

Libraries and Learning Services

University of Auckland Research Repository, ResearchSpace

Version

This is the Accepted Manuscript version of the following article. This version is defined in the NISO recommended practice RP-8-2008 http://www.niso.org/publications/rp/

Suggested Reference

Pullar, M. A., Barker, D., & Copp, B. R. (2015). Synthesis of tunichrome Sp-1. *Tetrahedron Letters*, *56*(41), 5604-5606. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.08.047

Copyright

Items in ResearchSpace are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated. Previously published items are made available in accordance with the copyright policy of the publisher.

This manuscript version is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives</u> License.

For more information, see <u>General copyright</u>, <u>Publisher copyright</u>, <u>SHERPA/RoMEO</u>.

Graphical Abstract

Synthesis of tunichrome Sp-1

Michael A. Pullar, David Barker, Brent R. Copp*

Synthesis of tunichrome Sp-1

Michael A. Pullar, David Barker, Brent R. Copp*

School of Chemical Sciences, University of Auckland, 23 Symonds St, Auckland 1142, New Zealand.

Corresponding author. Tel.: +64-9-373-7599; fax: +64-64-373-7422; e-mail: b.copp@auckland.ac.nz

Abstract – The first total synthesis of the ascidian blood pigment tunichrome Sp-1 is reported, with the modified pentapeptide prepared in a convergent manner using a combination of solid-phase peptide synthesis, Hunsdiecker decarboxylative iodination and Buchwald amidation reaction chemistry. The natural product was shown to exist as a mixture of *trans*- and *cis*-prolyl conformers, with the former dominating in a 5:1 ratio.

Keywords – tunichrome; DOPA; peptide; marine natural product; enamide

Ascidians, marine organisms of the Class Ascidiacea, are well known to produce a variety of bioactive marine natural products. The 1980's and early 1990's was a particularly fruitful period, with the reporting of a number of unique structural classes of natural products that exhibited therapeutically useful biological activities (e.g. ecteinascidin-743² and the didemnins³) or were windows into the intriguing world of marine sessile invertebrate physiology (e.g. the tunichromes).^{4,5} Publication of the isolation and structure elucidation of tunichrome B-1,⁶⁻⁸ a modified 3,4,5trihydroxyphenylalanine (TOPA)-containing tripeptide, was the culmination of six years of research into defining the chemical constituents of the blood pigments of Ascidia nigra that were thought to be responsible for the organisms ability to accumulate, amongst other metals, iron and vanadium. This research was hampered by the trace amounts of the pigment, co-occurrence with related pigments and by their sensitivity to water and oxygen. Since these initial reports, a number of related peptides have been reported from the blood cells of ascidians including Ascidia nigra (An-1, An-2, An-3), A. ceratodes, Phallusia mammillata (Pm-1, Pm-2, Pm-3), P. julinea, Mogula manhattensis (Mm-1, Mm-2)⁹ and most recently Styela plicata (Sp-1).¹¹ Structurally, tunichromes are characterised as linear, low molecular weight peptides containing an oxidatively decarboxylated 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (dcΔDOPA) or 3,4,5-trihydroxyphenylalanine (dcΔTOPA) residue at the C-terminus as well as one or more 3,4dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) or 3,4,5-trihydroxyphenylalanine (TOPA) residues or their α,β-unsaturated derivatives. 4.5 In addition to the initial associative link between tunichromes and vanadium or iron in blood cells suggesting that the natural products may act as chelators or 'vanadium-trappers',5 alternative roles of wound repair, cross-linking/tunic formation¹² and action as a primitive clotting mechanism have also been proposed.^{4,5} In an effort directed towards facilitating further studies of the role(s) played by these intriguing natural products, we now report the synthesis and structural confirmation of the most recently reported tunichrome, Sp-1 (1). Sp-1 was isolated in trace amounts (~ 800 µg) and characterized by ¹H, COSY and TOCSY NMR data. Edman degradation studies defined the peptide as L-DOPA-L-DOPA-Gly-L-Pro-dcΔDOPA.

Figure 1. Structure of tunichrome Sp-1 (1).

We chose as a starting point to disconnect **1** at the Gly-Pro amide bond, requiring the synthesis of protected tripeptide L-DOPA-L-DOPA-Gly (**2**) and L-Pro-dihydroxystyrylenamide fragment (**3**) (Scheme 1). Tripeptide **2** was prepared by standard Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis procedures using 2-chlorotrityl resin, protected amino acids Fmoc-Gly-OH and Fmoc-DOPA(TBDMS)₂-OH¹³ and HATU as the coupling reagent. Cleavage from the resin was effected by 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol:CH₂Cl₂ to afford **2** in 88% yield over 6 steps. Previous syntheses of tunichromes have relied upon the use of oxidation/elimination of phenylselenide derivatives to prepare the required enamide moiety.^{5,14} We elected to explore an alternative route, making use of copper-catalysed Buchwald amidation methodology.¹⁵
Attractions of this route include the mild reaction conditions required to effect the reaction between an amino acid carboxamide and a vinyl halide, proceeding with no epimerization of the amino acid stereocenter or isomerization of the enamide double bond.¹⁶ The protected L-Pro-dihydroxystyrylenamide fragment **3** was prepared as shown in Scheme 1, starting with protection of 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid (**4**) as the TBDMS ether (**5**, 87% yield).¹⁷ LiOAccatalysed Hunsdiecker transformation¹⁸ of **5** to the corresponding (*E*)-vinyl iodide (**6**, 31% yield) was carried out by reaction with *N*-iodosuccinimide in CH₂Cl₂.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: Tripeptide 2 was synthesized upon chlorotrityl resin; (Resin loading) Fmoc-Gly-OH, DIPEA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1 h; (Fmoc-deprotection) piperidine, DMF, rt, 30 min; (Peptide coupling) Fmoc-DOPA(TBDMS)₂-OH, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h; (Fmoc-deprotection) piperidine, DMF, rt, 30 min; (Peptide coupling) Fmoc-DOPA(TBDMS)₂-OH, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h; (cleavage) TFE:CH₂Cl₂(1:5, 20 mL), 2 h, 88% yield (6 steps); (i) TBDMSCl₂ imidazole, DMF, rt, 18 h, 87%; (ii) NIS, LiOAc, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 1 h, 31%; (iii) Fmoc-Pro-NH₂, (CH₃NHCH₂)₂, Cul, Cs₂CO₃, dioxane, 85 °C, 18 h, 32%; (iv) EDC, HOBt, DMF, rt, 2 h, 53%; (v) piperidine, DMF, rt, 1 h, dried, then Et₃N.3HF, THF, rt, 1 h, 44%.

Previous studies regarding the Buchwald amidation of amino acid carboxamides with vinyl halides have concluded that protection of the α -nitrogen was not required, but that some amino acid sidechains competed for reaction. ^{16a} Preliminary efforts to undertake amidation of vinyl iodide **6** with L-Pro-NH₂ under standard Buchwald conditions

using catalytic CuI, *N*,*N*'-dimethylethylenediamine as the bidentate ligand and Cs₂CO₃ as the base¹⁵ failed to yield any product. Suspecting that the secondary amine present in L-Pro-NH₂ could compete with *N*,*N*'-dimethylethylenediamine as a copper ligand, the reaction was repeated using Fmoc-L-Pro-NH₂ in the presence of stoichiometric CuI, affording (*E*)-enamide 3 in 32% yield. Of note was the concomitant cleavage of the Fmoc protecting group during the reaction, likely caused by the presence of the secondary amine base *N*,*N*'-dimethylethylenediamine. Peptide coupling (EDC, HOBt, DMF, 2 h) of enamide 3 and tripeptide acid 2 gave protected Sp-1 7 in 53% yield. A two step, one-pot deprotection of the *N*-terminus (piperidine:DMF, 1 h), followed by subsequent deprotection of the catechol groups (triethylamine trihydrofluoride, THF, 1 h) gave the crude peptide that was purified by reversed-phase C18 column chromatography [H₂O:MeOH:TFA (79.99:20:0.01)], to afford tunichrome Sp-1 (1) in a 44% yield, present as a 5:1 mixture of *trans*- and *cis*-prolyl conformers.

Figure 2. Structure of *cis*-prolyl tunichrome Sp-1

The 1 H, COSY and TOCSY spectroscopic data (DMSO- d_6) for tunichrome Sp-1 (1) was in good agreement with data for the natural product published by Tincu and Taylor¹¹ (see ESI). Although not mentioned in the original publication, the presence of a second set of (*E*)-enamide NH-CH=CH resonances were easily discernible in both the original 1 H spectroscopic data and our own. While the relatively broad appearance and overlapped nature of the 1 H resonances in DMSO- d_6 precluded determination of the nature of this minor component, re-acquisition and complete assignment of NMR data in 1 CD₃OD 19 provided ample evidence to identify it as the *cis*-prolyl conformer of Sp-1 (Figure 2). While detection of a NOESY correlation between Gly-CH₂ and Pro- δ CH₂ for the major component of the mixture identified it to be the *trans*-prolyl conformer, more telling were the observation of differences in the chemical shifts of the β and γ carbons ($\Delta\beta\gamma$) of the proline residue. It has been previously noted that a proline residue that adopts a *trans*-conformation about its amide bond characteristically has a smaller $\Delta\beta\gamma$ value (ca. < 8) than a proline residue in the *cis*-conformation (ca. 9-15). In the present case, $\Delta\beta\gamma$ for the major component of the product mixture was 5.1 ppm (*trans*), while that of the minor component was 10.0 ppm (*cis*). In the present case, $\Delta\beta\gamma$ for the major component of the product mixture

In summary, we have described the first total synthesis of the natural product tunichrome Sp-1 (1), verified the structure that was proposed by Tincu and Taylor and characterised the originally present, but not reported, *cis*-prolyl conformer. The route used is amenable to the synthesis of un-natural analogues of 1 that will prove useful for investigation of the metal chelating and oxidation/reduction properties of the tunichromes.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the University of Auckland for funding.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental details and compound characterisation) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://.

References and notes

1. Davidson, B. S. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1771-1791.

- (a) Wright, A. E.; Forleo, D. A.; Gunawardana, G. P.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Koehn, F. E.; McConnell, O. J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4508-4512.
 (b) Rinehart, K. L.; Holt, T. G.; Fregeau, N. L.; Stroh, J. G.; Keifer, P. A.; Sun, F.; Li, L. H.; Martin, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4512-4515.
 (c) Erba, E.; Bergamaschi, D.; Bassano, L.; Damia, G.; Ronzoni, S.; Faircloth, G. T.; D'Incalci, M. Eur. J. Cancer 2001, 37, 97-105.
- 3. (a) Rinehart, K. L.; Gloer, J. B.; Hughes, R. G.; Renis, H. E.; McGovern, J. P.; Swynenberg, E. B.; Stringfellow, D. A.; Kuentzel, S. L.; Li, L. H. Science 1981, 212, 933-935. (b) Vera, M. D.; Joullie, M. M. Med. Res. Rev. 2002, 22, 102-145.
- Smith, M. J.; Kim, D.; Horenstein, B.; Nakanishi, K.; Kustin, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 117-124.
- 5. Taylor, S. W.; Kammerer, B.; Bayer, E. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 333-346.
- 6. Bruening, R. C.; Oltz, E. M.; Furukawa, J.; Nakanishi, K.; Kustin, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5298-5300.
- 7. Bruening, R. C.; Oltz, E. M.; Furukawa, J.; Nakanishi, K.; Kustin, K. J. Nat. Prod. 1986, 49, 193-204.
- 8. Note that between the publication of ref. 6 and 7, the name of tunichrome B-1 was changed to An-1.
- 9. Oltz, E. M.; Bruening, R. C.; Smith, M. J.; Kustin, K.; Nakanishi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6162-6172.
- 10. Bayer, E.; Schiefer, G.; Waidelich, D.; Scippa, S.; de Vincentiis, M. Angew. Chem. 1992, 104, 102-104.
- 11. Tincu, J. A.; Taylor, S. W. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 377-378.
- 12. (a) Sugumaran, M.; Robinson, W. E. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B 2012, 163, 1-25. (b) Cai, M.; Sugumaran, M.; Robinson, W. E. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B 2008, 151, 110-117.
- 13. Sever, M. J.; Wilker, J. J. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 6139-6146.
- 14. Horenstein, B. A.; Nakanishi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6242-6246.
- (a) Jiang, L.; Job, G. E.; Klapars, A.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3667-3669.
 (b) Pandey, A. K.; Sharma, R.; Shivahare, R.; Arora, A.; Rastogi, N.; Gupta, S.; Chauhan, P. M. S. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1534-1546.
- (a) Cesati, R. R.; Dwyer, G.; Jones, R. C.; Hayes, M. P.; Yalamanchili, P.; Casebier, D. S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5617-5620. (b) Toumi, M.; Couty, F.; Evano, G. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9003-9009.
- Barcelos, R. C.; Pelizzaro-Rocha, K. J.; Pastre, J. C.; Dias, M. P.; Ferreira-Halder, C. V.; Pilli, R. A. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 87, 745-758.
- (a) Georgiades, S. N.; Clardy, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 3117-3121. (b) Hunsdiecker, H.; Hunsdiecker, C. Ber. 1942, 75, 291-297.
- A 1:5 mixture of cis-prolyl tunichrome Sp-1* and trans-prolyl tunichrome Sp-1 was characterized; * are used to denote shifts for the cis conformer that differ from the corresponding signal in the trans-conformer: IR v_{max} (ATR) 3284, 2973, 1666, 1638, 1513, 1187, 1130, 954, 721 cm⁻¹; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D -71 (c 1.0, CH₃OH); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.27* (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz, dc Δ DOPA(5)-NH), 9.96 (1H, br d, J = 10.0 Hz, dc Δ DOPA(5)-NH), 8.94–8.62 (6H, m, 2 x DOPA(1)-OH, 2 x DOPA(2)-OH, 2 x dc Δ DOPA(5)-OH), 8.74–8.68 (1H, m, DOPA(2)-NH) 8.18 (1H, t, J = 4.9 Hz, Gly(3)-NH), 7.91 (2H, br s, DOPA(1)-NH₂), 7.10* (1H, dd, J = 14.6, 10.0 Hz, $dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\alpha CH$), 7.05 (1H, dd, J = 14.6, 10.0 Hz, $dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\alpha CH$), 6.78–6.47 (9H, m, DOPA(1)-ArH, DOPA(2)-ArH, $dc\Delta DOPA(5)$ -ArH), 6.13* (1H, d, J = 14.6 Hz, $dc\Delta DOPA(5)$ -βCH), 6.05 (1H, d, J = 14.6 Hz, $dc\Delta DOPA$ -βCH), 4.62–4.51 (1H, m, DOPA(2)- α CH), 4.32 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 3.8 Hz, Pro(4)- α CH), 4.04 (1H, dd, J = 17.1, 5.5 Hz, Gly(3)- α CH₂a), 3.90 (1H, dd, J = 17.1, 4.9 Hz, Gly(3)-αCH₂b), 3.85–3.81 (1H, br m, DOPA(1)-αCH), 3.61–3.35 (1H, br m, Pro(4)-δCH₂), 3.04–2.99 (1H, m, DOPA(1)βCH₂a), 2.96–2.85 (1H, m, DOPA(2)-βCH₂a), 2.68–2.59 (2H, m, DOPA(1)-βCH₂b, DOPA(2)-βCH₂b), 2.15–2.05 (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH₂b), 2.15–2.05 (1H, m, Pro βCH₂a), 1.99–1.84 (2H, m, Pro(4)-γCH₂), 1.91–1.79 (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH₂b); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.0 (DOPA(2)-C=O), 169.5 (Pro(4)-C=O), 168.2 (DOPA(1)-C=O), 166.9 (Gly(3)-C=O), 145.5 (DOPA(1)-Ar-Ob), 145.2 (DOPA(1)-Ar-Ob), 144.9 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 144.6 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 144.4 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 143.8 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 128.3 (DOPA(2)-γC^c), 127.8 $(dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\gamma C^{\circ})$, 125.5 $(DOPA(1)-\gamma C^{\circ})$, 120.6 $(dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\alpha CH^{d})$, 120.4 $(DOPA(1)-ArH^{d})$, 120.0 $(DOPA(2)-Ar-H^{d})$, 117.0 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 116.8 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 116.7 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 115.9 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH^d), 115.6 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 115.3 $(DOPA(2)-ArH^d)$, 112.7 $(dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\beta CH)$, 111.9 $(dc\Delta DOPA(5)-ArH)$, 59.8 $(Pro(4)-\alpha CH)$, 54.6 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$, 53.6 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$, 53.6 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$, 54.6 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$, 53.6 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$, 54.6 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$ (DOPA(1)-αCH), 46.0 (Pro(4)-δCH₂), 41.5 (Gly(3)-αCH₂), 37.1 (DOPA(2)-βCH₂), 36.6 (DOPA(1)-βCH₂), 29.4 (Pro(4)-βCH₂), 24.4 $(Pro(4)-γCH_2);$ H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 7.23* (1H, d, J = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 7.16 (1H, d, J = 14.6 Hz, $\label{eq:condition} dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\alpha CH), \ 6.80-6.50 \ (9H, \ m, \ DOPA(1)-ArH, \ DOPA(2)-ArH, \ dc\Delta DOPA(5)-ArH), \ 6.23* \ (1H, \ d, \ J=14.6 \ Hz, \ dc\Delta DOPA(2)-ArH, \ dc\Delta DOPA(3)-ArH), \ dc\Delta DOPA(3)-ArH, \ dc\Delta DOPA(3)$ β CH), 6.16 (1H, d, J = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA- β CH), 4.66 (1H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, DOPA(2)- α CH), 4.60–4.51* (1H, m, Pro(4)- α CH), 4.44 $Pro(4)-\delta CH_2a$, 3.56–3.47 (1H, m, $Pro(4)-\delta CH_2b$), 3.06–2.96 (2H, m, $DOPA(1)-\beta CH_2a$, $DOPA(2)-\beta CH_2a$), 2.90 (1H, dd, J=14.0, 7.5) Hz, DOPA(1)- β CH₂b), 2.79 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 8.5 Hz, DOPA(2)- β CH₂b), 2.39–2.33* (1H, m, Pro(4)- β CH₂a), 2.26–2.18 (1H, m, Pro(4)- β CH₂b), 2.79 Pro(4)- βCH_2a), 2.18-2.09* (1H, m, Pro(4)- βCH_2b), 2.10-1.97 (2H, m, Pro(4)- γCH_2), 2.06-1.94 (1H, m, Pro(4)- βCH_2b) 1.96-1.88*(1H, m, Pro(4)-γCH₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 173.1 (DOPA(2)-C=O), 171.9 (Pro(4)-C=O), 171.2* (Pro(4)-C=O), 169.5 (DOPA(1)-C=O^a), 169.4 (Gly(3)-C=O^a), 146.6 (DOPA(1)-Ar-O^b), 146.4 (DOPA(1)-Ar-O^b), 146.1 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 146.0 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 145.7 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 145.2 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 129.7 (DOPA(2)-γC^c), 129.6 (dcΔDOPA(5)-γC^c), 126.5 (DOPA(1)-γC), 122.0 (DOPA(1)-ArH), 121.7 (DOPA(2)-Ar-H), 121.1 (dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 119.1 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 117.6 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 117.4 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 116.8 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH^d), 116.5 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 116.3 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 116.1 $(dc\Delta DOPA(5)-\beta CH^d)$, 113.2 $(dc\Delta DOPA(5)-ArH)$, 61.9 $(Pro(4)-\alpha CH)$, 61.2* $(Pro(4)-\alpha CH)$, 56.3 $(DOPA(2)-\alpha CH)$, 55.5 $(DOPA(1)-\alpha CH)$ αCH), 47.9 (Pro(4)-δCH₂), 47.7* (Pro(4)-δCH₂), 43.1 (Gly(3)-αCH₂), 43.0* (Gly(3)-αCH₂), 38.2 (DOPA(1)-βCH₂°), 37.9 (DOPA(2)- $\beta CH_2^{e}), \ 33.4* \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ 30.8 \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ 25.7 \ (Pro(4)-\gamma CH_2) \ 23.4* \ (Pro(4)-\gamma CH_2); \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ 664.2615 \ [M+H]^{+} \ (Pro(4)-\beta CH_2), \ (+)-HRESIMS \ m/z \ (+)-$ (Calcd for $C_{33}H_{38}N_5O_{10}$, 664.2613).
- 20. Wahyudi, H.; Tantisantisom, W.; Liu, X.; Ramsey, D. M.; Singh, E. K.; McAlpine, S. R. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10596-10616.
- 21. All NMR resonances could be assigned to either the *cis* or *trans*-prolyl conformers, showing that no diastereomers had formed during the Buchwald coupling step.