



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

Disability following car crashes: an epidemiological investigation

Shanthi Neranjana Ameratunga

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
The University of Auckland, 2005**

Abstract

Background

Road traffic injury is projected to rank as the third largest contributor to the global burden of disease by 2020. Disability is a significant component of the burden of disease ranking. Most published data on traffic crash outcomes, however, focus primarily on deaths and hospitalisations. Reliable estimates of post-crash disability and information on factors that modify the disabling process are essential to prioritise and allocate appropriate resources for road traffic injury prevention and interventions that reduce the risk of secondary disability.

Aims

To quantify the risk of disability associated with serious injury crashes in car drivers in a defined population; to explore the extent to which this risk is modified by chronic alcohol abuse; and to critically review methodological approaches that can redress the inadequate epidemiological attention to injury-related disability.

Methods

Systematic reviews were conducted to examine the available epidemiological evidence quantifying the association of car crashes with disability and the effect of alcohol on the risk of post-injury disability. Studies published or presented between January 1980 and April 2003 were reviewed. No language restriction was imposed.

A population-based prospective cohort study conducted in the Auckland region of New Zealand recruited drivers exposed to serious injury crashes (identified through a surveillance system monitoring hospital admissions of injured car occupants). A representative sample of car drivers in the region was identified through roadside surveys (controls). The participants were interviewed at recruitment (to obtain pre-crash information from crash drivers and baseline data from controls) and re-interviewed at five and eighteen-months follow-up. Structured interviews on all three occasions included the Short Form-36, a global health change indicator, and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. Information on a range of potential confounders was sought at baseline through the interview, alcohol measurements and clinical records.

Results

Studies identified in the systematic reviews revealed that published estimates of the risk of post-crash disability ranged from 2% to 57%. The evidence regarding the effect of alcohol on post-injury disability is inconclusive largely because none examined this association directly. Most studies identified in the reviews were limited by several methodological problems including the absence of appropriate comparison groups, inadequate or no adjustment for confounding, significant potential for selection bias due to the study setting, high levels of loss to follow-up, and missing data.

In the prospective cohort study, 215 crash drivers (75% follow-up) and 254 controls (69%) completed the 18-month interview. Overall, 40% of the drivers who were hospitalised, 20% of the crash drivers not hospitalised, and 7% of the controls reported deteriorated health at 18 months relative to their baseline health. This represents a ten-fold excess risk of disability among hospitalised drivers and a three-fold excess risk among non-hospitalised crash drivers, relative to drivers in the general population.

Among crash drivers reporting an overall decline in health, clinically important reductions in general and mental health were apparent over the follow-up period despite improving physical health and function. This trend was more evident among non-hospitalised than hospitalised crash drivers.

Compared with drivers who were neither involved in a crash nor defined as hazardous drinkers, crash drivers who were hazardous drinkers had a seven-fold excess risk of a clinically significant ($\geq 10\%$) decline in the SF-36 general health score (OR 6.85; 95% CI: 1.84-25.43). Crash drivers who were not hazardous drinkers had a three-fold risk (OR: 3.00; 95% CI: 1.14-7.89). The results indicated an important interaction between crash involvement and chronic alcohol abuse in potentiating the risk of disability.

Conclusion

Serious traffic crashes are associated with significant longer-term disability in a substantial proportion of survivors with an apparent worsening of mental health over time. Definitions of disability and estimates of the burden of disability following traffic injury remain highly variable in the published literature and it is timely for the international research community to develop a more systematic and consistent approach to this major and increasing component of the global burden of disease. By addressing the main methodological limitations of previous studies, this study revealed that chronic alcohol abuse potentiates the risk of post-crash disability. The findings suggest that measures for preventing road traffic crashes as well as efforts to identify problem drinkers among crash survivors should be intensified. The thesis highlights the need for robust indicators of non-fatal injury to monitor the impact of road safety programs and large-scale epidemiological studies to investigate the spectrum and determinants of post-injury disability.

To my grandparents

Rose and Anselm Fernando and Pearl and Cecil de Mel

Acknowledgments

First, I wish to thank Professors Rod Jackson and Robyn Norton whose support was critical to this work and my enjoyment of it. I am indebted to Robyn for encouraging my interest in this field, her guidance with the project and her mentorship throughout my career in injury research. In Rod, I found a supervisor whose boundless enthusiasm to discuss, debate and critically review all aspects of my work greatly enhanced the experience and inspired my teaching and learning.

I would also like to acknowledge the other co-investigators of the project. Jennie Connor coordinated the case-control study that was integrally linked to this research and Elizabeth Robinson provided valued statistical advice. Ian Civil (Director of Trauma Services, Auckland Hospital) and John Coverdale (Associate Professor of Psychiatry) provided thoughtful guidance in developing the research concept and addressing its application to practice. Derrick Bennett's advice while he was in Auckland is also appreciated.

I am indebted to the study participants whose contribution made this work possible. I also gratefully acknowledge the Health Research Council of New Zealand and the Alcohol & Liquor Advisory Council of New Zealand (ALAC) for funding this project.

The quality of the data collected owes much to the commitment of a small but enthusiastic team of research interviewers (particularly Cherie Lovell, Desiree Lloyd, Kerry Turnpenny and Lynne Hutchison) and the trauma coordinators who facilitated the process including Rhondda Paice, Rangi Dansey and Barbara Amadeo.

I had the privilege of working with Gordon Smith and Ellen Mackenzie at the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health during the tenure of an overseas research fellowship awarded by the Accident Compensation Corporation of New Zealand. This experience and discussions with Ian Cameron, Adnan Hyder, Jerry Jurkovich, John Langley, Rod McClure, Keith McLea, Barry Pless and Maria Segui-Gomez enriched my appreciation of this research field and proved invaluable during the conduct of the project.

The helpful suggestions of the Burden of Alcohol Research Group, Andrew Jull, Maree Hackett and Rod Watts are gratefully acknowledged. I particularly appreciate the collegial support provided by Sally Abel, Carol Everard, Sue Crengle, Lorna Dyll, Raina Elley, Tim Kenealy, Jo Broad and Ekramul Hoque.

Finally, I thank my family, particularly Rohan and Anouska Ameratunga and my parents Thakshan and Sushila Fernando, for their love and support in countless ways.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	VI
LIST OF TABLES.....	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XVII
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 ROAD TRAFFIC INJURY	1
1.1.1 <i>A global public health problem</i>	1
1.1.2 <i>Significance of the motor car</i>	2
1.1.3 <i>Significance of post-crash disability: an important non-fatal outcome</i>	3
1.1.4 <i>New Zealand data on the burden of road crashes</i>	5
1.1.4.1 Routine administrative databases	5
1.1.4.2 Aggregate and secondary data on societal impact.....	7
1.1.4.3 Population surveys on disability.....	8
1.1.5 <i>Addressing the data gap</i>	8
1.2 POST-CRASH DISABILITY: RATIONALE FOR AN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDY	10
1.2.1 <i>Quantifying post-crash disability</i>	11
✓1.2.2 <i>Identifying determinants of post-crash disability</i>	13
1.3 CONTEXT AND AIMS OF THE THESIS	14
1.4 ROLES OF THE STUDY INVESTIGATORS.....	15
1.5 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS.....	17
2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	18
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	18
2.2 RATIONALE FOR A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES.....	18
2.3 METHODS	19
2.3.1 <i>Literature searching strategy</i>	19
2.3.1.1 Computerised searches of electronic databases.....	20
2.3.1.2 Internet searches of web-sites.....	22
2.3.1.3 Hand searches of journals and other documents	22
2.3.1.4 Other methods employed.....	23
2.3.2 <i>Data extraction and critical appraisal</i>	23
2.4 RISK OF DISABILITY DUE TO CAR CRASHES: EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE.....	25
2.4.1 <i>Overview</i>	25
2.4.2 <i>Inclusion criteria</i>	25
2.4.3 <i>Evidence review</i>	26
2.4.3.1 Studies identified.....	26
2.4.3.2 Study populations	26
2.4.3.3 Outcome measurement	27

2.4.3.4	Effect estimation.....	35
2.4.4	Summary.....	35
2.5	ALCOHOL USE AND POST-INJURY DISABILITY: EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE...	37
2.5.1	Overview.....	37
2.5.2	Inclusion criteria.....	37
2.5.3	Evidence review.....	38
2.5.3.1	Studies identified.....	38
2.5.3.2	Study populations.....	42
2.5.3.3	Outcome measurement.....	42
2.5.3.4	Exposure measurement.....	42
2.5.3.5	Effect estimation.....	43
2.5.4	Summary.....	43
2.6	STRENGTHS, LIMITATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS.....	43
2.6.1	Critique of the review methodology.....	43
2.6.2	Implications of the review findings.....	45
3	ACCORD STUDY: METHODS	47
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	47
3.2	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES.....	47
3.3	STUDY DESIGN.....	48
3.3.1	Rationale.....	48
3.3.2	Limitations and alternatives.....	50
3.3.2.1	Efficiencies and constraints.....	50
3.3.2.2	Threats to validity of research findings.....	51
3.3.2.3	Alternatives research designs.....	51
3.3.3	Overview.....	52
3.3.4	Study base and setting.....	53
3.3.5	Study population and the primary exposure.....	54
3.3.5.1	Identification of the exposed cohort ("crash drivers").....	55
3.3.5.2	Identification of the unexposed cohort ("control drivers").....	55
3.3.5.3	Study eligibility criteria.....	56
3.3.6	Study size.....	57
3.4	STUDY PROCEDURES.....	58
3.4.1	Management and conduct of the study.....	58
3.4.2	Recruitment of crash drivers.....	59
3.4.3	Recruitment of control drivers.....	60
3.4.4	Follow-up and tracking procedures.....	61
3.4.5	Data collection.....	63
3.4.5.1	Baseline driver questionnaire.....	63
3.4.5.2	Medical abstract form.....	64
3.4.5.3	Follow-up driver questionnaires.....	65
3.4.6	Measurement of health outcomes.....	65
3.4.6.1	Health status.....	65
3.4.6.1.1	SF-36 Health Scales.....	66
3.4.6.1.2	SF-36 Health Profiles.....	73
3.4.6.1.3	Change in SF-36 Health Scales.....	73
3.4.6.1.4	Health transition.....	74
3.4.7	Measurement of exposures.....	74

3.4.7.1	Usual Alcohol Consumption	74
3.4.8	<i>Potential confounders relevant to estimating the risk of disability due to crash involvement</i>	77
3.4.8.1	Age	77
3.4.8.2	Gender	77
3.4.8.3	Ethnicity	77
3.4.8.4	Pre-crash / baseline health status	78
3.4.9	<i>Potential confounders relevant to assessing if chronic alcohol abuse modifies the risk of disability due to crash involvement</i>	79
3.4.9.1	Alcohol level at the time of the crash or baseline survey	79
3.4.9.2	Tobacco use	80
3.4.9.3	Other drugs	81
3.4.9.4	Injury Severity	82
3.4.9.4.1	Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS)	82
3.4.9.4.2	Injury Severity Score (ISS)	82
3.5	DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS	83
3.5.1	<i>Data entry and checking</i>	83
3.5.2	<i>Data analysis</i>	84
3.5.2.1	Estimating the prevalence of disability due to crash involvement	85
3.5.2.2	Assessing if chronic alcohol abuse potentiates the risk of disability due to crash involvement?	85
3.5.2.3	Handling the sampling design and missing data	86
3.6	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	87
3.6.1	<i>Approvals</i>	87
3.6.2	<i>Specific issues influencing the research protocol</i>	87
4	RESULTS: PARTICIPANTS AND EXPOSURE DATA	89
4.1	INTRODUCTION	89
4.2	STUDY POPULATION	89
4.2.1	<i>Drivers of crashed cars with an injured occupant</i>	90
4.2.1.1	Participant numbers and interview mode	90
4.2.1.2	Change in primary exposure: further crash involvement	93
4.2.1.3	Sociodemographic characteristics of crash drivers	93
4.2.1.4	Baseline features of drivers hospitalised and not hospitalised	95
4.2.1.5	Clinical characteristics of crash drivers	97
4.2.1.5.1	Length of hospitalisation	97
4.2.1.5.2	Severity of injury among hospitalised drivers	97
4.2.2	<i>Random sample of Auckland car drivers (control drivers)</i>	98
4.2.2.1	Participant numbers and interview mode	98
4.2.2.2	Change in primary exposure: crash involvement	101
4.2.2.3	Sociodemographic characteristics of control drivers	101
4.3	BASILINE HEALTH OF CRASH AND CONTROL DRIVERS	103
4.3.1	<i>Baseline health-related quality of life of study participants</i>	103
4.3.2	<i>Change in overall health in the 12 months preceding the study</i>	105
4.4	BASILINE DISTRIBUTION OF SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS	107
4.4.1	<i>Socio-demographic characteristics</i>	107
4.4.2	<i>Exposure to driving</i>	108
4.5	SUMMARY: PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC FEATURES	110

5	RESULTS: RISK OF DISABILITY DUE TO CAR CRASHES	111
5.1	INTRODUCTION	111
5.2	EFFECT OF CRASHES ON OVERALL HEALTH	111
5.2.1	<i>Changes in overall health among crash and control drivers</i>	111
5.2.2	<i>Potential confounding by socio-demographic factors</i>	113
5.2.3	<i>Risk of deteriorated health due to crashes</i>	114
5.3	UNIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF EFFECT OF CRASHES ON HEALTH PROFILE	116
5.3.1	<i>Unadjusted SF-36 quality of life scores by exposure status</i>	116
5.3.2	<i>Change in SF-36 profile stratified by overall change in health</i>	118
5.3.3	<i>Changes in health status relative to the severity of the injury</i>	122
5.3.4	<i>Potential sources of bias</i>	126
5.3.4.1	Study eligibility criteria	126
5.3.4.2	Losses to follow-up	126
5.3.4.3	Influence of different modes of administration	127
5.3.4.4	Influence of 'background' changes in the health of drivers	129
5.4	COMPARISON TO PUBLISHED CONDITION-SPECIFIC NORMS	132
5.4.1	<i>Physical Health and Mental Component Summary Scores</i>	132
5.5	SUMMARY: RISK OF DISABILITY DUE TO CRASHES	135
6	RESULTS: ALCOHOL ABUSE AND CRASH-RELATED DISABILITY	137
6.1	INTRODUCTION	137
6.2	CHRONIC ALCOHOL ABUSE	138
6.2.1	<i>Baseline distribution of hazardous drinking</i>	138
6.2.1.1	Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) scores	138
6.2.1.2	Baseline AUDIT scores and levels of acute alcohol exposure	140
6.2.2	<i>Changes in hazardous drinking at follow-up</i>	140
6.3	POTENTIAL CONFOUNDING VARIABLES	142
6.3.1	<i>Injury severity and acute post-crash alcohol levels</i>	142
6.3.1.1	Use of tobacco, marijuana and other (recreational) drugs	144
6.3.2	<i>Comorbidity and history of psychological illness</i>	146
6.3.3	<i>Baseline characteristics of hazardous drinkers by follow-up status</i>	148
6.4	CHRONIC ALCOHOL ABUSE AND REDUCED HEALTH	151
6.4.1	<i>Post-crash disability by drinking status: unadjusted SF-36 scores</i>	151
6.4.2	<i>Unadjusted and adjusted (multiple) regression models</i>	154
6.4.3	<i>Sensitivity analyses</i>	156
6.4.3.1	Missing acute alcohol data	156
6.4.3.2	Incomplete follow-up of participants	158
6.5	SUMMARY	160
7	DISCUSSION	161
7.1	INTRODUCTION	161
7.2	ESTIMATING INJURY-RELATED DISABILITY: A PERSONAL REFLECTION	161
7.3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES	165
7.3.1	<i>Research design</i>	165
7.3.2	<i>Study population</i>	166

7.3.2.1	Bias relating to study eligibility	166
7.3.2.1.1	Research setting and recruitment strategy	166
7.3.2.2	Bias relating to participant attrition	167
7.3.3	<i>Assignment of exposure status</i>	168
7.3.3.1	Drivers exposed to crashes	168
7.3.3.2	Comparison or reference group	168
7.3.3.2.1	Random sampling from the study base	168
7.3.3.2.2	Population norms and the “healthy driver” effect	169
7.3.4	<i>Measurement issues</i>	169
7.3.4.1	Multi-dimensional health profile	169
7.3.4.2	A valid global indicator of disability	171
7.3.4.3	Information biases	172
7.3.4.3.1	Misclassification of chronic alcohol abuse	173
7.3.4.3.2	Misclassification of potential confounders	173
7.3.4.3.3	‘Hindsight bias’ regarding baseline health	174
7.3.4.3.4	Health status assessment using different interview modes	174
7.3.4.4	Duration of follow-up	175
7.3.5	<i>Confounding</i>	175
7.3.6	<i>Precision</i>	176
7.3.7	<i>External validity</i>	177
7.4	SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY FINDINGS	178
7.4.1	<i>Risk of crash-related disability among car drivers</i>	178
7.4.1.1	Main findings	178
7.4.1.2	Relationship to previous studies	179
7.4.1.3	Meaning of findings	179
7.4.2	<i>Potentiating effect of chronic alcohol abuse</i>	180
7.4.2.1	Main findings	180
7.4.2.2	Relationship to previous studies	181
7.4.2.3	Meaning of findings	182
7.5	RESEARCH AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	184
7.5.1	<i>The burden of road crashes is underestimated</i>	184
7.5.2	<i>Measuring disability with parsimony</i>	185
7.5.3	<i>Potentially significant health needs</i>	186
7.5.4	<i>Problem drinking potentiates the risk of post-crash disability</i>	187
REFERENCES		189
APPENDICES		217
Appendix A	<i>Published papers</i>	
Appendix B	<i>GATE critical appraisal checklists</i>	
Appendix C	<i>ACCORD Study materials</i>	
Appendix D	<i>Study questionnaires</i>	
Appendix E	<i>Extracts from the study manual and other resources</i>	

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Examples of opportunities to prevent or control the consequences of motor vehicle crashes using the Haddon matrix ⁸²	10
Table 1-2	Concepts in the Enabling-Disabling Process (Institute of Medicine) ⁸⁴	12
Table 2-1	Electronic databases searched to identify eligible studies for review	21
Table 2-2	Key findings from epidemiological studies (January 1980-January 2003) estimating the risk of disability due to car crashes	28
Table 2-3	Key findings of epidemiological studies estimating the effect of alcohol use on post-injury disability (1980 to January 2003)	39
Table 4-1	Mode of interview of crash drivers followed to 18 months (N=218)	91
Table 4-2	Crash drivers' follow-up status: by personal characteristics at baseline	92
Table 4-3	Baseline frequency (%) distribution of sociodemographic characteristics among crash drivers admitted to hospital and those not admitted.....	96
Table 4-4	Mode of interview of control drivers followed to 18 months (N=254)	99
Table 4-5	Control drivers' follow-up status: by personal characteristics at baseline	100
Table 4-6	Change in overall health in the 12 months prior to study recruitment (pre-crash): response distribution (95% confidence interval)	106
Table 4-7	Baseline frequency distribution (percentage) of socio-demographic characteristics of the study population included in analyses.....	109
Table 5-1	Distribution of participants' self-reported overall health at follow-up relative to baseline (pre-crash) health using the global health indicator ...	112
Table 5-2	Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics among study participants who did and did not report deteriorated health at 18 months	114
Table 5-3	Risk of deteriorated health* at 18-months follow-up associated with serious injury crashes	115
Table 5-4	Unadjusted norm-based mean SF-36 scores (standard errors) among study participants at baseline (pre-crash) and at 5 and 18 months follow-up	117
Table 5-5	Mean SF-36 change scores (standard error) from baseline to 5 and 18 months follow-up among crash drivers relative to controls (reference group)*	121
Table 5-6	Mean changes* in SF-36 norm-based scores from baseline to 18 months (95% confidence intervals) among crash drivers by the severity of injury	124
Table 5-7	SF-36 Physical and Mental Component Summary scores in ACCORD Study participants*	133

Table 5-8	Condition-specific norms of the SF-36 Physical and Mental Component Summary scores derived from the Medical Outcomes Study* ²⁹⁴	134
Table 6-1	Prevalence of self-reported chronic alcohol abuse in study participants ..	139
Table 6-2	Measured and estimated levels of blood alcohol at recruitment	143
Table 6-3	Crude prevalence (95% confidence interval) of tobacco, marijuana and other drug use by driver group.....	145
Table 6-4	Lifetime prevalence (95% confidence interval) of selected chronic medical and psychiatric illnesses, by driver group.....	147
Table 6-5	Baseline characteristics of the eligible population of crash drivers and those followed to 18 months (responders), by "hazardous drinking" status	149
Table 6-6	Baseline characteristics of the eligible population of control drivers and those followed to 18 months (responders), by "hazardous drinking" status.....	150
Table 6-7	Unadjusted SF-36 scores at baseline and change at 18-months in crash and control drivers, defined by hazardous drinking* at baseline	152
Table 6-8	Unadjusted SF-36 scores at baseline and change at 18-months in crash and control drivers, defined by hazardous drinking* at baseline or follow-up	153
Table 6-9	Odds ratios (95% CI) of the association between a $\geq 10\%$ decline in SF-36 scores and crash involvement, by hazardous drinking at baseline .	154
Table 6-10	Odds ratios (95% CI) of the association between a $\geq 10\%$ decline in SF-36 scores and crash involvement, by hazardous drinking over the study period	155
Table 6-11	Multiple logistic regression models* examining the effects of hazardous drinking on crash-related disability* adjusted for imputed information data on acute alcohol exposure (Model A), and crash drivers limited to those admitted to hospital (Model B).....	157
Table 6-12	Multiple logistic regression models* examining the effects of hazardous drinking on crash-related disability with participants lost to follow-up imputed to have either $<10\%$ or no reduction in SF-36 scale scores (Model C), and $\geq 10\%$ reduction in SF-36 scale scores (Model D)	159

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	New registrations with the Accident Compensation Corporation of New Zealand for motor vehicle-related injury crashes: 2001-2004*	5
Figure 1-2	Model of post-injury disability reflecting the enabling-disabling process	12
Figure 1-3	Thesis objectives and research questions	15
Figure 2-1	Prevalence of post-crash disability among car occupants based on epidemiological surveys published between 1980-2001.....	36
Figure 3-1	Research objectives of the thesis: outline of the study design.....	53
Figure 4-1	Flow diagram of participant recruitment to the ACCORD Study	90
Figure 4-2	Gender distribution of drivers involved in crashes in the study population and those reported in the 1997/1998 NZ Travel Survey	94
Figure 4-3	Age distribution of drivers involved in crashes in the study population and those reported in the 1997/1998 NZ Travel Survey	95
Figure 4-4	Distribution of Injury Severity Scores among hospitalised crash drivers	98
Figure 4-5	Gender distribution in control drivers, estimated driving time in Travel Survey, licensed drivers in Auckland, and the general population aged > 15 years.....	101
Figure 4-6	Age distribution contributing to driving time (control drivers), the general population aged > 15 years, and licensed drivers in Auckland ...	102
Figure 4-7	Gender distribution by age among control drivers, distance travelled as a car driver (Travel Survey) and general population aged 15-90 years....	102
Figure 4-8	Ethnic distribution of controls and the general population (>15 years) ..	103
Figure 4-9	Age- and sex-standardised Short Form-36 scores among study participants at baseline (pre-crash) and the New Zealand general population	104
Figure 4-10	Change in overall health in the 12 months prior to recruitment (pre-crash) in the study population (unadjusted estimates).....	106
Figure 4-11	Distance travelled driving a car during an average week: distribution ...	110
Figure 5-1	Proportion of drivers reporting deteriorated health at follow-up relative to baseline (pre-crash) health using the global health indicator	112
Figure 5-2	Mean change in norm-based SF-36 scores among participants with and without overall reductions in health over 18 months*	119
Figure 5-3	Mean SF-36 change scores from baseline to follow-up among crash drivers with deteriorated health (reference: all controls)*	122
Figure 5-4	Mean changes in SF-36 scores* at each follow-up phase among crash drivers reporting worse health at 18 months, stratified by injury severity	125

Figure 5-5	Mean changes in SF-36 scores* among crash drivers reporting worse health at 18 months using the total and 'interviewees only' samples	128
Figure 5-6	Mean changes in SF-36 scores in crash drivers reporting worse health at 18 months with and without adjustment for background change in health among control drivers	130
Figure 5-7	Position of crash survivors with reduced health at 18 months and condition-specific norms with respect to physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) health	134
Figure 6-1	Distribution of AUDIT scores in the study population at baseline	138
Figure 6-2	Distribution of AUDIT score categories (non-drinkers, non-hazardous drinkers and hazardous drinkers) in the study population at baseline	139
Figure 6-3	Relationship between self-reported AUDIT scores and acute blood alcohol level (mg percent) at baseline	140
Figure 6-4	Proportions of study participants meeting the AUDIT criteria for hazardous drinking at baseline and 18-month follow-up	141
Figure 6-5	Distribution of Injury Severity Scores among hospitalised drivers, by the level of alcohol at the time of the crash	144
Figure 6-6	Crude distribution of tobacco, marijuana and other drug use in the study population*	145
Figure 6-7	Life-time prevalence of chronic physical and mental health problems in the study population (un-adjusted estimates)	146
Figure 7-1	Conceptual model of alcohol consumption, intermediate mechanisms and long-term consequences (adapted from Rehm et al, 2003) ⁴⁰⁰	183

List of Abbreviations

ACC	Accident Compensation Corporation of New Zealand
ACCIS	Auckland Car Crash Injury Study (baseline case-control study)
ACCORD	Auckland Car Crash Outcomes Recovery & Disability Study
ADL	Activities of Daily Living
AIS	Abbreviated Injury Score
AUDIT	Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test
BAC	Blood Alcohol Concentration
CI	Confidence Interval
DALY	Disability Adjusted Life Year
ED	Emergency Department
GCS	Glasgow Coma Score
ICF	International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
ISS	Injury Severity Score
LTSA	Land Transport Safety Authority
mg %	milligrams per 100 decilitres of blood
MVC	Motor Vehicle Crash
NZHS	New Zealand Health Information Service
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
OR	Odds Ratio
RR	Relative Risk
SF-36	Short Form-36 (original source: Medical Outcomes Study)
WHO	World Health Organisation