### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <a href="http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback">http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</a>

## General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form

# Basic Word Order in *De Agricultura*

# Kirsten M Hanna

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of PhD in Linguistics,

The University of Auckland, 2004

#### **Abstract**

Latin word order has been the subject of scholarly debate for centuries, yet the question is far from resolved. In terms of the basic order of the clause's core constituents, some analysts argue that the basic order is SOV, while others argue for SVO. Some scholars argue that basic order is determined primarily by pragmatic principles, others hold the view that order is primarily syntactically determined, and others suggest that both syntactic and pragmatic principles are in operation.

The purpose of this study is to contribute to the debate on basic order in Latin and its Specifically, I examine the order of subject, object and verb in De agricultura, an agricultural manual written in the 2<sup>nd</sup> century BC. The study shows that the orders SV and OV are so overwhelmingly predominant that we are justified in labelling them as basic; indeed they are so common that we could argue that the order of these elements is relatively fixed. Departures from the basic order fall into two categories: those instances where a subject or object comes later in the clause than usual (i.e. after the verb) and those instances where they appear earlier in the clause than usual (i.e. in a fronting construction). It will be shown that there is a constellation of factors which must be taken into account to explain the distribution and function of these deviations, including the information status of a fronted or postposed NP's referent, its pragmatic function in the clause, and the function of the clause in structuring the discourse. In summary, I argue that in De agricultura there is a syntactically determined basic order for subject, object and verb, and that departures from the basic order are motivated by pragmatic factors, viz either (a) the need to draw attention to an NP's referent(s) for a specific discourse-structuring reason or (b) the positioning of certain 'focally heavy' information after light verbs.

Dedicated to Jan, Rhys and Simon, who got me started and kept me going.

#### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank the University of Auckland, the Department of Applied Language Studies and Linguistics, the Department of Classics and Ancient History, and the Department of English for providing not only the resources needed to complete this thesis, but also significant financial support.

I am also very much indebted to my supervisors, Dr Frank Lichtenberk and Dr Bill Barnes, for their guidance, support and encouragement, and for providing the optimal conditions under which to complete this thesis. In addition, I would like to thank Associate Professor Kathryn Smidts for kindly teaching me German in her own time, and Drs Scott Allan, Fay Wouk, Ross Clark, Robin Hooper and my supervisors, whose teaching and encouragement prompted me to undertake this study in the first place.

On a personal note, I am extremely fortunate to have had the support and understanding of my immediate and extended *whanau* and friends, too many to list, but I mention David, Heather, Joan, Simon and Doris, Ngara and Steve, Helen and Tony, Marc, Tom, Ann, Monica, Barbara and Cathy. Lastly, but not leastly, the fact that I ever completed this undertaking is due to the encouragement and support (moral and financial) of my parents, Jan and Rhys, and Franco – I couldn't have done it without you.

#### **Table of Contents**

	Abst	ract		ii		
	Acknowledgements					
	Table of Contents					
	Abbı	reviati	ons	x		
CHA	PTER	2 1: Ir	ntroduction	1		
	1.	The	purpose of this study	2		
	2.	Cato	the Censor's <i>De agricultura</i>	3		
	3.	The	structure of this thesis	4		
CHA	PTER	2: To	extual Integrity	7		
	1.	The	current form of <i>De agricultura</i>	8		
	2.	Expl	anations for the current form of the text	11		
	3.	Cond	clusion	15		
CHA	PTER	3: P	revious Studies on Latin Word Order	17		
	Intro	oducti	on	18		
	1.	Турс	ological approach: Adams (1976)	20		
		1.1	Brief overview of Lehmann's theory	20		
		1.2	The logical structure of Lehmann's model and its application	23		
		1.3	Adams' application of Lehmann's typological model to Latin	24		
		1.4	Criticisms of Lehmann's model	26		
		1.5	The ambivalence of SVO and the VO:OV split	28		
			1.5.1 The lack of exceptionless universals for SVO languages in			
			Greenberg's data	28		
			1.5.2 SVO is a "mixed" type	30		
		1.6	The position of Lehmann's model and predictive power of VO			
			features	31		
	2.	Functional Sentence Perspective: Panhuis (1982)				
	3.	Fund	tional Grammar	43		
		3.1	Introduction	43		
		3.2	Topic and Focus	45		
			3.2.1 Types of topic	46		
			3.2.2 Types of focus	47		
		3.3	Constituent ordering	48		
		3.4	Pinkster (1990)	51		
		3.5	Cabrillana (1996) and de Jong (1989)	53		

	4.	Conc	lusion: studies in Latin word order	56	
CHAI	HAPTER 4: Basic Word Order				
	Intro	ductio	on	60	
	1.	Prelir	minaries	60	
	2.	How	to determine basic order	64	
		2.1	Frequency	64	
		2.2	Markedness	65	
			2.2.1 Formal markedness	65	
			2.2.2 Distributional markedness	66	
			2.2.3 Pragmatic markedness	66	
	3.	Conc	lusion	67	
CHAI	PTER	5: Di	scourse Referents & Information Status	69	
	Intro	ductio	on	70	
	1.	Disco	ourse referents	70	
		1.1	Karttunen's permanent and short-term referents	70	
		1.2	Postverbal nominals in <i>De agricultura</i>	72	
	2.	Infor	mation status	76	
		2.1	A note on definiteness and indefiniteness	76	
		2.2	Information structure and information status	77	
			2.2.1 Lambrecht's (1996) model of information status	78	
			2.2.2 Prince's (1992) model of information structure	80	
		2.3	Information status and nominals in <i>De agricultura</i>	81	
			2.3.1 When is a new discourse referent truly new?	82	
			2.3.2 Partitives	83	
CHA	PTER	6: Th	ne Position of O and V	87	
	Intro	ductic	on: the position of objects	88	
	1.	Prelir	minaries	88	
		1.1	Clause types	88	
		1.2	Ellipsis	89	
			1.2.1 The OVO pattern and ellipsis of the verb	89	
			1.2.2 Ellipsis of coordinated object	92	
		1.3	Discourse environments	95	
		1.4	Structure of recipes	97	
			1.4.1 Alimentary, wine, preserving recipes	97	
			1.4.2 Wine-based and medical/veterinary recipes1	00	
	2.	The p	position of lexical objects in <i>De agricultura</i> 1	01	

	3.	Distribution of the VO and OVO orders in chapters 54-162 of <i>De agricult</i>	ura
			103
		3.1 Chapter type 1	103
		3.2 Distribution of VO according to chapter type 1	104
	4	The (O)VO orders in chapters 54-126, 128-155, 159-162 1	106
		4.1 Verbs of the semantic field 'add'	106
		4.1.1 Recipes for preserving, wine-making, wine-based medicines	
		and veterinary medicines 1	107
		4.1.2 Agricultural procedure, agricultural management and wine-	
		making procedure chapters1	110
		4.1.3 Alimentary recipes 1	111
		4.1.4 Conclusion 1	112
		4.2 Discussion of postverbal objects with verbs of adding	115
		4.3 Other light verbs	117
		4.3.1 <i>Do</i> 'give'	117
		4.3.2 <i>Sumo</i> 'take'	122
		4.3.3 <i>Facio</i> 'make'	123
		4.4 Conclusion	124
	5.	The VO and OVO orders in chapters 127, 156, 157 and 158	124
		5.1 Chapters 127, 156 and 158 1	
		5.2 Chapter 157 1	
	6.	Conclusion: (O)VO in chapters 54-162 1	
	7.	The VO and OVO orders in chapters 1-53 1	
	8.	Discussion	
		8.1 The distribution of the (O)VO order in chapters 1-53 versus 54-162 . 1	132
		8.2 The properties of postverbal objects and their predicates in <i>De</i>	
		agricultura 1	134
		8.3 Explanation of the rules for verbs of adding and <i>do</i> 'give'	
		8.4 Explanation of other light verbs: <i>sumo/capio</i> 'take' and <i>facio</i> 'make' . 1	
		8.5 Explanation of the (O)VO order in general	
	9.	Pronominal objects	
	10.	Conclusion	
CHAI		7: The position of S and V 1	
	1.	Introduction: the position of subjects	
	2.	The position of subjects in chapters 1-53	
	3.	The position of subjects in chapters 54-162	
	4.	Conclusion	
	-		

CHAF	PTER	8: Tł	he 'Fronting' of Nominals	155
	Intro	ductio	on	156
	1.	First	position in the clause	156
	2.	F1 co	onstruction	161
		2.1	Distribution	161
			2.1.1 Shift to new discourse topic or subtopic of establish	shed
			discourse topic	161
			2.1.2 List and list-like environments	163
			2.1.3 Contrast	165
			2.1.4 Non sentence-initial instances of F1 construction	166
		2.2	Conclusion	168
	3.	F2 co	onstruction	168
		3.1	The construction	168
		3.2	Distribution	170
			3.2.1 Shift to new discourse topic or subtopic of establish	shed
			discourse topic	170
			3.2.2 List and list-like environments	172
			3.2.3 Shifts	173
			3.2.4 Switch between active referents	174
			3.2.5 The F2 construction with pronouns	175
			3.2.6 Non sentence-initial instances of F2 construction	175
		3.3	Conclusion	177
		Table	e 2: Distribution of F2 construction	177
	4.	F3 co	onstruction	178
		4.1	The construction	178
		4.2	Distribution	179
			4.2.1 Topic shift, lists and list-like environments	179
			4.2.2 Asides	180
			4.2.3 Switching from one active referent to another	181
			4.2.4 The F3 construction with a pronoun	184
		4.3	Conclusion	185
	5.	Then	mes	185
		5.1	Extra-clausal constituents: an overview	186
		5.2	Themes in Latin	187
		5.3	Themes in <i>De agricultura</i>	190
			5.3.1 Syntax of main clause	190
			5.3.2 Focus of main clause	191

			5.3.3 Position in the topic chain	191
			5.3.4 Conclusion	192
		5.4	Distribution	192
		5.5	Conclusion	194
	6.	Disc	ussion of fronted nominals	196
		6.1	Topic shift, subtopic shift, lists and list-like environments	196
		6.2	Measurement of topicality of fronted NPs' referents in topic shift	
			and listing environments	203
			6.2.1 Referential accessibility - relationship with prior discourse	203
			6.2.2 Persistence/continuity - relationship with subsequent	
			discourse	205
			6.2.3 Pragmatic status of preclausal NPs	207
			6.2.4 Conclusion	208
		6.3	Fronted nominals as discourse topics in the topic-shift environment .	210
		6.4	Fronted constituent in lists and list-like environments	214
		6.5	Summary of fronted nominals as discourse topics in the topic-shift	
			environment	217
		6.6	Breaks in the thread of discourse	218
	7.	Fron	ting patterns in chapters 53-164	221
	8.	Cond	clusion: fronting in <i>De agricultura</i>	224
CHA	APTER	9: C	onclusion	227
	Intro	oducti	on	228
	1.	Com	parison with other researchers	229
		1.2	De Jong & Cabrillana	230
		1.3	Somers	231
		1.4	Summary	233
	2.	Wor	d order in <i>De agricultura</i> and word order universals	233
		2.1	Focal position in SOV languages	233
		2.2	The topic-first principle	235
	3.	The	integrity of <i>De agricultura</i>	239
APF	PENDI	CES.		241
	I)A	uthor	s of antiquity cited	242
	11)	Ancier	nt texts cited	243
	Bibli	ograp	hy	244
	Glos	sary o	of Latin terms	250

#### **Abbreviations**

2ps second person singular

3pp third person plural

3ps third person singular

abl ablative

acc accusative

adv adverb dat dative

FI future imperative

fqp future perfect

fut future tense

gen genitive

ger gerundive

imp imperfect

indecl indeclinable noun

inf infinitive

int interrogative particle

n/a indeterminate as to nominative or accusative coding (applied only to

fronted elements and their modifiers)

neg negator

nom nominative

pass passive

PI present imperative

perf perfect tense

pres present tense

sub subordinator

subj subjunctive

NB: Unless otherwise indicated, verbs are in the indicative and are present tense (i.e. tense and mood are only marked if the tense is not present or the mood is not indicative).