



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

### *ResearchSpace@Auckland*

#### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

#### **General copyright and disclaimer**

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form.

**ASSESSMENT OF DUCTILE ENDURANCE OF EARTHQUAKE RESISTING STEEL  
MEMBERS**

by

Clark William Keith Hyland

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering,  
The University of Auckland, 2008



# Abstract

This thesis provides a structural and materials engineering explanation for many of the running fractures that occurred in steel structures during the destructive Kobe and Northridge earthquakes in the mid 1990s. A method is developed that allows the ductile endurance of structural steel members subjected to cyclic plastic deformation during earthquakes to be assessed and for pre-necking running fractures to be avoided.

The study commenced following the 2000 World Earthquake Conference in Auckland. The conference brought together the findings of the huge research effort, in America, Japan, Europe and New Zealand, that followed the Kobe and Northridge earthquakes. The running fractures that had occurred in steel structures represented an unpredicted failure mode that structural engineers have not known how to predict or suppress through the engineering design process. A clear fundamental understanding of the causes and how to prevent the fractures did not arise from the conference. In fact apparently conflicting results were reported. Full scale cyclic tests in New Zealand on structural assemblies had not resulted in running fractures, whereas tests in American and Japan had.

Structural engineers designing earthquake resistant structures rely on constructional steel to be materially homogeneous and nominally tri-linear in behaviour. Steel is expected to behave elastically under regular in-service loading, have a reliable and flat yield stress-strain characteristic, and under overload then develop predictable levels of strain-hardening in conjunction with significant plastic elongation up to its ultimate tensile strength. Steel is expected to eventually fracture after further plastic elongation and necking. Ductile design strategies and methods utilise the plastic elongation characteristics of steel to protect structures in earthquake. Plastic deformation is considered to beneficially dissipate energy generated in the structure by a severe earthquake and also dampen the structure's response. The occurrence of running fracture without significant cyclic plastic deformation and before section necking in steelwork, therefore undermines the basis of the ductile seismic design approach.

The initial part of the thesis is devoted to bringing together the fundamental aspects of materials engineering related to fracture of constructional steel. This is intended to provide a bridge of knowledge for structural engineering practitioners and researchers not fully conversant with materials engineering aspects of fracture. Fracture behaviour in steel is a broad and complex topic

that developed rapidly in the twentieth century driven by the demands of technological growth. The unexpected fracture of welded liberty ships at sea in World War 2; the need for reliable long term containment for the nuclear reactors in the 1950s and 1960s; and prevention of fatigue failures in aircraft frames since the 1950s all drove engineering research into steel fracture behaviour.

There are many subtle variations in definitions in the published literature on fracture that can be confusing. Therefore an attempt has been made to clarify terminology. The term brittle fracture in particular is only used in this thesis as applying to running fracture when the general or far field tensile stresses are below the yield stress of the steel. The term pre-necking or running fracture is preferred to describe the condition more broadly which may occur prior to and also after general yielding, but before section necking. Running fracture is a manifestation of pre-necking fracture in which insufficient plastic flow is available in the assembly to absorb the energy released upon fracture.

The experimental studies investigated the behaviour of constructional steel commonly used in New Zealand, at various levels of plastic strain. This started with Charpy V-Notch (CVN) testing which revealed that a significant transition temperature shift and curve shape change occurs with increasing plastic strain and the associated strain-hardening. This showed that the ability of steel to avoid pre-necking or running fracture reduces as the level of plastic strain-hardening increases.

Temperature controlled Crack Tip Opening Displacement (CTOD) testing was then undertaken. The setting of testing temperatures for the CTOD tests were guided by review of the CVN test results, using published CVN to fracture toughness correlation methods. However running cleavage fractures developed in the CTOD specimens at higher than predicted temperatures of 10 °C and 20 °C. These are typical service temperatures for structures in New Zealand and so are very likely to occur at the time of an earthquake. The implication from this is that there are levels of strain-hardening and conditions of material notching constraint that can lead to pre-necking and running fracture in New Zealand fabricated steel structures, under severe earthquake loading.

Care was taken in the CTOD testing to monitor and maximise the capture of data electronically using a specially developed Direct Current Potential Drop method. This allowed the test results to be analysed and considered in varying ways, leading to a consistent assessment of the CTOD, crack growth, and the specific work of fracture in each test piece.

While CTOD test results have sometimes been published by structural and welding engineering researchers in the wake of Kobe and Northridge, the results were typically of little use for this study as the CTOD initiation point was generally not identified effectively. The effect of remote plastic

flow in the specimens was also not adequately accounted for. The CTOD test results were often simply used to help correlate other factors observed by the researchers. Side-grooving of specimens was not reported as having been used in any of the published results reviewed. When conducting CTOD test with highly ductile constructional steels it is very difficult to get useful CTOD results if the specimens are not side-grooved, as significant necking and tunnelling will otherwise occur and limit the usefulness of the results.

Work by Knott and also by McRobie and Smith was seminal in terms of identifying some critical aspects of plane strain development in CTOD tests, and the links to non-metallic particle density with respect to fracture toughness and CTOD at initiation. Some of their findings with regards to the effect of pre-strain on CTOD initiation were subsequently found to confirm the experimental findings in this study.

No effective methodology for prediction of pre-necking or running fracture in a structural member or assembly when subjected to gross plastic cyclic deformation was found to exist in the literature. It was concluded however that the principles of specific work of fracture, and monotonic and cyclic fracture similitude were particularly relevant. These were therefore utilised in the development of the design method proposed in this thesis. The CTOD test results were reviewed, isolating the remote plastic flow component, to determine the critical specific work of fracture property  $R_c$  of the steels tested.

A meeting with Professor Kuwamura at the University of Tokyo was providential, allowing discussion of his similitude principle, and observations in person of some of the fractured specimens developed during his full scale test series'. Running fractures with cleavage were evident in the specimens, with their tell-tale chevron markings. He had predicted running fracture problems in structures in Japan ahead of the Kobe earthquake and been largely ignored. His insights were subsequently seriously considered in Japan after the earthquake.

He and his colleagues developed the principle of structural similitude that relates monotonic fracture displacement ductility to cyclic fracture displacement ductility for a particular assembly. This arose from their observation that running fractures developed from ductile crack formation at blunt notches in structures. The similitude principle has echoes of the Coffin-Manson approach to ductile crack initiated low cycle fracture. The principle of similitude has a log-log relationship as does the Manson-Coffin relationship. So where notch plasticity controls the initiation of fracture in a structural assembly it is conceptually reasonable to expect that the number of cycles to initiation of fracture from a notch will have a log-log relationship to the amplitude of the cyclic strain developed in the notch.

Kuwamura found that steel assemblies with lower CVN energy had reduced cyclic fracture endurance than the same assemblies made with steel with higher CVN impact energy. However no method of predicting performance of any particular assembly could be developed from his observations. The benefit of his method primarily relates to the minimising of testing necessary to assess the fracture limited cyclic displacement ductility of a structural assembly. However it doesn't provide a means for designing a structural assembly to achieve specific levels of ductile endurance other than clearly identifying the need to use steel with good CVN characteristics.

The most significant development arising from this thesis is therefore the development of a design method to assess cyclic ductile endurance. The method utilises the specific work of fracture properties obtained from CTOD specimens of the steel in conjunction with a relatively simple fracture mechanics assessment and an elasto-plastic finite element analysis (FEA). The FEA model is used to determine the displacement ductility of the assembly at the calculated onset of pre-necking fracture. The elasto-plastic stress-strain properties of the steel in various pre-strain states required for the FEA may be derived from tensile testing. Kuwamura's similitude principle is then used to predict cyclic plastic endurance at various constant displacement ductility amplitudes. The method is extended using Miner's rule to allow for the effects of increasing variable amplitude cyclic plastic loading.

In summary the thesis explains why pre-necking and running fractures occur in steel members subjected to cyclic plastic deformation during a severe earthquake. In addition a method for consistently assessing the ability of structural steel assemblies to achieve a specified level of ductile endurance during earthquakes is proposed. The method is verified against published results for a cyclic test of a simple steel member with a crack at mid-span.

# Dedication

To my wife Kay, and children Benjamin, Barnabas and Abigail. and my parents.

Above all this is dedicated to my inspiration, the Lord Jesus Christ.

“Call to Me and I will answer you and I will tell you great and mighty things which you do not know”

Jeremiah 33:3





## Acknowledgements

I wish to express my gratitude to Professor W. G. Ferguson, of the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, for his encouragement and supervision of this project. His willingness to pass on his materials engineering insights to a civil engineer is deeply appreciated.

I would also like to thank Associate Professor J.W. Butterworth, of the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, my associate supervisor, for his calm assistance and support through the years.

My sincere thanks also to the technical staff of the Departments of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, and Chemical and Materials Engineering who have provided assistance during the execution of this project: in particular to Messrs J. Guertz, and H. Moy.

This project was assisted by financial grants for fees, materials and conference attendance from the New Zealand Heavy Engineering Education and Research Foundation. These are gratefully acknowledged.



# Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	III
DEDICATION.....	VII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	IX
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	XI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XIX
LIST OF TABLES.....	XXVII
GLOSSARY OF TERMS .....	XXIX
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Thesis Structure.....	1
1.2 Background .....	1
2 RUNNING FRACTURES IN STEEL STRUCTURES IN EARTHQUAKES .....	9
2.1 Seismic Response of Steelwork Structures .....	9
2.2 Earthquake Characteristics.....	9
2.3 Running Fracture in Northridge and Kobe Earthquakes .....	10
2.4 Review of SAC Research Results.....	12
2.5 Review of Japanese Research Results.....	15
2.6 European Selection of Seismic Steel by CVN Test.....	18
2.7 Cyclic Performance of New Zealand Beam End Plate Connections .....	18
2.8 Review of Welding Considerations .....	19
2.9 Summary .....	21
3 SEISMIC STRUCTURAL STEELWORK DESIGN IN NEW ZEALAND.....	25
3.1 Introduction .....	25
3.2 Development of Seismic Design Approaches.....	25
3.3 Seismic Design of Steel Structures in New Zealand .....	26
3.4 Material Ductility .....	29
3.5 Structural Steelwork Ductility Categories.....	29
3.6 Effective Steel Section Concept.....	32
3.7 Beam Section Stability and Rotational Ductility.....	32
3.8 Characteristics of Category 1 to 4 Frame Failure.....	36
3.9 Cyclic Strain-hardening Behaviour.....	36
3.10 Effect of Section Stability on Over-Strength Demand .....	37
3.11 Summary .....	38

4	CYCLIC ENDURANCE OF STRUCTURAL ASSEMBLIES .....	41
4.1	Introduction .....	41
4.2	Cyclic Plasticity.....	42
4.3	Design for Low Cycle Plastic Loading .....	42
4.4	Strain History Prediction Model: Coffin-Manson Method.....	43
4.5	Limitations of the Strain History Prediction Model .....	44
4.6	Cyclic Testing Regimes.....	45
4.7	Background to the ATC-24 Cyclic Loading Regime .....	46
4.8	Fracture Limited Structural Displacement Ductility Ratios .....	48
4.9	Japanese Cumulative Displacement Ductility Approach .....	49
4.10	Principle of Monotonic and Cyclic Structural Similitude .....	49
4.11	Summary .....	52
5	FRACTURE IN STEEL .....	55
5.1	Introduction .....	55
5.2	Overview of Fracture in Metals .....	56
5.3	Modes of Fracture.....	57
5.3.1	Fatigue.....	57
5.3.2	Brittle Elastic Fracture .....	58
5.3.3	Running Pre-necking Plastic Fracture.....	60
5.3.4	Running Post-necking Fracture.....	62
5.3.5	Stable Post-necking Plastic Fracture .....	63
5.4	Polycrystalline Fracture Behaviour.....	64
5.4.1	Fibrous Fracture.....	64
5.4.2	Transgranular Cleavage .....	65
5.4.3	Inter-granular Fracture.....	68
5.5	Transition Behaviour of Steel .....	68
5.6	Metallurgical Effects on Fracture.....	70
5.6.1	Grain Size Effect .....	70
5.6.2	Manufacturing Process Thickness Effect.....	71
5.6.3	Yield Ratio Effect.....	72
5.6.4	Bauschinger Effect .....	72
5.6.5	Alloying Effect .....	72
5.6.6	Effect of Non-Metallic Inclusions.....	73
5.7	Geometrical Effects on Fracture .....	74
5.7.1	Strain Energy Related Size Effect.....	74
5.7.2	Plastic Constraint Effect Related to Plate Thickness.....	74
5.7.3	Tri-axial Stress Effects.....	75

5.8	Notch Effect .....	76
5.9	Notch Strengthening .....	77
5.10	Loading and Strain History Effects.....	79
5.10.1	Effect of Temperature.....	79
5.10.2	Effect of Loading Rate or Strain Velocity.....	79
5.10.3	Effect of Strain Amplitude on Cyclic Strain-hardening Behaviour .....	81
5.10.4	Effect of Compressive Pre-strain .....	83
5.10.5	Strain-aging .....	84
5.11	Residual Stress Effects.....	85
5.11.1	General .....	85
5.11.2	Cracking in the Presence of Residual Stresses .....	86
5.11.3	Cold-working.....	86
5.11.4	Flame Cutting .....	87
5.12	Welding Effects.....	87
5.12.1	Effect of Welding on Development of Plastic Fracture.....	87
5.12.2	Welding Induced Residual Stresses .....	88
5.12.3	Effect of Weld Deposition Sequencing.....	88
5.12.4	Micro-Mechanisms of Failure in C-Mn Weld Metals .....	89
5.12.5	Effect of Weld Strength Mismatch on Ductile Crack Initiation .....	90
5.12.6	Toughness of Mild Steel Weld Metal .....	90
5.12.7	High HAZ Toughness and Aging .....	91
5.13	Summary .....	92
6	MECHANICS OF FRACTURE .....	97
6.1	Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics.....	97
6.1.1	Griffith Formula .....	97
6.1.2	Elastic Cracking: Stress Intensity Factor $K$ .....	98
6.1.3	Stress Concentration Factor .....	99
6.1.4	Non-linear Elastic Behaviour.....	99
6.1.5	Thickness and Yield for Plane Stress or Plane Strain.....	100
6.2	Charpy V-Notch LEFM Correlation Methods .....	101
6.2.1	Existing Design Methods to Avoid Running Fracture .....	101
6.2.2	Barsom-Rolfe $K_{Ic}$ or $K_{IId}$ -CVN Correlation.....	102
6.2.3	The Linear-Elastic 28 Joule CVN Method.....	102
6.2.4	The PD6493 Service Temperature CVN Method .....	104
6.2.5	Limitations of Charpy V-Notch Correlation Methods.....	105
6.2.6	Effect of CVN and the Ratio of Tensile to Yield Stress.....	105

6.3	Yielding Fracture Mechanics .....	106
6.3.1	Practical Use of CTOD based Yielding Fracture Mechanics .....	106
6.3.2	Eshelby-Rice J-Integral.....	106
6.3.3	J Integral and R.....	107
6.3.4	Limitations of $J_c$ as a Criterion for Crack Initiation .....	108
6.3.5	Limitation of Stress Intensity Approach with Remote Plastic Flow.....	108
6.4	Crack Tip Behaviour.....	109
6.4.1	Crack Tip Process Zone.....	109
6.4.2	Crack Tip Plastic Zone Size Constraint Effect.....	109
6.4.3	Crack Tip Zones of Irreversibility.....	110
6.4.4	Newman Closure Model.....	111
6.4.5	Ductile Crack Initiation Behaviour .....	111
6.4.6	Ductile Crack Initiation Stress State .....	113
6.4.7	Ductile Crack Growth.....	114
6.4.8	Void Growth and Coalescence.....	117
6.4.9	Rice and Tracey Void Growth Model.....	117
6.4.10	The Gurson-Tvergaard Model of Crack Growth.....	118
6.5	Energy Balance Approach to Fracture .....	119
6.5.1	Specific Work of Fracture.....	119
6.5.2	Energy Concepts.....	120
6.5.3	Crack Propagation and Changes in Strain Energy.....	122
6.5.4	Work Rate Viewpoint.....	122
6.5.5	Energetic Stability .....	123
6.5.6	Crack Stability in Brittle Elastic Fracture .....	124
6.5.7	R-Curve Analysis and Elastic-Plastic Fracture .....	124
6.5.8	R Curves and Stability .....	125
6.5.9	Sub-Critical Crack Growth .....	125
6.6	Application to Cyclically Loaded Specimens .....	126
6.7	Summary .....	126
7	FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING METHODS .....	131
7.1	Charpy V-Notch Testing.....	131
7.1.1	Notch Toughness .....	131
7.1.2	Charpy V-Notch Impact Test.....	131
7.2	Crack Tip Opening Displacement Testing.....	132
7.2.1	Introduction to CTOD Testing.....	132
7.2.2	Determination of Elastic $K_{Ic}$ by CTOD Testing.....	134
7.2.3	Practical Aspects of CTOD Fracture Toughness Testing.....	135

7.2.4	Direct Current Potential Drop Crack Monitoring.....	136
7.2.5	Crack Sharpness and CTOD .....	138
7.2.6	CTOD Crack Length to Specimen Depth Ratio $a/W$ .....	140
7.2.7	Effect of Side-Grooving of CTOD Specimens.....	140
7.2.8	CTOD Specimen Size.....	141
7.2.9	Effect of CTOD Specimen Thickness.....	143
7.2.10	Transition Region Effects .....	145
7.2.11	Crack Stability in Elastic Fracture during Testing .....	145
7.2.12	Determination of R Values .....	145
7.3	Summary .....	147
8	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION .....	151
8.1	Investigation Outline .....	151
8.2	Steel Mill Certificate Properties.....	152
8.3	Steel Specimen Pre-straining .....	152
8.3.1	Pre-strain Specimen Design.....	152
8.3.2	Testing Machine Capacity and Strain Distribution Investigation.....	153
8.3.3	Pre-strain Calibration Using Portal and Strain Gauges .....	155
8.3.4	Tensile Pre-straining of Dog-bone Samples.....	157
8.4	Mechanical Test Pieces from Pre-strained Specimens .....	159
8.4.1	Location of Mechanical Test Pieces .....	159
8.4.2	CTOD SENB3 Specimens.....	160
8.4.3	Charpy V-Notch Specimens .....	160
8.4.4	Tensile Specimens .....	160
8.4.5	Metallographic Samples .....	162
8.5	Charpy V-Notch Testing.....	162
8.5.1	Introduction .....	162
8.5.2	Preparation of Test Pieces.....	162
8.5.3	Test Procedure .....	163
8.6	Tensile testing.....	165
8.6.1	Introduction .....	165
8.6.2	Specimen Preparation .....	165
8.6.3	Temperature Control.....	166
8.7	Fracture Toughness Investigation .....	167
8.7.1	Introduction .....	167
8.7.2	CTOD Testing .....	167
8.7.3	Requirements of BS7448.....	169
8.7.4	Location and Preparation of CTOD Test Pieces .....	169



8.7.5	Pre-Cracking of CTOD Specimens.....	170
8.7.6	Crack Length versus Potential Drop Equations.....	171
8.7.7	Trial CTOD Test on Specimen 10-2.....	173
8.7.8	DCPD Crack Growth Monitoring.....	173
8.7.9	Side-grooving of CTOD Specimens .....	174
8.7.10	Clip Gauge Development and Calibration .....	174
8.7.11	Clip Gauge Monitoring.....	175
8.7.12	Knife Edges .....	175
8.7.13	Temperature Control.....	176
8.7.14	Loading and Data Collection .....	176
8.7.15	Measurement of CTOD Crack Growth.....	177
8.8	FEM of Remote Plastic Flow in CTOD Tests.....	178
8.9	Optical Microscopy .....	180
8.9.1	Specimen Preparation .....	180
8.9.2	Optical Microscope Set up.....	180
8.10	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	180
8.10.1	Specimen Preparation .....	180
8.10.2	SEM procedure .....	181
9	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION .....	183
9.1	Steel Pre-straining Results .....	183
9.1.1	Testing Machine Capacity and Strain Distribution Investigation.....	183
9.1.2	Pre-strain Calibration Using Portal and Strain Gauges .....	183
9.1.3	Pre-straining of Specimens for Mechanical Testing.....	185
9.2	Charpy V-Notch Testing Results .....	190
9.2.1	Test Results .....	190
9.2.2	The Effect of Pre-strain on the Charpy V-Notch Properties.....	190
9.2.3	Effect of Pre Strain on Fracture Surface Crystallinity.....	193
9.2.4	Implications of CVN Results .....	193
9.2.5	CVN of New Zealand and Australian Produced Steel .....	194
9.2.6	Comparison of CVN Characteristics of International Steels .....	197
9.2.7	Review of CVN Characteristics of Welding Consumables .....	199
9.2.8	Conclusions from the Charpy V-Notch Impact Tests .....	201
9.2.9	Recommended CVN Limits for Seismic Resisting Structures .....	202
9.3	Tensile Testing Results.....	203
9.3.1	Development of Stress-Strain Curves for AS/NZS 3679.1 Specimens .....	203
9.3.2	Strain-aging Effects .....	204
9.3.3	True Stress vs. True Plastic Strain Curves for FEA Modelling .....	206

9.3.4	Tensile Properties of M6, M7 and M8 Specimens Steel .....	207
9.4	Crack Tip Opening Displacement Testing Results.....	207
9.4.1	Effect of Pre-strain on Fatigue Pre-Crack Growth Rate .....	207
9.4.2	Implications of Trial CTOD 10-2 .....	210
9.4.3	Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement.....	213
9.4.4	CTOD Fracture Surfaces .....	214
9.4.5	Force vs. Load-line Displacement.....	220
9.4.6	Use of DCPD vs. Crack Growth Plot to Identify CTOD at Initiation.....	220
9.4.7	Finite Element Analysis of CTOD Tests.....	225
9.4.8	Summary of CTOD Test Results .....	226
9.5	Metallographic Observations .....	229
9.5.1	Overview .....	229
9.5.2	Optical Microscopy .....	229
9.5.3	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	234
9.5.4	Crack Fronts .....	237
9.5.5	Cleavage Fracture Surfaces.....	240
9.5.6	Fibrous Fracture Initiation Zones.....	241
9.6	Actual vs. Predicted CTOD Performance .....	242
9.6.1	General .....	242
9.6.2	Predicted CTOD Fracture Behaviour Based on NZS3404:1997 .....	242
9.6.3	Predicted CTOD Fracture Behaviour Based on Eurocode 3 .....	245
9.7	Conclusions from the Experimental Investigation .....	247
9.8	Specific Work of Fracture R Curves.....	248
9.8.1	Introduction .....	248
9.8.2	DCPD Monitoring of Crack Extension.....	249
9.8.3	Load vs. Crack Area Plots .....	249
9.8.4	Example Determination of R .....	250
9.8.5	R Curves of As-received Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel.....	254
9.8.6	R Curves of 4.9% Pre-strained Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel ...	254
9.8.7	R Curves of 9.8% Pre-strained Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel ...	254
9.8.8	R Curves of 17.7% Pre-strained Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel..	257
9.8.9	Summary R Curves of Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel .....	257
9.8.10	Comparison of AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 and M-Series Steel R Curves....	257
9.8.11	Variation of R with Strain-hardening and Aging .....	264
9.8.12	Equivalent $K_R$ Values .....	265
9.8.13	Summary of Findings With Respect to R.....	265
10	METHOD OF CYCLIC DUCTILE ENDURANCE ASSESSMENT.....	267

10.1	Introduction .....	267
10.2	Method .....	267
10.3	Description of Method.....	268
11	EXAMPLE ASSESSMENT OF THE CYCLIC DUCTILE ENDURANCE OF A STEEL BAR....	271
11.1	Identification of Fracture Limit States .....	271
11.2	Determination of Bar Elastic Stiffness Function.....	271
11.3	Pre-Necking Fracture Limit State .....	273
11.4	Plastic Hinge Limit State .....	274
11.5	Over-strength Plastic Hinge Limit State .....	275
11.6	Plastic Hinge and Fracture Limit State Surfaces.....	276
11.7	Member Displacement at Pre-Necking Fracture .....	279
11.8	Assessment of Monotonic Displacement Ductility to Fracture .....	279
11.9	Monotonic Fracture Curves .....	282
11.10	Cyclic Ductile Endurance Overview.....	284
11.11	Constant Amplitude Cyclic Pre-necking Fracture Ductility to NZS 1170.5284	
11.12	Effect of $R_c$ on Cyclic Fracture Ductility and Endurance.....	287
11.13	Application to Variable Amplitude Cyclic Loading.....	289
12	CONCLUSIONS .....	293
13	REFERENCES.....	297

# List of Figures

Figure 1- 1 Column Running Fracture, Great Hanshin Earthquake (Clifton)	4
Figure 3-1 Plastic Hinge Formation in Simple Beams (Horne & Morris 1981)	28
Figure 3-2 Equal Displacement Hypothesis of Seismic Ductility and Response	29
Figure 3-3 NZS3404 Category 1 to 4 Members of Same Moment of Inertia	32
Figure 3-4 Trade-off of Strength vs. Displacement Ductility	33
Figure 3-5 Comparative Displacement Ductility of Equal Strength Sections of Different Category	33
Figure 3-6 Cyclic Beam Test Set-up (Short et al., 2005)	37
Figure 3-7 Cyclic Displacement Controlled Loading Pattern (Short et al., 2005)	37
Figure 3-8 Section Properties	37
Figure 3-9 Normalised Stiffness vs. Cyclic Amplitude	39
Figure 3-10 Normalised Load Resistance vs. Cyclic Amplitude	39
Figure 3-11 410UB54 After Cyclic Plastic Fracture (Clifton et al. 2000)	39
Figure 4-1 ATC-24 Cyclic Displacement Loading	47
Figure 4-2 University of Canterbury, UCB, PRESSS and PWRI Loading Histories	47
Figure 4-3 Kuwamura's Series 1 Test Set-up	51
Figure 4-4 Cyclic Endurance of SN490 Box Beam	51
Figure 4-5 Cumulative Ductility vs. Cyclic Amplitude	52
Figure 4-6 Cyclic Displacement Ductility Definitions (Kuwamura and Takagi 2004)	53
Figure 5-1 Strain-hardening Development at Crack Tip Under Reversed Cyclic Loading (Orowan 1939)	58
Figure 5-2 Crack Tip Development (Ashby and Jones 1996)	65
Figure 5-3 Davidenkov Diagram of Transition Temperature	69
Figure 5-4 Cleavage 5-3 9.8% PS @ -10°C	70
Figure 5-5 Plane Stress and Plane Strain Stress Distributions at Crack	75

Tips, (Knott 1973)	
Figure 5-6 Running Fracture vs. Plastic Yield (Knott 1973)	78
Figure 5-7 Strain Rate Effect on Yield Stress (Campbell and Ferguson 1970)	81
Figure 5-8 Cyclic Strain Hardening Effect (Orowan 1939)	83
Figure 6-1 Notch Plasticity vs. Fatigue Crack Tip Control of Crack Development	115
Figure 6-2 (a) Load Deflection Curve for a Material with Rising Crack Growth Resistance From B To D and a Steady Value From D to E. (B) Corresponding Crack Growth Resistance Curve (Atkins and Mai 1985).	126
Figure 7-1 Wells-Cottrell COD	139
Figure 7-2 Effect of Specimen Thickness on Crack Growth Resistance (Knott 1973)	144
Figure 7-3 Load -Displacement Diagram for Elastic Material	146
Figure 7-4 Load-Displacement Curve for Ductile Material	146
Figure 8-1 Type A Dog-bone Specimen Extracted from Flange Tips of the 310UC158	154
Figure 8-2 Portal and Strain Gauges Attached to Pre-strain Calibration Specimen AO2	156
Figure 8-3 Pre-straining Calibration of Type A Specimen	156
Figure 8-4 Pre-straining of Type A Specimens	157
Figure 8-5 Avery Testing Machine and Data Logger	158
Figure 8-6 Cutting Pattern for Mechanical Test Specimens from Dog- bone Type A Pre-straining Specimens	159
Figure 8-7 CTOD Specimens	161
Figure 8-8 CVN Specimens	162
Figure 8-9 Instron Machine with Broach	163
Figure 8-10 CVN Specimen Cooling Baths	163
Figure 8-11 CVN Tempunit CVN Specimen Warming Bath	164
Figure 8-12 CVN Impact Test Machine	164
Figure 8-13 Temperature Controlled Tensile Testing Set-up	166
Figure 8-14 Strain-gauged Tensile Specimen with Thermocouple and Polystyrene Lined Copper Liquid Cup	167
Figure 8-15 CTOD Specimen with DCPD Monitoring of Fatigue Crack	172

Growth	
Figure 8-16 Beach-marking and Fatigue Pre-cracking Rig	172
Figure 8-17 Side-grooved Beach-marked Surface	172
Figure 8-18 Beach-marking Potential Drop Relationships	172
Figure 8-19 Side-grooving of CTOD Specimens	174
Figure 8-20 CTOD Test Rig with DCPD and Thermocouple Monitoring	177
Figure 8-21 DCPD and Temperature Controlling Bath Around Test	178
Specimen	
Figure 8-22 Breaking of CTOD Specimens After Testing and Cooling in Liquid Nitrogen	179
Figure 8-23 Tensile True Plastic Strain Curves for Finite Element Analysis	179
Figure 9-1 Specimen AO2 Pre-straining	186
Figure 9-2 AO2 Pre-straining Comparison of Portal and Strain Gauge Measurements	186
Figure 9-3 Specimen AO3 Pre-straining Plot	187
Figure 9-4 AO3 Pre-straining Comparison of Portal and Strain Gauge Measurements	187
Figure 9-5 Specimen AO4 Pre-straining Plot	188
Figure 9-6 Specimen AO5 Pre-straining Plot	188
Figure 9-7 Specimen AO6 Pre-straining Plot	188
Figure 9-8 Specimen AO7 Pre-straining Plot	189
Figure 9-9 Specimen AO8 Pre-straining Plot	189
Figure 9-10 Specimen AO9 Pre-straining Plot	189
Figure 9-11 Assessed CVN Impact Energy Transition Curves	190
Figure 9-12 CVN Specimen Fracture Surfaces	192
Figure 9-13 Assessed CVN % Fibrous Fracture Surface Transition Curves	193
Figure 9-14 Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 Steel Sections G300 and G350 CVN Sample Data	195
Figure 9-15 New Zealand AS/NZS 3678 G300 Steel Plate CVN Sample Data	196
Figure 9-16 E70T Weld CVN Energy Compared to New Zealand AS/NZS 3678 G300 Steel	199
Figure 9-17 Comparison of International Constructional Steel and Weld	200

Metal CVN Data	
Figure 9-18 11T1 Tensile Stress Plot	203
Figure 9-19 Strain-aged Tensile Plots	205
Figure 9-20 Strain-aged Tensile Plots Normalised at 300 MPa Extension	205
Figure 9-21 Strain-aged Tensile Plots Normalised to Extension at Pre-strain	205
Figure 9-22 Aging Effect on Tensile Properties	206
Figure 9-23 FEA Tensile Properties	207
Figure 9-24 M-Series Specimen Tensile Data Plots	208
Figure 9-25 Fatigue Crack Growth Plots	210
Figure 9-26 CTOD 10-2 Side View Showing Necking Dimple	211
Figure 9-27 CTOD 10-2 Top View	212
Figure 9-28 CTOD 10-2 Fracture Surface	212
Figure 9-29 CTOD Fracture Surfaces of Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 4.9% to 17.7% Pre-strained Specimens	214
Figure 9-30 CTOD Fracture Surfaces of Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 and Unknown Steel As-received	215
Figure 9-31 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of 4.9% Pre-strained Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel	216
Figure 9-32 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of As-received Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel	216
Figure 9-33 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of 9.8% Pre-strained Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel	217
Figure 9-34 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of 17.7% Pre-strained Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel	217
Figure 9-35 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of M6, M7 & M8 Steel	218
Figure 9-36 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of As-received Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 and M6, M7 & M8 Steel	218
Figure 9-37 CTOD Force vs. Clip Gauge Displacement of All Samples Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 and M6, M7 & M8 Steel	219
Figure 9-38 CTOD Force vs. Load-line Displacement Plots	221
Figure 9-39 DCPD vs. Clip Gauge Displacement	223
Figure 9-40 CTOD at $U/U_0=1.028$ for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel	224

Figure 9-41 Finite Element Analysis of CTOD Specimens at Mid-span and Centre-line Planes. Von Mises Stresses Greater than Yield Shown in the Coloured Contours	228
Figure 9-42 CTOD Cross-section with 4.9 % Pre-strain, with Stable Fibrous Crack Growth at 10 °C, then Broken in Liquid Nitrogen	230
Figure 9-43 CTOD Cross-section with 17.7 % Pre-strain, with Minimal Fibrous Crack Extension Followed by Cleavage and Arrest at 10 °C	230
Figure 9-44 Optical Metallographic Images of M8 Steel As-received	231
Figure 9-45 Optical Metallographic Images of Inclusions In Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel Pre-strained 9.8% and 17.7%	232
Figure 9-46 Optical Metallographic Images of Inclusions in Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel As-Received and Pre-strained 4.9%	233
Figure 9-47 SEM Image of Fracture Surface of 9.8% PS @ 20°C Specimen 6-2	235
Figure 9-48 SEM Image of Magnification of Fracture Initiation Specimen 6-2	236
Figure 9-49 SEM Image of Transition from Fibrous to Cleavage of Specimen 5-3 17.7% PS @ 20°C	236
Figure 9-50 Crack Front SEM 5-3 17.7% PS @ 20°C	237
Figure 9-51 Crack Front SEM 1-4 17.7% PS @ 10°C	237
Figure 9-52 Crack Front SEM M7 @ 0°C	238
Figure 9-53 Crack Front SEM 6-2 PS 9.8% @ 20°C	238
Figure 9-55 Crack Front SEM M8 @ -10°C	239
Figure 9-56 Cleavage M8 AR @ -10°C	240
Figure 9-57 Cleavage 5-3 9.8% PS @ -10°C	241
Figure 9-58 Cleavage 1-4 17.7% PS @ 10°C	241
Figure 9-59 Non-metallic Particle in Fibrous Fracture Zone Micro-Conical Failure Dimple (Specimen 5-3)	242
Figure 9-60 Fibrous Fracture Surface at Increasing Magnification (Specimen 1-4)	244
Figure 9-61 Crack Extension vs. Normalised Electrical Potential	248
Figure 9-62 CTOD Load vs. Crack Area Plot	250



Figure 9-63 Total Work Done During Crack Growth in a Ductile Material	252
Figure 9-64 Specific Work of Fracture in Process Zone	253
Figure 9-65 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Normalised Crack Length for Various Pre-Strain Levels for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 and M Steel Specimens	256
Figure 9-66 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Crack Length for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel As-received	258
Figure 9-67 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Crack Length for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel at 4.9% Pre-strain	259
Figure 9-68 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Crack Length for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel at 9.8% Pre-strain	260
Figure 9-69 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Crack Length for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel at 17.7% Pre-strain	261
Figure 9-70 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Crack Length Summary for Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 steel	262
Figure 9-71 Specific Work of Fracture R vs. Crack Length for Unknown M-Series Steel Compared to Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 Steel As-received	263
Figure 9-72 Stylised Specific Work of Fracture vs. Crack Area, Showing the Effect of Pre-strain and Aging	264
Figure 11-1 50 x 40 mm Cracked Steel Bar Used in FEA Model	272
Figure 11-2 Elastic Stiffness Function of Cracked 50 x 40 mm Bar from FEA	272
Figure 11-3 Elastically Deformed FEA Model of Half Span of 50 x 40 mm Bar (Loaded Upwards)	273
Figure 11-4 Plastic Hinge Zone in Notched Bar	274
Figure 11-5 Pre-necking Fracture Moment $M_{Rc}$ , Limit State Surface	276
Figure 11-6 Plastic Hinge Moment $M_{pl,hinge}$ Limit State Surface	277
Figure 11-7 Over-strength Moment $M_{os,hinge}$ Limit State Surface	277
Figure 11-8 Displacement at Pre-necking Fracture, Limit State Surface	280
Figure 11-9 50 x 40 x 600mm Cracked Steel Bar Used in FEA Model	281
Figure 11-10 50 x 40 x 600mm Bar: Ductility to Pre-necking Fracture vs. Crack Length and Steel Strain-hardening	282
Figure 11-11 Cyclic Endurance and Ductility of As-received $R_c=38$	288

kJ/m <sup>2</sup> Steel	
Figure 11-12 Cyclic Endurance and Ductility of As-received R <sub>c</sub> =30	289
kJ/m <sup>2</sup> Steel	
Figure 11-13 ATC-24 Cyclic Ductility Ratings for Cracked 50 x40 x	290
600 mm Bar at Various Crack length and Strain-hardening %	



## List of Tables

Table 5-1 Typical Strain Rates (deMeester 1988)	80
Table 8-1 Mill Certificate Chemical Analysis of Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300 310UC158, wt-%	152
Table 8-2 Tensile True Stress-Plastic Strain Data Used In Finite Element Analyses	182
Table 9-1 Dog-Bone Trial Tensile Test Results	183
Table 9-2 Pre-straining Trials AO2 and AO3	184
Table 9-3 Pre-straining Data	185
Table 9-4 310UC158 Flange CVN Test Results	191
Table 9-5 ASTM Steel Grade CVN Averages Produced	198
Table 9-6 Japanese SN490B CVN Sample Data	198
Table 9-7 Fatigue Pre-cracking Test Record Data	209
Table 9-8 CTOD Tests Results	224
Table 9-9 CTOD Summary Results Table	227
Table 9-10 Crack Extension vs. Normalised Electrical Potential	248
Table 9-11 CTOD 10-1 DCPD Data Sample	255
Table 11-1 50 x 40 x 600mm Bar Geometric Ratios and Compliance	272
Table 11-2 Bar Pre-necking Fracture Moment, Plastic Hinge, Over- strength and Governing Limit State vs. Crack Length	278
Table 11-3 50 x 40 x 600mm Bar: Displacements and Monotonic Ductility at Pre-Necking Fracture Moment $M_{Rc}$	283
Table 11-4 Constant Amplitude Cyclic Endurance at Various Ductility Ratings for As-received Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300, 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar with Varying Crack Lengths	285
Table 11-5 Constant Amplitude Cyclic Endurance at Various Ductility Ratings for 9.8% Strain-hardened Australian AS/NZS 3679.1 G300, 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar with Varying Crack Lengths	286
Table 11-6 Constant Amplitude Cyclic Endurance at Various Ductility Ratings for 4.9% Strain-hardened Australian AS/NZS 3679.1	286

G300, 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar with Varying Crack Lengths	
Table 11-7 Constant Amplitude Cyclic Endurance at Various Ductility Ratings for 17.7% Strain-hardened Australian AS/NZS 3679.1	287
G300, 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar with Varying Crack Lengths	
Table 11-8 Effect of $R_c$ on Cyclic Endurance and Ductility of As-received Steel	288
Table 11-9 ATC-24 Cyclic Ductility Prediction for 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar	290
Table 11-10 Assessments of Cyclic ATC-24 Ductility Ratings of 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar with Various Crack Lengths, for $R_c=38$ and $R_c=30$ kJ/m <sup>2</sup> As-received Steel	291
Table 11-11 Assessments of Cyclic ATC-24 Ductility Ratings of 50 x 40 x 600 mm Bar with Various Crack Lengths, for $R_c=38$ kJ/m <sup>2</sup> Steel at Various Pre-strain States	292

# Glossary of Terms

**A** Proportionality constant used in CVN to  $K_{Ic}$  correlations

**A<sub>f</sub>** Flange area

**ABAQUS** Finite element analysis software

**AISC** American Institute of Steel Construction Inc.

**ATC-24** Applied Technology Council cyclic testing guideline

**a** Crack length

**a<sub>crit</sub>** Critical crack length at which fracture will occur.

**a<sub>0</sub>** Initial crack length

**B** Clear outstand width of a steel section flange; Breadth or thickness of a CTOD specimen; Proportionality constant

**B<sub>eff</sub>** Effective section thickness of side-grooved CTOD specimen per BS7448.4

**B<sub>N</sub>** Net section thickness of side-grooved CTOD specimen per BS7448.4

**bcc** Body centred cubic grain structure

**b<sub>f</sub>** Flange width

**C-Mn** Carbon-manganese steel

**COD** Crack Opening Displacement. Term superseded by CTOD

**CTOD** Crack Tip Opening Displacement

**CVN** Charpy V-Notch

**d** Clear depth of a steel section web

**D** Grain diameter

**DCPD** Direct current potential drop method of monitoring crack growth.

**e** material thickness

**e<sub>max</sub>** Maximum engineering pre-strain in test specimens

**e<sub>s</sub>** Engineering strain at commencement of strain hardening

**e<sub>y</sub>** Engineering strain at attainment of upper yield stress

**E** Young's modulus

**E\*** Effective Young's modulus under plane strain conditions

**EC3** Eurocode 3

**FEA** Finite element analysis

**FEMA** Federal Emergency Management Agency

**f** Void fraction after Gurson

**f<sub>0</sub>** Initial void fraction

**f<sub>c</sub>** Critical void fraction

**fcc** Face centred cubic grain structure

**f<sub>y</sub>** Yield stress

**f<sub>u</sub>** Ultimate tensile stress

**G<sub>c</sub>** Critical strain energy to generate crack growth

**G<sub>Ic</sub>** Critical plane strain energy release rate to generate crack growth

**hcp** Hexagonal close packed crystal structure

**HAZ** Heat Affected Zone adjacent to weld

**HRR** Hutchinson-Rice-Rosengren singularity in the crack-tip region

**HSLA** High Strength Low Alloy steel

**I<sub>xx</sub>** Second moment of inertia of section

**J<sub>c</sub>** J-integral at crack initiation

**J<sub>Ic</sub>** J-integral at crack propagation on 1-1 plane at crack initiation under plane strain

**K** Applied stress intensity at a crack tip

**K<sub>c</sub>** Critical stress intensity at the crack tip perpendicular to the crack propagation

**K<sub>max</sub>** Calculated stress intensity at the maximum load obtained in a CTOD test.

**K<sub>Q</sub>** Calculated stress intensity at fracture obtained in a CTOD test.

**K<sub>R</sub>** Stress intensity at crack propagation derived from an R-curve

**K<sub>Rc</sub>** Equivalent elastic stress intensity at fracture derived from an R<sub>c</sub>

**K<sub>t</sub>** Stress concentration factor

**K<sub>Ic</sub>** Critical stress intensity at the crack tip perpendicular to the crack propagation or I-I plane for plane strain conditions

**K<sub>Id</sub>** Critical stress intensity at the crack tip perpendicular to the crack propagation or I-I plane for cracking under plane strain condition, for dynamic loading.

**K<sub>e</sub>** Strain concentration factor for use in Neuber postulate.

**K<sub>σ</sub>** Stress concentration factor for use in Neuber postulate.

**k** Average shear yield strength

**k-line** The point of tangency of the fillet between the web and flange, and the web surface.

**k-zone** The steel at the junction of a beam flange and web within the cross-sectional area bounded by the joining fillet.

**kN** Kilonewton

**L** Average inter-particle gauge length

**LBZ** Local brittle zones found in welds.

**LEFM** Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics

**LODMAT** Lowest one day mean ambient temperature

**M<sub>os,hinge</sub>** Over-strength plastic section capacity

**M<sub>pl</sub>** Section plastic moment capacity

**M<sub>Rc</sub>** Critical cracking moment

**M<sub>y</sub>** Yield moment calculated at first yield of the extreme fibres of the section

**MMA** Manual metal arc welding process

**MnS** Manganese sulphide

**MPa** Megapascals

**m** Adjustment factor to convert  $\delta_c$  to R to allow for remote plastic flow.

**N** Number of cycles under cyclic loading

**N<sub>f</sub>** Number of quarter cycles under cyclic loading to fracture

**N\*** Axial design action

**N<sub>y</sub>** Nominal axial yield strength

**NDT** Nil ductility temperature generally corresponding to the upper limit of lower shelf notch toughness dominated by plane strain behaviour. Typically corresponding to the development of 27J in CVN tests.

**NZHERA** New Zealand Heavy Engineering Research Association (Inc.)

**n** number of complete cycles: being 4 quarter cycles



**p** stretch zone width

**Plane strain** The stress condition at a particular location along a crack front in which the transverse restraint is fully rigid, measured in terms of strain on the 3-3 plane  $\epsilon_{33}=0$ .

**Plane stress** The stress condition at a particular location along a crack front in which the transverse restraint in terms of stress on the 3-3 plane  $\sigma_{33}=0$ .

**PCMC** Pre-cleavage micro-cracks

**PS** Pre-strain

**PWRI** Japanese Public Works research Institute

**q** Plastic constraint factor: the ratio of notched to un-notched flow stress

**q<sub>1</sub>, q<sub>2</sub>, q<sub>3</sub>** Continuum mechanics material parameters after Gurson and Tvergaard

**R<sub>c</sub>** Critical crack resistance at which crack will run without further energy input.

**R<sub>0</sub>** Crack resistance at first cracking

**rad.** Radians of rotation

**RKR** Ritchie Knott Rice model

**SG** Segment generator control on MTS testing machine

**Q<sub>f</sub>** Monotonic fracture load per Kuwamura

**Q<sub>max</sub>** Maximum cyclic fracture load per Kuwamura

**R** Stress ratio in fatigue testing; Fracture toughness or crack propagation energy

**R<sub>m</sub>** Ultimate tensile strength

**R<sub>o</sub>** Average radius of inclusions

**R<sub>p0.2</sub>** 0.2% proof stress

**r<sub>c</sub>** Crack tip radius

**r<sub>y</sub>** nominal plastic zone radius at a crack tip

**S** Plastic section modulus

**S<sub>p</sub>** Structural performance factor in accordance with NZS 1170.5 Loadings Standard

**SAC** The SAC steel project funded by FEMA to solve the problem of running behaviour of welded steel frame structures that surfaced in the January 17, 1994 Northridge earthquake.

**SAW** Submerged Arc Weld

**SEM** Scanning electron microscope

**SENB3** Simply supported three point bend specimen

**SN490** Japanese constructional steel grade with nominal UTS of 490 MPa.

**SWF** Specific Work of Fracture

**SZW** Stretch zone width

**SZW<sub>c</sub>** Critical stretch zone width at crack initiation

**t<sub>w</sub>** Web thickness

**T** Temperature

**T<sub>s</sub>** Service temperature

**TK28** Test temperature at which 28J CVN obtained

**T<sub>f</sub>** Flange thickness

**U** Electrical potential

**U<sub>o</sub>** Initial electrical potential prior to crack growth

**UTS** Ultimate tensile strength

**u** Displacement

**u<sub>el</sub>** Elastic component of displacement

**W** Width of CTOD specimen

**WSMF** Welded Steel Moment Frame

**X** Applied force

**X<sub>o</sub>** Average inclusion spacing

**X<sub>Rc</sub>** Critical cracking force

**Yield Ratio** Ratio of yield stress to ultimate tensile stress  $f_y/f_u$

**Y** Stress intensity coefficient

**y** half the distance between probes across crack for DCPD monitoring

**Z** Elastic section modulus

**Z<sub>ex</sub>** Effective section modulus about x axis reduced for element slenderness effects

**Z<sub>web</sub>** Elastic section modulus of the web only

**$\alpha(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_y})$**  Correlation for  $K_{Ic}$  other than  $x=100 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$

**$\beta(x)$**  Correlation for  $K_{Ic}$  other than  $x=100 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$

$\gamma_p$  Irreversible work on fracture surface dissipated during plastic flow at the crack tip

$\Gamma$  Plastic work done in specimen due to remote plastic flow away from the crack tip.

$\gamma_s$  Effective surface energy of a potential crack face

$\Delta A$  Change in crack area

$\Delta a$  Change in crack length

$\Delta \delta_p$  Half-cycle plastic displacement

$\Delta K$  Change in stress intensity

$\Delta T_e$  Thickness correlation temperature

$\Delta T_v$  Loading rate correction temperature

$\delta_c$  Crack tip opening displacement at fracture

$\delta_{ci}$  Crack tip opening displacement at initiation of cracking of a specimen

$\delta_f$  Total monotonic displacement of load at fracture

$\delta_i$  Crack tip opening displacement at initiation of cracking of a material

$\delta_{iel}$  Elastic component of crack tip opening displacement at initiation of cracking

$\delta_{ipl}$  Plastic component of crack tip opening displacement at initiation of cracking

$\delta_m$  Crack tip opening displacement at first attainment of maximum force plateau in accordance with BS7448.1

$\delta_{pM}$  Total monotonic plastic displacement of an applied load at fracture

$\delta_u$  Crack tip opening displacement for fracture prior to attainment of maximum force plateau but after development of significant plasticity in accordance with BS7448.1

$\delta_y$  Elastic displacement of the load relative to the support, corresponding to the development of fully plastic moment of a beam at the face of the column. In accordance with ATC-24.

$\epsilon$  true strain

$\epsilon_c$  True strain at initiation of cracking

$\epsilon_{cw}$  True cold work pre-strain

$\epsilon_{max}$  Peak strain

$\epsilon_s$  Strain at commencement of strain hardening

$\epsilon_u$  Uniform true strain capacity

$\epsilon_y$  True yield strain

$\epsilon_z$  Orthogonal component of true strain

$\eta_p$  Cumulative cyclic plastic displacement ratio at fracture.

$\eta_{pM}$  Plastic displacement ratio at fracture under monotonic load.

$\Lambda$  Elastic strain energy

$\lambda$  Element slenderness ratio for local buckling assessment

$\theta_p$  Plastic rotation of the beam tip relative to the column, prior to moment capacity dropping below the fully plastic moment of a beam, at the face of the column. In accordance with AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification, 1998

$\theta_y$  Elastic rotation of the beam tip relative to the column, corresponding to the development of fully plastic moment of a beam at the face of the column

$\mu$  Displacement ductility

$\mu_p$  Cyclic displacement ductility amplitude.

$\nu$  Poisson's ratio

$\Omega$  Complimentary strain energy

$\phi$  Material strength reduction factor in NZS 3404 Steel Structures Standard

$\Sigma\Delta\delta_p$  Cumulative absolute plastic cyclic displacement at fracture

$\sigma$  True stress

$\sigma_0$  True stress at initiation of plastic strain

$\sigma_{fl}$  Flow stress

$\sigma_{fr}$  Fracture stress

$\sigma_H$  Hydrostatic mean stress

$\sigma_{ideal}$  Ideal cleavage strength

$\sigma_{Mises}$  Von Mises stress

$\sigma_u$  Ultimate tensile stress

$\sigma_x$  Orthogonal stress component

$\sigma_y$  Yield stress; Orthogonal stress component

$\sigma_z$  Orthogonal stress component

$\sigma_{crit}$  Critical section stress at which fracture will occur

$\tau_{peak}$  Peak stress triaxiality beneath a notched surface

$\tau_y$  Dislocation shear yield stress of a crystal