

#### RESEARCHSPACE@AUCKLAND

#### http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

#### ResearchSpace@Auckland

#### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <a href="http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback">http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</a>

#### General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

# Gap Junctions in the Lens: Is Location Everything?

**Aran Mathew George Sisley** 

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Physiology,
The University of Auckland, 2007.

#### **Abstract**

The primary function of the ocular lens is as a light-focusing structure. To this end the lens is avascular, with a highly ordered arrangement of cells that acts to reduce light scattering. However, the avascular nature of the lens presents the problem of supplying cells deep within the lens with nutrients and removing waste products of cellular metabolism, i.e. maintaining cellular homeostasis. To solve this problem the lens possesses intercellular channels, called gap junctions, that facilitate cell-to-cell communication and are regulated by changes in the subcellular distribution and age-dependent processing of the gap junction protein subunits, called connexins. The lens expresses three types of connexins (Cx): Cx43 in the anterior surface epithelial cells and connexins 46 and 50 in the fibre cells. Therefore, to fully examine the role of GJs in lens homeostasis, regional differences in GJ structure and function need to be quantified.

In this thesis I present the results of experiments designed to map the differentiationdependent changes to subcellular distribution and posttranslational processing of Cx46 and Cx50 in mouse and rat lenses. My results quantitatively show for the first time that Cx50 undergoes two discrete cleavage events in a similar manner to that previously shown for Cx46, and that qualitatively Cx46 does the same in the mouse lens. Such posttranslational processing has important effects on the proposed lens circulation model (Mathias et al., 1997) that accounts for lens homeostasis. In addition, I have examined the functional contribution of gap junctions to localised intercellular communication in different regions of wildtype and Cx46-KO mouse lenses, using highly localised uncaging of fluorescein with Two-Photon Excited Flash Photolysis (TPEFP) in half lenses. The results of these experiments have provided the first supporting evidence for a macromolecule-permeable pathway, previously suggested by Shestoplaov and Bassnett (2000, 2003), that acts in conjunction with gap junctions to facilitate intercellular communication in the lens core. My results show that this pathway acts at a localised level to confer cell-to-cell communication that is as effective as that mediated by gap junctions, and therefore a re-evaluation of current models of lens homeostasis is now required.

## Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to thank my two main supervisors: Associate Professor Paul Donaldson for all of his support, encouragement, enthusiasm, and constant flow of ideas and suggestions throughout my PhD, and Dr Christian Soeller for his patience and help when I struggled to communicate with the computer via IDL, and on the many occasions when I struggled to 'drive' the two-photon setup.

Thank you also to Dr Marc Jacobs for teaching me the ways of the cryosectioner and the secret arts of lens immunolabelling and IDL processing. His advice and tips were vital for my work and were greatly appreciated. Thank you to Dr Denis Loiselle for lending his statistical expertise in the final countdown, above and beyond the call of duty. It was greatly appreciated and hopefully his marriage is still intact!

A big thank you to my fellow PhD students and friends: **Dr's** Kevin Webb and Gus Grey. Always there to commiserate with and a great source of discussion, both scientific and 'real world'!

For all the fun times and friendships I would like to thank the many people of the Molecular Vision Lab who I have had the pleasure of knowing: Dr Kaa-Sandra Chee, Dr Julie Lim, Dr Rachel Merriman-Smith, and the 'kids' that have joined us for projects over the years - Heneu, Jo, Jonelle, Karen, Anja, Ling, Haruna, Nancy and Morgan.

Thank you to Jacqui Ross and Hilary Holloway for help with the BIRU confocal setups and discussions on imaging and protocols.

Thank you to all my family and friends for all the encouragement and support you have given me. To Mum – Keep fighting and be persistent. One thing that this PhD has taught me is that you have to give something a real go before you can dismiss it as something that won't work! To Dad – Your patience and commitment to looking after Mum has been unbelievable. I will always be grateful for that and I know that things will get better.

Finally, a huge thank you to Anna. Your support, especially during the final write-up phase was phenomenal. Without your love, support, and encouragement I may never have made it through!

## **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1. The Eye: Structure and Function	2
1.1.1. The Path of Light Through the Eye	6
1.2. The Lens: Structure and Function	8
1.2.1. Lens Transparency	11
1.2.2. Loss of Lens Transparency: Cataracts	12
1.3. The Lens Circulation Model	14
1.4. Intercellular Communication in the Lens	21
1.4.1. Gap Junction Structure and Function	21
1.4.2. Connexin Isoforms Expressed in the Lens	26
Connexin 43	27
Connexin 46	28
Connexin 50	30
1.4.3. Functional Properties of Connexin Isoforms in the Lens	
1.4.4. Mouse Models of Gap Junction Function	35
1.5. The Lens Core Syncytium	42
1.6. Thesis Objectives	45
2.1. General Procedures	4
2.1.1. Lab Technique	4
2.1.2. Chemical and Experimental Solutions	49
2.1.3. Animals	50
2.1.4. Lens Extraction	5
2.1.5. R/A as a Measure of Location within the Lens	5
2.2. Structural Imaging	5
2.2.1. Darkfield Photography	5
2.2.2. Immunohistochemistry	5
Fixation and Cryoprotection	5
Cryosectioning	5
Immunolabelling	
Primary Antibodies	
Secondary Antibodies	5
2.2.3. Image Acquisition	ر
Microscopes	ر ع
Imaging Procedure	ر د
2.2.4. Image Processing	6
Maximum Projections and Tiling	6
Connexin Profile Plotting	0
2.3 Functional Imaging	

2.3.2. Two-Photon Excited Flash Photolysis (TPEFP) Microscope Setup	65
2.3.3. TPEFP Procedure	
2.3.4. Data Analysis	70
Graphing Raw Fluorescence Profiles	70
Cell Counting Procedure	70
Graphing and Statistical Analysis of Data	
Chapter 3: Mapping Connexin Distribution in Rodent Lenses	
3.1. Regional Differences in Lens Connexins	77
3.1.1 The Subcellular Distribution and Processing of Cx46	77
3.1.2 The Subcellular Distribution and Processing of Cx50	80
3.2. Mapping Connexin Distributions: Technical Considerations	81
3.2.1. Antibody Performance	81
3.2.2. Imaging Protocols	84
3.3. Qualitative Mapping of Cx46 and Cx50 in the Mouse Lens	86
3.4. Quantitative Mapping of Cx50 in the Rat Lens	95
3.4.1. Subcellular Distribution of Cx50	95
3.4.2. Identification of Cx50 Cleavage Site(s)	98
Chapter 4: Gap Junction and Connexin Isoform Function the Mouse Lens	
4.1. Use of TPEFP to Measure Intercellular Communication	110
4.1.1. Theoretical Basis of TPEFP	111
4.1.2. Using TPEFP to Measure Regional Differences in Gap Junctional Communication	115
4.2. Developing the TPEFP Technique in the Mouse Lens	122
4.2.1. Optimisation of Lens Cutting	123
Outer Cortex Morphology	125
Inner Cortex Morphology	125
Deep Inner Cortex/Nuclear Morphology	125
4.2.2. Preliminary TPEFP in Wildtype Mouse Lenses	
4.2.3. Depth of Focus Optimisation	120
4.2.4. Evaluation of Fluorescence Intensity and Caged Fluorophore Loading	138
	138 141
4.3. Validation and Quantification of Gap Junctional Communication Using T	138 141 PEFP
	138 141 PEFP
4.3.1. Discriminating Between Gap Junctional and Non-Gap Junctional Intercellular	138 141 PEFP 145
	138 141 PEFP 145

## Chapter 5: The Cx46-KO Lens - Morphology, Cataract Development, and Function

5.1. Connexin Knockout Mice as Tools for Lens Research	162
5.2. Characterising the Cx46-KO Mouse Phenotype	165
5.2.1. Cx46-KO Phenotype	166
5.2.2. Cataract Morphology and Progression	167
5.2.3. Cataract Formation During Fibre Cell Differentiation	174
5.4. Intercellular Communication in the Cx46-Knockout	180
Chapter 6: General Discussion	
6.1. Intercellular Communication in Rodent Lenses	194
6.1.1. The Gap Junction-Mediated Pathway of Intercellular Communication	194
6.1.2. The Macromolecule-Permeable Pathway of Intercellular Communication	197
6.1.3. Two Intercellular Communication Pathways Acting in Parallel	204
6.2. Cx46-KO Cataract Formation and the Lens Core Syncytium	206
Appendix A: Confocal/Two-Photon Laser Alignment	200
Loser Alignment Preseduce	208
Laser Alignment Procedures	
Two-Photon Alignment in the X and Y-Axes	
Two-Photon Alignment in the Z-Axis	211
Anna dia D. El	
Appendix B: Fluorescence Artifact Correction	213
Appendix C: Statistical Analysis	216
Appendix D: Jacobs et al., 2004	219
Appendix E: Abstracts	220
Pp Libriate	229
List of References	225
	433

## List of Tables

Table 1.1. Nutrient Transporters in the Lens
Table 1.2. Connexins in Different Species27
Table 1.3. Connexin 46 Human Gene Mutations
Table 1.4. Connexin 50 Human Gene Mutations31
Table 1.5. Normalised Coupling Conductance in Connexin Knockouts and Knockins
38
Table 1.6. Phenotypic Consequences of Connexin Knockouts and Knockins40
Table 2.1. Experimental Solutions49
Table 2.2. R/A Values Correspond to Different Depths in Experimental Animals52
Table 2.3. Primary Antibody Dilutions
Table 2.4. Classification of Cell Position68
Table 3.1. Antibodies for Immunohistochemical Labelling of Cx46 and Cx5082
Table 3.2: Summary of Connexin Antibody Labelling in the Mouse Lens88
Table 4.1. Techniques Used to Study Intercellular Communication in the
Lens116-117
Table A1. Class Statement
Table A2. ANOVA
Table A3. Statistical Significance
Table A 5 Mansheal Mannicance

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1.1. Structural Organisation of the Eye.
Figure 1.2. The Visual Light Path
Figure 1.3. Cellular Organisation of the Lens
Figure 1.4. The Lens Circulation Model.
Figure 1.5. The Lens Standing Electrical Current.
Figure 1.6. Gap Junction Structure.
Figure 1.7. Potential Gap Junction Configurations.
Figure 1.8. Cx46-KO and Cx50-KO Lenses from Different Mouse Strains36
Figure 2.1. Schematic Diagram of Confocal/Two-Photon Microscope Setup used for
Two-Photon Excited Flash Photolysis (TPEFP)
Figure 2.2. Rainbow Lookup Table.
Figure 3.1. Mapping Zones of Cx46 Cleavage in the Rat Lens
Figure 3.2. Cx46 and Cx50 Distribution in the Wildtype Mouse Lens Cortex
Figure 3.3. Subcellular Distribution of Cx46 and Cx50 in the Wildtype Mouse Lens
Outer Cortex
Figure 3.4. Qualitative Mapping of Cx46 Cleavage in the Wildtype Mouse Lens92
Figure 3.5. Subcellular Distribution of MP20 in the Wildtype Mouse Lens94
Figure 3.6. Subcellular Distribution of Cx50 in the Rat Lens
Figure 3.7. Alignment and Processing of Antibody Labelling Profiles
Figure 3.8. Tail- and Loop-Specific Cx50 Antibody Labelling Profiles102
Figure 3.9. Comparison of Cx50 and Cx46 Labelling in the Rat Lens
To the feat
Figure 4.1. Caged ATP Photolysis
Figure 4.2. CMNB-Caged Fluorescein.
Figure 4.3. Confocal (One-Photon) Vs. Two-Photon Excitation
Figure 4.4. Schematic Diagram of Two-Photon Excited Flash Photolysis (TPEFP) in
the Cut Lens Preparation
Figure 4.5. Correlation Between Cx46 Gap Junction Plaque Subcellular Expression
and Fluorescein Spread.
Figure 4.6. Fibre Cell Morphology in the Cut Mouse Lens
Figure 4.7. TPEFP in Bathing Solution. 127
Figure 4.8. Representative TPEFP Time Series
Figure 4.9. Qualitative Evaluation of Fluorophore Spread
Figure 4.10. Image Analysis of Average Projections. 132
Figure 4.11. TPEFP in the Mouse Lens Inner Cortex: Temporal and Spatial Uncaged
Fluorophore Spread. 134
Figure 4.12. Typical Time Courses of TPEFP-Induced Fluorophore Spread
Figure 4.13. Fluorescein Spread at Different Focal Depths
Figure 4.14. Uncaged Fluorescein Intensity and Spread Decreases in the Lens
Nucleus
Figure 4.15. Fluorescein Loading Drops in the Lens Nucleus

Figure 4.16. Temporal Spread of Uncaged 10kDa-Dextran Linked Fluorescein in
Mouse Lens Outer Cortex
Figure 4.17. Cell Counting Procedure
Figure 4.18. Mean Cell Size
Figure 4.19. Spatial Spread of Uncaged Fluorophore in the Wildtyne Mouse Lens
Outer and Inner Cortex
Figure 4.20. Intercellular Communication in the Wildtype Mouse Lens
Figure 5.1. The Cx46-KO Mouse
Figure 5.2. Comparison of Lens Size and Transparency in Wildtype and Cx46-KO
Lenses
Figure 5.3. Age-Dependent Progression of the Cx46-KO Cataract. 169
Figure 5.4. Classification of Opacities in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens. 170
Figure 5.5. Refractive Discontinuity 1 in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens. 171
Figure 5.6. Relationship of Cataract Formation to Fibre Cell Differentiation 176
Figure 5.7. Membrane Architecture of Cx46-KO Mouse at 18 Months of Age 179
Figure 5.8. Cx46 and Cx50 Subcellular Localisation in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens
Outer Cortex
Figure 5.9. Spatial Spread of Uncaged Fluorophore in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens
Outer and Inner Cortex
Figure 5.10. Intercellular Communication in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens
Figure 5.11. Temporal Spread of Uncaged Fluorophore in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens Outer Cortex
Figure 5.12. Temporal Spread of Uncaged Fluorophore in the Cx46-KO Mouse Lens
Inner Cortex
170
Figure 6.1. A Model of Connexin Cleavage and Gap junction Redistribution in the Rat
Lens
Figure 6.2. A Model of Non-Gap Junctional Macromolecule-Permeable Intercellular
Communication in Different Regions of the Mouse Lens
Figure 6.3. A Model of the Two Pathways of Intercellular Communication in the
Mouse Lens
Figure A1. X-Y Alignment of the Two-Photon Laser.
Figure A2. X-Z Alignment of the Two-Photon Laser. 212
Figure A3. Slope Correction of Raw Maximum Projection Profile Data215

## **Abbreviations**

AAH artificial aqueous humour
AICS artificial intracellular solution
Ar <sup>+</sup> argon ion (laser)
BSA bovine serum albumin
°Cdegrees celsius
cDNA complementary deoxyribose nucleic acid
Cx connexin
DF differentiating fibre
dH <sub>2</sub> 0 distilled water
EGTA ethylene glycol-bis(2-amino-ethylether)-N,N,
N', N' -tetra-acetic acid
FAM5', 6'-carboxyfluorescein
GFP green fluorescent protein
GJ gap junction
HEPES N-2-Hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-
ethansulphonic acid
IDL interactive data language
kDakiloDalton
KIknockin
KOknockout
MF mature fibre

MP (70)	. membrane protein (of 70kDa)
mA	. milliamp
ml	. millilitre
μ1	. microlitre
mm	. millimetre
μm	. micrometer
mOsm	. milliosmole
mV	. millivolt
min	. minute
NA	. numerical aperture
nm	. nanometre
OCT	. optimal cutting temperature
Osm	. osmole
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PFA	paraformaldehyde
R/A	normalised radial position = distance from lens
	centre (R) divided by total lens radius (A)
S	second
SDS-PAGE	sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel
	electrophoresis
SEH	sucrose EDTA HEPES solution
sRhod	sulforhodamine B
TP	two-photon
TPEFP	two-photon excited flash photolysis
TPEMS	two-photon excited microsurgery

UV	ultraviolet
WGA	wheat germ agglutinin
w/v	weight/volume
WT	wildtype