses of Mental Health	168
PART FOUR: ANALYSIS OF INTERVIEWS WITH QUEER STAFF AND MSM CLIENTS	169
Chapter Seven: <i>Contested Identities</i> MSM Talk about Sexual Orientation and Mental Health	171
Sticks and Stones may break my bones but names – well that’s another story	172
‘Just sex’ or something more meaningful?	176
‘It’s equality, Jim, but not as we know it ... ’	180
Making Sense of Distress: MSM talk about mental health	184
Summary: Ways of Speaking - Identities and Problems	197
Chapter Eight: <i>A Public Silence</i> Staff Constructing Invisibility for MSM Clients	201
DSM: Prioritising Bodies and Symptoms before Contexts and Meanings	203
To Ask or Not to Ask? That is the Question	208
Summary: A Continuing Silence	216
Chapter Nine: <i>Queer on the Inside</i> MSM clients inside PMHS	219
‘Coming Out’ Inside PMHS: Conditions of Visibility for MSM Clients	220
Assertive Voices	223
Complexities of Disclosure	225
Silent Voices: Their Construction and Effects	231
‘Gaydar’: Behind Enemy Lines	235
Summary: Limited Visibility – Proceed with Caution	242

Chapter Ten: <i>A Queer Match</i> Relationships between Queer Staff and MSM	
Clients	245
A Perfect Match: A Fairy Tale about Queer Clients and Queer Staff.....	246
Gaps in the Match: A More Complicated Story about Queer Clients and Queer Staff	254
Summary: Private Queers and Public Fears - Far From a Perfect Match	270
Chapter Eleven: <i>'Out There but Not In Your Face'</i> Questioning Heterosexuality in PMHS.....	273
Questioning Heterosexuality	273
Mainstreaming Homosexuality.....	275
Advancing Homosexuality.....	278
'Out There' but 'Not in Your Face': Staff Initiating Conversations about Sexuality.....	282
'Special Treatment' or 'Best Practice'? Barriers and Strategies for Talking about Homosexuality.	293
A Queer Comparison: Sexual Abuse and Homonegative Trauma.....	296
Summary: Assessing Heteronormative Trauma by Questioning Heterosexuality	302
 PART FIVE: IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH, POLICY, AND PRACTICE	 305
Chapter Twelve: <i>Starting Queer Conversations</i>	307
Research Limitations and Possibilities	307
Maintaining Heteronormative Silence: Staff	309
Resisting Heteronormative Silence: Clients.....	310
Matching: A Marginalising Possibility for Change	312
Questioning Heterosexuality: A Universalising Possibility for Change	314
Discourse, Power, and Reform	316
Implications for Policy, Training and Practice.....	318
 Appendix 1: Questionnaire Speak Your mind.....	 325
Appendix 2: Interview Guidelines Clients	329
Appendix 3: Interview Guidelines Staff.....	333
Appendix 4: Brochure Adult Clients.....	335
Appendix 5: Brochure Staff.....	337
Appendix 6: Participant Information Clients/Ex-clients.....	339
Appendix 7: Resource Sheet LGBT Support Services	343
Appendix 8: Brochure Youth Clients	345
Appendix 9: Initial Information Staff	347
Appendix 10: Participant Information Clinical Staff	349

Appendix 11: Consent Form Clients/Ex-clients	353
Appendix 12: Consent Form Staff.....	355
Appendix 13: Reflective Review for Interviews.....	357
References	325

Preface:

Noticing a Silence Homosexuality in Public Mental Health Services

At various times in my life I have identified with (amongst other things) the terms 'homosexual', 'poof', 'gay', and more recently, in certain contexts, 'queer'. These varying labels represent how multiple discourses of homosexuality have been implicated in the production of my subjectivity and identity. For much of my adult life I have been strongly positioned by the equal rights discourse of homosexuality and its call for 'out', visible, and proud lesbian and gay people.

Consequently, when I graduated as a clinical psychologist my first job was as a designated gay counsellor within an alcohol and other drugs agency. An additional role of mine was that of 'gay community project worker'. The goal of the project was to reduce harm in the lesbian and gay community related to alcohol and other drugs (Semp & Madgeskind, 2000). I still recall being amazed and excited that a health care agency was being so proactive in inviting lesbian and gay people into their services. Accordingly, within my roles there I participated in, and was witness to, many queer conversations.

After three years I moved into public mental health services (PMHS), my current occupation, where I have worked in two different agencies for over seven years. In contrast to my time in alcohol and drug services, I became aware of a relative absence of lesbian and gay clients, and of conversations about their particular mental health needs. Yet, I was aware of the growing literature on the elevated prevalence rates of suicide and other serious mental health problems amongst lesbian and gay people. It was my noticing of this silence that prompted this research. I wanted to explore what this silence might mean? What might construct it? What might be its effects? This thesis is such an exploration.