



Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library

[Thesis Consent Form](#)

**ELECTROLESS NICKEL-PHOSPHORUS (EN) COATINGS ON
MAGNESIUM AND MAGNESIUM ALLOYS**



Zhenmin LIU

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering
The University of Auckland, 2006

Abstract

Magnesium (Mg) and its alloys are being used as structural components in industries because of their high strength-to-weight ratio and relatively high stiffness. A shortcoming of Mg alloys is poor corrosion and wear resistance that have hindered its widespread applications. Corrosion can be minimized by using high purity alloys, rapid solidification, and surface treatment or coatings. Of these techniques, electroless nickel-phosphorus (EN) coating is one of the most effective methods to combat the problems. The objective of this research is to understand the relationships of processing, microstructure and properties of EN coatings on Mg alloys, and therefore to develop uniform, well-adhered, pore-free EN based coatings.

In the first stage of the work, three types of EN coatings, namely, low, medium, and high phosphorus, were deposited on Mg and its alloy substrates. In the second stage of this research, EN coatings containing superfine Al_2O_3 particles and a novel plasma electrolysis assisted EN plating processing were developed on Mg alloys. XRD, SEM, TEM, EDX, XPS, and some corrosion and mechanical testing methods were implemented to evaluate and characterize the above EN-based coatings. The effects of various alloy substrates and plating bath parameters on coating deposition rate, porosity, phosphorus content, and properties, were studied in detail. Furthermore, the effect of post heat treatment on the properties of EN coatings was also investigated.

The results of this study show that the properties of EN coatings are directly related to the phosphorus content and porosity of the coatings, and various Mg alloy substrates. EN coatings with low phosphorus content are nano-crystalline, hard and ductile. As a result, they have superior adhesion strength. EN coatings with medium phosphorus content have an amorphous structure with better corrosion and wear resistance. Especially, the medium phosphorus EN coatings produced by novel plasma electrolysis assisted processes provide superior corrosion resistance and higher adhesion strength. The novel EN technique is also an environmental friendly processing. Direct high phosphorus EN coatings show the least kinetic coefficient of friction and good wear resistance under dry sliding friction because of the self-lubricating nature of phosphorus element. However, the porosity is severe within the direct high phosphorus coatings, which is detrimental to its corrosion property. Adhesion strength and wear resistance of EN alumina composite coating on Mg alloys are improved significantly. It has also been demonstrated that the adhesion strength of EN on Mg alloys is strongly

related to several parameters including coating thickness, hardness, internal stress, nucleation density, and the substrate's chemical and physical nature.

In conclusion, this research has made good progress on producing a series of uniform, well-adhered, and pore-free EN-based coatings through better understanding of the relationships of processing, microstructure and properties. The EN-based coatings can provide adequate corrosion and/or wear resistance to Mg and Mg alloys.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate my thesis to my family especially my parents whose unbelievable endurance, unconditional love, and untouchable devotion have been monumental. Also, I would like to dedicate this work to anyone who has ever taught me anything. Finally, I would like to dedicate this work to all those who have devoted their lives to bring the faded light of ambiguity to the complete shininess of clarity.

“You shall know the truth, the truth set you free”

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his attitude of gratitude toward his supervisor, Prof. Wei Gao for his non-stop guidance, support, and inspiration throughout the course of the past three years. Also, the author would like to thank Prof. W. George Ferguson, Dr. Michael A. Hodgson, Dr Bryony James, Dr Yulung Chiu, Dr. Wei Zhang, and Dr. Frank Qin for their guidance and support during the completion of this work.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Mr. Strover, Ms. Catherine Hobbs, Ms. Laura Liang, Mr. Muhammad Shameem, Dr. Alec Asodov and other technicians, department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Auckland, for their valuable technical assistances in various segments of this work.

The author also wishes to express his appreciation to Prof. Chuang Dong, Dr. Xianxiu Mei, Shengzhi Hao, Aimin Wu, Dalian University of Technology, China, for their valuable technical and experimental assistance.

Finally, the author would like to acknowledge the Foundation for Research, and Science and Technology (FRST) of New Zealand, Education New Zealand Trust and the University of Auckland Doctoral Scholarships for their financial support.

May God bless them All.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	2
1.3 Thesis outline	3
Chapter 2 Literature Review on Electroless Nickel-Phosphorus Coatings and Mg Alloys	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 EN Chemical Reactions	10
2.3 Effective Parameters	13
2.3.1 Effect of Temperature	13
2.3.2 Effect of pH	14
2.3.3 Effect of Bath Composition	16
2.3.4 Effect of Bath Loading	18
2.3.5 Effect of Bath Age	19
2.3.6 Effect of Time	20
2.4 Properties of EN coatings	21
2.4.1 Microstructure of EN deposits	21
2.4.2 Magnetic Properties	24
2.4.3 Electrical Resistivity	25
2.4.4 Wettability and solderability	27
2.4.5 Thermal properties	28
2.4.6 Porosity	29
2.4.7 Adhesion properties	31
2.4.8 Internal stress	33
2.4.9 Hardness	34
2.4.9.1 Effect of phosphorus content on the hardness of EN deposits	34
2.4.9.2 Effect of Heat Treatment on Hardness	35
2.4.10 Wear resistance	36
2.4.11 Tensile strength	38
2.4.12 Fatigue properties	40
2.4.13 Corrosion Resistance Properties	42
2.4.13.1 DC methods and weight loss measurement	42
2.4.13.2 AC methods	49
2.5 EN Composite coatings	51
2.6 Mg and Mg alloys	52
2.6.1 Industrial Pure Mg	53
2.6.1.1 Atomic Properties	53
2.6.1.2 Crystal Structure	54
2.6.1.3 Some Electrical Properties	54
2.6.2 AZ91 and AZ31 Mg alloys	55
2.6.3 Corrosion Behaviors of Mg Alloys	56
2.7 Conclusions	58
2.8 Nomenclature	60
2.9 Reference:	62
Chapter 3 Apparatuses and Experimental Procedures	66
3.1 Electroless Nickel Phosphorus Bath	66
3.2 Surface Preparation	66
3.3 Plasma Electrolysis Treatment	67
3.4 Heat Treatment	68
3.5 Hardness Test	69
3.6 Surface Roughness Measurements	69
3.7 Friction Test	69
3.8 Scratch Adhesion Testing	70
3.9 Corrosion Testing	71
3.10 X-ray Diffraction	72
3.11 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	72

3.12 Electronic Microscopy Metallography	73
3.12.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).....	73
3.12.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).....	73
3.13 Nomenclature	75
3.14 Reference:	75
Chapter 4 The Effects of the Substrates on Electroless Nickel Plating of Mg and Mg Alloys	76
4.1 Introduction	76
4.2 Experimental procedure	76
4.3 Results and discussions	79
4.3.1 Surface roughness and mechanical properties.....	79
4.3.2 Deposition rate and coating morphology	89
4.3.3 Microcomposition and deposition mechanism.....	97
4.4 Conclusion.....	100
4.5 Nomenclature	102
4.6 References:.....	102
Chapter 5 Corrosion Behaviours of Electroless Nickel Plating on Mg Alloy	104
5.1 Introduction	104
5.2 Experimental	104
5.3 Results and Discussions	106
5.3.1 Deposition kinetics.....	106
5.3.2 Coating Properties.....	107
5.3.3 Coating Microstructure.....	112
5.4 Conclusions	124
5.5 Nomenclature	125
5.6 Reference:	126
Chapter 6 Mechanical Properties of Electroless Nickel-Phosphorus Coatings	128
6.1 Introduction	128
6.2 Experimental procedures.....	131
6.3 Results and discussions	134
6.3.1 Scratch Adhesion Testing Results	134
6.3.2 Tribology properties.....	145
6.3.3 Internal Stress.....	151
6.4 Conclusions	153
6.5 Nomenclature	155
6.6 References	156
Chapter 7 Electroless Nickel-Phosphorus Alumina Composite Coatings on Mg Alloy.....	157
7.1 Introduction	157
7.2 Experimental	157
7.3 Results and discussions	159
7.4 Conclusions	171
7.5 Nomenclature	172
7.6 Reference:	172
Chapter 8 A Novel Electroless Nickel Plating Technique with Plasma Electrolysis Pretreatment.....	174
8.1 Introduction	174
8.2 Experimental	175
8.3 Results and discussion.....	177
8.3.1 Potentiodynamic Polarization Testing.....	177
8.3.2 Salt Spray Testing.....	179
8.3.3 Scratch Adhesion Testing	184
8.3.4 Chemical Composition and Microstructures	187
8.4 Conclusions	197
8.5 Nomenclature	199
8.6 Reference:	199
Chapter 9 Conclusions, Recommendations and Future Work	201
9.1 Conclusions	201
9.1.1 The effect of Mg and Mg alloy substrates.....	201
9.1.2 Corrosion Behaviours.....	202

9.1.3 Mechanical Properties	203
9.1.4 Composite coating.....	204
9.2 Recommendations	205
9.2.1 Recommendations for the EN coating process.....	205
9.2.2 Recommendations for application of EN coatings on Mg alloys	205
9.3 Future work	206
<i>BIOGRAPHICAL</i>	208
<i>HONOURS</i>	208
<i>PUBLICATIONS</i>	208

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1 Outline of the research study on EN coatings.....	4
Figure 2.1 Electroless plating family tree [11].....	9
Figure 2.2 Effect of solution temperature on the deposition rate [15].....	15
Figure 2.3 Effect of solution pH on deposition rate of the coating [15].....	16
Figure 2.4 Effect of solution pH on phosphorus content [15].....	16
Figure 2.5 Dependence of deposition rate in an acetate-containing solution on the Ni /H ₂ PO ₂ ratio at two different pH values.	18
Figure 2.6 Dependence of deposition rate on nickel sulfate concentration.	18
Figure 2.7 Effect of bath load on deposition rate [26].....	19
Figure 2.8 Dependence of deposition rate and phosphorus content of the EN coating on the bath age [27].....	20
Figure 2.9 Corrosion resistance of Ni-P (12% wt.) deposits of 28 mm thickness Kesternick test as function of bath age [27].	20
Figure 2.10 Thickness vs. time-comparison between electroless and immersion deposition.	21
Figure 2.11 Ni-P binary phase diagram.....	23
Figure 2.12 Bright and dark field images and accompanying selected area diffraction patterns of electroless Ni-1.5 wt.%P deposits, (a) as deposited sample, the well defined rings indicate equiaxed nanocrystalline structure, (b) annealed at 350 °C for 5 min, left is dark field using the (111) Ni reflection, (c) annealed at 350 °C for 45 min, grain growth ceases and the diffraction pattern shows the coexistence of Ni and Ni ₃ P.	24
Figure 2.13 Effect of phosphorus content on the magnetic properties of the EN deposit [21].	25
Figure 2.14 Dependence of resistivity of the EN on phosphorus content [30].....	26
Figure 2.15 Dependence of electrical resistivity on annealing time [4].	26
Figure 2.16 Wetting curves of EN-HP, EN-LP, and EN-B samples [32].....	27
Figure 2.17 Effect of phosphorus content on the thermal expansion coefficient [4].....	28
Figure 2.18 Different types of EN pores [34].....	30
Figure 2.19 Three quantitative methods to measure adhesion of coatings.....	32
Figure 2.20 Scratch test method to measure adhesion of coating	32
Figure 2.21 Dependence of internal stress of EN deposit on phosphorus content [21].....	33
Figure 2.22 Dependence of hardness of EN deposit on phosphorus content [21].....	34
Figure 2.23 Effect of heat-treatment temp (one hour) on hardness of 10.5% P EN coating [4].....	35
Figure 2.24 Hardness versus temperature (1h, 9wt.% phosphorus coating) [4].....	35
Figure 2.25 Effect of phosphorus content on wear resistance [21].	36
Figure 2.26 Effect of heat-treated temperature on the wear resistance properties [4].	37
Figure 2.27 Effect of phosphorus content of the EN deposit on the wear resistance of as-plated and heat-treated sample [30].	37
Figure 2.28 Dependence of tensile strength on phosphorus content of EN deposition.....	38
Figure 2.29 Dependence of tensile strength and strain on P content of EN deposition.....	38
Figure 2.30 Dependence of elongation on phosphorus content of EN deposition [21].....	39
Figure 2.31 Effect of pH on the fracture strain and Vickers hardness of EN coatings.	40
Figure 2.32 Variation of fracture strain of Ni-P, 7 wt.% P, after cyclic stressing at $\sigma_a=275$ Mpa [41].	40
Figure 2.33 Stress amplitude vs. number of cycles of failure for AISA 1010 and 1045 steel under different conditions [41].	41
Figure 2.34 Fatigue life of nickel coating at different stresses [42].	42
Figure 2.35 Perthometer traces for EN coated surfaces (a) still bath, (b) ultrasonic bath [42].	42
Figure 2.36 Effect of phosphorus content on corrosion rate of EN deposition in 10% HCl [21].....	43
Figure 2.37 Effect of phosphorus content on nitric acid resistance [21].	44
Figure 2.38 Dependence of corrosion current on coating thickness [49].	45
Figure 2.39 Effect of coating thickness on corrosion potential [49].	45
Figure 2.40 Schematic surface cross-section of EN coating in alkaline solutions [47].....	45
Figure 2.41 Weight loss vs. immersion time in 5% HCl for various Ni-Cu-Sn-P deposited alloys [52].	47
Figure 2.42 Weight loss vs. immersion time in 0.5 molar H ₂ SO ₄ for various Ni-Cu-Sn-P deposited	

alloys [52].....	48
Figure 2.43 Weight loss vs. immersion time in 10% NaCl for various Ni-Cu-Sn-P deposited alloys [52].....	48
Figure 2.44 Weight loss vs. immersion time in 50% NaOH for various Ni-Cu-Sn-P deposited alloys [52].....	49
Figure 2.45 Effect of immersion time on R_{ct} in 50% caustic soda [60].	51
Figure 2.46 The magnesium unit cell crystal (Atomic positions) [69].....	54
Figure 2.47 Al-Mg binary phase diagram	56
Figure 2.48 Effect of nickel and copper contamination on the salt-spray-corrosion performance of die-cast AZ91 alloy	57
Figure 2.49 Corrosion interfaces between solution and (A) AZ21, (B) AZ501 and (C) AZ91 in solution at their corrosion potentials	58
Fig. 3.1 Direct current pulse power source	68
Fig. 3.2 UMT-2 Micro-Tribometer.....	70
Fig. 3.3 CSR-01 adhesion scratch tester.....	71
Fig. 3.4 “Saltron”, the salt spray cabinet with humidifier and prohesion test facilities	72
Fig. 3.5 The MetalThin – foil preparation unit. 1) Main control console, 2) Specimen holder, 3) Thinning tank, 4) Thinning cell and 5) 3mm TEM disc puncher	74
Fig. 3.6 Specimen holder, the 3mm TEM disc is placed on the platinum anode	75
Fig. 4.1 Cross-section optical micrograph of 80 min plating: (a) as-plated coating on polished pure Mg (500×), (b) annealed coating on rough Mg substrate at 523K (200×)	83
Fig. 4.2 Cross-section optical micrograph of 80 min plating: (a) as-plated coating on polished AZ31 (500×), (b) annealed coating on rough AZ31 substrate at 523K (200×)	84
Fig. 4.3 Cross-section optical micrograph of 80 min plating: (a) as-plated coating on polished AZ91, (b) annealed coating on rough AZ91(R) substrates at 523K (200×).	85
Fig. 4.4 Wear tracks observed with SEM of nickel coatings on: (a) polished pure Mg, (b) polished AZ 31, (c) polished AZ91, (d) rough Mg, (e) rough AZ31, (f) rough AZ91 substrate.....	88
Fig. 4.5 Deposition mass gains as a function of time on various substrates.....	89
Fig. 4.6 SEM image on polished pure Mg substrate after 4 minutes plating	92
Fig. 4.7 SEM image on polished AZ31 substrate after 4 minutes plating	93
Fig. 4.8 SEM image on polished AZ91 substrate after 4 minutes plating	93
Fig. 4.9 SEM image on polished pure Mg substrate after 10 minutes plating	94
Fig. 4.10 SEM image on polished AZ31 substrate after 10 minutes plating	94
Fig. 4.11 SEM image on AZ91 substrate after 10 minutes plating: (a) Polished, (b) Roughened.....	95
Fig. 4.12 SEM image on polished pure Mg substrate after 20 minutes plating	96
Fig. 4.13 SEM image of Ni deposits on polished AZ31 substrate after 20 minutes plating.....	96
Fig. 4.14 SEM image of Ni plating on polished AZ91 substrate after 20 minutes plating.....	97
Fig. 4.15 EDX spot analysis of the early nickel 3DCs.....	99
Fig. 4.16 Cross-section of the as-plated Ni coating on pure Mg and its chemical composition along the white line in the left photo.....	99
Fig. 4.17 Phosphorus and fluorine contents across the plating corresponding to Fig. 4.16	100
Fig. 4.18 Cross-section image of annealed Ni coating on rough Mg substrate and its chemical composition along the white line in the left picture.	100
Fig. 5.1 Mass gain of Ni-P plating with different P contents	107
Fig. 5.2 Microhardness of EN coating with different phosphorus contents.....	108
Fig. 5.3 Ni-P coatings (20 μm thick, containing different %P) after exposure to a standard salt fog spray for 48 h.	109
Fig. 5.4 The effect of thickness on the corrosion behavior of Ni-P _(medium) coatings: the test was performed in a salt spray chamber for 168 h.	109
Fig. 5.5 Potentiodynamic polarization curves for uncoated AZ91, specimens with low, medium and high P containing Ni coatings.....	110
Fig. 5.6 Potentiodynamic polarization curves for AZ91 alloy specimens with Ni-P _{med} coatings of different thickness.....	110
Fig. 5.7 XRD spectra of low-, medium- and high-P EN coatings on AZ91.....	113
Fig. 5.8 Narrow scanned XRD pattern of low-, medium- and high-P EN coatings.....	113
Fig. 5.9 Surface morphology of as-plated EN on AZ91: (a) Low-P (LP), (b) High-P (HP)	114
Fig. 5.10 Cross-section of EN with different P contents.....	115

Fig. 5.11 SEM surface morphology of EN on AZ91: (a) 10 min low-P coating, (b) 10 min high-P coating, (c) 20 min low-P coating, (d) 20 min high-P coating.....	117
Fig. 5.12 XPS narrow scan of 10 min Low P nickel plating surface.....	120
Fig. 5.13 XPS narrow scan of 10 min High P nickel plating surface.....	122
Fig. 6.1 Schematic depiction of the situation when a ruby ball slides along the wear track.....	133
Fig. 6.2 Critical load of as-plated EN coatings with different P contents on AZ31, AZ91 and Mg substrates.....	138
Fig. 6.3 As-plated low phosphorus EN coatings on: (a) AZ91, (b) AZ31, (c) Mg substrate.....	139
Fig. 6.4 As-plated medium phosphorus EN coatings on: (a) AZ91, (b) AZ31, (c) Mg substrate ...	140
Fig. 6.5 As-plated high phosphorus EN coatings on: (a) AZ91, (b) AZ31 substrate.....	141
Fig. 6.6 Cross section micrograph around the middle scratch track of the heat treated medium phosphorus EN coatings on: (a) Pure Mg, (b) AZ31, (b) AZ91 substrate	142
Fig. 6.7 As-plated medium phosphorus EN coatings on: (a) Al alloys, (b) brass, (c) mild steel.....	143
Fig. 6.8 Scratch adhesion testing friction plots of EN coatings on different substrates: (a) Mg, (b) AZ31, (c) AZ91, (d) Al alloy, (e) Brass, and (f) Mild steel.....	144
Fig. 6.9 Effect of P content and heat treatment on the volume removed after dry wear for 100 minutes at P = 5 N.....	147
Fig. 6.10 Wear track image of EN coatings on AZ91: (a) as-plated LP, (b) 523K annealed LP, (c) as-plated MP, (d) 523K annealed MP, (e) as-plated HP, and (f) 523K annealed HP.....	150
Fig. 6.11 EDX results of the wear track in Fig. 6.10c.....	151
Fig. 6.12 Macro-cross section of medium phosphorus EN films: (a) as-plated, and (b) after heat treatment at 523K.....	153
Fig. 7.1 XRD pattern of the as-received alumina powder.....	160
Fig. 7.2 TEM bright field image of the as-received alumina powder.....	160
Fig. 7.3 SEM surface morphology of the as-plated Ni(P) alumina coating with (a) 0.6 g/L Niklad (S1), (b) 0.6 g/L modified Niklad (S2).....	161
Fig. 7.4 Fractograph of electroless Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ composite coating	162
Fig. 7.5 XRD pattern of the electroless coating: (1) Ni(P), (2) Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ with S2 surfactant, (3) Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ with S1 surfactant.....	163
Fig. 7.6 TEM BF image of the Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ coating: (a) as-plated, (b) heat-treated at 503K for 24 hours (the dark area in Fig. 7.6 is identified as Al ₂ O ₃ particles).....	165
Fig. 7.7 SAD diffraction rings of Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ composite coating: (a) as-plated, (b) heat-treated at 503K for 24 hours	165
Fig. 7.8 Wear track image on the coating: (a) Ni(P), (b) Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ composite coating, (c) Ni(P) heat treated at 503 K,(d) Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ heat-treated at 503 K.....	168
Fig. 7.9 End tracks of the scratch adhesion testing: (a) Ni(P), (b) Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ composite coating.....	169
Fig. 7.10 Potentiodynamic polarization curves of Ni(P) and Ni(P)-Al ₂ O ₃ composite coating, respectively	170
Fig. 8.1 Potentiodynamic polarization curves for AZ91 alloy substrate, PEO coating and PEO + EN coatings	178
Fig. 8.2 Potentiodynamic polarization curves for AZ91 Mg alloy substrate, traditional EN and PEO + EN coatings.....	179
Fig. 8.3 Surface morphology of (a) AZ91 alloy and (b) PEO coated AZ91 after potentiodynamic polarization tests in 3.5 wt.%NaCl solution.....	181
Fig. 8.4 Surface EDX results in the pitting corrosion area of Fig. 8.3b after polarization testing ...	182
Fig. 8.5 Specimens after 168 h of NSST: (a) AZ91 and PEO coating, (b) traditional EN and PEO + EN coatings.....	183
Fig. 8.6 Friction plot for the scratch tests of the conventional EN on AZ91 substrate.....	184
Fig. 8.7 Micrograph of a scratch track of adhesion test on the conventional EN coating.....	185
Fig. 8.8 Friction plot for the scratch tests of the novel EN on AZ91 substrate	185
Fig. 8.9 Micrograph of a scratch track of adhesion test on the novel EN coating.....	185
Fig. 8.10 Micrographs of the scratch track ends on EN coatings: a) conventional EN and b) the EN of the invention	186
Fig. 8.11 SEM images of sample surface: (a) traditional pretreated, (b) PEO pretreated, (c) conventional EN coating for 4 min, and (d) PEO + EN coating for 4 min, (e) 60 min traditional plating, (f) 60 min PEO+EN coating.....	190

Fig. 8.12 Cross-sectional morphology of (a) traditional EN coating and (b) PEO + EN coating	191
Fig. 8.13 EDX chemical analysis across the interface: (a) traditional EN, (b) PEO + EN.....	192
Fig. 8.14 XPS survey spectrum for PEO treated AZ91 Mg alloy surface.....	193
Fig. 8.15 XPS narrow scan of PEO treated AZ91 Mg alloy surface on F, Mg, O, and P element....	196
Fig. 8.16 XRD pattern of PEO treated AZ91 surface.....	197

Table of Tables

Table 2.1. General properties of EN coatings.....	13
Table 2.2. EN bath components and their function	13
Table 2.3 Magnetic properties of EN [11].....	25
Table 2.4 Solderability properties of EN coatings [32].....	27
Table 2.5 The results of the ferroxyl and salt fog spray porosity measurement [35].....	31
Table 2.6. Pitting rating and corrosion potential of EN and EP [45].....	43
Table 2.7 Tafel analysis of electroless nickel deposits of various thickness [50].....	46
Table 2.8 The corrosion rates per year of the various types of EN alloyed deposits in different types of solution ^a [52].....	47
Table 2.9 Composition and conditions of the EN baths [60].....	50
Table 2.10 Comparison of the corrosion resistance of HPEN and LPEN in weak acidic environments [60].....	51
Table 2.11 Results of the acceleration corrosion and tarnishing tests.	52
Table 2.12 Nominal composition and characteristics of selected Mg casting alloys	53
Table 2.13 Nominal composition and characteristics of selected wrought Mg alloys	53
Table 3.1 Hypophosphate-reduced electroless nickel plating solutions	66
Table 4.1 Substrate chemical composition	78
Table 4.2 Sequences of Operations*	78
Table 4.3 Surface roughness value Ra (μm) and mechanical performance.....	79
Table 5.1 Operation process for electroless Ni-P plating on AZ91 alloy *.....	106
Table 5.2 Corrosion properties of 30 μm Ni-P coatings and uncoated AZ91 alloy.....	111
Table 5.3 Data obtained from XRD of Ni coating with different P contents.....	113
Table 5.4 Data obtained from surface XPS at 10 min EN plating.....	123
Table 5.5 Binding energies obtained from the XPS photoelectron peak envelopes at 10 min EN plating.....	123
Table 6.1 Micro-hardness and Adhesion strength (Lc)	135
Table 6.2 Factors affecting scratch adhesion critical loads for hard EN coating on Mg alloys.....	138
Table 6.3 Friction coefficients and wear loss of EN coatings on AZ91 Mg alloys	147
Table 7.1 Electroless nickel plating solution and operation conditions.....	158
Table 7.2 Chemical composition of as-plated electroless Ni(P) and composite coatings determined by EDX analysis ^a	159
Table 7.3 Data obtained from XRD of EN and composite coating with different dispersants.....	163
Table 7.4 Hardness, friction coefficient and adhesion strength of EN and composite coatings.....	169
Table 8.1 Chemical composition of AZ91 Mg alloy (wt.%)	176
Table 8.2 Coating processes*.....	176
Table 8.3 Data obtained from XPS survey spectrum for PEO treated AZ91 surface.....	193
Table 8.4 Binding energies obtained from the XPS photoelectron peak envelopes after PEO pretreatment.....	197