From inequities to place attachment and the provision of health care: Key concerns in the health geographies of aging

Tara Coleman and Janine Wiles

Early geographies of health and aging emphasised the health trends of older populations, while contemporary geographic research has considered the impact of space and place on older people's health and health care and how older people co-experience their health and place. Health geographies of aging have moved from a biomedical emphasis on health, as the absence of disease, to embrace more holistic socio-ecological understandings of aging and health in social, physical and symbolic contexts. In this chapter, we explore spatial, relational and critical approaches to health geographies of aging. We identify three key concerns within these approaches, specifically inequities in health geographies of aging, the health aspects of aging in place and attachment to place, and landscapes of care including the provision of health care and other forms of support and service for aging. We recommend that future research continue to investigate patterns of inequalities and demand for services and supports during aging to anticipate the socio-economic well-being and health of older people and their future needs. We suggest that this focus be expanded to include consideration of the ways in which developing technologies may be reconfiguring socio-economic and health care needs, as well as older people's experiences of home and neighbourhood. Further, in light of currently increasing numbers of older people in our societies, we recommend that future studies consider the many advantages of an aging population, while challenging the stigmatisation and homogenisation of aging.

Spatial approaches to health geographies of aging

A *spatial* approach to aging and health geography aligns with the issues and processes used in spatial science, and in human geography more broadly, to focus on the measurement and implications of the changing distribution of aging populations at global, regional, urban and

household levels (Davies and James, 2011; Northcott, 2014). Researchers explore how trends in disease, technology and social conditions influence population aging at these different spatial scales (Davies and James, 2011). They investigate spatial variation within and across regions and countries, paying particular attention to inequalities in distributions of older people, and in their health outcomes and quality of life (Northcott, 2014). A spatial approach highlights likely demand for a range of services and supports associated with old age (Rosenberg, 2014). Such information is vital to ensure that the future needs of older people are understood and appropriately planned for.

A challenge for spatially-focused research projects is the availability of high quality data; particularly in big national-level data sets. Further, data collection tends to focus on the morbidity and mortality patterns of younger people. Wealthier countries such as New Zealand, Australia, and those in North America and Europe tend to have better data at a much greater level of detail, as well as having proportionally older populations. Researchers in these areas are therefore able to better report on differences and inequalities between older social groups (e.g., by social class, ethnicity and gender; Isaacson et al., 2017) in different spaces (such as rural-urban, between cities, or across regions; Huisman et al., 2003). Data that are available from less wealthy nations indicate gross global inequalities and inequities in life expectancy and causes of mortality. People in poorer countries still tend to die younger from injury and infectious diseases (Lloyd-Sherlock, 2000). There are also differences in morbidity, health and quality of life in old age as rates of cardiovascular and similar chronic diseases (Mackay and Mensah, 2004), as well as depressive symptoms and risk-taking behaviours such as alcohol and drug use (Gibson et al. 2016), increase in less wealthy countries.

More detailed understanding of patterns of inequality highlights the need to improve the socio-economic well-being of older people as a group in order to improve the health of older populations. For example, comparative cross-national work shows how socio-economic inequalities shape mortality in old age just as they do in younger age groups (Huisman et al., 2003) and reveals differences in the rate of development of disability between men and women driving much of the gender difference in health during old age (Deeg, 2015). Cumulative life course exposure to social and material disadvantage and the current material, social, and health conditions that shape outcomes in later life is also highlighted by cross-national study (e.g., Alvarado et al. 2007). How the accumulated experiences of a lifetime affect health and mortality in old age remains an important question.

Understanding the location and movement of older people, especially as these relate to relevant services and supports for older people, is another key theme in geographical aging and health research (Simpson et al., 2016). Researchers have demonstrated that many young-old move to leisure destinations in early old age, while many older-old return to their original areas, probably to be closer to family and friends in later old age as they think about needs for support (Stoller and Longino, 2001). These patterns are changing in response to a variety of trends including increasing older age employment, diversifying opportunities for leisure associated with old age, growing constraints on availability of support, and declines in health and health care associated with migration (Hall and Hadill, 2016).

Decisions made by older people and their families about geographic proximity among kin often have implications for the nature and quality of their interactions (Szydlik, 2016). Greater proximity between an older person and family members is typically associated with more provision of care, both in terms of hours and type of support provided, but this is

influenced by characteristics such as health status, gender, marital and parental status, and employment of both the older person and potential supporters (Joseph and Hallman, 1996, 1998). Moves motivated by negative life events or circumstances can have depressive effects or be particularly stressful (Bradley and Van Willigen, 2010). Thus, for health geographers, understanding location and movement is central to comprehending the complexities of people's lives, as well as exchanges of care and support and impacts on health.

Increasingly, geographic proximity is mediated by technology (Milligan et al., 2011). Through remote surveillance and monitoring, developing technologies potentially increase opportunities for people to stay at home as they age, rather than move to residential care (Schillmeier and Domènech, 2010). Such innovations have critical implications for the health and the geographies of older people (Milligan et al., 2011) and raise many ethical and social issues. Further research is needed to consider how technology might enable older people who are experiencing frailty to retain a greater level of autonomy and independence? Who should have the right to access such private information (e.g., should adult children automatically be able to see their parents' data?)? Further, as caring relationships involve complex processes of power and frequently issues of inequality (Bowlby, 2012), how is the cared-for person's ability to make choices and express opinions supported or suppressed in the context of these technologies? Is there potential for greater social and spatial isolation, loneliness, disempowerment or abuse for older people who are monitored remotely rather than in person? Ultimately, might these technologies reconfigure the experience of home and the way care occurs?

Relational approaches to health geographies of aging

Exploring older people's interactions with social and physical environments, and approaching the relationship between people and their environments as reciprocal and indivisible,

characterises a *relational* approach to aging and health geographies (Rowles, 1978; Rubinstein and Parmalee, 1992). This approach often includes the views and voices of older people and emphasises that every aspect of every older person must always be understood as embedded or situated in socially constructed, dynamic *places* (Cutchin, 2001).

Two important themes in this field of research are sense of place and attachment to place. Geographic researchers exploring these themes have demonstrated that older people with positive connections to place are more likely to feel secure, in control, and have a positive sense of self, all of which enhances well-being and the process of aging (Rowles and Ravdal, 2002). Many researchers have illustrated how a sense of attachment to place becomes stronger as people age, with growing investment of meaning in both objects and places over time (Christoforetti, Gennai and Rodeschini, 2011; Wiles et al. 2009). For example, older people are much more likely than younger people to say they like their home or their neighbourhood. The reasons this pattern is observed so consistently range from pride and personal investment over time to the immediate environment becoming more important as mobility declines, although recent work suggests the nature and size of older people's social worlds is far more diverse than initially thought (Wiles et al., 2009). Familiarity, emotional connections, networks of friends and family, and a personal sense of contextualised identity or history linked to a place are all likely to contribute to what Rowles refers to as being in place or insideness (Rowles, 1993). There may also be selective recall of positive experiences over a longer period of time (Christoforetti et al. 2011). While attachment to place or investment in place is generally understood as having positive effects on health and well-being (Morita et al. 2010), there is also evidence that negative associations with place can have a damaging effect on health and well-being (Golant, 2008).

Health geographers have explored positive place effects on and health and well-being during aging with reference to the therapeutic landscapes concept – the idea that healing processes can be embedded in places, locales, settings and milieus that develop "an enduring reputation for achieving physical, mental, and spiritual healing" (Gesler, 1993, p.171). One implication of such research with respect to aging is that particular places (e.g., gardens) may offer comfort and the opportunity for emotional, physical and spiritual renewal amongst older people (Milligan et al., 2004). Milligan et al. (2004), for example, illustrate how older people may gain a sense of achievement, satisfaction and aesthetic pleasure through gardening activities, thereby experiencing communal gardens as therapeutic and inclusionary spaces that offer social support and access to social networks. This research suggests that a therapeutic landscape may be purposively constructed to positively influence health and wellbeing among older people and others.

Geographic research in this area has also illustrated the significance of emotions in response to place (Urry, 2007), as well as a tension between emotions and subjective experiences of aging, and the socio-cultural expectations of age and emotions (Rose and Lonsdale, 2016). Health geographers investigating the therapeutic qualities of blue spaces, for instance, have demonstrated that many older people engage with blues spaces as part of their daily routines (e.g. interacting with views of the sea on a daily basis) to establish a sense of familiarity and security, as well as an insideness of place (Coleman and Kearns, 2015). In a study of aging-in-place on Waiheke, New Zealand, conducted by Coleman and Kearns (2015), older coastal dwellers reported positive place attachments and restorative benefits, including opportunities for stillness, reflection and respite, in response to the emotional, aesthetic and spiritual qualities elicited by blue spaces. Older people may select particular elements from the landscapes in which they live, such as a view of a calm bay or changing tide, and keep these

in the picture in order to cultivate attachment and a restorative experience that assists them in coping with ill-health or other challenges associated with advanced age (Coleman and Kearns, 2015). These findings show that older people are resourceful, creative, and actively engaged with the settings in which they live. Indeed, researchers and society at large must recognise older people as competent, enterprising, and imaginative people who continue to negotiate everyday life and maintain wellbeing, rather than being merely in decline or increasingly dependent, as negative stereotypes of aging suggest.

Critical approaches to health geographies of aging

Health geographers have argued for much more *critical* understandings of what it means to age in place, both from the perspective of older people, and for urban, regional and global governance (Boyle, Wiles and Kearns, 2015; Cutchin, 2001). A critical approach considers how social forces shape the experience of both place and ageing and questions established structures, everyday norms, and taken-for-granted power relationships while employing and expanding social theories to advocate for and work with communities. To date, more critical approaches to aging in place have considered the implications of living in disadvantaged or difficult places (Scharf, Phillipson and Smith, 2005) and current overemphasis on aging in place as a policy mechanism that may prevent people from seeking better alternatives (Golant, 2015).

Research *with* older people suggests that a wide range of factors are important to experiences and perceptions relating to aging in place, such as the quality and adaptability of housing, the availability of social and physical resources in the neighbourhoods, and emotional and social and symbolic *perceptions* of these things (Wiles, et al. 2011). Many older people draw on diverse accommodative and adaptive coping mechanisms and repertoires to achieve a sense

of residential normalcy in the event that their house or neighbourhood become uncomfortable (e.g., when stairs become difficult or when engaging with the social and physical resources available in a neighbourhood is less possible) (Golant, 2015). Others may try to adapt their housing or move to more accessible or functionally appropriate housing (Peace, Holland and Kellaher, 2011). Studies show that older people's reluctance to move to institutionalised living environments sometimes stems from negative *perceptions* of these environments, though people's actual experiences are frequently more positive and complex (Wiles and Rosenberg, 2003).

A critical geographical perspective on aging and health suggests that we must think more broadly about whether older people have access to appropriate resources for adapting their current living circumstances or relocating. Access to any living environment is influenced by the resources people have available to them. At an individual level, whether older people own or rent their homes, or have strong social networks, are important determining factors. At the neighbourhood scale, important factors are the level of support available (e.g., adequate and good quality home-based care when needed) and resources such as accessible, adequate and affordable public transport for older people who are no longer driving, or appropriate leisure spaces and opportunities (Pain, Mowl and Talbot, 2000). At even broader scales, the extent to which health services and home-based support are collectively provided (e.g., publicly funded) will shape the degree to which older people must rely on other sources of support such as family caregiving and the nature of intergenerational exchanges of wealth (Wiles et al., 2012).

More critical geographical approaches to understanding aging and health challenge the stigmatisation and homogenisation of old age, aging, and aging bodies (Wiles, 2011). This

challenge applies both to society in general, and to research that treats older people as passive and dependent, frail or asexual; which talks *about* older people but not *with* them; or, which casts old age as a medical problem to be treated. Critical research recognises the heterogeneity of older age, and considers how aging bodies *relate to* and *shape* a wide variety of spaces and social contexts, from homes and neighbourhoods and communities to gardens and sheds, leisure spaces, workspaces, educational spaces, and political spaces. There is growing recognition that older people make significant contributions to their families and the places in which they live (Wiles and Jayasinha, 2013).

Future research

Descriptive tools such as disease atlases, on the rare occasions where information related to older people is included, show that recognising the diversity among older people must be a priority (Kerr et al. 2014). This is because there are differences in morbidity and mortality between younger-old and older-old (80 years plus) people or between groups that are diverse on the basis of a range of social differences, including ethnicity, socio-economic status and gender. Future research that includes multi-level modelling and detailed qualitative research must be a priority in order to address more complex questions such as how to understand the relationship between individual and contextual place effects (such as neighbourhood socio-economic status, or rural and urban contexts) on health outcomes. Additionally, continued focus on patterns of inequalities and demand for services and supports during aging is crucial to anticipating the socio-economic well-being and health of older people and their future needs. Given currently expanding technological developments that mediate everyday environments, this focus could usefully be expanded to include consideration of the ways in which technologies may be reconfiguring socio-economic and health care needs, as well as the experience of home and neighbourhood. Further, as new opportunities and constraints for

older age employment, support and leisure emerge, and as older people move and adjust to these changes, it is important that research stay abreast of arising impacts on everyday environments, health and health care.

Geographical perspectives on aging and health suggest that we must think more broadly about whether older people have access to appropriate resources for adapting their current living circumstances or relocating. More critical geographical approaches to understanding aging and health are still needed to challenge the stigmatisation and homogenisation of old age, aging, and aging bodies (Wiles, 2011). This challenge applies both to society in general, and to research that treats older people as passive and dependent, frail or asexual; which talks *about* older people but not *with* them; or, which casts old age as a medical problem to be treated.

Conclusions

Researchers taking a spatial approach to aging and health geography have highlighted demand for a range of services and supports associated with old age, the location and movement of older people and their subsequently anticipated future needs. Geographers taking a relational approach, exploring the relationship between older people and their environments as reciprocal and indivisible have considered experiences of aging as situated in settings that are socially constructed and dynamic. Critical approaches to aging and health geography have explored the varied meanings of aging from the perspectives of diverse older people, and in the context of urban, regional and global governance, in order that we think more broadly about the resources older people may require to maintain daily life. These wide-ranging geographic inquiries have highlighted inequities experienced by older people in their everyday settings, as well as inequities between older people and other groups, and the

health aspects of aging in place, including attachment to place, and the provision of health care, caring work and resources.

In all aspects of geographic research on aging and health, there is growing and exciting emphasis on a strengths-based approach to understanding what may help older people to achieve and maintain well-being. Increasing attention is paid to different aspects of aging, including cultural and class differences, and the experiences of those in advanced age as opposed to younger cohorts. A wide range of approaches and methods are deployed, including sophisticated GIS and spatial analytic techniques in a post-positivist theoretical framework and emancipatory methodological frameworks that include participatory and transformative research strategies working *with* older people.

A priority for future research is to achieve an understanding of the multifaceted interactions between individual older people, their everyday settings, and their health and well-being outcomes. In light of rapidly growing proportions and numbers of older people and particularly those in advanced age and from diverse cultural groups, such research must also understand and maximise the many advantages of an aging population, while challenging the stigmatisation and homogenisation of aging.

References

Alvarado, B., Zunzunegui, M., Béland, M., Sicotte, M. and Lourdes, R (2007) Social and gender Inequalities in depressive symptoms among urban older adults of Latin America and the Caribbean. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Scences,* vol. 62, no. 4. pp. S226-S236.

Boyle, A., Wiles, J. L. and Kearns, R. A. (2015) Rethinking aging in place: The 'people'

and 'place' nexus. *Progress in Geography (China),* vol. 32, n.12, pp. 1495-1511. http://dx.doi.org/10.18306/dlkxjz.2015.12.002

Bowlby, S. (2012) Recognising the time—space dimensions of care: Caringscapes and carescapes. *Environment and Planning A,* vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 2101-2118.

Bradley, D. E. and Van Willigen, M. (2010) Migration and psychological well-being among older adults: A growth curve analysis based on panel data from the health and retirement study, 1996-2006. *Journal of Aging and Health*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 882-913. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0898264310368430

Christoforetti, A., Gennai, F. and Rodeschini, G. (2011) Home sweet home: The emotional construction of places. *Journal of Aging Studies,* vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 225-232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2011.03.006

Coleman, T. and Kearns, R. (2015) The role of bluespaces in experiencing place, aging and wellbeing: Insights from Waiheke Island, New Zealand. *Health and Place*, vol. 35, pp. 206-2017.

Cutchin, M. (2001) Deweyan integration: Moving beyond place attachment in elderly migration theory. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development,* vol 52, no. 1, pp. 29-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.2190/AF2D-A0T4-Q14C-1RTW

Davies, A. and James, A. (2011) *Geographies of aging: Social processes and the spatial unevenness of population aging*. Surrey: Ashgate.

Deeg, D. J. (2015) Gender and physical health in later life. *The encyclopedia of adulthood and aging*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118521373.wbeaa266

Gesler, W. M. (1993) Therapeutic landscapes: theory and a case study of Epidauros, Greece. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space,* vol. 11, pp.171-189.

Golant, S. M. (2008) Commentary: Irrational exuberance for the aging in place of vulnerable

low-income older homeowners. *Journal of Aging and Social Policy,* vol. 20, no. 4, pp.

379-397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08959420802131437

Golant, S. M. (2015) *Aging in the right place* Baltimore: Health Professions Press.

Grundy, E. (1997) Demography and gerontology: Mortality trends among the oldest old.

Aging & Society, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 713-725.

Hall, K., & Hardill, I. (2016) Retirement migration, the 'other'story: caring for frail elderly

British citizens in Spain. *Ageing and society,* vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 562-585.

Isaacson, M., Wahl, H. W., Shoval, N., Oswald, F., & Auslander, G. (2017) The

relationship between spatial activity and wellbeing-related data among healthy

older adults: An exploratory geographic and psychological analysis. In Samanta, T.

(Ed.) *Cross-cultural and cross-disciplinary perspectives in social gerontology.*

Singapore: Springer, pp. 203-219.

Joseph, A. and Hallman, B. C. (1996) Caught in the triangle: The influence of home, work,

and elder location on work-family balance. *Canadian Journal on Aging,* vol. 15, no.

3, pp.

392-412. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0714980800005845

Joseph, A. and Hallman, B. C. (1998) Over the hill and far away: Distance as a barrier to the

provision of assistance to elderly relatives. *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 6,

pp. 631-639.

Kerr, A., Exeter, D. J., Hanham, G., Grey, C., Zhao, J., Riddell, T., Lee, M, Jackson, R. and

Wells, S. (2014) Effect of age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic status and region on

dispensing of CVD secondary prevention medication in New Zealand: The atlas of

health care variation CVD cohort (VIEW-1). *New Zealand Medical Journal,* vol. 127,

no. 1400, pp. 39-69.

Lloyd-Sherlock, P. (2000) Population aging in developed and developing regions:

implications for health policy. *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 887-895.

Mackay, J. and Mensah, G. (2004) *The atlas of heart disease and stroke*. Geneva: World Health Organisation and US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Milligan, C., Roberts, C. and Mort, M. (2011) Telecare and older people: Who cares where? *Social Science & Medicine,* vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 347-354.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.08.014

Milligan, C., Gatrell, A., & Bingley, A. (2004) 'Cultivating health': therapeutic landscapes and older people in northern England. *Social Science & Medicine,* vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1781-1793.

Morita, A., Takano, T., Nakamura, K., Kizuki, M. and Seino, K. (2010) Contribution of interaction with family, friends and neighbours, and sense of neighbourhood attachment to survival in senior citizens: 5-year follow-up study. *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 543-549.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.10.057

Northcott, H. (2014) Geoegraphies of ageing: Social processes and the spatial uneveness of population aging. *Canadian Studies in Population,* vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 150-151.

Pain, R., Mowl, G. and Talbot, C. (2000) Difference and the negotiation of 'old age'. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 377-393. http://dx.doi.org/10.1068/d31

Peace, S. M., Holland, C. and Kellaher, L. (2011) 'Option recognition' in later life: variations in aging in place. *Aging & Society,* vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 734-757. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X10001157

Rosenberg, M. W. (2014) Health geography I: Social justice, idealist theory, health and health care. *Progress in Human Geography,* vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 466-475. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0309132513498339

Rowles, G. D. (1978) *Prisoners of space? Exploring the geographical experiences of older people*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Rowles, G. D. (1993) Evolving images of place in aging and 'aging in place'. *Generations,* Vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 65-70.

Rowles, G. D. and Ravdal, H. (2002) Aging, place, and meaning in the face of changing circumstances. In R. Weiss & S. Bass (eds.) *Challenges of the third age: meaning and purpose in later life*. New York: OUP, pp. 81-114.

Rubinstein, R. L. and Parmalee, P. A. (1992) Attachment to place and representation of life course by the elderly. In I. Altman & S. Low (eds.) *Human behaviour and environment vol. 12: Place attachment*. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 139-163.

Scharf, T., Phillipson, C. and Smith, A. (2005) Social exclusion of older people in deprived urban communities of England. *European Journal of Aging*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 76-87.

Schillmeier, M. and Domènech, M. (2010) *New Technologies and Emerging Spaces of Care: Cracks in the Door?* London: Routledge.

Simpson, P. M., Siguaw, J. A., & Sheng, X. (2016) Tourists' life satisfaction at home and away: A tale of two cities. *Journal of Travel Research,* vol. 55, 2. pp. 161-175.

Stoller, E. P. and Longino, C. F. (2001) "Going home" or "leaving home"? The impact of person and place ties on anticipated Counterstream Migration. *The Gerontologist*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 96-102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geront/41.1.96

Szydlik, M. (2016) *Sharing lives: Adult children and parents*. London and UK: Routledge.

Urry, J. (2007) *Mobilities*. Polity Press: Cambridge.

Wiles, J. L. (2011). Reflections on being a recipient of care: Vexing the concept of vulnerability. *Social and Cultural Geography,* vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 573-588.

Wiles, J. L., Allen, R. E. S., Palmer, A. J., Hayman, K. J., Keeling, S. and Kerse, N. (2009)

Older people and their social spaces: A study of well-being and attachment to place in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Social Science & Medicine,* vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 664-671. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.11.030

Wiles, J. L. and Jayasinha, R. (2013) Care for place: The contributions older people make to their communities. *Journal of Aging Studies,* vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 93-101. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2012.12.001