

Libraries and Learning Services

University of Auckland Research Repository, ResearchSpace

Version

This is the publisher's version. This version is defined in the NISO recommended practice RP-8-2008 http://www.niso.org/publications/rp/

Suggested Reference

Humphrey, G. P., Rossen, F., Walker, N., & Bullen, C. (2016). Parental smoking during pregnancy: Findings from the Growing Up in New Zealand cohort. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, *129*(1442), 60-74. Retrieved from http://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2016/vol-129-no-1442-23-september-2016/7019

Copyright

Items in ResearchSpace are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated. Previously published items are made available in accordance with the copyright policy of the publisher.

For more information, see <u>General copyright</u>, <u>Publisher copyright</u>, <u>SHERPA/RoMEO</u>.

Parental smoking during pregnancy: findings from the Growing Up in New Zealand cohort

Gayl Humphrey, Fiona Rossen, Natalie Walker, Chris Bullen

ABSTRACT

AIMS: To investigate patterns of exposure to tobacco smoke in pregnancy among a representative sample of New Zealand women.

METHODS: Analyses of smoking-related data from the first wave of the Growing Up in New Zealand cohort study, ie from the first data-collection point during the antenatal period in 2009–10.

RESULTS: Twenty percent of mothers reporting smoking before pregnancy and 9.9% of mothers continued during pregnancy. These figures were higher in younger women (p<.0001), women with lower educational achievement (p<.001) and Māori women (p<.001). Similarly, being Māori (p<.0001) and having a lower education achievement (p<.0029) were associated with smoking during an unplanned compared to a planned pregnancy. Multiparous mothers were more likely to be smokers than primaparous mothers (11%: 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 10.0–12.1 vs 8.3%: 95% CI 7.2–9.4). Second-hand smoke exposure was more common for younger women (Odds Ratio [OR] 3.2: 95% CI 1.6–6.4), Māori women (OR 1.9: 95% CI 1.4–2.5), and women with unplanned pregnancies (OR 3.4 95% CI 12.0–14.8).

CONCLUSIONS: There are differences in a range of contextual and behavioural factors related to smoking before and during pregnancy. Low educational achievement, being young, Māori and multiparous were all associated with smoking during pregnancy. A better understanding of why these differences exist is needed in order to find appropriate interventions to support women in becoming smoke-free.

Tobacco smoke exposure in pregnancy (maternal smoking and second-hand smoke [SHS] exposure) is one of the single most important preventable risks for maternal, fetal and infant health.^{1–3} In this paper we present new data on tobacco smoke exposure in pregnancy for New Zealand women.

Maternal smoking during pregnancy

Smoking prevalence in the general population in developed countries has declined more rapidly in recent years compared to developing countries.⁴ However, globally 22% of the world's adult population are estimated to be current smokers (36% men, 8% women).⁵ A similar picture is evident in New Zealand, with the prevalence of current smoking declining from 25% in 1996/97 to 18% 2012/13.⁶ However, the rates

of decline in smoking prevalence has been slower for Māori and Pacific Peoples during this period.⁶ Furthermore, there has been little change in smoking rates over time among pregnant women in New Zealand (19.5% in 2008 versus 18.4% in 2010⁷), particularly if they are Māori, aged 20 years and under, living in the most deprived areas and/or multiparous.^{7,8}

Smoking during pregnancy is associated with a range of health risks for the baby and pregnancy, including adverse fetal development, 9-11 birth complications, 12,13 antepartum haemorrhage 14 and pre-term delivery. 15 Smoking during pregnancy also has deleterious effects on children in the early neonatal and preschool periods, with respiratory morbidity being more common. 16,17 Harms have also been reported to continue through the child's



life course into adulthood. For example, maternal smoking during pregnancy is associated with adolescent-onset of mental illness¹⁸ and an increased risk in adulthood of obesity,¹⁹ metabolic disorders¹⁹ and cardiovascular disease.³

Exposure to SHS

Exposure of non-smokers to SHS is also associated with harms.20 The 2008-2010 Global Adult Tobacco Survey found that almost one half (n=470 million) of reproductive-aged women (15-49 years) from 14 low- and middle-income countries were exposed to SHS in their homes.²¹ At a global level it is estimated that of all deaths attributable to SHS, 28% occur in children and 47% in women.²² When non-smoking pregnant women are exposed to SHS there is evidence of harmful effects on fetal development and on the health of the child, such as asthma, low birth weight and neural tube defects. 17,23-24 An increased risk of cardiovascular disease among adult offspring exposed to SHS during pregnancy and infancy has also been reported.²⁵ Higher exposure to SHS, both in the home and at work, is seen for those who are socioeconomically disadvantaged.26 In US and Australia studies, women with lower educational achievement and from marginalised ethnicities are more exposed to SHS when pregnant than their counterparts.^{27,28}

In New Zealand, non-smokers with the highest exposure to SHS are pre- and school aged children, Māori and those of low socioeconomic status. 6.29-30 Data on SHS exposure among pregnant New Zealand women are limited. Given the high rates of daily smoking among males aged 25–54 years, 31 and the high rates of smoking in the home, 29.32, 33 it is highly likely that many non-smoking pregnant women are exposed to SHS. Exposure is likely to be disproportionally greater for Māori women, due to the higher proportion of Māori that smoke

and similarly for those experiencing high levels of deprivation. In a small study of pregnant Māori women who smoked (n=60), all lived with smokers and smoking was the norm among their Whānau, friends and co-workers.³⁴ Participants remarked that their environment made being smoke-free a difficult position to adopt.34 Exposure to SHS in the home has almost halved between 2006/07 and 2012/2013 for New Zealand adults (7.5% to 3.7%) and for children aged 0-14 years (9.6% to 5%). The decrease experienced by Māori (adults 16% to 9.4%: children 18.9% to 9.2%) was substantial but not enough.35 The Māori and Māori children are disproportionately affected in terms of disability adjusted life years (DALYs) due to SHS exposure.35

The Growing Up in NZ (GUiNZ) cohort study offers a unique opportunity to examine smoking behaviour and exposure to SHS over time. This paper focuses on the data reported at the first data-collection point (antenatal) which ended in June 2010. Our aim is to present the patterns of pregnancy and exposure to tobacco smoke in this cohort, to better understand the profile of smokers and the at-risk groups.

Methods

The methodology of GUiNZ is reported elsewhere³⁶ but in brief, GUiNZ is a longitudinal study that has recruited and collected information from pregnant mothers and their partners from before children are born. All participants had an expected delivery date between 25th April 2009 and 25th March 2010. In total, 6,822 pregnant women enrolled and completed a computer-assisted face-to-face antenatal interview. The cohort is comparable to the most recent New Zealand national birth statistics with regard to maternal age, ethnicity, parity and socioeconomic indicators.³⁷



Figure 1: GUiNZ smoking questions for the mother.

Own smoking.

- Did you smoke regularly—that is, every day before you were aware you were pregnant? [Yes; no; don't know]
- 2. How many cigarettes did you smoke per day, on average, before this pregnancy?
- Are you currently smoking? [Yes; no; don't know]
- 4. How many cigarettes do you smoke per day, on average?

Exposure to SHS.

- Does anyone currently regularly smoke in the same room as you? [Yes; no; don't know]
- How often? [Rarely (less than once a week); occasionally (a few times a week); often (almost or every day of the week); don't know]

Measurements

Smoking

The smoking questions specifically for the mother were used (Figure 1).

Ethnicity

Ethnicity was self-prioritised and coded into six Level 1 categories in line with Statistics New Zealand's coding criteria.³⁸ For the purpose of presenting smoking data, we combined the categories of MELAA and Other due to small numbers.

Social-economic position

Socio-economic deprivation was measured using the 2006 New Zealand Deprivation Index (NZDep2006) and arealevel (neighbourhood) index constructed from nine Census 2006 variables (meanstested benefits; household income; home ownership; single-parent family; employment; qualifications; household overcrowding; access to a telephone and access to a car). ^{39,40} We aggregated summary deprivation scores as quintiles, with '1' representing the least deprived neighbourhoods and '5' the most deprived neighbourhoods. Highest educational

qualification was coded as: no qualifications; secondary school completion; diploma/trade certification; bachelors' degree; or higher degree.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses used SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, Indiana,). We used descriptive statistics to examine associations between mothers' smoking with demographics, pregnancy period (before or during), planned/unplanned pregnancy and parity. Where multiple regression modelling was used, variables were entered only if they were significant covariates in univariate analyses. Outcomes with less than 10 people in each cell are not presented.

Results

In total, 1,946 mothers reporting smoking either before or during pregnancy—20.4% (n=1,387) smoked before pregnancy and 9.9% (n=559) reported that they smoked during pregnancy. In univariate analyses, being younger, Māori or Pacific, more deprived and less educated were all associated with smoking before and during pregnancy (Table 1).



Table 1: Mothers smoking pre- and during pregnancy by demographic characteristics.

		MOTHERS S	SMOKING					-	
Domographic	characteristics	Before preg	gnancy¹			During preg	gnancy²		
Demographic	CHAIACLEHSLICS	n (N=6,807)	% (95% CI)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value	n (N=5,664)	% (95% CI)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Total		1,387	20.4 (19.4–21.3)	-	-	559	9.9 (9.1–10.6)	-	-
	19 or less	190	57.9 (52.6–63.3)	4.4 (2.8–6.9)		85	31.1 (25.6–36.6)	1.7 (0.9–2.9)	
Ago group	20–29	758	28.5 (26.8–30.2)	2.4 (1.6–3.5)	- <0.0001	299	13.6 (12.2–15.1)	1.4 (0.9–2.3)	<0.0001
Age group	30–39	405	11.5 (10.4–12.5)	1.2 (0.8–1.7)	V0.0001	162	5.4 (4.6–6.2)	0.9 (0.5–1.4)	<0.0001
	40 or older	34	12.1 (8.3–15.9)	1		13	6.4 (3.0–9.7)	1	
	Mãori	444	46.8 (43.7–50.0)	2.2 (1.8–2.6)		236	(28.3–34.9) (2.5–3.9)		
Ethnicity	Pacific	316	31.7 (28.8–34.6)	1.1 (0.9–1.3)		98	13.5 (11.0–16.0)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	<0.0001
	Asian	35	3.5 (2.4–4.6)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	<0.0001	-	-	-	
	MELAA & Other	18	11.5 (6.5–16.4)	0.6 (0.3–1.0)		-	-	-	
	New Zealand European	572	15.5 (14.3–16.7)	1		220	6.8 (5.9–7.7)	1	
	1 (least deprived)	106	9.7 (7.9–11.4)	1		31	3.3 (2.2–4.5)	1	
	2	175	14.2 (12.2–16.1)	1.4 (1.1–1.9)		66	6.1 (4.7–7.5)	1.8 (1.1–2.7)	
NZDep2006	3	182	15.6 (13.5–17.7)	1.4 (1.0-1.8)	<0.0001	64	6.3 (4.8–7.8)	1.6 (1.0-2.5)	<0.0001
	4	284	20.0 (17.9–22.0)	1.4 (1.1-1.9)		112	9.6 (7.9–11.2)	1.9 (1.3-3.0)	
	5 (most deprived)	640	34.0 (31.8–36.1)	2.0 (1.6–2.6)		286	19.5 (17.5–21.6)	2.9 (1.9-4.4)	
	No sec school qualification	284	58.0 (53.6-62.3)	1		143	40.6 (35.5–45.8)	1	
	Sec school / NCEA 1–4	423	26.0 (23.9–28.2)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)		158	11.9 (10.2–13.7)	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	
Highest education	Diploma / Trade cert / NCEA 5–6	532	25.6 (23.7–27.5)	0.4 (0.4–0.6)	<0.0001	222	12.9 (11.3–14.5)	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	<0.0001
	Bachelor's degree	101	6.6 (5.3–7.8)	0.1 (0.1–0.2)		21	1.6 (0.9–2.3)	0.1 (0.0-0.1)	
	Higher degree	41	3.9 (2.7–5.0)	0.1 (0.1-0.1)		13	1.4 (0.6–2.1)	0.1 (0.0-0.1)	

^{1.} Relates to question: "Did you smoke regularly—that is every day—before you were aware you were pregnant?"



^{2.} Relates to question: "Are you currently smoking?" NB: These results relate to mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy—mothers who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.

Of the women who reported they were currently smoking (n=533), 40.1% (n=222) reported that they smoked ≤4 cigarettes per day (CPD), 31.1% (n=172) smoked between 5–9 CPD and 28.8% smoked ≥10 CPD. Given the small numbers in each subgroup, we used regression analyses to investigate

differences between smoking <10 and \geq 10 CPD (Table 2). The findings show that when all factors were controlled for, older women (aged 30–39 years; OR=0.7, 95% CI 0.2–2.6; p=0.0004) and being Māori (OR=1.2, 95% CI: 0.8–1.9, p<0.0001)) were associated with smoking \geq 10 CPD.

Table 2: Average number of cigarettes smoked per day by demographic characteristics.1

		NUMBER	OF CIGARETTE	S PER DAY			
Demographic chara	cteristics	9 or less ²		10 or mor	e	044	
		n (N=553)	% (95% CI)	n (N=553)	% (95% CI)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value ³
Total		394	71.2 (67.5–75.0)	159	28.8 (25.0–32.5)	-	-
Age group	19 or less	70	84.3 (76.5–92.2)	13	15.7 (7.8–23.5)	0.2 (0.0-0.7)	
	20–29	216	73.0 (67.9–78.0)	80	27.0 (22.0–32.1)	0.4 (0.1–1.5)	0.0004
	30–39	101	62.7 (55.2–70.2)	60	37.3 (29.8–44.8)	0.7 (0.2–2.6)	
	40 or older	-	-	-	-	1	
	Māori	151	64.8 (58.7–71.0)	82	35.2 (29.0–41.3)	1.2 (0.8–1.9)	<.0001
	Pacific	82	84.5 (77.3–91.8)	15	15.5 (8.2–22.7)	0.3 (0.2-0.7)	
Ethnicity	Asian	-	-	0	-	-	
	MELAA & Other	-	-	0	-	-	
	New Zealand European	156	71.6 (65.6–77.6)	62	28.4 (22.4–34.4)	1	
	1 (least deprived)	24	77.4 (62.7–92.2)	-	-	1	
	2	49	75.4 (64.9–85.9)	16	24.6 (14.1–35.1)	1.0 (0.4–2.9)	
NZDep2006	3	45	72.6 (61.4–83.7)	17	27.4 (16.3–38.6)	1.4 (0.5–3.9)	0.37
	4	79	70.5 (62.1–79.0)	33	29.5 (21.0-37.9)	1.6 (0.6-4.2)	
	5 (most deprived)	197	69.6 (64.2–75.0)	86	30.4 (25.0–35.8)	1.9 (0.7-4.9)	



Table 2: Average number of cigarettes smoked per day by demographic characteristics.¹(Continued.)

Highest education	No sec school qualification	86	61.0 (52.9–69.1)	55	39.0 (30.9–47.1)	1	
	Sec school / NCEA 1-4	133	84.2 (78.5–89.9)	25	15.8 (10.1–21.5)	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	
	Diploma / Trade cert / NCEA 5–6	149	68.0 (61.8–74.2)	70	32.0 (25.8–38.2)	0.6 (0.3–0.9)	0.0002
	Bachelor's degree	13	65.0 (44.0–86.0)	-	-	-	
	Higher degree	11	84.6 (64.9– 100.0)	-	-	-	

These results relate to:

- 1. The question: 'How many cigarettes do you smoke per day, on average?'; mothers who indicated that they were <u>currently</u> smoking; and, mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy-others who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.
- 2. As this question was only asked of mothers who indicated that they currently smoke, 'nine or less' includes those who responded 'zero'.
- 3. Outcome being modelled is '10 or more'.

Tables 3 and 4 present the unadjusted findings for the number of cigarettes smoked by planned or unplanned pregnancy and by parity. Among women with unplanned pregnancies unplanned smoking ≥10 CPD was more common (31.2%) than

among women with planned pregnancies (20.7%) (Table 3) Table 4 shows that multiparous women were more likely to smoke \geq 10 CPD (32.1%) than their primipara counterparts (22.6%, n=195).

Table 3: Number of cigarettes per day by planned/unplanned pregnancy.1

Maternal self-reported average daily cigarette consumption	Planned pre	gnancy	Unplanned pregnancy		
	n (N=135)	% (95% CI)	n (N=414)	% (95% CI)	
9 or less	107	79.3 (72.4–86.1)	285	68.8 (64.4–73.3)	
10 or more	28	20.7 (13.9–27.6)	129	31.2 (26.7– 35.6)	

These results relate to:

- 1. The question: 'How many cigarettes do you smoke per day, on average?';
- 2. Mothers who indicated that they were currently smoking; and,
- Mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy—mothers who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.

Table 4: Number of cigarettes per day by parity.1

Maternal self-reported average daily cigarette consumption	First pregna	ncy	Subsequent pregnancies		
	n (N=195)	% (95% CI)	n (N=358)	% (95% CI)	
9 or less	151	77.4 (71.6–83.3)	243	67.9 (63.0–72.7)	
10 or more	44	22.6 (16.7–28.4)	115	32.1 (27.3–37.0)	

These results relate to:

- 1. The question: 'How many cigarettes do you smoke per day, on average?';
- 2. Mothers who indicated that they were currently smoking; and,
- Mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy mothers who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.



Planned versus unplanned pregnancy on continued smoking

Smoking before pregnancy was greater when that pregnancy was unplanned, particularly for younger women (p<.0001), those with lower education achievement (p<.001) and Māori women (p<.001).

After adjusting for all covariates in the regression analysis, being Māori (p<.0001) and having lower education achievement (p<.0029) were found to be significantly associated with continuing to smoke during an unplanned pregnancy compared to planned, while age was less important (p<.015) (Table 5).

Table 5: Mothers smoking during pregnancy by planned/unplanned pregnancy.

		DURING pre	egnancy¹					
Demographic cha	uracteristics	Planned		Unplanned				
Demographic cha	macteristics			% (95% CI)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value ²		
Total		135	3.9 (3.2-4.5)	420	19.5 (17.8–21.2)	-	-	
	19 or less	8	9.4 (3.2–15.6)	77	90.6 (84.4–96.8)	2.6 (0.7–10.8)		
Ago group	20–29	73	24.7 (19.8–29.6)	223	75.3 (70.4–80.2)	0.7 (0.2–2.4)	0.01	
Age group	30–39	50	31.1 (23.9–38.2)	111	68.9 (61.8–76.1)	0.7 (0.2–2.4)	0.01	
	40 or older	4	30.8 (5.7–55.9)	9	69.2 (44.1–94.3)	1		
	Māori	30	12.8 (8.5–17.0)	205	87.2 (83.0-91.5)	3.4 (2.1–5.4)		
Ethnicity	Pacific	26	26.8 (18.0–35.6)	71	73.2 (64.4–82.0)	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	<.0001	
	Asian	0	-	-	-	-		
	MELAA & Other	-	-	0	-	-		
	New Zealand European	77	35.3 (29.0–41.7)	141	64.7 (58.3–71.0)	1		
	1 (least deprived)	10	32.3 (15.8–48.7)	21	67.7 (51.3–84.2)	1		
	2	19	30.2 (18.8–41.5)	44	69.8 (58.5–81.2)	0.8 (0.3–2.0)	0.16	
NZDep2006	3	25	39.1 (27.1–51.0)	39	60.9 (49.0–72.9)	0.5 (0.2–1.3)		
	4	29	25.9 (17.8–34.0)	83	74.1 (66.0–82.2)	0.7 (0.3–1.8)		
	5 (most deprived)	52	18.2 (13.8–22.7)	233	81.8 (77.3–86.2)	1.1 (0.5–2.5)		
	No sec school qualification	23	16.2 (10.1–22.3)	119	83.8 (77.7–89.9)	1		
Highest education	Sec school / NCEA 1–4	44	28.0 (21.0-35.1)	113	72.0 (64.9–79.0)	0.4 (0.3-0.8)		
	Diploma / Trade cert / NCEA 5–6	51	23.1 (17.5–28.6)	170	76.9 (71.4–82.5)	0.7 (0.4–1.2)	0.002	
	Bachelor's degree	10	50.0 (28.1–71.9)	10	50.0 (28.1–71.9)	0.2 (0.1–0.6)	-	
	Higher degree	-	-	7	-	-	1	

Relates to question: "Are you currently smoking?" NB: These results relate to mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy—mothers who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.

^{2.} Outcome being modelled is 'Unplanned pregnancy'.



Parity and Smoking

While the survey did not capture if mothers smoked during earlier pregnancies, Table 6 reports unadjusted smoking responses by parity status. There was little difference in smoking between the parity groups (first-born: 20.5%, 95% CI 19.0–22.0 vs subsequent: 20.3%, 95% CI 19.0–21.5) or during pregnancy (first-born: 8.3%,

95% CI 7.2-9.4 vs subsequent: 11%, 95% CI 10.0–12.1).

However, after controlling for age, ethnicity, deprivation and educational achievement; continuing to smoke during pregnancy was more common in multiparous women who were Māori and Pacific (Table 6).

Table 6: Mothers smoking <u>during</u> pregnancy by parity.

		DURING pre	egnancy¹					
Demographic o	haracteristics	First-born		Subsequen	t	044		
		n (N=2,396)	% (95% CI)	n (N=3,268)	% (95% CI)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value ²	
Total		198	8.3 (7.2–9.4)	361	11.0 (10.0-12.1)	-	-	
	19 or less	67	78.8 (70.1–87.5)	18	21.2 (12.5–29.9)	0.0 (0.0-0.1)		
Age group	20–29	100	33.4 (28.1–38.8)	199	66.6 (61.2–71.9)	0.2 (0.0–0.6)	<.0001	
Age group	30–39	30	18.5 (12.5–24.5)	132	81.5 (75.5–87.5)	0.6 (0.1–2.3)	<.0001	
	40 or older	-	-	12	92.3 (77.8–100.0)	1		
	Māori	77	32.6 (26.6–38.6)	159	67.4 (61.4–73.4)	1.9 (1.2-2.9)		
	Pacific	27	27.6 (18.7–36.4)	71	72.4 (63.6–81.3)	2.2 (1.3–3.9)	<.0001	
Ethnicity	Asian	0			-	-		
	MELAA & Other	-	-	-	-	-		
	New Zealand European	92	41.8 (35.3–48.3)	128	58.2 (51.7–64.7)	1		
	1 (least deprived)		-	24	77.4 (62.7–92.1)	1		
	2	25	37.9 (26.2–49.6)	41	62.1 (50.4–73.8)	0.5 (0.2–1.4)		
NZDep2006	3	24	37.5 (25.6–49.4)	40	62.5 (50.6–74.4)	0.9 (0.3–2.7)	0.16	
	4	46	41.1 (32.0–50.2)	66	58.9 (49.8–68.0)	0.4 (0.1–1.1)	-	
	5 (most deprived)	96	33.6 (28.1–39.0)	190	66.4 (61.0-71.9)	0.6 (0.2–1.5)		



Table 6: Mothers smoking <u>during</u> pregnancy by parity. (Continued.)

Highest edu- cation	No sec school qualification	39	27.3 (20.0–34.6)	104	72.7 (65.4–80.0)	1	
	Sec school / NCEA 1–4	65	41.1 (33.5–48.8)	93	58.9 (51.2-66.5)	0.4 (0.2–0.6)	
	Diploma / Trade cert / NCEA 5-6	81	36.5 (30.2–42.8)	141	63.5 (57.2–69.8)	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	<.0001
	Bachelor's degree	-	-	16	76.2 (58.0–94.4)	0.3 (0.1–0.9)	
	Higher degree	-	-	-	-	-	

Relates to question: "Are you currently smoking?" NB: These results relate to mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy—mothers who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.

Exposure to SHS

Seven percent of the 5,664 women reported being exposed to SHS from someone smoking in the same room. For planned pregnancies, someone else smoking in the same room as the mother was substantively less (3%, 95% CI 2.4–3.6) than for unplanned pregnancies (13.4%, 95% CI 12.0–14.8). However, when parity was examined irrespective of planned or unplanned, no difference was apparent,

(primipara mothers: 8.1%, CI 7.0–9.1 versus multiparous mothers: 6.2%, 95% CI 5.3–7.0).

Adjusting for age, ethnicity, deprivation and educational status, being \leq 19 years of age (OR 3.2, 95% CI 1.6–6.4; p<.0001), being Māori (OR 1.9: 95% CI: 1.4–2.5; p<.0001), living in an area of high deprivation (OR 3.5 CI: 2.0–5.7; p<.0001) and having a low educational achievement (p<.0001) were significantly associated with mothers reporting having someone smoking in the same room as them (Table 7).

Table 7: Exposure to SHS by demographic characteristics.

		EXPO:	SURE TO SECO	ND-HAND	SMOKE DURING PI	REGNANCY		
Demographic	characteristics	Yes		No		Odds ratio		
		n	% (95% CI)	n	% (95% CI)	(95% CI)	p-value ²	
Total		394	7.0 (6.3–7.6)	5,270	93.0 (92.4–93.7)	-	-	
	19 or less	77	28.2 (22.9–33.5)	196	71.8 (66.5–77.1)	3.2 (1.6-6.4)	<0.0001	
Ago group	20-29	228	10.4 (9.1–11.7)	1,967	89.6 (88.3–90.9)	1.6 (0.9–3.0)		
Age group	30–39	79	2.6 (2.1–3.2)	2,913	97.4 (96.8–97.9)	0.6 (0.3–1.2)		
	40 or older	10	4.9 (1.9-7.9)	194	95.1 (92.1–98.1)	1		
	Māori	135	18.1 (15.3–20.8)	612	81.9 (79.2–84.7)	1.9 (1.4-2.5)		
	Pacific	84	11.6 (9.2–13.9)	642	88.4 (86.1–90.8)	1.1 (0.8–1.5)		
Ethnicity	Asian	27	3.4 (2.1–4.6)	775	96.6 (95.4–97.9)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	<0.0001	
	MELAA & Other	-	-	134	96.4 (93.3–99.5)	0.6 (0.3–1.6)		
	New Zealand European	142	4.4 (3.7–5.1)	3,100	95.6 (94.9–96.3)	1		



^{2.} Outcome being modelled is 'subsequent pregnancy'.

Table 7: Exposure to SHS by demographic characteristics. (Continued.)

	1 (least deprived)	18	1.9 (1.0-2.8)	918	98.1 (97.2–99.0)	1	
NZDep2006	2	38	3.5 (2.4–4.6)	1,042	96.5 (95.4–97.6)	1.6 (0.9–2.9)	
	3	49	4.9 (3.5–6.2)	960	95.1 (93.8–96.5)	1.8 (1.0-3.2)	<0.0001
	4	78	6.7 (5.2–8.1)	1,094	93.3 (91.9–94.8)	2.0 (1.1–3.4)	
	5 (most deprived)	211	14.4 (12.6–16.2)	1,254	85.6 (83.8–87.4)	3.4 (2.0-5.7)	
	No sec school qualification	94	26.7 (22.1–31.3)	258	73.3 (68.7–77.9)	1	
	Sec school / NCEA 1–4	113	8.5 (7.0–10.0)	1,213	91.5 (90.0–93.0)	0.4 (0.3–0.6)	
Highest education	Diploma / Trade cert / NCEA 5–6	144	8.4 (7.1–9.7)	1,573	91.6 (90.3–92.9)	0.5 (0.3–0.7)	<0.0001
	Bachelor's degree	31	2.4 (1.5–3.2)	1,277	97.6 (96.8–98.5)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	
	Higher degree	-	-	941	99.1 (98.4–99.7)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	

These results relate to:

2. Outcome being modelled is 'Does anyone currently regularly smoke in the same room as you?—Yes'.

Discussion

Being younger (<20 years), being less well educated and living in an area of high deprivation continue to be strongly related to smoking before and during pregnancy. These factors are similar to those reported internationally^{41,42,43} and nationally.^{7,8} The finding that multiparous women were more likely to continue to smoke during pregnancy and smoke more CPD than primipara women has also been previously reported.44 While first time pregnancy appears to be a motivator for smoking cessation, it does not seem to hold true for multiparous women. This finding has also been reported before for New Zealand,7 and may be related to both smoking behaviour (eg being more cigarette dependent) and contextual factors (eg less social support, financial pressures and low self-confidence).45 Understanding these factors is important, as this group is highly likely to be contributing to the wider family's (including older children) exposure to SHS, as well as their unborn child.

A planned pregnancy was positively associated with not smoking during pregnancy or if still smoking, a lower consumption of cigarettes (<9 CPD). This finding may

signal that women (and families) may have planned a wider "healthy" strategy which included smoking cessation when planning to start or add to their family. It is not known if these women (and families) also have greater and/or earlier interactions with health professionals and as such are exposed to early cessation advice, support and treatment. Until relatively recently, cutting down rather than quitting smoking was the dominant message to pregnant smokers by health professionals. 46,47

While there is a high awareness of the harms of smoking on themselves and their unborn child, the lived context of the pregnant women plays a large part in smoking cessation. It is not possible to determine who actively cut down their CPD in this study but research suggests that adoption of a cutting down approach versus quitting is more common in women with low educational achievement and living in areas of greater deprivation. 46,48,49 It is critical that a consistent message and a subsequent supportive environment is provided if changes to these rates are to happen. It will also be important to explore the smoking data in subsequent GUiNZ waves, as international research suggests



^{1.} The question: 'Does anyone currently regularly smoke in the same room as you?'; Mothers who were interviewed during pregnancy—mothers who were interviewed post-partum were excluded from these analyses.

women often resume smoking in the days or weeks following the birth of their child. 7,50

Second Hand Smoke

Wider social contexts (friends, family, work) are important factors in supporting or impeding behavioural change activities.51 While only 7% of our cohort reported another person smoking in the same room, this finding was correlated with being younger, living in the most deprived area, lower educational achievement and Māori. Once again, understanding these contexts in more detail is important for intervention strategies to be successful. Exploration of the GUiNZ partner responses and the other contextual details captured in GUiNZ data (including stressors) is needed. It is clear that New Zealand's current smoke-free strategies are not proving to be as effective for multiparous women and it is unclear why this is so. More in-depth qualitative research is needed to explore their motivations and situational contexts. Such research will help identify where additional interventions could be focused so as to reduce the burden of SHS on other children living at home.52

Equity

The impact of high rates of smoking is evident for Māori mortality and health-related outcomes across the life course from the new-born through to adulthood.35,53 Māori reportedly receive antenatal care later in pregnancy.7 In repeated smoking surveys, Māori youth report having their first cigarette significantly earlier than their non-Māori counterparts, and smoking prevalence in young Māori females (15-24 years) was significantly higher than for non-Māori.54 This finding may partly account for the low smoking cessation rate for Māori during pregnancy.34 However it does not explain the contextual drivers that influenced earlier initiation or higher consumption. Arguably, it will not be until a deeper understanding of this issue is obtained, that effective interventions can emerge.

It is clear that efforts to support young Māori and Pacific women at their first pregnancy to quit are pivotal, as both groups were positively associated with smoking during subsequent pregnancies. The ability to act on information given about smoking in pregnancy has been reported as low by Māori women. 47,55 This finding should emphasise that the effectiveness of the

current suite of interventions is suboptimal for pregnant Māori women regardless of parity, and new strategies are needed to reduce significant life course harms.

Interventions

There is a bourgeoning literature on the effectiveness of cessation activities for the general smoking population. However, there is less research on smoking cessation interventions for pregnant women (prima and multiparious) and indigenous populations. Indigenous research by Glover et al56,57 and Walker et al33 have set some of the ground work for identifying successful directions for smoking cessation interventions, such as coaching models and using incentives as motivators for change. It is clear that more work is urgently needed to evaluate these and other data in more detail, as it remains unclear why some of these strategies work and others do not.

A Cochrane review specifically examining smoking cessation interventions for indigenous populations concluded that more rigorous trials are required to bridge the gap between tobacco-related health disparities in Indigenous and non-Indigenous populations.58 Another Cochrane Review59 reported that using a mix of interventions was most effective in helping pregnant women that smoke to quit, and highlighted the positive findings around the use of incentives. Use of incentives is a strategy rarely used in New Zealand, but is showing promise particularly for younger Māori mothers (ie <30 years)⁶⁰ and with one context of a team competition.60 However, the cost-effectiveness of such strategies is unclear.

Engaging with mothers and families early in their antenatal care in another strategy that shows promise in the New Zealand context, in reducing smoking rates.⁵⁰ While New Zealand has a significant array of smoking cessation intervention programmes based on and contributing to the evidence base, there are few that have a specific focus on pregnant women. 61 Evaluations of these programmes appear to be scarce in the published and grey literature, or are small in scale and duration⁶² and as such are often not widely adopted. While not specific to mothers, a study of the awareness and perceived effectiveness of smoking cessation services for those living in high deprivation areas in New Zealand, reported these to



be low.⁶³ This finding is important as our findings show that being Māori and living in high deprivation areas were associated with smoking during pregnancy.

Conclusion

Reducing maternal tobacco smoke exposure has the potential to have a positive

health effect that far exceeds the immediate health of both mother and infant. There is a paucity of local evidence on the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions for Maori women. Without effective interventions to reduce tobacco smoke exposure in pregnancy, intergenerational health equalities will be come more entrenched.

Competing interests:

Dr Walker reports grants from Health Research Council of New Zealand and the New Zealand Ministry of Health (Tobacco Turanga), and personal fees and non-financial support from the University of Malaya, outside of the submitted work.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to acknowledge the generosity of spirit of all the GUINZ participants without whom this research would not have been possible. We would also like to acknowledge the GUINZ research team as custodians of the data, and who facilitated our access to the data.

Author information:

Gayl Humphrey, Co-Lead Health Information and Technology Programme and Research Fellow, National Institute for Health Innovation, University of Auckland, Auckland; Fiona Rossen, Senior Research Fellow, Growing Up in New Zealand, University of Auckland, Auckland; Natalie Walker, Programme Leader, National Institute for Health Innovation, and Member of Centre for Addiction Research, University of Auckland, Auckland; Chris Bullen, Director, National Institute for Health Innovation, and Member of Centre for Addiction Research, University of Auckland, Auckland.

Corresponding author:

Gayl Humphrey, National Institute for Health Innovation, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland.

g.humphrey@auckland.ac.nz

URL:

https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2016/vol-129-no-1442-23-september-2016/7019

REFERENCES:

- 1. Al-Sahab B, Saqib M,
 Hauser G, Tamim H.
 Prevalence of smoking
 during pregnancy and
 associated risk factors
 among Canadian women:
 a national survey. BMC
 Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2010:10(1):24.
- 2. Castles A, Adams EK, Melvin CL, Kelsch C, et al. Effects of smoking during pregnancy: Five meta-analyses. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 1999;16(3):208–15.
- Mamun AA, O'Callaghan MJ, Williams GM, Najman JM. Maternal Smoking

- During Pregnancy Predicts Adult Offspring Cardiovascular Risk Factors – Evidence from a Community-Based Large Birth Cohort Study. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(7):e41106.
- **4.** OECD. OECD Factbook 2013. 2013.
- 5. WHO. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2013.
- Ministry of Health.
 Tobacco Use 2012/13:
 New Zealand Health
 Survey. Wellington
 Ministry of Health 2014.
- Andrews A, Dixon L, Eddy A, Guillialand K, et al. Smoking prevalence trends: An analysis of

- smoking at pregnancy registration and at discharge from a midwife Lead Maternity Carer -2008 to 2010. New Zealand College of Midwives Journal. 2014;49:17–22.
- 8. Ministry of Health. Report on Maternity 2012. Wellington: Ministry of Health 2015.
- 9. Cornelius MD, Day NL.
 Developmental consequences of prenatal
 tobacco exposure. Current
 Opinion in Neurology.
 2009;22(2):121–5.
- **10.** Bouwland-Both MI, van Mil NH, Tolhoek CP, Stolk L, et al. Prenatal parental



- tobacco smoking, gene specific DNA methylation, and newborns size: the Generation R study. Clin Epigenetics. 2015;7(1).
- 11. Koshy G, Delpisheh A, Brabin BJ. Dose response association of pregnancy cigarette smoke exposure, childhood stature, overweight and obesity. Eur J Public Health. 2011;21(3):286–91.
- 12. Blatt K, Moore E, Chen A, Van Hook J, et al.
 Association of reported trimester-specific smoking cessation with fetal growth restriction. Obstet Gynecol. 2015;125(6):1452–9.
- 13. Leite M, Albieri V, Kjaer S, Jensen A. Maternal smoking in pregnancy and risk for congenital malformations: Results of a Danish register-based cohort study. Maternal Child Health Journal 2014;19:1010–2.
- 14. Van Altvorst M, Chan E, Taylor R, Kenny L, et al. Antepartum haemorrhage of unknown origin and maternal cigarette smoking beyond the first trimester. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2012;52:161–6.
- 15. Mei-Dan E, Walfisch A, Weisz B, Hallak M, et al. The unborn smoker: Association between smoking during pregnancy and adverse perinatal outcomes. J Perinat Med. 2015;43(5):553–8.
- 16. Neuman Å, Hohmann C,
 Orsini N, Pershagen G, et
 al. Maternal Smoking in
 Pregnancy and Asthma
 in Preschool Children: A
 Pooled Analysis of Eight
 Birth Cohorts. American
 Journal of Respiratory and
 Critical Care Medicine.
 2012;186(10):1037–43.
- **17.** Burke H, Leonardi-Bee J, Hashim A, Pine-Abata H,

- et al. Prenatal and passive smoke exposure and incidence of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatrics, 2012;129(4):735–44.
- 18. Mitrou F, Gaudie J,
 Lawrence D, Silburn
 SR, et al. Antecedents of
 hospital admission for
 deliberate self-harm from
 a 14-year follow-up study
 using data-linkage. BMC
 Psychiatry. 2010;10.
- 19. Ino T. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and offspring obesity: meta-analysis. Pediatrics international: official journal of the Japan Pediatric Society. 2010;52(1):94–9.
- 20. Öberg M, Jaakkola MS, Woodward A, Peruga A, et al. Worldwide burden of disease from exposure to second-hand smoke: a retrospective analysis of data from 192 countries. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2010;6736(10):61388–8.
- 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Current tobacco use and secondhand smoke exposure among women of reproductive age 14 countries, 2008-2010. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2012;61 877–82.
- 22. Öberg M, Woodward A, Jaakkol MS, Peruga A, et al. Global estimate of the burden of disease from second-hand smoke. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- 23. Wang M, Wang ZP, Zhang M, Zhao ZT. Maternal passive smoking during pregnancy and neural tube defects in offspring: A meta-analysis. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2014;289(3):513–21.
- 24. Hawsawi AM, Bryant LO, Goodfellow LT. Association between exposure to secondhand smoke

- during pregnancy and low birthweight: A narrative review. Respiratory Care. 2015;60(1):135–40.
- 25. West HW, Gall SL, Juonala M, Magnussen CG. Is Passive Smoking Exposure in Early Life a Risk Factor for Future Cardiovascular Disease? Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports. 2015;9(9).
- 26. WHO. Environmental health inequalities in Europe: Assessment Report. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2012. Report No.: ISBN 978 92 890 0260 8.
- 27. Hawkins SS, Dacey C, Gennaro S, Keshinover T, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure among nonsmoking pregnant women in New York City. Nicotine Tob Res. 2014;16(8):1079–84.
- 28. Bonevski B, Paul C,
 Jones A, Bisquera A,
 et al. Smoky homes:
 gender, socioeconomic
 and housing disparities
 in second hand tobacco
 smoke (SHS) exposure in
 a large population-based
 Australian cohort. Prev
 Med. 2014;60:95–101.
- 29. Thomson G, Wilson W, P H-C. Smoky homes: a review of the exposure and effects of secondhand smoke in New Zealand homes. New Zealand Medical Journal 2005;118(1213):1404–15.
- 30. Glover M, Kira A, Cowie N, Wong R, et al. Health consequences of tobacco use for Māori—cessation essential for reducing inequalities in health. New Zealand Medical Journal. 2013;126(1379).
- **31.** Statistics NZ. Tobacco smoking. online: Statistics New Zealand; 2014.
- **32.** Glover M, Hadwen G, Chelimo C, Scragg R, et al.



- Parent versus child reporting of tobacco smoke exposure at home and in the car. The New Zealand Medical Journal (Online). 2013:126(1375):37–47.
- 33. Walker N, Johnston V, Glover M, Bullen C, et al. Effect of a family-centered, secondhand smoke intervention to reduce respiratory illness in indigenous infants in Australia and New Zealand: A randomized controlled trial. Nicotine Tob Res. 2015;17(1):48–57.
- 34. Glover M, Kira A. Why Māori women continue to smoke while pregnant. New Zealand Medical Journal. 2011;124(1339):22–31.
- 35. Mason K, Borman B.
 Burden of disease from
 second-hand smoke
 exposure in New Zealand.
 NZMJ. 2016;129(1432).
- 36. GUiNZ. http://www. growingup.co.nz/en/ about-the-study.html [cited 2015]. Available from: http://www. growingup.co.nz/en/ about-the-study.html
- 37. Morton SMB, Ramke J,
 Kinloch J, Grant CC, et
 al. Growing Up in New
 Zealand cohort alignment
 with all New Zealand
 births. Australian and New
 Zealand Journal of Public
 Health. 2014:39(1):82–7.
- 38. Statistics New Zealand.
 Statistical standard for
 ethnicity [Internet].
 Wellington: Statistics
 New Zealand; 2005 [cited
 15 June 2010]. Available
 from: http://www2.
 stats.govt.nz/domino/
 external/web/carsweb.
 nsf/55d63ae38ba3a25e4c2567e6007f6686/35d9b7e17a1d6151cc25701100031353?OpenDocument
- Salmond C, Crampton P, Atkinsonn J. NZDep2006 Index of Deprivation.

- University of Otago.: Department of Public Health,; 2007.
- 40. White P, Gunston J,
 Salmond C, Atkinson J, et
 al. Atlas of Socioeconomic
 Deprivation in New
 Zealand NZDep2006.
 Wellington: Ministry
 of Health; 2008.
- 41. Cui Y, Shooshtari S, Forget EL, Clara I, et al. Smoking during Pregnancy: Findings from the 2009–2010 Canadian Community Health Survey. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(1):e84640.
- 42. Jaddoe VW, Troe EJ,
 Hofman A, Mackenbach
 JP, et al. Active and passive
 maternal smoking during
 pregnancy and the risks
 of low birthweight and
 preterm birth: the Generation R Study. Paediatric
 and perinatal epidemiology. 2008;22(2):162–71.
- 43. Tabb KM, Huang H,
 Menezes PR, Azevedo
 e Silva G, et al. Ethnic
 differences in tobacco
 use during pregnancy:
 findings from a primary
 care sample in São Paulo,
 Brazil. Ethnicity & Health.
 2014;20(2):209–17.
- 44. Mohsin M, Bauman A.
 Socio-demographic factors
 associated with smoking
 and smoking cessation
 among 426,344 pregnant
 women in New South
 Wales, Australia. BMC
 Public Health. 2005;5:138.
- 45. Meernik C, Goldstein AO. A critical review of smoking, cessation, relapse and emerging research in pregnancy and post-partum. British Medical Bulletin. 2015;114(1):135–46.
- 46. Graham H, Flemming K, Fox D, Heirs M, et al. Cutting down: insights from qualitative studies of smoking in pregnancy. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2014;22(3):259–67.

- 47. Glover M, Paynter J, Bullen C, Kristensen K. Supporting pregnant women to quit smoking: postal survey of NZ general practitioners and midwives' smoking cessation knowledge and practices. The New Zealand Medical Journal (Online). 2008;121(1270):53–64.
- 48. Greaves L. The meanings of smoking to women and their implications for cessation. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2015;12(2):1449–65.
- 49. Pledger AB. Exploring the experiences of pregnant women using an NHS stop smoking service: A qualitative study. Perspect Public Health. 2015;135(3):138–44.
- 50. Barnes HB, Barnes AM, Baxter J, Crengle S, et al. Hapu Ora: Wellbeing in the Early Stages of Life. Auckland: Whariki Resaerch Group and SHORE, Massey University; 2013.
- 51. Hitchman SC, Fong GT,
 Zanna MP, Thrasher
 JF, et al. The relation
 between number of
 smoking friends, and quit
 intentions, attempts, and
 success: Findings from
 the International Tobacco
 Control (ITC) Four Country
 Survey. Psychology of
 Addictive Behaviors.
 2014;28(4):1144–52.
- 52. Pisinger C, Hammer-Helmich L, Andreasen A, Jorgensen T, et al. Social disparities in children's exposure to second hand smoke at home: a repeated cross-sectional survey. Environmental Health. 2012;11(1):65.
- 53. Ministry of Health. Māori Health Chart Book 2015 (3rd edition). Wellington: Ministry of Health; 2015.



- 54. Ministry of Health. Māori Smoking and Tobacco Use 2011. Wellington Ministry of Health; 2011.
- 55. Glover M, Nosa V, Gentles D, Watson D, et al. Do New Zealand Māori and Pacific 'walk the talk' when it comes to stopping smoking? A qualitative study of motivation to quit. Journal of Smoking Cessation. 2014;9(2):68–75.
- 56. Glover M, Cowie N.
 Increasing delivery
 of smoking cessation
 treatments to Māori and
 Pacific smokers. The New
 Zealand Medical Journal
 (Online). 2010;123(1308).
- 57. Glover M, Kira A, Walker N, Bauld L. Using Incentives to Encourage Smoking Abstinence

- Among Pregnant Indigenous Women? A Feasibility Study. Matern Child Health J. 2015:19(6):1393–9.
- 58. Carson KV, Brinn MP,
 Peters M, Veale A, et al.
 Interventions for smoking
 cessation in Indigenous
 populations. The Cochrane
 database of systematic
 reviews. 2012;1:CD009046.
- 59. Chamberlain C, O'Mara-Eves A, Oliver S, Caird Jenny R, et al. Psychosocial interventions for supporting women to stop smoking in pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2013.
- **60.** Glover M, Kira A, Gentles D, Cowie N, et al. The WERO group stop smoking competition: main

- outcomes of a pre- and post- study. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:599.
- **61.** Aukati Kaipaipa: Hapu Mama. http://www. aukatikaipaipa.conz/2016.
- **62.** Jakob-Hoff M, Fa'alau F, Spee K, Postlethwaite J. Smokefree Pregnancy Incentives Project Final Evaluation Report. Counties Manukau: Counties Manukau DHB; 2015.
- 63. Cowie N, Glover M,
 Scragg R, Bullen C, et al.
 Awareness and perceived
 effectiveness of smoking
 cessation treatments
 and services among New
 Zealand parents resident
 in highly deprived
 suburbs. The New Zealand
 Medical Journal (Online).
 2013;126(1378):48–59.



Publication rates and characteristics of undergraduate medical theses in New Zealand

Ibrahim Saleh Al-Busaidi, Yassar Alamri

In New Zealand, the fate and publication rates of theses produced by medical students is unknown. Adding to the existing literature on New Zealand medical student research and publishing, this study sheds light on their contribution to international scientific literature. During the period from January 1995 to December 2014, almost one-third of BMedSc(Hons) theses resulted in a publication in a peer-reviewed journal. Although higher than reported figures from previous studies, publication rates of BMedSc(Hons) theses remain lower than expected. To improve our understanding of medical student publishing in New Zealand, formal examination of the factors hindering medical students from publishing their theses is imperative.

How effective is our current Orthopaedic Prioritisation Tool for scoring patients for arthroplasty surgery?

Neal Singleton, Lewis Agius, Sudhindra Rao

The aim of this study was to compare those patients being accepted onto the waiting list for total hip or knee replacement in Hawke's Bay with those being declined surgery using the Oxford score which is a validated questionnaire for assessing patient function. Patients are currently prioritised for surgery using a non-validated tool which scores patients according to their symptoms and likely benefit from surgery. We found that there was no difference between those patients being accepted for surgery and those being declined surgery. In other words, patients were equally disabled. Patients being seen in Hawke's Bay Hospital for consideration of arthroplasty surgery are severely disabled and yet nearly half are declined surgery. This paper has highlighted the issue of unmet need for arthroplasty surgery which is becoming an increasing issue with New Zealand's ageing population.

Parental smoking during pregnancy: findings from the Growing Up in New Zealand cohort

Gayl Humphrey, Fiona Rossen, Natalie Walker, Chris Bullen

We used the Growing Up in New Zealand cohort study, which follows a group of people over a number of years, to explore smoking behaviour in cycle one of the study which was when all the women in the study were pregnant. This paper looked at factors that may contribute to women who continued to smoke during pregnancy and also the exposure to second-hand smoke. We used analyses to show the importance of these factors in reducing or stopping smoking as well as what factors influenced continued smoking. The term confidence intervals is used to show how 'confident' we are that our finding is within the two numbers ie CI 12.0–14.8) and hence how confident that we feel our finding is correct.

Low FODMAP diet efficacy in IBS patients—what is the evidence and what else do we need to know?

Tim Kortlever, Clarice Hebblethwaite, Julie Leeper, Leigh O'Brien, Chris Mulder, Richard B Gearry

Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is a common gastrointestinal disorder characterised by intermittent abdominal pain with altered bowel habit. Low FODMAP diet has been shown to reduce gastrointestinal symptoms in people with IBS. Low FODMAP diet should be taught by an experienced dietitian.



Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited with permission.	out