

RESEARCHSPACE@AUCKLAND

http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

Cognitive Factors in the Maintenance of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Rona Elizabeth Moss-Morris

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor in Philosophy
in the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Science
Faculty of Medicine and Health Science
The University of Auckland

October 1997

Abstract

Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS) is an illness characterized by persistent debilitating fatigue of uncertain origin. Precipitating and perpetuating factors of this illness are thought to be distinct and the aim of this thesis was to gain greater insight into the role of cognitive factors which may maintain the condition. This work was guided by two central frameworks, the self-regulatory model of illness representations and the cognitive taxonomy of psychopathology. These were used to define the different cognitive constructs and to investigate the way they function as a system to maintain pathological schema and disability in CFS.

Three studies using different methodologies were conducted to test the hypotheses. The first employed a descriptive comparative design to ascertain whether CFS patients have unique cognitions which contribute to their disability over time. The sample was comprised of CFS patients without depression (n=39), CFS patients with a concurrent diagnosis of depression (n=14), patients with a primary diagnosis of depression (n=20); and healthy controls (n=38). The groups were matched in aggregate for age, gender, race, and education. Subjects completed the Cognitive Errors Questionnaire-Revised, which measures cognitive distortions relevant to both general and somatic events, and the Illness Perception Questionnaire, which measures the five dimensions of the illness representation in conjunction with other standard measures. Between-group analyses confirmed that the depressed group was distinguished by a low self-esteem, feelings of guilt and self-recriminations, the propensity to make cognitive distortions across all situations, and to attribute their illness to internal, stable and global factors. In contrast, the CFS patients were characterized by low ratings of their current health status, a strong illness identity, external attributions for their illness, and distortion in thinking that were specific to somatic experiences. CFS depressed patients had lower self-esteem than non-depressed patients and had the most pessimistic illness beliefs. A six month follow-up showed that CFS patients' cognitive structures and level of disability remained remarkably stable. Illness identity, serious consequences, somatic errors, and limiting coping accounted for a substantial proportion of the variance in CFS patients' disability scores over time. These results are discussed in terms of their support for both of the cognitive models. CFS patients appeared to have distinct cognitions which were associated with ongoing disability.

The subsequent two quasi-experimental studies were conducted in a single laboratory session. The first of these used standardized neuropsychological tests to determine whether psychological variables, particularly somatic focus, interfere with CFS patients' performance on high load attention tasks. The discrepancy between CFS patients' subjective reports of concentration and memory difficulties and objective evidence of these deficits was also investigated. The subjects included 25 CFS patients matched

for age, gender, and intelligence with two groups of healthy controls. One of these groups underwent a somatic induction procedure as part of the investigation of the effects of somatic preoccupation on attention tasks. The tests included the verbal memory subscales from the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised and the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Task (PASAT), a measure of divided attention and speed of information processing. The analyses of the induction data failed to support the validity of this procedure resulting in the somatic control group being dropped from the analysis. Consistent with previous studies the principal deficit in the CFS group appeared to be on the PASAT. The CFS group appeared to be less accurate than healthy controls in their appraisal of their performance, which were related to negative mood rather than objective performance. Depression was also related to high performance expectations in the CFS group, but not the controls. The results did not support the original assumption that somatic preoccupation contributes to neuropsychological difficulties in CFS. However, mood factors were clearly shown to impact on both the objective and subjective experience of symptoms.

The aim of the final study was to investigate the concordance between the self-report data collected in study one and information processing biases in CFS. Comparisons of the CFS patients and healthy controls on a modified Stroop attention task and a self-schema memory task, found no evidence of an illness-related bias in CFS patients' processing of information. Rather, they demonstrated a significant tendency to be distracted by and remember depressed-relevant stimuli. The exception was their propensity to make somatic interpretations. These results are discussed in terms of the defensiveness hypothesis, which proposes that CFS patients' negative, external illness perceptions and somatic distortions may act as a defence against underlying feelings of low self-esteem. The complex nature of CFS patients' cognitive structures was revealed and the need to use measures which do not rely on self-reports was clearly demonstrated. These studies provided further support for the central role of cognitive factors and mood in perpetuating CFS.

Acknowledgements

The support and guidance from my primary supervisor, Assoc Prof Keith Petrie, is acknowledged with gratitude. You have been an inspiration, Keith, in introducing me to the fascinating field of health psychology and the stimulating people who work in the area. You have been instrumental in opening up new and exciting career pathways and have made the process a lot more fun that it might have been. My second supervisor, Assoc Prof Robert Large, also provided valuable guidance and comments in the early stages of this thesis.

Sincere thanks to all the people who gave up their precious time to participate as subjects in these studies. Without your participation this research would not have been possible. A special acknowledgement to those of you with CFS and depression, who despite your conditions, showed interest in the research and gave generously of your time.

Dr Rosamund Vallings' support of this research and assistance in recruiting subjects was invaluable. Ros, your dedication to the CFS cause is astounding, as is your tremendous energy in accomplishing all you do. A number of others made a big effort to help with the recruitment process, including Val Sutcliffe, Sarah Turbott, Simon Hatcher, and Cindy Wharton. Your assistance was very much appreciated.

To my husband, Grant, a huge thank you for your endless support, unselfishness, and help with various tasks over this period. Thank you for putting up with an impoverished wife for all these years and for never making demands of my time when the going got tough. Your belief in me and encouragement has been a great strength and has fuelled my determination to pursue these academic goals.

To my proof readers, Val Sutcliffe, Robin Mackay, Denise Reynolds, Toni Cathie, and Barbara Herbst, your "eagle eyes" and talent for spotting errors has been a godsend to me. I am immensely grateful for the amount of time you have spent on this extremely tedious task and your amazing willingness to do so. To Maynard Williams, Elizabeth Robinson, and Dr Linda Cameron, who provided statistical advice during times of crisis, thank you for your expertise, your time, and tremendous patience. I would also like to thank Prof Jamie Pennebaker, Prof Simon Wessely, Dr Michael Sharpe, and Dr Peter White who generously shared their expert knowledge and their latest unpublished work.

To the "A" team in office 3594, Trecia Wouldes and Deanna Buick, thank goodness for you guys! You have kept me sane throughout this time and I could not have asked for better office mates. It certainly has

made the process a whole lot more fun. I am also very grateful to Prof. Robert Kydd for providing me with laboratory space and for his support as head of department. John West, Rudy Melster and Derrick Bunn provided their invaluable services in setting up the laboratory.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the generous financial support I received from the Health Research Council. It has been a privilege to be able to devote this time to study and to have had the opportunity to present my work overseas.

Table of Contents

Abstra	ct i
Ackno	wledgements iii
Table	of Contents
List of	Tables viii
List of	Figures x
List of	Abbreviations
SECT	ION 1: INTRODUCTION
1.	Introduction to Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Historical Perspective
	Overlapping Syndromes
	Epidemiology
2.	Biomedical Investigations of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Viral Findings
	Immunological Findings
	Central Nervous System Findings
	Muscle, Cardiovascular, and Respiratory Abnormalities
	Allergy, Diets, and Pollutants
	The Latest "Diagnostic" Test
	Conclusions
3.	Psychological and Social Investigations of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Sociocultural Factors in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Diagnosed Psychiatric Disorder 44
	Personality and CFS
	Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Stress
	Psychiatric Disorder, Persistent Disability, and Symptom Experience in CFS 58
	Conclusions
4.	Neuropsychological Studies of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Objective Neuropsychological Deficits in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome 61
	Neuropsychological Performance and Organic Factors 69
	Neuropsychological Performance and Psychological Factors
	Conclusions and Future Directions

5.	Cognitive Benavioural Models of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Cognitive Behavioural Models of CFS
	The Self-Regulatory Model of Illness Representations
	Cognitive Distortions and Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Cognitive Models of Psychopathology
	Summary and Conclusions
6.	Hypotheses Formulation
	Summary of the Aetiological Factors in CFS
	Rationale for the Current Research
	Study 1: Cognitive Styles in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Depression 112
	Study 2: Information Processing Biases in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
	Study 3: Psychological Factors and Neuropsychological Performance in CFS 115
SECT	TION 2: COGNITIVE STYLES STUDY
7.	Methodology for Cognitive Styles in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Depression 117
	Subjects
	Measures
	Procedure
8.	Results for Cognitive Styles in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Depression
	Between-Group Hypotheses
	Within-Group Hypotheses
9.	Discussion for Cognitive Styles in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Depression 155
	Comparisons between Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Primary Depression 155
	Summary and Practical Implications
	Limitations and Future Directions
SECT	TION 3: THE LABORATORY STUDIES
10.	Methodology for the Laboratory Studies
	Subjects
	Measures for the Neuropsychological Study
	Procedure for the Neuropsychological Study
	Measures for the Information Processing Study
	Procedure for the Information Processing Study

11.	Resul	ts for the Laboratory Studies
		Psychological Factors and Neuropsychological Performance in CFS 186
		Information Processing Study
12	Discu	ssion for the Laboratory Studies
		Psychological Factors and Neuropsychological Performance in CFS
		Information Processing Study
		Conclusions
REF	ERENC	ES
APPI	ENDIC	ES
	A.	Neuropsychological deficits in chronic fatigue syndrome: Artifact or reality? 286
	B.	Details of the power analysis for the study on cognitive styles in CFS and depression 289
	C.	Subject information sheet and consent form for CFS patients participating in the study on
		cognitive styles in CFS and depression
	D.	Interview sheet based on CDC research criteria for CFS
	E.	Subject information sheet and consent form for healthy controls participating in the study
		on cognitive styles in CFS and depression
	F.	Cognitive Styles Questionnaire
	G.	Cognitive distortions of somatic experiences: Revision and validation of a measure . 315
	H	Covering letter for the 6 month follow-up questionnaire
	I	Reminder letter for the 6 month follow-up questionnaire
	J.	Details of the power analysis for the laboratory studies
	K.	Subject information sheet, consent form and symptom checklist for CFS subjects
		participating in the laboratory studies
	L	Subject information sheet and consent form for healthy controls participating in the
		laboratory studies
	M.	Pre-Laboratory Questionnaire
	N.	Laboratory Questionnaire
	O.	Battery of neuropsychological tests
	P.	Somatic induction activity
	Q.	Modified CFS Stroop Task
	R.	Neutral and somatic responses to the ambiguous word cues across subject groups 358

List of Tables

1.1	The 1994 CDC criteria for Diagnosing CFS Questionnaire
3.1	Studies of Psychiatric Disorder in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
4.1	Neuropsychological Studies in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
7.1	Demographic Characteristics of Subject Groups Included in the Cognitive Styles Study 119
7.2	Current and Past Diagnoses of Depression and Antidepressant Medication across Illness Groups
8.1	Analysis of Variance of Self-Focusing, Symptom-Focusing, and Limiting Coping 136
8.2	Analysis of Variance of the IPQ Cluster Dimensions
8.3	Patterns of Symptom Endorsement across the Illness Groups
8.4	Univariate effects of IPQ Cluster Membership and Age on the SIP Subscales
8.5	Analysis of Variance of the Attributional Cluster Dimensions
8.6	Univariate effects of Attributional Cluster Membership on Self-esteem and the BDI Subscales
8.7	Spearman Correlations between the Causal Factors and both Self-Esteem and the BDI Subscales
	148
8.8	Correlations between the IPQ Variables, Somatic Focus, Somatic Cognitive Errors and Limiting
	Coping
8.9	Test-Retest Correlations for the Illness-Related Cognitive Variables and Disability 150
8.10	Results of Hierarchical Multiple Regressions of Cognitive Factors on CFS-Related Disability, Well-
	Being, and Fatigue
10.1	Demographic Characteristics of Subject Groups Included in the Laboratory Studies 170
10.2	Words Selected for the CFS Stroop Task
10.3	Categories of Self-Referent Adjectives Included in the Self-Schema Task
10.4	Words included in the Ambiguous Cues Task
10.5	Summary of the Somatic and Neutral Responses to the Ambiguous Word Cues 185
11.1	Laboratory Symptom and Mood Reports in the Healthy and Somatic Control Groups, Controlling
	for Baseline Measures
11.2	NART Error and IQ Scores in CFS and Healthy Controls Groups
11.3	Time of Day of Testing in CFS and Healthy Controls Groups
11.4	Medication use in CFS and Healthy Control Groups
11.5	Univariate Effects of Group Membership on CFS-related Symptoms, Anxiety, and Depression

11.6	Correlation Matrix of the Variables from the Pre-laboratory Questionnaire
11.7	Univariate Effects of Group Membership on Laboratory Symptom and Mood Reports 193
11.8	Univariate Effects of Group Membership on the Physiological Variables
11.9	Correlation Matrix of the Variables from the Laboratory Questionnaire
11.10	Univariate Effects of Group Membership and the Covariates on Memory Test Scores in CFS and
	Healthy Control groups
11.11	Medication use and Neuropsychological Performance in CFS
11.12	Correlations between the Pre-laboratory Variables and Neuropsychological Performance in CFS
11.13	Correlations between the Laboratory Variables and Neuropsychological Performance in CFS and
	Healthy Control Groups
11.14	Results of the Multiple Regression on CFS Patients' PASAT scores
11.15	Correlations Between CFS Patients' Subjective Appraisals of Cognitive Difficulty and the
	Laboratory Variables
11.16	Results of Multiple Regressions on CFS Patients' Subjective Appraisals of Cognitive Difficulty and
	Performance
11.17	Results of Multiple Regressions on Healthy Controls' Subjective Appraisal of Cognitive
	Performance
11.18	T-tests of CFS related Disability and Neuropsychological Performance
11.19	Correlations between the Self-Schema Variables, the Demographic Factors and NA 209
11.20	Simple Effects Analysis of Group for each level of Self-Descriptive Adjective-Type 211
11.21	Simple Effects Analysis of Adjective-Type Holding Subject Group Constant 211
11.22	Simple Effects Analysis of Group for each Level of Recall
11.23	Simple Effects Analysis of Recall Holding Subject Group Constant
11.24	Correlations between the Self-Schema Variables, and Symptom and Mood Reports in CFS . 215
11.25	Correlations between the Modified Stroop Variables, the Demographic Factors and Mood . 216
11.26	Simple Effects Analysis of Group for each level of Depressive Stimuli
11.27	Simple Effects Analysis of Depressive Interference, Holding Subject Group Constant 218
11.28	Correlations between Stroop Interference, and Symptom and Mood Reports in CFS 220
11.29	Correlations between the Somatic Bias Variables, the Demographic Factors, and NA 220

List of Figures

6.1.	Summary of the possible aetiological factors in CFS
6.2.	Taxonomy of the cognitive mechanisms in the maintenance of chronic fatigue syndrome \dots 111
6.3.	Outline of the research hypotheses for each study based on the cognitive taxonomy 113
8.1	Dimensions of psychological well-being across groups
8.2	BDI subscales across groups
8.3	Self-esteem and self-rated health across groups
8.4	Cognitive errors across groups
8.5	Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ) clusters
8.6	Comparison of illness groups across IPQ clusters
8.7	Causal beliefs in CFS and depressed groups
8.8	Attributional clusters
8.9	Comparison of illness groups across the attributional clusters
11.1	Changes in mood in somatic and healthy control groups during the laboratory procedure 188
11.2	Changes in somatic symptoms in CFS and healthy control groups during the laboratory procedure
11.3	Changes in mood in CFS and healthy control groups during the laboratory procedure 195
11.4	Self-descriptive adjectives in the healthy control and CFS groups
11.5	Recall of adjectives in the healthy control and CFS groups
11.6	Predicted recall of negative adjectives based on the group membership by NA interaction 214
11.7	Predicted recall of postive adjectives based on the group membership by NA interaction 214
11.8	Time taken to colour name the Stroop variables in the healthy control and CFS groups 217
11.9	Mean scores for interference in colour naming as a function of stimulus material 219
11.10	Somatic bias scores across groups

List of Abbreviations

ACTH adrenocorticotropic hormone

AVP arginine vasopressin

ANOVA analysis of variance

ANCOVA analysis of covariance

BDI Beck Depression Inventory

BDV Borna disease virus

BP blood pressure

CANTAB Cambridge Automated Neuropsychological Test Battery

CATEGO Computerized Diagnostic System for ICD-9 Diagnoses

CBT cognitive behavioural therapy

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CEQ-R Cognitive Error Questionnaire Revised

CF chronic fatigue

CFIDS chronic fatigue immune dysfunction syndrome

CFS chronic fatigue syndrome

CIDI Composite International Diagnostic Interview

CMV cytomegalovirus

CNS central nervous system

CRH corticotrophin-releasing hormone

CVLT California Verbal Learning Test

DBP diastolic blood pressure

DTH delayed-type hypersensitivity

DIS Diagnostic Interview Schedule

DSM Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

DTH delayed-type hypersensitivity

DV dependent variable

EBV Epstein-Barr virus

ERPs event-related potentials

GAD generalized anxiety disorder

GP general practitioner

HAD The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Inventory

HHV6 human herpesvirus 6

HPA hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal

HR Heart rate

IBQ Illness Behaviour Questionnaire

ICD International Classification of Diseases

IPQ Illness Perception Questionnaire

IV independent variable

MANOVA multiple analysis of variance

MANCOVA multiple analysis of covariance

MCS multiple chemical sensitivities

ME myalgic encephalomyelitis

MHI-5 Five Item Mental Health Scale

MI myocardial infarction

MMPI Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory

MRI nuclear magnetic resonance imaging

MS multiple sclerosis

NA negative affect

NART National Adult Reading Test

NK natural killer

PA positive affect

PANAS Positive and Negative Affect Schedule

PASAT Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test

PSE Present State Examination

PIFS post-infectious fatigue syndrome

RA rheumatoid arthritis

RCIS Revised Clinical Interview Schedule

RDC Research Diagnostic Criteria

SAD seasonal affective disorder

SADS Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia

SAT Verbal Scholastic Aptitude Test

SBP systolic blood pressure

SCID-P Structured Clinical Interview (psychiatric patient version)

SCAN Schedules for Clinical Assessment of Neuropsychiatry

SIP Sickness Impact Profile

SPECT single-photon-emission-computed tomographic scanning

STAI State-Trait Anxiety Inventory

Tukey HSD Tukey honestly significant difference

US United States

VPA Verbal paired associates

WAIS Wechsler adult intelligence scale

WAIS-R Wechsler adult intelligence scale revised

WMS Wechsler memory scale

WMS-R Wechsler memory scale revised