

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <u>http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</u>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library

Thesis Consent Form

Between Deference and Self-Assurance:

Reconciling Realism and Response-Dependence

Tracy Amanda Bowell

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, The University of Auckland, 2005 This thesis is for examination purposes only and may not be consulted or referred to by any persons other than the examiners.

Abstract:

Between Deference and Self-Assurance: Reconciling Realism and Response-Dependence

This thesis deals with response-dependence accounts of concepts - concepts the extensions of which are determined by our responses under certain conditions - and the possibility of realist construals of practices involving such concepts. Drawing attention to distinctions between different types of response-dependent concepts, Chapter I proposes an account of response-dependence that recognises a variegation of types of concept that can plausibly be identified as response-dependent. Chapter II continues the development of this account by considering matters relevant to the form and content of the biconditional theorems (*basic equations*) of which response-dependence accounts are comprised.

The account I propose in these first two chapters attempts to abstract from the details of existing accounts. Nevertheless, the middle section of the thesis – Chapters III, IV, V and VI – provide critical exegeses of response-dependence accounts developed by three authors – Mark Johnston's Response-Dispositionalism, Philip Pettit's Global Response-Dependence account of *basic* concepts and Crispin Wright's Order of Determination distinction - accounts that have been fundamental to and influential in the literature on response dependence.

Consideration of Crispin Wright's *realism relevant* distinctions provides a bridge to Chapter VII where I undertake a detailed analysis of realism, according to which realism is recognised as an array of commitments that are best understood as organised within three distinctive and largely independent clusters – semantic, ontic and epistemic. Finally, in Chapter VIII we are able to effect a productive engagement between realism, understood according to the taxonomic exercise undertaken in Chapter VII, and response-dependence, understood according to the account developed and promoted in Chapters I and II, an engagement that results in a more fine-grained and sophisticated analysis of the prospects for a reconciliation of realism and response-dependence than has yet appeared in the literature.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep gratitude for the constructive criticism, advice and encouragement provided by my supervisors, Dr Jonathan McKeown-Green and Dr Denis Robinson during the development and completion of this thesis. I would also like to thank Associate Professor Fred Kroon, who was my supervisor in the summer of 2003/4, and my colleagues from the Department of Philosophy, University of Waikato, Dr Justine Kingsbury and Dr Cathy Legg, who read and commented on sections of the thesis.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iv
Chapter I - Varieties of Response-Dependent Concepts	
§1 Introduction: Response-Dependence in Context	1
§2 Towards a Taxonomy of Response-Dependent Concept	s8
§2.1 Actor Concepts and Patient Concepts	
§2.2 Responses and Concepts Thereof	12
§2.3 The Nature of the Relevant Response	
§2.4 Accessibility of Response	15
§2.5 Direction of Response	17
§2.6 Normativity	19
§2.7 Relativity	
§2.8 Deference and Self-Assurance	26
§ 2.9 Summary	27

Chapter II – The Basic Equation

\$1 Introduction	.31
§2 Appropriateness Unpacked: Normality, Suitability and Ideality	31
§2.1 'Normal' as 'Suitable'	38
§2.2'Normal' as 'Usual'	39
§2.3 Appropriateness: Category by Category	41
§2.3.1 Secondary Quality Concepts	41
§2.3.1.1 Local Differences	49
§2.3.2 Concepts of the Category exemplified by POISONOUS	51
§2.3.3 Concepts of the Category exemplified by ATTRACTIVE	52
§2.3.4 Deeply Agent-Relative Concepts exemplified by	
ATTRACTIVE-FOR X[-AT-T]	56
§2.3.5 Moral Concepts: GOOD	57

§2.3.6 Concepts of the Category exemplified by FLEXIBLE	60
§2.3.7 Summary	61
§2.3.8 Maximal Suitability	62
§2.3.9 Is the Separation of Suitability of Respondents from Suitability	
of Conditions Really Necessary?	63
§3 The A Prioricity of the Basic Equation	65
§4 The Basic Equation: Rigid or Non-Rigid	67
§4.1 SWEET	.69
§4.2 POISONOUS	.70
§4.3 ATTRACTIVE	.71
§4.4 Attractive-for-x[-at-t]	72
§4.5 GOOD	.74
§4.5.1 GOOD as an Absolutist Concept	.74
§4.5.2 GOOD as a Relativist Concept	76
§4.6 Flexible	.78
§5 Summary	80

Chapter III – Johnston's Response-Dispositionalism

§1 Introduction: Varieties of Response-Dependence Accounts	83
\$2 The Development of Johnston's Account	.84
§2.1 Our Projectivist Error	.85
§2.2 Revisionary Protagoreanism	.88
§2.3 Minimalism	90
\$3 Johnston on Concepts, Dispositions, Responses and Properties	92
§3.1 Concepts	.92
\$3.2 Dispositional Concepts	93
§3.3 Response-Dispositional Concepts	95
\$3.4 Broadening the Definition of Johnstonian Response-Dependence	98
§3.5 Summary	.100
§4 The Basic Equation	.102
§4.1 Appropriateness	108

Chapter IV – Johnston's Sceptical Arguments

§1 The Missing Explanation Argument	111
\$1.1 Limiting the Argument's Scope	119
§1.2 Can We Save a Response-Dependence Account of	
the Secondary Qualities?	124
§1.3 A Return to Extension-Determination?	127
§2 Johnston's Argument from the Problem of Acquaintance	131
§3 What Went Wrong?	138

Chapter V – Pettit's Descriptive Project

§1 Introduction	145
\$2 Concepts: Basic and Otherwise	146
\$2.2 Basic Concepts	149
\$3 Response-Dependence	157
\$3.1 Response-Relational Concepts	161
\$3.2 Response-Specific Concepts	163
\$3.3 Response-Opaque Concepts	166
§4 Appropriateness: Conditions Favourable, Normal and Ideal	170
\$5 Rigidity and Contingency	174
§6 Differences	176
§7 Issues: Basic Concepts	181
§8 Summary	

Chapter VI – Wright's Order of Determination Distinction

\$1 Introduction	191
§2 Minimal Truth	192
§3 Beyond Minimal Truth	193
§3.1 Evidence Transcendence	194
\$3.2 Cognitive Command	195
§3.3 Wide Cosmological Role	198

§3.4 Order of Determination	202
§3.4.1 The Order of Determination of What?	204
§3.4.2 Substantiality	205
§3.4.3 Independence	206
§3.4.4 Rigidification and A Priority	212
§3.4.5 The Extremal Condition	216
\$3.4.6 The Basic Equation Must Go	218
§4 Summary	220
§5 Issues	223

Chapter VII – Realism

\$1 Introduction
§1.2 Realism: A First Look
\$2 Realism's Semantic Stance
§2.1 Truth Aptness233
§2.2 Reductivism
§2.3 Non-Factualism240
§2.4 Quasi-Realism
§2.5 Summary
§3 Realism's Ontic Stance248
\$3.1 Error theories
\$3.2 Constructivism
§3.3 Idealism
§3.4 Summary
\$4 Realism's Epistemic Stance
§4.1 The Relationship between Realism's Epistemic Stance
and the Axes Identified in Chapter I270
§4.2 Epistemic Realism in Extremis: The Absolute Conception272
§4.3 Non-Factualism Revisited274

§4.4 Quasi-Realism Revisited	
§4.5 Constructivism Revisited	276
§4.6 Coherentist Interpretationism	279
§4.7 Summary	
§5 Wright's Realism-Relevant Distinctions	
§5.1 Evidence Transcendence	
§5.2 Cognitive Command	
§5.3 Wide Cosmological Role	
§5.4 Order of Determination	
§5.5 Summary	291
§6 So What is Realism Really?	292

Chapter VIII – Realism and Response-Dependence

\$1 Introduction
\$2 Secondary Quality Concepts
§2.1 Secondary Quality Concepts and The Semantic Stance
§2.2 Secondary Quality Concepts and The Ontic Stance
§2.2.1 Existence
§2.2.2 Independence
§2.3 Secondary Quality Concepts and The Epistemic Stance
\$3 POISONOUS et al
\$3.1 POISONOUS et al and the Semantic Stance
\$3.2 POISONOUS et al and the Ontic Stance
\$3.2.1 Existence
\$3.2.2 Independence
\$3.3 POISONOUS et al and the Epistemic Stance
\$4 ATTRACTIVE, et al
\$4.1 ATTRACTIVE, et al and the Semantic Stance
§4.2.1 Existence
§4.2.2 Independence
\$4.3 ATTRACTIVE et al and the Epistemic Stance

§5 ATTRACTIVE-FOR-X and ATTRACTIVE-FOR-X-AT-T, et al	
§ 5.1 ATTRACTIVE-FOR-X[-AT-T], et al and the Semantic Stance	
§ 5.2 ATTRACTIVE-FOR-X[-AT-T], et al and the ontic stance	
§ 5.3 ATTRACTIVE-TO-X[-AT-T], et al and the Epistemic Stance	
§6 Moral Concepts	
§6.1 Moral Concepts, Non-Cognitivism and the Semantic Stance	
§6.2 Moral Concepts, Non-Cognitivism and the Ontic Stance	359
§6.3 Moral Concepts, Non-Cognitivism and the Epistemic Stance	
§6.4 Moral Concepts, Cognitivism and the Semantic Stance	
§ 6.5 Moral Concepts, Cognitivism and the Ontic Stance	
§6.6 Moral Concepts, Cognitivism and the Epistemic Stance	
§6.7 Summary	
\$7 FLEXIBLE, et al	
§ 7.1 FLEXIBLE, et al and the Semantic Stance	
§ 7.2 FLEXIBLE et al and the Ontic Stance	
§ 7.3 FLEXIBLE et al and the Epistemic Stance	
§8 Realism and Response-Dependence: Some Conclusions	
§8.1 Johnston's Revisionary Response-Dispositionalism	
§8.2 Pettit's <i>Global</i> Response-Dependence	
§8.3 Wright's Order of Determination Distinction	

Bibliography	
--------------	--