

RESEARCHSPACE@AUCKLAND

http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

A GENETIC STUDY OF CLEFT LIP AND CLEFT PALATE AUCKLAND 1960-1976

CYRIL JAMES CHAPMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Community Health,
University of Auckland,

1981

University of Auckland Library
PHILSON LIBRARY
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
PARK ROAD, AUCKLAND.

Thesis W4 C466 82/6/760065/0/01 To those of our children thus afflicted

'cripplings visited upon us by nature in her madcap moods; games of blindman's buff among the genes, all up and down the double spiral staircase.'

Frank Sargeson

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study reported in this thesis would not have been possible without the cooperation and assistance of a large number of people.

Unfortunately, I cannot thank them all by name.

Firstly, thanks are due to the large number of patients and their relatives who so readily gave information about themselves and their families. A study of this kind would not have been possible without their active cooperation.

The principal stimulus and encouragement to undertake this work came from Professor A M O Veale, and I am indebted to him for continued advice and support over a long period. Dr A J Lee from the Department of Mathematics at Auckland University provided statistical advice and encouragement.

The staff of the Plastic Surgical Unit at Middlemore Hospital gave of their enthusiasm and actively helped in data collection and verification. In particular, Professor W M Manchester and Mr J H Williams were chiefly responsible for the initiation of this work and have maintained an active interest throughout.

I am indebted to Lyn Avery for her cheerful and competent assistance in the family interviews and for data entry onto the Department of Community Health PDP11/40.

I had extensive assistance in the data management and statistical analysis from Mr J Upsdell and Mr P Mullins of the Department of Community Health at the School of Medicine.

I have been fortunate to have the assistance of three computing facilities - the B6700 of the University of Auckland, the PDP11 in the School of Medicine, and the system in the Wellington

Clinical School. I thank the staff for their help in processing the large body of data I accumulated.

Computer programs for the segregation analyses were very kindly supplied by the Population Genetics Laboratory at the University of Hawaii, to whom I extend my thanks. I would also like to thank Dr JJ Kidd of Yale University who was kind enough to send me copies of his programmes MSLSEX and MFSEX, which were also used in this work.

I would like to thank the staff of the New Zealand Department of Statistics, who helped fill in a few gaps in the published vital statistics for this country.

Financial support for this work was provided by the Medical Research Council of New Zealand through the genetics programme grant to Professor A M O Veale. The author was financed through this grant as a Research Fellow in the University of Auckland and completed the analysis while holding a Training Fellowship from the Medical Research Council.

I am also grateful to the Crippled Children's Society for making a grant towards the costs of collecting and processing the data.

A study of cleft lip and cleft palate was carried out in order to determine whether or not any differences in incidence between Europeans and Polynesians were accompanied by differences in recurrence risks, and to test the genetic hypotheses currently favoured as explanations of familial agggregation of these disorders.

An incidence study was undertaken on all live births in the Auckland urban area for the years 1960 to 1976. Family information was obtained from these probands and from other affected persons or their close relatives, by interview at the cleft palate clinic at Middlemore Hospital.

The ascertainment probability for cleft lip and cleft palate probands was about 95% and was not correlated with any of the demographic characteristics measured on the probands. After correction for ascertainment, the incidence of cleft palate in Maoris was estimated to be 1.867/1000 live births. For Europeans the estimate was 0.643/1000. The corresponding figures for cleft lip with or without cleft palate were 0.397/1000 and 1.195/1000. The sex ratio for cleft palate was 0.485 with heterogeneity between the races. For cleft lip the sex ratio was 0.649 overall. There were no secular or seasonal trends in the incidence of facial clefts and no significant effects of maternal age, or paternal age. The mean birth rank for probands with cleft lip with or without cleft palate was higher than expected. For probands with cleft palate, mean birth rank was not significantly elevated. The pattern of additional malformations in these probands was similar to those

reported in similar studies from other centres.

The recurrence risk for cleft palate was 1.8% overall.

Although it was slightly higher in Polynesian families than in European families, the difference was nowhere near statistical significance. For cleft lip the recurrence risk was 2.6% overall, with the risk being slightly higher in Polynesian families, but again not significantly higher than in European families. Using current analytical techniques, no discrimination was possible between a generalized single autosomal locus model and a multifactorial threshold model. A consideration of the parameter estimates for both models suggests that the multifactorial threshold model is the more appropriate one to use for the calculation of recurrence risks in complicated family situations.

It is concluded that further family studies of this nature would no longer be warranted unless hypotheses can realistically be tested on the samples available. However, incidence studies in special populations will remain important for hypothesis testing. Following on the work using animal models, a study of face shape within and among races in New Zealand may provide clues to the aetiology of facial clefts, particularly isolated cleft palate. It will be important to follow changes in incidence over time and discover what effects intermarriage and cultural changes might have on the incidence of facial clefts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		2	Page
1.	INTRODUCTION		1
2.	METHODS OF ANALYSIS		
	Ascertainment and incidence	•	8
	Analysis of segregation patterns		
	(i) Prior to the advent of computers	٠	16
	(ii) The computer age	٠	23
	(iii) Multifactorial threshold models		25
	(iv) Maximum likelihood estimation of parameters	3	
	of the multifactorial threshold model	•	32
	(v) Single autosomal locus models	٠	35
	(vi) Differentiating between genetic models	•	36
	(vii) Other approaches to the analysis		
	of family data	*	43
3.	LITERATURE SURVEY		
	The embryology of the upper lip and palate	•	45
	Epidemiological studies	•	48
	Incidence		50
	Seasonal effects	,	56
	Side of unilateral clefts of the lip		57
	Sex ratio		57
	Associated malformations	•	60
	Maternal age, paternal age, and birth rank	٠	64
ŧ	Other epidemiological considerations	•	68
	Recurrence risks		71
	Genetic hypotheses		80

																									rage
4.	ERIDEM	IOLOGIC	AL	RE	នហា	LT	S																		
	The p	present	S	tud	У		٠	٠	c	٠		٠	•	•	•		٠	•		•	•	•	•	•	83
	Cleft	t palat	е																						
		Ascert	ai	ame	nt	aı	nd	11	1C:	lde	en	ce	٠	•	•	•		•	٠	•	•		٠		88
	(4)	Sex ra	tio	0 •		•	•	e	•	•	•	٠	•		•				•	•	٠				98
		Season	al:	ity	•			•					٠				6	•	•	•	•	•		•	100
		Secula	r	tre	ads	5	•	•	•	•						à	•		•		•				105
		Additi	ona	al ı	nal	Lfo	r	nat	ií(ns	3		•		٠	•	•		•		•	•		•	107
		Birth	rai	nk	•	•	•			•	٠		•	•					•	•					107
		Matern	a1	age	9	•	•				•	•	•	•	•		•	٠	•	•		•	٠		110
	*	Patern	al.	age	9			•	e	•				•	•	•	•		•			•	•		111
	Cleft	lip w	itl	n 01	: N	vit	h	ut	: 0	1:	e£t	: ;	al	at	ce										
		Ascert	air	ımer	nt	an	ıd	ir	ıci	lde	enc	e	•	•			•	٠							113
		Sex ra	tic	•	•	٠		•	e	٠	•			٠						٠		c			118
		Season	ali	Lty	٠	•	•	•					•	÷	•		•	×		•			•	•	121
		Secula	r t	rer	nds	ś	•						*	•		•		•		٠	•				125
		Additi	ona	al n	ıal	.fo	rn	at	ic	ns	3					•	٠	•			•		•		127
	£	Birth	ran	ık	•	٠		Ų.			•	•		•			•			•	٠		•		127
	er.	Matern	a1	age	2	•	•	•		•		•	ď.	•	•		è	•	•	٠	•	•	٠		130
		Patern	al.	age	2		•	•						•							•	•			131

								-	Lage
5.	FAMILY STUDIES								
	Diagnosis and race	٠	•	٠	•	6	•	٠	132
	Other variables	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	136
	Probability of ascertainment			٠		•	•	*	139
	Family data	•		٠			•		142
	Genetic analyses								
	(i) Simple models		٠	•			٠		154
	(ii) Multifactorial threshold models								155
	(iii) Wilson's methods	•				•			156
	(iv) The method of Kidd and Spance .		٠	•	•	٠	•		163
	(v) Complex segregation analysis .		,	•					168
	(vi) The mixed model					•			174
6.	DISCUSSION						•		181
	The incidence of facial clefts						٠		181
	Sex ratio	•		•	•		•		185
	Seasonality			•			•		186
	Secular trends	•	•	•		•	•		186
	Additional malformations						•	•.	187
	Side of unilateral clefts of the lip					*			187
	Birth rank, maternal age, and paternal age	•				٠			188
	Other enidemialogical considerations	į.		2					188

	.3	Page
6.	DISCUSSION (continued)	
	Family studies	189
	Sample size	191
	Recurrence risks	193
	Multifactorial threshold models	195
	Monogenic models	197
	A choice of models	199
	Animal studies	202
	Face shape and dermatoglyphics of man	203
	Conclusions	205
7.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	208

LIST OF TABLES

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Page
I. Incidence of cleft lip/palate per 1000 population	. 54
II. Side of unilateral cleft	. 55
III. Sex ratio in CL/P	. 59
IV. Recurrence in siblings of probands	. 75
V. Recurrence in offspring of probands	• 75
VI. Recurrence in other relatives of probands	. 76
VII. Distribution of the number of ascertainments	
per proband for cleft palate	. 93
VIII. The distribution of the number of ascertainments	
by source for cleft palate	. 95
IX. Partial regression coefficients for multiple regression	
of numbers of ascertainments of cleft palate	
probands on demographic variables	. 99
X. Seasonal effects - numbers ascertained by month	
by race. Cleft palate	. 101
XI. Seasonal effects - numbers ascertained by month	
by sex. Cleft palate	. 102
XII. Edwards test for seasonality	. 104
XIII. Cleft palate births by year	. 106
XIV. Malformations among probands with CP	. 108
XV. Distribution of the number of ascertainments	
per proband for cleft lip (palate)	. 116
XVI. Distribution of ascertainments by source	
Cleft lip (palate)	. 117

Fage
XVII. Partial regression coefficients for multiple regression
of ascertainment of cleft lip (palate)
probands on demographic variables 119
XVIII. Seasonal effects. Number with CL ascertained by month 122
XIX. Seasonal effects. Number with CLP ascertained by month 123
XX. Seasonal effects. Number with CL(P)
ascertained by month and by sex 124
XXI. Cleft lip (palate) births by year 126
XXII. Cleft lip and/or palate births by year 128
XXIII. Malformations in CL(P) probands 129
XXIV. The distribution of sibship size by the number
affected. All Polynesians. Cleft palate 143
XXV. The distribution of sibship size by the number
affected. Other races. Cleft palate 144
XXVI. The distribution of the number affected by the class
of relative. Cleft palate 145
XXVII. The distribution of sibship size by the number
affected. All Polynesians. Cleft lip with/without
cleft palate 147
XXVIII. The distribution of sibship size by the number
affected. Other races. Cleft lip with/without
cleft palate
XXIX. The distribution of the number affected by the class
of relative. Cleft lip with/without cleft palate 150
XXX. The distribution of sibship size by the number
affected. All races combined 151

Page
XXXI. Estimates of heritability. All Polynesians 157
XXXII. Estimates of heritability. Other races 158
XXXIII. Estimates of heritability. All races combined 159
XXXIV. Estimates of penetrance parameters from
incidence data. Cleft palate 161
XXXV. Estimates of penetrance parameters from
incidence data. Cleft lip (palate) 162
XXXVI. Estimates of heritability 164
XXXVII. Observed and expected incidences in relatives
of probands with cleft palate 166
XXXVIII. Observed and expected incidences in relatives
of probands with cleft lip (palate) 167
XXXIX. Parameter estimates under the SML model 169
XL. Parameter estimates for the two allele, single locus
model. Cleft palate 172
XLI. Parameter estimates for the two allele, single locus
model. Cleft lip (palate) 173
XLII. s,r table for representative genetic models.
Cleft palate
XLIII. s,r table for representative genetic models.
Cleft lip (palate) 176
XLIV. Parameter estimates under the mixed model of
Morton and MacLean. Cleft palate 179
XLV. Parameter estimates under the mixed model of
Morton and MacLean. Cleft lip (palate) 180
XI.VI. The distribution of ascertainments among sources 184