



Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.
<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library [Thesis Consent Form](#)

Negative Masking and the Units Problem in Audition

A thesis submitted to the University of Auckland
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Daniel Shepherd
Auckland, February, 2005

ABSTRACT

For humans with adequately operating auditory systems the arrival of acoustic waves to the ear and the subsequent displacement of the tympanic membrane gives rise to the sensations and perceptions commonly known as hearing. One aspect of hearing is loudness, to which the field of psychophysics attempts to ascribe a physical correlate intrinsic to the incoming acoustic wave. For over 50 years psychophysicists have attempted to explicitly define the just-noticeable difference (JND) for loudness: the smallest amount, in physical units, that a stimulus needs to be increased to produce a perceptual difference. A review of the literature suggests that the problem in defining the JND is twofold. Firstly there is the measurement problem, with specific weighting on two competing measures of the JND: the level difference (ΔL) vs. the Weber fraction ($\Delta X/X$). Deciding between the two measures has proved difficult: within the natural operating range of the auditory system the two measures are directly proportional to one another. Secondly there is the units problem, concerned with the physical unit in which the measure should be expressed. The units problem exists because it has yet to be determined which property of the acoustic stimulus accounts for the perception of loudness. There are several candidates: acoustic pressure (p); acoustic intensity (I); acoustic power (P), and acoustic energy (E). The necessity of determining which, if any, is the proper measure of the stimulus is forced by the phenomenon of negative masking, which is manifest when the stimulus is expressed in terms of pressure. Selecting among the various quantities is complicated by the fact that the four measures are linearly related and constitute a direct transformation of one another. In the laboratory context existing techniques can produce stimuli that severely challenge the auditory system and break down the proportionality exhibited by the various candidate JNDs. These techniques however require greater scrutiny and development. Buus and Florentine (1991) have proposed that the JND measure (i.e., $\Delta p/p$, $\Delta I/I$, ΔL) that realizes a linear relationship with the detectability index, d' , is the correct measure. The proportionality of the measures makes such comparison complicated, and threshold values need to be inflated by manipulating stimulus parameters in order to exceed the range of proportionality. This is accomplished using short duration (10-ms) sinusoids and low level masking noise. The experimental program commenced with a series of negative masking

experiments to ensure the phenomenon is still relevant to short duration stimuli. Laming's (1986) sensory analytical model, which assumes the auditory system responds to pressure, provided an acceptable fit to these data. Experiments on the pedestal effect, a related phenomenon, generated fixed-increment functions that could be compared to the masking functions generated from the negative masking data. A consistent relationship between the two was found when the stimuli were expressed in units of pressure. Attention then turned to improving the studies undertaken by Buus and Florentine (1991) to determine what the proper measure of the JND should be. A series of experiments, differentiated by stimulus conditions, suggest a JND measure expressed in pressure units had the most linear relationship with d' . The results argue for pressure as the correct measure of the stimulus, and $\Delta p/p$ to be the most qualified representative of the JND.

KEYWORDS: psychophysics, sinusoid, pressure, intensity, difference threshold, short-duration stimuli, negative masking, pedestal effect, psychometric function, masking function, fixed-increment function, d'

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“Education is an admirable thing, but it is well to remember from time to time that nothing worth knowing can be taught”

Oscar Wilde

Dedicated to the memory of James Reginald Thomas (1912-2003) and Doris Ellen Mary Shepherd (1919-1998). Grandparents and role models.

It seems all laborious endeavours are completed with equal measures of perspiration and luck. The enormous quantity of time invested into this enterprise might have been two-fold were it not for timely doses of the latter. In particular I was fortunate to have a superb supervisor: Dr Michael Hautus. I can't recall ever having approached Michael with a question that he wasn't able to answer, or better still, offer direction that allowed me to answer it myself. Ian Kirk, co-supervisor supreme, likewise deserves special thanks. It was lucky too that my parents, Terry and Mary, supported me in many aspects, including taking me in as a refugee on occasion. I was lucky to have an understanding partner, Sally Holdaway, who also contributed valuable time formatting the tables in the appendices. I was lucky to have a cast of supporting friends (you know who you are!), some who offered themselves as subjects of torture in the experimental chamber:

THE ROLL OF HONOUR

Wesley Clapp	Margaret Francis
Michael Hautus	Matthew Keane
Ian Kirk	Erik Landhuis
Branka Milivojevic	

Gratitude is extended to B.J. Moore and R. J. Irwin who generously provided data for reanalysis. Kirstie Morgan is thanked for her proof reading prowess. Margaret “the Big Mac” Francis and Paul “the Blue Screen of Death” Denize are to be thanked for their computer support. Administrative help was gratefully received from Janet Moody, Kamalini Gnaniah, Larissa Isted, and Rajni Herman, who not only helped correct my all-too-frequent bureaucratic blunders but also saved me from famine on numerous occasions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
CHAPTER I: General Introduction	1
INTRODUCTION	1
AN INTRODUCTION TO PSYCHOPHYSICS	2
THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF SOUND	4
A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE AUDITORY SYSTEM	8
PSYCHOPHYSICAL MEASURES	10
- The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve	11
- The Psychometric function	16
- The Masking function	18
- The Fixed-increment function	19
PSYCHOPHYSICAL METHODOLOGY	19
- Classical Psychophysics	21
- The Method of Limits	22
- The Method of Constant Stimuli	26
- The Method of Average Error	27
- Modern Psychophysics	28
- The Yes-No Task	29
- The Rating-Scale Task	29
- M-interval Forced Choice Tasks	31
- The Same-Different Task	33
CHAPTER II: Auditory Level Discrimination	35
INTRODUCTION	35
THE ASSESSMENT OF LEVEL DISCRIMINATION	35
- The Sinusoid	36
- Random noise	39
- Time domain descriptions of noise	40
- Frequency domain descriptions of noise	45
- Clicks	47
WEBER'S LAW	48
- The near-miss to Weber's law	51
- The severe departure from Weber's law	53
- Negative masking	55
- The pedestal effect	56
A SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	56
- Difference thresholds for sinusoids	56

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	PAGE
- Difference thresholds for sinusoids in a noise background	58
- Auditory Profile Analysis	59
- Difference thresholds for noises presented in quiet	59
- Difference thresholds for noises in a noise background	60
CHAPTER III: Negative Masking and the Pedestal Effect	62
NEGATIVE MASKING	62
EXPLANATIONS OF NEGATIVE MASKING	65
- Energy detection	66
- Contrast analyser	67
- Observer uncertainty	67
- Nonlinear transduction	69
- Stochastic resonance	71
THE PEDESTAL EFFECT	74
EXPLANATIONS OF THE PEDESTAL EFFECT	79
- Energy detection	79
- Contrast analyser	82
CHAPTER IV: Three Models of Level Discrimination	84
INTRODUCTION	84
THE SENSORY ANALYTICAL MODEL	85
- Stochastic transfer	88
- Differential coupling	88
- Determinate transfer	89
- Half-wave rectification	91
- Point process generator	92
- The observation window	93
- Negative masking	96
THE NONLINEAR TRANSDUCTION MODEL	100
VIEMEISTER AND BACON'S THREE PARAMETER MODEL	101
CHAPTER V: The Units Problem in Audition	104
INTRODUCTION	104
THE MEASUREMENT PROBLEM IN AUDITION	105
- Psychometric functions and the measurement problem	110
- Auditory profile analysis and the measurement problem	116
- A summary of the measurement problem in audition	116
THE UNITS PROBLEM IN AUDITION	117
- Psychometric functions for level discrimination	119
- The findings of Raab, Osman, and Rich (1963a/1963b)	120
- Signal Detection Theory and the concept of the ideal observer, and the rectifier	122

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	PAGE
- Signal Detection Theory and the concept of the ideal observer, and the integrator	125
- Two-tone masking experiments	126
- Laming's (1986)sensory analytical model	127
- The detection of a brief sinusoid in Gaussian noise	127
- The discrimination of brief sinusoid in Gaussian noise	128
- The detection of a noise signal in noise	129
- Physiological evidence	133
EXPERIMENTAL AIMS	138
- Terminology	141
 CHAPTER VI: Experiments on Negative Masking	142
INTRODUCTION	142
EXPERIMENT 6.1: Negative Masking in Quiet	143
- INTRODUCTION	143
- METHOD	144
- Observers	144
- Stimuli	145
- Apparatus	145
- Procedure	146
- RESULTS	149
- Detection data	149
- Discrimination data	150
- DISCUSSION	170
- Absolute thresholds	170
- Difference thresholds	171
EXPERIMENT 6.2: Negative Masking in Continuous Noise	174
- INTRODUCTION	174
- METHOD	176
- Observers	176
- Stimuli	176
- Apparatus	176
- Procedure	178
- RESULTS	178
- Detection data	178
- Discrimination data	179
- DISCUSSION	190
- Absolute thresholds	190
- Difference thresholds	191
EXPERIMENT 6.3: Negative Masking in Gated Noise	194
- INTRODUCTION	194
- METHOD	194
- Observers	194

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	PAGE
- Stimuli	194
- Apparatus	195
- Procedure	195
- RESULTS	196
- Detection data	196
- Discrimination data	196
- DISCUSSION	202
- Absolute thresholds	202
- Difference thresholds	202
EXPERIMENT 6.4: High Frequency Negative Masking	205
- INTRODUCTION	205
- METHOD	205
- Observers	205
- Stimuli	206
- Apparatus	206
- Procedure	206
- RESULTS	206
- Detection data	206
- Discrimination data	207
- DISCUSSION	218
- Absolute thresholds	218
- Difference thresholds	218
GENERAL DISCUSSION	221
 CHAPTER VII: Experiments on the Pedestal Effect	233
INTRODUCTION	233
EXPERIMENT 7.1: Fixed-Increment Functions for 1000-Hz	234
Sinusoids Presented in Quiet	
- INTRODUCTION	234
- METHOD	235
- Observers	235
- Stimuli	235
- Apparatus	235
- Procedure	236
- RESULTS	238
- Absolute thresholds	238
- Fixed-increment functions	239
- DISCUSSION	241
EXPERIMENT 7.2: Fixed-Increment Functions for 1000-Hz	245
Sinusoids Presented in Noise	
- INTRODUCTION	245
- METHOD	246
- Observers	246
- Stimuli	246

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	PAGE
- Apparatus	246
- Procedure	247
- RESULTS	248
- Absolute thresholds in noise	248
- Fixed-increment functions	249
- DISCUSSION	251
GENERAL DISCUSSION	253
 CHAPTER VIII: Psychometric Functions	256
INTRODUCTION	256
EXPERIMENT 8.1: Psychometric Functions for the Discrimination of 10-ms 1000-Hz Sinusoids in Gated Noise	259
- INTRODUCTION	259
- METHOD	259
- Observers	259
- Stimuli	259
- Apparatus	261
- Procedure	262
- DATA ANALYSIS	263
- RESULTS	265
- Difference thresholds	266
- Psychometric functions	266
- Exponent functions	282
- DISCUSSION	284
EXPERIMENT 8.2: Psychometric Functions for the Discrimination of Noise	288
- INTRODUCTION	288
- METHOD	288
- Observers	288
- Stimuli	289
- Apparatus	289
- Procedure	290
- DATA ANALYSIS	291
- RESULTS	292
- Difference thresholds	292
- Psychometric functions	293
- Exponent functions	308
- DISCUSSION	309
EXPERIMENT 8.3: Psychometric Functions for the Discrimination of 6500-Hz Sinusoids in Bandstop Noise	311
- INTRODUCTION	311
- METHOD	312

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	PAGE
- Observers	312
- Stimuli	312
- Apparatus	313
- Procedure	313
- DATA ANALYSIS	314
- RESULTS	314
- Difference thresholds	314
- Psychometric functions	315
- Exponent functions	330
- DISCUSSION	331
GENERAL DISCUSSION	334
 CHAPTER IX: Main Discussion	341
NEGATIVE MASKING	342
NEGATIVE MASKING AND THE UNITS PROBLEM IN AUDITION	342
THE PEDESTAL EFFECT	343
THE PEDESTAL EFFECT AND THE UNITS PROBLEM IN AUDITION	343
NEGATIVE MASKING, THE PEDESTAL EFFECT, AND THE UNITS PROBLEM IN AUDITION	345
THE PSYCHOMETRIC FUNCTION	348
THE PSYCHOMETRIC FUNCTION AND THE UNITS PROBLEM IN AUDITION	349
THE PSYCHOMETRIC FUNCTION AND THE MEASUREMENT PROBLEM IN AUDITION	351
FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS	351
CONCLUSION	353
 REFERENCES	354
APPENDIX A	365
- Parameter estimates and goodness-of-fit statistics for Moore, Peters, and Glasberg's (1999) data	386
APPENDIX B	386
- Parameter and goodness-of-fit statistics derived from the analysis of Irwin's (1989) raw data	388
APPENDIX C	388
- Observer audiograms	392
APPENDIX D	392
- Details of calibration procedures	392

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	PAGE
APPENDIX E - Raw data, difference thresholds, and best-fitting parameters for three models of level discrimination fitted to masking functions (Chapter VI)	394
APPENDIX F - Raw data for Experiments 7.1 and 7.2 (Chapter VII)	410
APPENDIX G - Values of d' as a function of proportion correct in a 2-IFC and 3-IFC task	417
APPENDIX H - Raw data, best-fitting parameters, and goodness-of-fit statistics for experiments 8.1, 8.2, and 8.3 (Chapter VIII)	418

LIST OF FIGURES

	PAGE	
Figure 1.1	A schematic diagram of the events that constitute the discipline of Psychophysics.	3
Figure 1.2	A representation of simple harmonic motion and the resulting condensation and rarefaction of air molecules.	6
Figure 1.3	A diagram of the peripheral auditory system and its divisions.	9
Figure 1.4	Summary of the various sorts of experimental results that can be extracted from a discrimination task using different configurations of stimuli.	12
Figure 1.5	Distribution of sensory evidence across noise and signal+noise trials.	13
Figure 1.6	A 2x2 SDT response matrix.	15
Figure 1.7	A hypothetical ROC curve based on the normal-normal equal variance model.	15
Figure 1.8	A generalised psychometric function plotting percent correct as a function of stimulus magnitude.	17
Figure 1.9	Maskings function for the discrimination of pressure.	18
Figure 1.10	Taxonomy of psychophysical procedures (Marvit, Florentine, and Buus, 2003).	21
Figure 1.11	Hypothetical data representing a three-down, one-up adaptive procedure.	25
Figure 1.12	A psychometric function obtained using the Method of Constant Stimuli.	27
Figure 1.13	The structure of a typical Yes-No trial.	30
Figure 1.14	A noise (N) and a signal+noise (SN) distribution with five numbered criteria placed along the sensory evidence axis.	30
Figure 1.15	An ROC curve generated from a rating procedure.	31
Figure 1.16	The structure of a typical 2-IFC trial.	32

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 1.17	The structure of a typical trial in a same-different task.	34
Figure 1.18	An ROC curve with both the differencing and the likelihood-ratio strategy models fitted.	34
Figure 2.1	A sinusoid represented as displacement as a function of time.	37
Figure 2.2	The amplitude and phase spectrum of a 1000-Hz sinusoid.	38
Figure 2.3	A burst of Gaussian noise in the time domain.	40
Figure 2.4	Continuous noise spectra.	45
Figure 2.5	The amplitude spectrum of a white noise.	46
Figure 2.6	The amplitude spectrum for a click.	47
Figure 2.7	The JND, expressed as $10\log(\Delta I/I_o)$, as a function of pedestal for Gaussian noise level.	49
Figure 2.8	An example of a Weber function for the discrimination of Gaussian noise level.	50
Figure 2.9	The Weber fraction plotted as a function of pedestal level for 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet.	52
Figure 2.10	ΔL plotted as a function of pedestal level.	54
Figure 2.11	A schematic representation of the stimuli employed by Carlyon and Moore (1984).	54
Figure 2.12	Weber fractions as a function of pedestal level for noise differing in their frequency profile.	55
Figure 2.13	The Weber fractions reported by Jesteadt, Wier, and Green (1977).	57
Figure 2.14	Schematic diagram of two sinusoids with equal energy.	58
Figure 2.15	Irwin's (1989) Figure 2 plotting percentage correct as a function of $\Delta I/I$.	61
Figure 3.1	Negative masking with noise stimuli (Raab et al., 1963b).	63

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 3.2	Discrimination thresholds standardized to the observers absolute threshold as a function of pedestal level.	63
Figure 3.3	A comparison of visual (gratings) and auditory (sinusoids) negative masking data.	65
Figure 3.4	A hypothetical stochastic resonance curve showing the signal-to-noise ratio as a function of noise level.	72
Figure 3.5	Standardized detection thresholds (<i>re</i> : dB SL) as a function of noise level for five observers.	73
Figure 3.6	A stochastic resonance curve for the detection of 3-Hz auditory beats. From Ward <i>et al.</i> (2001).	73
Figure 3.7	Hypothetical stimuli and results from a pedestal experiment.	74
Figure 3.8	Proportion correct as a function of normalised pedestal amplitude for pedestals presented either in continuous or gated noise. From Pfafflin and Mathews (1962).	75
Figure 3.9	The relationship between the masking function and the fixed-increment function.	77
Figure 3.10		
Figure 3.11	Two probability density functions of sensory effect.	78
Figure 3.12	An energy-detector model consisting of a bandpass filter, a squarer and an integrator.	79
Figure 3.13	Theoretical curves produced by the model of Pfafflin and Mathews (1962).	80
Figure 3.14	An energy-detector model consisting of a bandpass filter, a squarer, an integrator, and an endogenous noise term.	81
Figure 4.1	Common configurations of stimuli.	86
Figure 4.2	A schematic presentation of Laming's (1986) sensory analytical model.	87
Figure 4.3	Oscilloscope traces related to the various functional modules presented in Figure 4.2.	90
Figure 4.4	The rectification of a waveform by a half-wave rectifier.	91

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 4.5	Laming's Eq. 4.11 accompanied by its two approximations.	95
Figure 4.6	Theoretical lower bound for a difference discrimination task. The curve is based on EQ. 4.19 with Θ set to 0.14.	98
Figure 5.1	ΔL expressed in units of intensity and pressure.	109
Figure 5.2	Slope exponent b plotted as a function of the difference threshold expressed in terms of either ΔL , $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ or $10\log(\Delta I/I)$. Data from Buus and Florentine (1991).	112
Figure 5.3	Same as Figure 5.2 but for Moore, Peters, and Glasberg's (1999) data.	115
Figure 5.4	Difference threshold estimates calculated from Miller's (1947) Table I expressed in units of intensity and units of pressure.	121
Figure 5.5	A typical model for auditory level discrimination.	123
Figure 5.6	Discriminability functions and detectability functions from Irwin (1989).	133
Figure 5.7	Schematic representation of the fixed pressure threshold model and subsequent analysis of data used in its evaluation.	134
Figure 5.8	Schematic representation of the pressure integration threshold model.	136
Figure 5.9	Estimates of the exponent q from Eq. 5.19 with and without P_c incorporated.	137
Figure 5.10	Evaluation of fixed vs. leaky pressure integration threshold models for two nerve fibres.	137
Figure 6.0.1	A schematic representation of Chapter VI.	143
Figure 6.1.1	A time-domain representation of the 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoid generated in LabVIEW.	145
Figure 6.1.2	Experimental apparatus for Experiment 6.1.	146

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE
Figure 6.1.3	LED configuration. 147
Figure 6.1.4	The series of events that constitute a 2-IFC trial. 148
Figure 6.1.5	Absolute threshold values for each observer as a function of block number, for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet. 149
Figure 6.1.6	Difference limens, ΔL , as a function of pedestal level. 151
Figure 6.1.7	Difference thresholds, Δp , in units of pressure, as a function of pedestal level for 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet. 153
Figure 6.1.8	Mean difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of pedestal level, p , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet. 154
Figure 6.1.9	Group data for Experiment 6.1. Difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of p for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet. 155
Figure 6.1.10	As for Figure 6.1.8. The best-fitting lines are predicted from Laming (1986). 157
Figure 6.1.11	As for Figure 6.1.9. The best-fitting lines are predicted from Laming (1986). 158
Figure 6.1.12	As for Figure 6.1.8. The equations used to fit the two curves to each set of data come from Hanna et al. (1986). 160
Figure 6.1.13	As for Figure 6.1.12 but for group data. 160
Figure 6.1.14	As for Figure 6.1.8. The best-fitting curve based on Viemeister & Bacon (1988). 162
Figure 6.1.15	As for Figure 6.1.8 but with Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model fitted to group data. 163
Figure 6.1.16	Difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal level, I , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet. 164
Figure 6.1.17	Mean difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal level, I , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet. 165
Figure 6.1.18	As for Figure 6.1.17 but for group data. 166

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 6.1.19	Best fitting lines from Hanna, von Gierke, and Green (1986) for individual data expressed in units of intensity.	168
Figure 6.1.20	Best-fits of the equations proposed by Hanna, von Gierke, and Green (1986) for group data.	168
Figure 6.1.21	Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model fitted to individual data expressed in units of intensity.	169
Figure 6.1.22	Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model fitted to group data expressed in units of intensity.	170
Figure 6.1.23	Graph showing nonlinear behaviour between ΔL and pedestal level for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet.	171
Figure 6.1.24	Two views of discrimination data: expressed in sensation level and expressed in absolute units (dB SPL).	172
Figure 6.1.25	Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model fitted across the entire range of the pedestal.	173
Figure 6.2.1	A time-domain representation of a one-second burst of Gaussian noise generated in LabVIEW.	176
Figure 6.2.2	Diagram of equipment used in Experiment 6.2.	177
Figure 6.2.3	Absolute thresholds for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in noise.	179
Figure 6.2.4	Plots of individual ΔL s, as a function of pedestal, normalised with respect to the absolute threshold p_0 .	180
Figure 6.2.5	Difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of pedestal level, p , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in noise.	181
Figure 6.2.6	Mean difference thresholds, Δp , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in noise as a function of pedestal level, p , for individual and group data.	182
Figure 6.2.7	As for Figure 6.2.6 with the addition of best-fitting lines based on the model by Laming (1986).	183
Figure 6.2.8	As for Figure 6.2.6. The equations used to fit the two curves to each set of data come from Hanna, et al. (1986).	184

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 6.2.9	Best-fitting curves from Viemeister and Bacon (1988) for individual and mean group data.	185
Figure 6.2.10	Difference thresholds, ΔI , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in noise, as a function of pedestal level (I).	187
Figure 6.2.11	Mean difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal level, I , for individual and group data.	187
Figure 6.2.12	Mean individual and group difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal, for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in noise.	188
Figure 6.2.13	Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model fitted to mean individual and group data expressed in units of intensity.	189
Figure 6.2.14	Difference limens, ΔL , as a function of pedestal level, p , for mean group data, for 1000-Hz sinusoids in noise.	191
Figure 6.2.15	The model of Viemeister and Bacon (1986) fitted to the complete range of intensity data for sinusoids in noise.	193
Figure 6.3.1	Block diagram of the equipment used in Experiment 6.3.	195
Figure 6.3.2	Absolute thresholds for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise.	196
Figure 6.3.3	Difference limens, ΔL , as a function of pedestal level, for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	197
Figure 6.3.4	Difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of pedestal, p , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	198
Figure 6.3.5	As for Figure 6.3.4. The best-fitting lines based on the model by Laming (1986).	198
Figure 6.3.6	As for Figure 6.3.4. The equations used to fit the two lines to the data are from Hanna et al. (1986).	199
Figure 6.3.7	As for Figure 6.3.4. The best-fitting curve is based on a model by Viemeister and Bacon (1988).	200
Figure 6.3.8	Difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal, I , for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	200

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE
Figure 6.3.9	As for Figure 6.3.8. The equations used to fit the two lines to the data come from Hanna, von Gierke, and Green (1986). 201
Figure 6.3.10	As for Figure 6.3.8. The best-fitting curve is based on a model by Viemeister and Bacon (1988). 202
Figure 6.3.11	Mean difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of pedestal level, p , for 1000-Hz sinusoids obtained in continuous and gated noise for observer DS. 203
Figure 6.3.12	A two-parameter derivative of Viemeister and Bacon's model (1988) fitted to data points expressed in units of either pressure or intensity. 204
Figure 6.3.13	A two-parameter derivative of Viemeister and Bacon's model (1988) fitted to the entire range of data. 204
Figure 6.4.1	Absolute thresholds as a function of block number for 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet. 206
Figure 6.4.2	Individual ΔL_s as a function of pedestal. 208
Figure 6.4.3	Difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of pedestal level, p , for 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet. 208
Figure 6.4.4	Mean difference thresholds, Δp , as a function of pedestal level, p , for 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet. 209
Figure 6.4.5	As for Figure 6.4.4 with the addition of best-fitting lines based on the model by Laming (1986). 210
Figure 6.4.6	As for Figure 6.4.4. The equations used to fit the two curves to each set of data come from Hanna, Von Gierke, and Green (1986). 211
Figure 6.4.7	Best-fitting curves from Viemeister and Bacon (1988) for individual and mean group data. 213
Figure 6.4.8	Difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal level, I , for 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet. 214
Figure 6.4.9	Mean difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal level, I , for 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet. 214

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 6.4.10	Mean individual and group difference thresholds, ΔI , as a function of pedestal level, I , for 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet.	216
Figure 6.4.11	Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model fitted to mean individual and group data expressed in units of intensity.	217
Figure 6.4.12	Plots of mean ΔLs as a function of pedestal for observer BM.	219
Figure 6.4.13	Viemeister and Bacon's model (1988) fitted to the entire range of data points.	220
Figure 7.1.1	The experimental apparatus employed in Experiment 7.1.	236
Figure 7.1.2	Absolute threshold for MK as a function of block number for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet.	238
Figure 7.1.3	Fixed-increment functions, plotting $p(c)$ as a function of pedestal level for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet.	240
Figure 7.1.4	The four individual fixed-increment functions presented in Figure 7.1.3.	241
Figure 7.1.5	Group data expressed as mean $p(c)$ as a function of pedestal level for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in quiet.	242
Figure 7.2.1	Schematic diagram of the apparatus employed in Experiment 7.2.	246
Figure 7.2.2	Absolute threshold for MK as a function of block number for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in noise.	248
Figure 7.2.3	Fixed-increment functions, plotting $p(c)$ as a function of pedestal level, for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in noise.	250
Figure 7.2.4	Group data expressed as mean $p(c)$ as a function of pedestal level for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids in noise.	250
Figure 7.3.1	Fixed-increment function plotting mean percentage correct as a function of pedestal level for 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in either quiet or a noise background.	254
Figure 8.0.1	A schematic representation of Chapter VIII.	258

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE
Figure 8.1.1	Butterworth filter for various values of n . 261
Figure 8.1.2	Schematic diagram of equipment used in Experiment 8.1. 262
Figure 8.1.3	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m\Delta L + 0$. 268
Figure 8.1.4	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for ten pedestal levels. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + 0$. 269
Figure 8.1.5	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$ for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + 0$. 270
Figure 8.1.6	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m\Delta L + c$. 274
Figure 8.1.7	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + c$. 275
Figure 8.1.8	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log(\Delta I/I)$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + c$. 276
Figure 8.1.9	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a\Delta L^b$. 279
Figure 8.1.10	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a(\Delta p/p)^b$. 280
Figure 8.1.11	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log(\Delta I/I)$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids in gated noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a(\Delta I/I)^b$. 281
Figure 8.1.12	Log d' vs. $\log \Delta L$ for DS for a 15 dB SPL pedestal. 282
Figure 8.1.13	Slope exponent b plotted as a function of the JND. 283

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Figure 8.1.14	Slope exponents plotted as a function of pedestal level for three different measures of the JND.	283
Figure 8.1.15	A schematic representation of the stimuli used by Moore, Peters, and Glasberg (1999).	286
Figure 8.2.1	Diagram of the apparatus used in Experiment 8.2.	290
Figure 8.2.2	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for broadband noise stimuli. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m\Delta L + 0$.	295
Figure 8.2.3	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for broadband noise stimuli. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + 0$.	296
Figure 8.2.4	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$ for broadband noise stimuli. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + 0$.	297
Figure 8.2.5	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for broadband noise stimuli. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m\Delta L + c$.	300
Figure 8.2.6	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for broadband noise stimuli. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + c$.	301
Figure 8.2.7	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$, for broadband noise stimuli. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + c$.	302
Figure 8.2.8	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for broadband noise stimuli. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a\Delta L^b$.	305
Figure 8.2.9	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for broadband noise stimuli. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a(\Delta p/p)^b$.	306
Figure 8.2.10	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$, for broadband noise stimuli. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a(\Delta I/I)^b$.	307

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE
Figure 8.2.11	The slope exponent, b , plotted as a function of the JND. 308
Figure 8.2.12	The slope exponent b vs. pedestal level for three different measures of the JND. 309
Figure 8.3.1	Diagram of the apparatus used in Experiment 8.3. 312
Figure 8.3.2	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m\Delta L + 0$ 317
Figure 8.3.3	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + 0$. 318
Figure 8.3.4	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$ for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + 0$. 319
Figure 8.3.5	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m\Delta L + c$. 322
Figure 8.3.6	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + c$. 323
Figure 8.3.7	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The functions are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + c$. 324
Figure 8.3.8	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $\log \Delta L$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a\Delta L^b$. 327
Figure 8.3.9	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $20\log(\Delta p/p)$ for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a(\Delta p/p)^b$. 328
Figure 8.3.10	Psychometric functions relating $\log d'$ to $10\log(\Delta I/I)$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids in bandpass noise. The straight lines are the best-fitting equations of the form $d' = a(\Delta I/I)^b$. 329

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

	PAGE
Figure 8.3.11	The slope exponent, b , plotted as a function of the JND. 330
Figure 8.3.12	Slope exponents plotted as a function of pedestal level for three different measures of the JND. 331
Figure 8.3.13	Weber fractions as a function of pedestal level for short-duration 6500-Hz sinusoids. 333
Figure 8.4.1	The slope exponent, b , plotted as a function of JND for Experiments 8.1, 8.2, and 8.3. 335
Figure 8.4.2	Slope, b , as a function of increment duration, expressed as either $\Delta I/I$ (A), $\Delta p/p$ (B), or ΔL (C), for three observers from Moore, Peters, and Glasberg (1999). 336
Figure 8.4.3	The slope exponent, b , plotted as a function of pedestal for three different measures of the JND. 337
Figure 8.4.4	Slope, b , as a function of the JND. 338
Figure 9.1	Percentage correct and the difference threshold as a function of pedestal level for data in quiet. 346
Figure 9.2	Percentage correct and the difference threshold as a function of pedestal level for data in noise. 347
Figure 9.3	The slope exponent, b , plotted as a function of the JND. 350
Figure 9.4	Psychometric functions plotting percentage correct as a function of increment size, ΔX , for six arbitrary chosen values of X . 352
Figure C.1 to C.8	Audiograms for Observers WC, MF, MH, IK, MK, EL, BM, and DS. 388-391
Figure D.1	Earphone response curve for a 0.1 volt input signal for Reed and Bilger (1972) and the earphones used in the current study. 393

LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE	
Table 1.1	A demonstration of the Method of Limits.	23
Table 5.1	Five measures of the JND and their approximations.	109
Table 5.2	Results from Moore, Peters, and Glasberg (1999).	115
Table 5.3	A summary of the best-fitting scaling constant, a , from Laming's (1986) sensory analytical model.	132
Table 6.1.1	Absolute thresholds obtained for 1000-Hz sinusoids.	150
Table 6.1.2	Parameter estimates for the sensory analytical model.	156
Table 6.1.3	Estimates of parameters for the nonlinear transduction model for sinusoids expressed in pressure units.	161
Table 6.1.4	Best-fitting parameters for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model for sinusoids expressed in units of pressure.	163
Table 6.1.5	Parameter estimates for the nonlinear transduction model for sinusoids expressed in units of intensity.	167
Table 6.1.6	Parameter estimates for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model for sinusoids expressed in units of intensity.	169
Table 6.2.1	Means, standard deviations, and Pearson's r statistics for absolute thresholds obtained in noise.	179
Table 6.2.2	Parameter estimates for the sensory analytical model for sinusoids presented in noise and expressed in units of pressure.	183
Table 6.2.3	Estimates of parameters for the nonlinear transduction model for sinusoids presented in noise and expressed in units of pressure.	185
Table 6.2.4	Best-fitting parameters for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model for sinusoids presented in noise and expressed in units of pressure.	186
Table 6.2.5	Estimates of parameters for the nonlinear transduction model for sinusoids presented in noise and expressed in units of intensity.	189

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Table 6.2.6	Parameter estimates and goodness-of-fit statistics for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model for sinusoids presented in noise and expressed in units of intensity.	190
Table 6.4.1	Absolute thresholds obtained for 6500-Hz sinusoids.	207
Table 6.4.2	Parameter estimates for the sensory analytical model for 6500-Hz sinusoids expressed in units of pressure.	210
Table 6.4.3	Estimates of parameters for the nonlinear transduction model for 6500-Hz sinusoids expressed in units of pressure.	212
Table 6.4.4	Best-fitting parameters and goodness-of-fit statistics for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model for 6500-Hz sinusoids expressed in units of pressure.	212
Table 6.4.5	Estimates of parameters for the nonlinear transduction model for 6500-Hz sinusoids expressed in units of intensity.	215
Table 6.4.6	Best-fitting parameters and goodness-of-fit statistics for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988) model for 6500-Hz sinusoids expressed in units of intensity.	217
Table 6.5.1	Parameter values for the nonlinear transduction model obtained by Hanna, von Gierke, and Green (1986) and in the present series of experiments.	225
Table 7.1.1	Estimates of absolute thresholds and the fixed-increment, Δp , presented both in untransformed and transformed (dB SPL) units.	237
Table 7.1.2	Absolute thresholds for a 1000-Hz sinusoid presented in quiet.	239
Table 7.2.1	Absolute thresholds and increment values, Δp , in units and decibels.	247
Table 7.2.2	Absolute thresholds for a 1000-Hz sinusoid presented in noise.	248
Table 8.1.1	Equations employed to obtain best-fitting lines in SigmaPlot 8.0.	264

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Table 8.1.2	Mean values of Δp for ten levels of pedestal for 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	266
Table 8.1.3	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = m\Delta L + 0$; b) $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + 0$, and; c) $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + 0$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	267
Table 8.1.4	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = m\Delta L + c$; b) $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + c$, and; c) $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + c$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	272
Table 8.1.5	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = a\Delta L^b$; b) $d' = a(\Delta p/p)^b$, and; c) $d' = a(\Delta I/I)^b$, for 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	277
Table 8.1.6	Means of slope exponents, b , for three JND measures (ΔL , $\Delta p/p$, or $\Delta I/I$) and three independent studies.	285
Table 8.2.1	Mean values of Δp obtained in a adaptive three-down, one-up 3-IFC procedure for broadband noise stimuli.	292
Table 8.2.2	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = m\Delta L + 0$; b) $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + 0$, and; c) $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + 0$, for broadband noise stimuli.	293
Table 8.2.3	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = m\Delta L + c$; b) $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + c$, and; c) $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + c$, for broadband noise stimuli.	299
Table 8.2.4	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = a\Delta L^b$; b) $d' = a(\Delta p/p)^b$, and; c) $d' = a(\Delta I/I)^b$, for broadband noise stimuli.	303
Table 8.3.1	Mean values of Δp for ten levels of pedestal for 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in bandpass noise.	315
Table 8.3.2	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = m\Delta L + 0$; b) $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + 0$, and; c) $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + 0$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in bandpass noise.	316
Table 8.3.3	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = m\Delta L + c$; b) $d' = m(\Delta p/p) + c$, and; c) $d' = m(\Delta I/I) + c$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in bandpass noise.	321

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Table 8.3.4	Estimates of parameters for the following three equations: a) $d' = a\Delta L^b$; b) $d' = a(\Delta p/p)^b$, and; c) $d' = a(\Delta I/I)^b$, for 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in bandpass noise.	326
Table 9.1	The nine level discrimination experiments undertaken in the course of this thesis: their stimuli and their results.	341
Table A.1.1	Parameter estimates and goodness-of-fit statistics obtained in the reanalysis of Moore, Peters, and Glasberg's (1999) data. Stimuli were 250-Hz sinusoids of various durations presented in continuous noise. Best-fitting equations of the form $d' = mX + 0$, $d' = mX + c$, and $d' = aX^b$ were fitted to the data, where X is $\Delta I/I$, $\Delta p/p$, or ΔL . Data are for three observers: MD, RP, and CA.	365
Table A.1.2		366
Table A.1.3		367
Table A.1.4		368
Table A.1.5		369
Table A.1.6		370
Table A.1.7		371
Table A.2.1	Parameter estimates and goodness-of-fit statistics obtained in the reanalysis of Moore, Peters, and Glasberg's (1999) data. Stimuli were 1000-Hz sinusoids of various durations presented in continuous noise. Best-fitting equations of the form $d' = mX + 0$, $d' = mX + c$, and $d' = aX^b$ were fitted to the data, where X is $\Delta I/I$, $\Delta p/p$, or ΔL . Data are for three observers: MD, RP, and CA.	373
Table A.2.2		373
Table A.2.3		374
Table A.2.4		375
Table A.2.5		376
Table A.2.6		377
Table A.2.7		378
Table A.3.1	Parameter estimates and goodness-of-fit statistics obtained in the reanalysis of Moore, Peters, and Glasberg's (1999) data. Stimuli were 4000-Hz sinusoids of various durations presented in continuous noise. Best-fitting equations of the form $d' = mX + 0$, $d' = mX + c$, and $d' = aX^b$ were fitted to the data, where X is $\Delta I/I$, $\Delta p/p$, or ΔL . Data are for three observers: MD, RP, and CA.	379
Table A.3.2		380
Table A.3.3		381
Table A.3.4		382
Table A.3.5		383
Table A.3.6		384
Table A.3.7		385
Table B.1	Parameters obtained from the re-analysis of Irwin's (1989) data (chapter V).	386
Table B.2	Percentage correct values as a function of signal-to-noise ratio from Irwin (1989).	387
Table E.1.1	Raw data and difference thresholds calculated in Experiment 6.1. Stimuli were 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in quiet. Data are for four observers: MH, IK, BM, and DS.	394
Table E.1.2		395
Table E.1.3		396
Table E.1.4		397

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Table E.2.1	Raw data and difference thresholds calculated in	398
Table E.2.2	Experiment 6.2. Stimuli were 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids	399
Table E.2.3	presented in a continuous noise background. Data are for three observers: MH, BM, and DS.	400
Table E.3.1	Raw data and difference thresholds calculated in	401
	Experiment 6.3. Stimuli were 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in a gated noise background. Data are DS.	
Table E.4.1	Raw data and difference thresholds calculated in	402
Table E.4.2	Experiment 6.4. Stimuli were 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids	403
Table E.4.3	presented in quiet. Data are for three observers: EL, BM, and DS.	404
Table E.5	Parameters estimated from fitting the sensory analytical model (Laming, 1986) to the suprathreshold data expressed in units of pressure.	405
Table E.6.1	Parameters estimated from fitting the nonlinear transduction model to both the subthreshold and suprathreshold data expressed in units of pressure.	406
Table E.6.2	Parameters estimated from fitting the nonlinear transduction model to both the subthreshold and suprathreshold data expressed in units of intensity.	407
Table E.7.1	Parameter estimates for Viemeister and Bacon's (1988)	408
Table E.7.2	model fitted to masking functions, expressed in units of	408
Table E.7.3	pressure or intensity, obtained in Experiments 6.1, 6.2, 6.3	409
Table E.7.4	and 6.4.	409
Table F.1.1	Number correct and percentage correct, as a function of pedestal level, for Observers IK, MK, BM and DS. Data	410
Table F.1.2	are from Experiment 7.1. Stimuli were 10-ms sinusoids	411
Table F.1.3	presented in quiet.	412
Table F.1.4		413
Table F.2.1	Number correct and percentage correct, as a function of pedestal level, for Observers MK, BM and DS. Data are	414
Table F.2.2	from Experiment 7.1. Stimuli were 10-ms sinusoids	415
Table F.2.3	presented against a continuous noise background.	416
Table G.1	Values of d' calculated using Eq. 8.2 for two-alternative ($M=2$) and three-alternative ($M=3$) forced choice tasks.	417

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	PAGE	
Table H.1.1	Number correct per block in a 2-IFC difference	418
Table H.1.2	discrimination task using a 10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoid	419
Table H.1.3	embedded in gated noise. Mean and standard deviations	420
Table H.1.4	are presented along with the raw data converted to overall	421
Table H.1.5	percent correct and that measure converted to d' . Data,	422
Table H.1.6	from Experiment 8.1, were collected using pedestal levels	423
Table H.1.7	of 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60 dB SPL and	424
Table H.1.8	are shown for four observers: WC, MK, EL, and DS.	425
Table H.1.9		426
Table H.1.10		427
Table H.2.1	Number correct per block in a 3-IFC difference	428
Table H.2.2	discrimination task using 10-ms broadband noise stimuli	428
Table H.2.3	embedded in gated noise. Mean and standard deviations	429
Table H.2.4	are presented along with the raw data converted to overall	429
Table H.2.5	percent correct and that measure converted to d' . Data,	430
Table H.2.6	from Experiment 8.1, were collected using pedestal levels	430
Table H.2.7	of -15, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 dB SPL and are	431
Table H.2.8	shown for three observers: MF, EL, and DS.	431
Table H.2.9		432
Table H.2.10		432
Table H.3.1	Number correct per block in a 2-IFC difference	433
Table H.3.2	discrimination task using a 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoid	433
Table H.3.3	embedded in bandstop noise. Mean and standard	434
Table H.3.4	deviations are presented along with the raw data converted	434
Table H.3.5	to overall percent correct and that measure converted to d' .	435
Table H.3.6	Data, from Experiment 8.1, were collected using pedestal	435
Table H.3.7	levels of 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60 dB SPL and	436
Table H.3.8	are shown for two observers: WC and DS.	436
Table H.3.9		437
Table H.4.1	Best-fitting parameters and goodness-of-fit statistics for	438
Table H.4.2	the equations $d' = aX^b$, $d' = mX + c$, and $d' = mX + 0$, where X is	439
Table H.4.3	$\Delta p/p$, $\Delta I/I$, or ΔL . The ten pedestal levels are for the ten	440
	psychometric functions generated in Experiment 8.1 using	
	10-ms 1000-Hz sinusoids presented in gated noise.	
Table H.5.1	Best-fitting parameters and goodness-of-fit statistics for	441
Table H.5.2	the equations $d' = aX^b$, $d' = mX + c$, and $d' = mX + 0$, where X is	442
Table H.5.3	$\Delta p/p$, $\Delta I/I$, or ΔL . The ten pedestal levels are for the ten	443
	psychometric functions generated in Experiment 8.2 using	
	10-ms broadband noise bursts presented in gated noise.	

LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	PAGE
Table H.6.1	Best-fitting parameters and goodness-of-fit statistics for
Table H.6.2	the equations $d' = aX^b$, $d' = mX + c$, and $d' = mX + 0$, where X is
Table H.6.3	$\Delta p/p$, $\Delta I/I$, or ΔL . The nine pedestal levels are for the nine psychometric functions generated in Experiment 8.3 using 10-ms 6500-Hz sinusoids presented in bandstop noise.