### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand). This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <a href="http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback">http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</a>

## General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the Library Thesis Consent Form

# Mainstreaming Legal Research Skills into a New Zealand Law School Curriculum

**Mary-Rose Russell** 

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Laws at The University of Auckland

#### **Abstract**

In any jurisdiction the body of law grows exponentially: new cases decided, new legislation passed, new areas of law being opened up. The inherent complexity of New Zealand's common law heritage is compounded by an increasing internationalisation of its law via treaties and conventions. Globalisation within the legal publishing world has expanded the range and type of legal material which is now accessible. Developments in delivery media, especially digitisation, have enabled more immediate access to unlimited amounts of information. Concurrent with these facets of our knowledge-economy, there are new expectations in both the tertiary educational and legal environments for graduating students to have skill-sets which have not been the traditional focus of law schools. Additionally, the law schools themselves are under both internal and external pressure to develop their curricula for reasons as diverse as revenue-generation for the university to narrow profession-centric demands. All these factors impact directly on the teaching and learning of legal research skills within the New Zealand law curriculum.

Legal research skills programmes within the main common law jurisdictions have been the subject of an extensive body of literature from the late-1970s. The discourse has touched on all relevant issues including why such programmes should be taught, what their content should be, who should teach them, and when they should be taught. But for the most part, the discourse has focused on the ineffectiveness of programmes which have been taught.

This thesis investigates how legal research skills may be effectively and sustainably mainstreamed within the New Zealand law curriculum. Two principal methods, expository analysis and empirical research, are used in this study. The law curriculum is viewed within its historical and current context. Methodologies which have traditionally been employed in the teaching of legal research skills are discussed, and rationales for their seemingly systemic ineffectiveness highlighted and explained. Empirical research was undertaken examining attitudes towards the teaching of legal research skills within three distinct populations: New Zealand legal academics, a cohort of summer clerks working in national law firms during the summer of 2003-4, and national law firm library managers. The results of this research are detailed and analysed.

Finally, a model programme, and methodology for mainstreaming legal research skills into a New Zealand law curriculum is proposed.

# **AMDG**

## Acknowledgements

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the generous assistance of a large number of people. In particular, I would like to thank:

Professor Charles Rickett, who was my supervisor at the start of this journey, for his encouragement and support.

Peter Devonshire, who took over as my supervisor, and who has been a total inspiration, proffering sage advice, and helpful comments. His help has been indispensable.

Associate Professor Paul Myburgh and James Mullineux, for their assistance, encouragement.

Carol Catley, for helping clarify the subject matter of this thesis.

Stephanie Carr, for her unfailingly willing technical expertise.

Jane Treadwell and Pat Northey, for acting as my sounding-boards, and reading and commenting on various drafts.

John Sadler, for proof reading this thesis.

My Davis Law Library colleagues who have lived in the shadow of my thesis over the years and provided assistance in innumerable ways.

My husband, John, for his total support and encouragement which he has unstintingly provided over the years.

The University of Auckland Library and the Faculty of Law for their support.

# **Table of Contents**

| Abstract   | ii  |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgements   | iv  |
| Table of Contents  | V   |
| Chapter I: Introduction  |     |
| Overview   |     |
| Frame of Reference   |     |
| Definitions  |     |
| Legal research   |     |
| Legal Research Skills  |     |
| Law School   |     |
| Mainstreaming  |     |
| Hypothesis   |     |
| Methodologies  |     |
| Literature review  | 9   |
|  | 4.0 |
| Chapter II: Development of the New Zealand Law School Curriculus |     |
| Introduction   |     |
| Early Development of the Law Curriculum                          |     |
| Current Law School Curriculum                                    |     |
| Pressures on the Law School Curriculum                           |     |
| Internal Pressures   |     |
| Within the Law Schools   |     |
| Pressure from the Universities                                   |     |
| Pressure from the Student Body                                   |     |
| External Pressures   |     |
| Council of Legal Education Requirements                          |     |
| Pressure from the Legal Profession                               |     |
| Summary  | 41  |
| Chapter III: Legal Research Skills Within the Law Curriculum     | 43  |
| Introduction   |     |
| Why do Legal Research Skills Need to be Taught?                  |     |
| Complex Nature of the Law  |     |
| Evolutionary Nature of Information Delivery Media                |     |
| The Effect of Computer-Assisted Legal Research (CALR)            |     |
| Exponential Growth of Legal Information                          |     |
| Expectations within the Tertiary Educational Sector              |     |
| Issues Surrounding the Mainstreaming of Skills Debate            |     |
| TOUGOD SETTORIGHTS HIS TRAINING CHAINING OF DIGHTS DOUGO         |     |

| Definition Issues  | 56       |
|--|----------|
| Academic v Vocational Argument   | 58       |
| Not 'Real' Law   | 60       |
| Government / Profession-based Reviews on Legal Education               | 61       |
| England  | 62       |
| Post-Ormrod  | 64       |
| Australia  | 67       |
| Post-Pearce Report   |          |
| Canada   | 72       |
| The United States  |          |
| New Zealand  |          |
| Overview of the Current Status of Teaching of Legal Research Skills    |          |
| New Zealand  |          |
| Australia  |          |
| The United States  |          |
| United Kingdom   |          |
| Summary  | 84       |
|  | 1 7771 4 |
| Chapter IV: Legal Research Skills Programmes: Their Methodologies      |          |
| Effectiveness  |          |
| Introduction   |          |
| Methodologies  |          |
| Process-oriented Model   |          |
| Bibliographic v Process Debate   |          |
| Information Literacy Model   |          |
| Effectiveness of Legal Research Programmes                             |          |
| Explaining the Ineffectiveness of Legal Research Programmes            |          |
| Legitimacy Reasons   |          |
| Pedagogical Reasons  |          |
| How Can Legal Research Programmes Be Made More Effective?              |          |
| Summary  |          |
| ~ ~ ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                |          |
| Chapter V: Empirical Research: Legal Academics, Law Librarians an      | d Law    |
| Students on Legal Research Skills                                      |          |
| Introduction   | 116      |
| Legal Academics' Responses   | 118      |
| Demographics   | 119      |
| Position Held  | 119      |
| Time in the legal academy  | 119      |
| Gender   |          |
| Experience as Legal Practitioner                                       | 119      |
| Academic Legal Qualifications  |          |
| Responses from National Law Library Managers                           |          |
| Responses from Law Clerks  |          |
| Distillation of Questionnaire Results from Legal Academics, Library Ma | •        |
| Law Clerks Jointly   |          |
| Summary  | 157      |

| Chapter VI: Model Programme for Mainstreaming Legal Research Skills into a |     |  |
|--|-----|--|
| New Zealand Law School Curriculum  | 161 |  |
| Introduction   | 161 |  |
| Overview of the Model Programme  | 162 |  |
| Legal Research Competencies to be acquired                                 | 166 |  |
| Proposed Methodology for Teaching Legal Research Skills                    |     |  |
| Conceptual Frameworks  |     |  |
| Structural Framework of the Legal Research Process                         | 171 |  |
| Framework for Analysing the Issue or Question to be Answered               | 172 |  |
| Framework for Analysing the Issue or Question to be Answered               | 173 |  |
| Framework for Identifying Starting Point of Research                       | 174 |  |
| Framework for Identifying and Selecting Appropriate Legal Information      | l   |  |
| Sources  |     |  |
| Relational Framework of Proposed Methodology                               |     |  |
| Teaching Modes   |     |  |
| Assessment   |     |  |
| Legal Writing Skills   |     |  |
| Summary  |     |  |
|  |     |  |
| Chapter VII: Conclusion and Post-Script                                    |     |  |
| PostScript   | 196 |  |
| Appendices   | 197 |  |
| Appendix 1 – Legal Skills Survey. New Zealand Legal Academics              |     |  |
| Appendix 2 – Legal Skills Survey. Summer Law Clerks 2003-2004              |     |  |
| Appendix 2 – Legal Skills Survey. Satisfied Law Cicks 2003-2004            |     |  |
| Appendix 5 Legal Skins Salvey. National Daw 1 init Diolary Managers        | 171 |  |
| Bibliography   | 211 |  |