

**Diversification across biomes in a continental lizard radiation.**

Original article

**Diversification across biomes in a continental lizard radiation.**Running head: Diversification in a continental lizard clade

Authors: L. G. Ashman,<sup>1</sup> J. G. Bragg,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Doughty,<sup>3</sup> M. N. Hutchinson,<sup>4,5,6</sup> S. Bank,<sup>1,7</sup> N. J. Matzke,<sup>1,8</sup> P. Oliver<sup>1,9,10</sup> and C. Moritz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Royal Botanic Garden, Sydney NSW 2000, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Terrestrial Zoology, Western Australian Museum, Perth WA 6016, Australia

<sup>4</sup> South Australian Museum, Adelaide SA 5000, Australia

<sup>5</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia

<sup>6</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide SA 5042, Australia

<sup>7</sup> Johann-Friedrich-Blumenbach Institute for Zoology and Anthropology, University of Göttingen, Göttingen 37073, Germany

<sup>8</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

<sup>9</sup> Environmental Futures Research Institute, Griffith University, Nathan QLD 4111, Australia

<sup>10</sup> Biodiversity and Geosciences Program, Queensland Museum, Brisbane QLD 4101,

Australia

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/evo.13541](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13541).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Lauren Ashman (lauren.g.ashman@gmail.com)

Author contributions: LGA, PMO and CCM designed the project. PD and MNH provided advice on sampling design and selection of specimens and traits for phenotypic analyses. LGA extracted and sequenced DNA, cleaned reads, validated lineages, gathered morphological data and performed the macroevolutionary analyses. LGA and PMO did mtDNA analyses. JGB developed the exon capture probes and ran the associated bioinformatic pipeline. SB generated the final alignment, and performed species delimitations and, with NJM, the concatenation and summary species tree phylogenetic analyses. NJM developed and executed the hierarchical method for full Bayesian species tree and also conducted ancestral state analyses. LGA wrote the initial draft and all authors contributed in revising the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We thank Mark Sistrom, Mitzy Pepper and Scott Keogh for access to mtDNA data, and the museum curators for access to specimens and tissues. We thank Renae Pratt for helping with the exon capture protocols, Gayleen Bourke for advice on morphological measurements, Martha Muñoz and Emma Sherratt for advice on morphological analyses. We thank Leonardo Gonçalves Tedeschi for producing Figure 1, and other members of the Moritz lab for comments on the manuscript. CCM and PMO were funded by the Australian Research Council. NJM was funded by Discovery Early Career Researcher Award DE150101773, by the Australian Research Council, and by the Australian National University. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data archive location: The DNA sequence data are publicly available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.397c81q>. The code used to perform the StarBEAST2 divide and conquer analyses are available on GitHub at [https://github.com/nmatzke/Ashman\\_etal\\_Gehyra](https://github.com/nmatzke/Ashman_etal_Gehyra).

### *Abstract*

Ecological opportunity is a powerful driver of evolutionary diversification, and predicts rapid lineage and phenotypic diversification following colonisation of competitor-free habitats. Alternatively, topographic or environmental heterogeneity could be key to generating and sustaining diversity. We explore these hypotheses in a widespread lineage of Australian lizards: the *Gehyra variegata* group. This clade occurs across two biomes: the Australian monsoonal tropics (AMT), where it overlaps a separate, larger bodied clade of *Gehyra* and is largely restricted to rocks; and in the larger Australian arid zone (AAZ) where it has no congeners and occupies trees and rocks. New phylogenomic data and coalescent analyses of AAZ taxa resolve lineages and their relationships and reveal high diversity in the western AAZ (Pilbara region). The AMT and AAZ radiations represent separate radiations with no difference in speciation rates. Most taxa occur on rocks, with small geographic ranges relative to widespread generalist taxa across the vast central AAZ. Rock-dwelling and generalist taxa differ morphologically, but only the lineage-poor central AAZ taxa have accelerated evolution. This accords with increasing evidence that lineage and morphological diversity are poorly correlated, and suggests environmental heterogeneity and refugial dynamics have been more important than ecological release in elevating lineage diversity.

*Keywords:* Australian Arid Zone, cryptic species, Australian Monsoonal Tropics, *Gehyra*, non-ecological diversification, phylogenomics

### *Introduction*

Ecological opportunity, where lineages gain access to underexploited or empty niche space, may lead to elevated rates of phenotypic evolution and lineage diversification (Lack 1947; Schluter 2000). This is perhaps best demonstrated in radiations that have occurred subsequent to colonisation of biotically impoverished islands (Lack 1947; Gavrilets and Losos 2009). However, it is increasingly clear that major radiations can occur without a corresponding acceleration of ecological and morphological divergence, often described as non-adaptive or non-ecological radiation (Gillespie 2009; Rundell and Price 2009). This is especially likely to occur across isolated but relatively stable habitats, which provide few changes in selection that would drive morphological divergence, but where lineages persist in isolation for long enough to allow genetic divergences to accumulate (Kozak et al. 2006; Singhal and Moritz 2013). Even in classic adaptive radiations, considerable speciation occurs within ecomorphs, suggesting that across many radiations the relationship between ecological and lineage diversification may be indirect or weak (Glor et al. 2003; Losos et al. 2006; Blom et al. 2016). Hence, an alternative to ecological release is that diversity of radiations is shaped primarily by topographic complexity, through promoting allopatric or parapatric speciation, opportunity for niche partitioning, and persistence in refugia through oscillating climates (Moritz et al. 2000; Kozak et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2014; Badgley et al. 2017).

Tests for adaptive radiation require highly resolved phylogenies coupled with phenotypic and ecological data across contrasting systems (e.g., Schluter 2000; Poe et al. 2018). Increasing evidence of morphologically cryptic taxa (Bickford et al. 2007; Pérez-Ponce de León and Poulin 2016; Struck et al. 2018) raises the possibility that studies using

current taxonomy have underestimated the number of evolutionarily independent lineages as units of analysis. If so, the relative importance of processes such as isolation and allopatric speciation that generate lineages, but are not linked to overt phenotypic variation, may also be underestimated (Purvis 2008). Building from typical mtDNA phylogeography, large-scale multilocus data combined with coalescent-based analytical methods provide the means to identify evolutionarily distinctive, yet morphologically cryptic, lineages (Fujita et al. 2012; Moritz et al. 2018), and incorporate them into analyses of diversification dynamics; however, the extent to which such units represent transient metapopulations rather than species remains an open question (Carstens et al. 2013; Sukumaran and Knowles 2017).

Here we use a phylogenomic approach to robustly identify lineages, resolve their relationships and test for effects of ecological release vs. topographic heterogeneity in a widespread and diverse continental clade of scansorial lizards: the “*variegata* group” of *Gehyra* geckos. This is a monophyletic clade of 21 species (Sistrom et al. 2009; Doughty et al. 2012; Hutchinson et al. 2014; Bourke et al. 2017; Doughty et al. 2018) within the broader genus *Gehyra*; however, phylogeographic analyses indicate that the true number of species could be twice this (Pepper et al. 2013; Moritz et al. 2018) and many species relationships remain poorly resolved despite considerable effort (Sistrom et al. 2014). The *variegata* group has radiated extensively across the Australian arid zone (AAZ) and monsoonal tropics (AMT) since the Miocene (Heinicke et al. 2011). For the mostly rock-dwelling taxa of the AMT, and using a phylogenomic approach, Moritz et al. (2018) resolved many more lineages than were evident from existing taxonomy (with the more genetically divergent and less cryptic taxa then described; Doughty et al. 2018) and found clear evidence of size partitioning in sympatric assemblages. In the AMT, the *variegata* group co-occurs with another moderately diverse clade of *Gehyra*, the *australis* group (Mitchell 1965). Species in the *australis* group occur on rocks and trees, tend to be substantially larger (65–96 mm vs 40–73 mm), and where

they co-occur, the species of the *variegata* group (the *Gehyra nana* clade; Moritz et al. 2018) appear to be excluded from both arboreal habitats and large rock faces (Moritz, Oliver, Doughty unpubl. data). Conversely, across the AAZ the *australis* group is absent, and members of the *variegata* group occur both on rocks (including open faces), and on trees far from rocky habitats (i.e., generalists). An ecological release model would therefore predict that absence of competition from the larger *australis* group species has accelerated both lineage diversification and ecological and phenotypic evolution of the *variegata* group in the AAZ relative to the AMT.

The alternative view is that genetic isolation and divergence in climatically and/or ecologically complex regions have played a dominant role in generating diversity. While both the AMT and AAZ have extensive rocky ranges and plateaus with associated *Gehyra*, this key habitat is more disjunct in the relatively larger AAZ (both in terms of distance, and the lack of suitable intervening habitat), potentially increasing the probability of localised divergence within and among rocky areas. The AAZ has also experienced extensive expansion and spread of sand dunes and retreat of woodlands since the mid-Pliocene (Fujioka et al. 2009), which may have further isolated key rocky habitats in this biome. These complex and ancient ranges and plateaus are also expected to have acted as major arid zone refugia through the climatic oscillations of the Neogene (Morton et al. 1995; Byrne et al. 2008). In support of this hypothesis, topographically complex ranges of the Pilbara and Central Uplands show both deeply divergent range-restricted paleoendemic lineages, and finely structured intraregional phylogeography (Oliver et al. 2010; Pepper et al. 2011; Pepper et al. 2013; Oliver and McDonald 2016). The topographic complexity hypothesis predicts that lineage diversity in the *variegata* group will be highest in complex ranges and plateaus, but with rates higher in the AAZ than the AMT.

Here, to explore how the *variegata* group has diversified across biomes, we first use phylogenomics using custom exon capture (Bi et al. 2012; Bragg et al. 2016; Jones and Good 2016) to resolve independent lineages and their relationships using concatenation and species tree methods, as already done for the AMT taxa by Moritz et al. (2018). We then test for differences in patterns and rates of both lineage diversification and phenotypic evolution across biomes (the AAZ and AMT), regions (Pilbara, central AAZ, and AMT), and habitats (rock and generalist). These analyses find that lineage diversity and breadth of habitat use are both higher in the AAZ; however, rates of diversification and phenotypic evolution do not differ between biomes, except for an elevated rate of phenotypic evolution in the central AAZ. Across all biomes most lineages are associated with rocky habitats, especially complex refugial areas, and these rock-dwellers have much smaller ranges than generalist taxa. These results point to topographic complexity, rather than ecological release, having a dominant role in diversification, with isolated rocky ranges promoting localised divergence and persistence.

### *Materials and Methods*

Our strategy for sampling, sequencing, bioinformatics, delimitation, and phylogenetic analysis is shown in Fig. S1. We first identified evolutionarily independent lineages within the 11 currently recognised arid zone species of the *variegata* group, applying both discovery and validation methods to extensive exon sequence data. We then added representatives of previously delineated lineages from the *nana* clade, a monophyletic radiation of nine species and 12 lineages that represents the AMT members of the *variegata* group (Doughty et al. 2018; Moritz et al. 2018). We further included two outgroups from the *australis* group of *Gehyra*, and estimated the phylogeny of the resulting 44 taxa using concatenation, and both

summary and full Bayesian species tree estimation approaches. Interpretation of phylogenetic relationships was based primarily on a larger dataset (547 loci with at least 90% taxa) with concatenation and summary species tree approaches. Given computational limits, the full Bayesian coalescent species tree method StarBEAST2 (Ogilvie et al. 2017) was applied to a subset of the data (106 loci with at least 95% taxa), but even so the size of the dataset required a newly developed hierarchical approach (see below). Because concatenation can substantially overestimate tip lengths in recent radiations (Ogilvie et al. 2016), with possible downstream effects on rate analyses, we used the StarBEAST2 tree for subsequent analyses of ancestral states and rates of diversification and morphological evolution (Fig. S2).

#### **SAMPLE SELECTION AND SEQUENCING FOR ARID ZONE TAXA**

Based on prior analyses of mtDNA phylogeography (Sistrom et al. 2009; Pepper et al. 2013; Doughty unpubl. data), we sampled 30 candidate lineages across 11 recognised species in the AAZ, generating exon capture data for 64 individuals (Fig. 1; Table S1). Sequences from *G. spheniscus* and *G. xenopus*, AMT rock-dwelling species, were not available; otherwise, sampling of known species and component lineages of the *variegata* group is complete. The exon capture probes we used targeted four commonly used phylogenetics genes (*BDNF*, *C-mos*, *PDC*, and *RAG1*) and 1716 other protein coding exon regions developed from *G. nana* (Bragg et al. 2017) and *G. oceanica* (Tonione et al. 2016) transcriptomes. The target regions were identified on the basis of a reciprocal best BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) hit to an exon from the *Anolis* genome (Alfoldi et al. 2011; accessed in Ensembl release 67, Flicek et al. 2013), and were >200 bp in length. Probes were designed against target exons and synthesised as a SeqCap EZ NimbleGen in-solution capture system. Previous studies have demonstrated that such probes are highly effective for target enrichment across clades considerably older than *Gehyra* (Bragg et al. 2016). The pooled sample library was

hybridised to these probes and amplified by ligation-mediated PCR using the SeqCap EZ Developer Library (NimbleGen) protocol, modified to include the alternative blocking oligonucleotides detailed in Peñalba et al. (2014). A quantitative PCR was run on aliquots of both pre- and post-hybridisation libraries, to ensure the hybridisation reaction had amplified the two target primers but not the non-target control (as per Bi et al. 2012). The successful post-hybridisation library was sequenced using 100 bp paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq2000 system at the Biomolecular Resource Facility, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University.

### **BIOINFORMATIC WORKFLOW**

Raw sequencing reads were cleaned and trimmed using a workflow described by Singhal (2013) which was distributed with the SSCP pipeline (*pre-cleanup* and *scrubReads* scripts; Peñalba et al. 2014), using *E. coli* (K12 MG1655; Blattner et al. 1997) and human (GRCh37; Ensembl release 67) genome sequences as contaminant references. Cleaned reads were assembled into contigs using a pipeline described by Bragg et al. (2016) (see Supporting Information Methods 1.1 for further details). The assembled AAZ haplotype sequences were combined with 28 representative orthologous haplotypes from the AMT *nana* clade (Moritz et al. 2018), and two *australis* group outgroups (Fig. 1; Table S1). Sequences of each locus were aligned using MACSE v. 1.2 (Ranwez et al. 2011) and trimmed (full details in Supporting Information Methods 1.1). To reduce the non-random distribution of missing data, we only kept alignments that contained at least 90% of samples, yielding 547 loci.

### **LINEAGE IDENTIFICATION AND PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES**

We applied discovery and validation methods to detect evolutionary independent lineages among AAZ specimens using the exon sequence data. For discovery, we used tr2 (Fujisawa

et al. 2016), which assesses observed vs. expected rates of gene tree congruence under coalescent theory for rooted triplets of candidate taxa and a specified guide tree. We used sequence data (a single haplotype for 64 individuals, 1–4 different individuals per candidate lineage) and RAxML gene trees for 499 exons with >90% complete data, using the RAxML concatenated phylogeny as a guide tree (see Summary species tree analysis, below). To validate the candidate lineages (deep mtDNA phylogeographic clades) within species, we also used BPP v. 3.2 (Yang 2015). Because of computational limits, we selected the 100 longest loci from the above exons and applied BPP to candidate lineages within each of six monophyletic AAZ clades within the *variegata* group (Table S2). Priors were set to small ancestral population sizes (theta: G(2, 2000)) and shallow divergence times (tau: G(2, 2000)), following Leaché and Fujita (2010). Two independent analyses (different starting seeds) were performed for each clade, adjusting the fine-tuning parameters so that acceptance proportions lay between 0.15–0.70; the burn-in was set to 10,000, sampling every five iterations for a total of 500,000 generations.

#### Concatenation analysis

The haplotype sequences from the refined MACSE alignments (547 loci, with a minimum of 90% of samples per locus) were concatenated using FASconCAT v. 1.0 (Kück and Meusemann 2010). We conducted a search for the best-fit substitution model with IQ-TREE v. 1.4.4 (Nguyen et al. 2015) using the option “-m TESTONLY”. The resulting model (GTR+I+G) was then used in RAxML v. 8.2.8 (Stamatakis 2014) to search for the best-scoring Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree and perform a rapid bootstrap analysis with 100 replicates. Convergence was assessed *a posteriori* using the “bootstopping” criterion (Pattengale et al. 2010).

### Summary species tree analysis

The same dataset of 547 loci was used to estimate 499 gene trees (best of 10 replicates; 48 “invariant” loci removed) and 499 bootstrap trees (100 bootstrap replicates each) for one haplotype per individual with RAxML (GTR+I+G model of substitution, model optimisation precision set to 0.0001). These were then used as input trees in a summary multispecies coalescent analysis, estimating the species tree topology (from multiple individuals per species; branch lengths are arbitrary) using ASTRAL-II v. 4.8.0 with multilocus bootstrapping (Mirarab and Warnow 2015).

### Divide and conquer StarBEAST2 analysis

After setting up some simpler exploratory analyses in BEAUTi, we added R scripts to BEASTmasteR (Matzke 2015; Matzke and Wright 2016) to set up StarBEAST2 analyses. We used the algorithms implemented in BEAST2 (Bouckaert et al. 2014; Drummond and Bouckaert 2015), as StarBEAST2 v. 0.13.1 (Ogilvie et al. 2017). All Excel settings files, R code, and XML files are available at [https://github.com/nmatzke/Ashman\\_etal\\_Gehyra](https://github.com/nmatzke/Ashman_etal_Gehyra). From the original MACSE alignment, we randomly selected 106 loci that satisfied criteria appropriate for a StarBEAST2 analysis (200–500 bp, sequences at least 90% complete alignments and with at least 95% taxa, no paralogs). We selected two haplotypes (from different specimens) per taxon, and partitioned by codon position (shared across all loci) under strict clock and HKY+G models. All runs were conducted for 1 billion generations, and examined in Tracer v. 1.6 for convergence. The run length was sufficient to obtain ESS >200 for virtually all parameters (usually >1500).

To overcome the computational limits on numbers of individuals, we employed a “divide and conquer” strategy (*sensu* Antonelli et al. 2017). We identified strongly supported subclades with many closely related lineages from initial RAxML and ASTRAL trees, and

removed them for smaller, subclade-specific StarBEAST2 analyses (full details in Supporting Information Methods 1.2). When a subclade was removed, at least two lineages delimiting the subclade were kept to represent the subclade's root node; any lineages that were phylogenetically isolated, or had highly uncertain placement, were also left in the remaining "skeleton tree" (Fig. S3). We dated the skeleton tree by assigning a crown age of the combined *australis* and *variegata* groups at 13.2–26.0 Mya, based on a fossil calibrated phylogeny spanning geckos (Gamble et al. 2015; Oliver et al. 2017): we used a Normal prior (mean = 19.1, standard deviation = 3.3).

After the subclades were removed, the dated skeleton tree was estimated with StarBEAST2. The StarBEAST2 analyses on each subclade were given only a relative date prior (the subclade root node had a tight prior of Normal (1,0.001)). After the StarBEAST2 analyses had completed, the trees sampled from the posterior of each subclade analysis were integrated with trees sampled from the posterior of the skeleton tree analysis, by replacing each pair of subclade-delimiting lineages with a sampled full subclade tree, with branch lengths scaled to match the subclade root date of the sampled skeleton tree. The new collection of dated trees contained all lineages and was treated (with caveats) as a posterior distribution of dated species trees, and summarised as a Maximum Clade Credibility tree calculated with TreeAnnotator.

## MACROEVOLUTIONARY ANALYSES

To visualise and understand patterns of lineage and phenotypic diversification across biomes we undertook analyses of ancestral state, diversification rates, and morphological evolution as summarised in Fig. S2. Using the StarBEAST2 tree, we ran three ancestral state analyses, and tested for differences in diversification rate and morphology across biomes, regions and habitats. We also calculated the gamma statistic (which tests for deviations from a constant-

rate, pure-birth diversification model) in R using the APE v. 3.5 function *gammaStat* (Paradis et al. 2004; R Core Development Team 2015).

### Ancestral state estimation

Ancestral states for geographic range, biome, and habitat were estimated using BioGeoBEARS v. 0.2.2–2 (Matzke 2013a). Geographic distributions were discretised into three broad areas: the Australian Monsoonal Tropics (“M”); and two from the AAZ, the Pilbara region to the west (“P”), including the Pilbara and adjacent Ashburton ranges to the south; and central Australia (“C”), covering the arid regions of eastern WA, Northern Territory, Queensland, and South Australia. Some species lived in both the central and Pilbara regions; none lived in all three. Species’ biome was discretised into either “AAZ” or “AMT” (coded as “D” and “W”, for “dry” and “wet”). Species’ habitat was categorised as “rock” (“R”) or “generalist” (“T” for “tree”) and is based on the authors’ extensive field experience, habitat records for specimens in the WAM, and summaries in field guides (Wilson and Swan 2013; Cogger 2014). This coding captures broad distributional patterns rather than absolute microhabitat usage, and there are some ambiguities for species that are plastic in foraging habitat. By our classification, rock-dwellers are frequently found foraging on vegetation as well as rocks, but never occur away from rocky ranges which provide refuge sites during the day. By contrast, generalists are rarely found on rocks, and occur in habitats far removed from rocky ranges. As *G. pilbara* (a termite mound specialist) is rarely observed on trees and occurs primarily in the rocky Pilbara ranges, we classify it as a rock-dweller in these analyses. By contrast, *G. kimberleyi* is common on termite mounds and is also found on trees or rocks, but occurs in areas with no rocks, so is classified here as a generalist.

Six standard biogeographical models (Matzke 2013b) were run on the geographic range dataset in BioGeoBEARS: DEC (Ree et al. 2008); DIVALIKE, a likelihood

interpretation of DIVA (Ronquist 1997), and BAYAREALIKE, a likelihood interpretation of BayArea (Landis et al. 2013). Three additional “+J” models were added, which use the free parameter  $j$  to model the relative weight of founder-event speciation at cladogenesis (Matzke 2014). The maximum range size was set to three. The six basic biogeographic models were also run on the biome and habitat datasets. In addition, a Markov- $k$  model ( $Mk$ ; Lewis 2001) was run on these two datasets; the model is constructed in BioGeoBEARS by editing the default DEC model by fixing the parameters  $d$ ,  $e$ , and  $j$  to 0, setting the parameter  $a$  (for anagenetic range-switching) to be free, and eliminating from the state space the null range and any ranges made up of more than one area. Models were fit using maximum likelihood, and the fit was compared with AICc model weights (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

Ancestral state estimates were made under each model.

#### Comparisons of diversification rates

Following Jetz et al. (2012) and R scripts from Harvey et al. (2017), we calculated the diversification rate (DR) statistic for each tip, which is a summary statistic of the speciation rate derived from the inverse of the branch lengths (i.e., number of splitting events) leading to the particular tip on the tree. We used phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) in the R package CAPER v. 0.5.2 (Orme 2013) to test for differences in the log-transformed DR statistic between biogeographic regions (AMT, central AAZ, Pilbara), biomes (AAZ vs. AMT), or habitats (rock vs. generalist).

#### Estimation of range sizes

To estimate approximate range sizes for taxa, we collated location records from the Atlas of Living Australia for unambiguously recognisable species, from recently published revisions (Hutchinson et al. 2014; Doughty et al. 2018), and genetically verified records from the

Western Australian Museum (Kealley et al. in press) and the Moritz lab (Moritz unpubl. data). We then used minimum convex polygons to estimate range size using ArcGIS v. 10.4 (ESRI 2016).

### Morphological evolution analyses

Over 500 adult *variegata* group specimens were measured for 11 traits (Table S3; full details in Supporting Information Methods 1.3). We used samples that were assigned to lineages based on mtDNA (*ND2*) sequences or, for lineages with few genotyped specimens, using diagnostic morphological characters by P. Doughty and M. Hutchinson (Table S4). Sexual dimorphism was tested with multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA, sex = fixed effect); no significant differences were found (Wilks' lambda = 0.85,  $F = 1.05$ ,  $p = 0.41$ ), so male and female measurements were pooled. Statistical analyses were performed on the log-transformed intra-lineage averages of each trait, using the R packages Picante v. 1.6–2, Phytools v. 0.5–38, GEIGER v. 2.0.3 and NLME v. 3.1–122 (Kembel et al. 2010; Revell 2012; Pennell et al. 2014; Pinheiro et al. 2015).

Non-phylogenetic principal component analyses (PCAs) were performed using the morphological traits, both uncorrected and corrected for body size (for the latter, using residuals calculated from linear regressions of body shape traits against SVL). The PCAs were visualised using phylomorphospace plots (Phytools; Revell 2012). Phylogenetic signal was assessed for morphological traits and principal components (PCs) with Blomberg's  $K$  (Blomberg et al. 2003). Both phylogenetic (Phytools) and non-phylogenetic one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used on the major PCs to compare morphology among lineages, with habitat (rock vs. generalist) or biome (AAZ vs. AMT) as the explanatory factor. Patterns of variation across regions (AMT, central AAZ, Pilbara), habitat, and biome were further examined for body shape traits heavily loaded on PC axes, using PGLS with

body size and ecological factors as predictors, under the model of evolution that best fitted each trait (Brownian motion or Ornstein-Uhlenbeck; selected by lowest AICc score).

Morphological variance (disparity) across lineages was compared, using the *morphol.disparity* function of Geomorph v. 3.0.1 (Adams and Otárola-Castillo 2013) on size-corrected traits. The function calculates the morphological variance for each biome group from the covariance matrix, and compares them to 999 random permutations of disparity under a linear model to obtain a test statistic (absolute difference in variances). The rates of morphological evolution (size-corrected traits) were analysed across biomes (AAZ vs. AMT), regions (AMT, central AAZ, Pilbara) and habitat types (rock vs. generalist), using the *compare.evol.rates* function. The evolutionary rates of two variable and habitat-relevant traits, body size and size-corrected snout depth, were also analysed by comparing log likelihoods of single and multiple rate BM models of continuous trait evolution using the *mvBM* function in mvMORPH v. 1.0.8 (Clavel et al. 2015).

## Results

### **LINEAGE RELATIONSHIPS, DIVERSITY, RANGE SIZES, AND ANCESTRAL STATES**

The RAxML concatenated tree (547 loci; Fig. 2A) and ASTRAL species tree (499 loci; Fig. 2B) analyses identify three strongly supported major clades within the *variegata* group: (i) *lazelli-pulingka* (rocky ranges in the AAZ), (ii) *nana* clade (AMT), and (iii) a diverse AAZ clade. Relationships among these three major clades are not well resolved with either RAxML or ASTRAL. Within the AAZ clade, the Australian Central Uplands endemic taxon *G. moritzi* is a divergent sister group to the remainder (“main AAZ clade”), which has five groups: (a) *purpurascens-einasleighensis*, (b) *variegataC1-2*, (c) the *punctata B* clade, (d) the

*punctata* A clade, and (e) the *variegata* clade. These five groups are supported across both methods, albeit with lower support for (a) and (b) from ASTRAL. The 106 loci StarBEAST2 species tree (Fig. 3) differs from the concatenated and ASTRAL species trees in having the *nana* clade branching off first (albeit with weak support), and in confidently (pp = 0.99) grouping the long-branch taxon *G. moritzi* with the other divergent AAZ species, *G. pulingka* and *G. lazelli*. All analyses infer that *G. punctata* and *G. variegata* are polyphyletic as currently construed (full details in Supporting Information Results 1.1).

The number of supported lineages across the whole *variegata* group is double the number of recognised species (40 lineages from 20 species; see Fig. S4, Table S2 and Supporting Information Results 1.2 for full details) despite recent taxonomic revisions of some components (Hutchinson et al. 2014; Doughty et al. 2018). There are 27 lineages in the AAZ and 13 in the AMT, of which 32 are limited to rocky areas and eight are habitat generalists. Lineage diversity is especially high within the nominal species *G. variegata* (six lineages) and *G. punctata* (11 lineages). While recognising the need for further sampling and analysis of some problematic taxa (especially *G. montium* and the *G. variegata* B lineages), we treat all 40 inferred lineages as separate taxa for subsequent analyses of diversification and morphological evolution. As found by Siström et al. (2012), the large-bodied population *G. lazelli*<sub>LP</sub> was not distinct genetically, although we treat it as distinct from *G. lazelli* for morphological analyses.

The high diversity of lineages within the ranges and plateaus of the Pilbara (western AAZ) is especially notable (Fig. 4A). As a corollary, average range sizes for the Pilbara and AMT taxa are 18-fold less than for the central AAZ taxa (Pilbara: 57,846 km<sup>2</sup>; AMT: 58,052 km<sup>2</sup>; central AAZ: 930,901 km<sup>2</sup>; Table S5). The Pilbara also has more taxa that meet the definition of short-range endemics (<10,000 km<sup>2</sup>; Harvey 2002): nine in the Pilbara, three in the AMT and three in the central AAZ.

Using the StarBEAST2 tree, the BioGeoBEARS analysis (AMT, central AAZ, Pilbara) finds that the most credible model is DEC (Table S6). The ancestral range for the widespread arid zone radiation, and also the whole *variegata* group, is ambiguous (Fig. 4A; similar to the uncertainty of relationships at the base of the *variegata* group). However, independent histories of the central AAZ taxa are highlighted, with a restricted-range, paleoendemic rock-dwelling group (*G. moritzi*, *G. lazelli*, *G. pulingka*) contrasting with the recently (Plio–Pleistocene) derived and geographically widespread *G. minuta-versicolor* clade. The latter is evidently derived recently from the Pilbara radiation. For biomes (AMT vs. AAZ; Fig. 4B), the *Mk* model is the best fit (Table S7). The ancestral biome of the *variegata* group is most likely the AAZ. Late Miocene origins are inferred for the initial divergence of all three major groups (*lazelli-pulingka-moritzi*, the AMT *nana* clade and the main AAZ clade). For the habitats (rock vs. generalist; Fig. 4C), the *Mk* model is again the best fit (Table S8) and rock-dwelling is inferred to be ancestral, with multiple independent shifts to generalist habitat use in the AAZ clades, and just one in the AMT (with the latter shift in a lineage that occurs at the boundary of the AMT and AAZ).

## RATES OF DIVERSIFICATION

Diversification rates are estimated to decrease over time based on the mean gamma statistic (-2.85, from 100 sampled trees; Fig. S5). The DR statistic (tip speciation rate) ranged from 0.098 (*G. moritzi*) to 0.676 lineages/My (*G. versicolor*), with a mean of 0.301 lineages/My (Table 1). The PGLS analyses found no significant differences in the DR statistic between the biomes (AAZ vs. AMT), biogeographic regions (AMT, central AAZ, Pilbara), or habitat types (rock vs. generalist).

## MORPHOLOGICAL EVOLUTION

In the PCA on all traits ("sPCA"), the first PC axis (sPC1; body size) explains 91% of the variation (loading strongly and negatively with all traits; Table S9). Body size varies substantially within several clades; e.g., the *nana* (40–59 mm), *purpurascens-einasleighensis* (37–55 mm), and *punctata B* (41–65 mm) clades (circled in Fig. 5A). There is significant phylogenetic signal for sPC1 (Blomberg's  $K = 0.44$ ,  $p = 0.049$ ), although no significant effect of either habitat or biome on body size.

In the PCA on the size-corrected body shape traits ("rPCA"), the first three PC axes explain 73% of the variation, loading with all head traits and foreleg length (Fig. 5A–C; Table S9). While rPC1 has no significant phylogenetic signal, rPC2–3 and the majority of the trait measurements do have phylogenetic signal (Table S10). The first two rPCA axes show no significant relationship with habitat or biome. However, on the rPC3 axis (snout depth), rock-dwelling lineages have significantly lower values (Fig. 5C) than the generalist lineages (phylogenetic ANOVA:  $F = 13.65$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ). Additionally, the termite mound specialist *G. pilbara* has an unusually short head and snout (rPC1; circled in Fig. 5A). Like the other axes, there is no significant difference in rPC3 values between the AAZ and the AMT.

For individual morphological traits, only head and snout depth are significantly associated with habitat, with rock-dwellers having shallower heads/snouts than generalists (PGLS: head depth  $T = 2.89$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ; snout depth  $T = 4.46$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Fig. 6). None of the PC loading traits (head/legs) differ significantly between biomes or biogeographic regions (Table S11). Removing the termite mound specialist *G. pilbara* from the analyses does not cause any qualitative changes to the results (data not shown).

There are no significant differences in morphological disparity between habitats, regions, or biomes. Similarly, there is no significant difference in morphological evolution rates between biomes or habitats. However, when considered by region, the central AAZ

lineages have a significantly higher rate of morphological evolution than the AMT lineages (observed rate ratio = 2.01,  $p = 0.044$ ; Table 1). When focusing on individual traits (body size and snout depth), there is no support for differences in morphological evolution rates between the two biomes (AAZ and AMT) or between habitats (rock and generalist; Table S12). However again, when considered by region, the central AAZ taxa have a significantly higher rate of body size evolution than the other two regions (log likelihood of multiple rate model  $>2$  greater than single rate model; Table S12), but snout depth is not significant.

### *Discussion*

We set out to test the hypothesis that the AAZ taxa of the *variegata* group of *Gehyra* would show increased rates of diversification and morphological evolution relative to their AMT sister clade, reflecting either (i) expansion of habitat to trees as well as rocks (in the absence of larger *australis* group species), or (ii) the larger size of this biome and more disjunct nature of key rocky habitats within it. Applying a phylogenomic approach, together with morphological analyses, we found twice as many lineages as described species, largely resolved their relationships, and revealed that generalist taxa have deeper heads than the rock-dwelling taxa. The western AAZ, centred on the Pilbara which is a major arid zone refugium, has especially high lineage diversity with smaller geographic ranges per taxon. We also found that the AAZ shows evidence for more shifts between rock and generalist ecologies, and the central AAZ has a higher rate of morphological evolution (body size and shape) than the AMT. However, there was no significant difference in diversification rates across the biomes, regions, or habitats.

## PATTERNS AND RATES OF LINEAGE DIVERSITY

Resolving the diversity and relationships of lineages in the *G. variegata* group has previously proved intractable, despite the use of karyotypic, allozyme, morphological, mtDNA, and small-scale nuclear datasets (King 1979; Moritz 1986, 1992; Heinicke et al. 2011; Siström et al. 2013; Siström et al. 2014). As for the AMT *nana* clade (Moritz et al. 2018), the much-improved resolution and largely consistent estimates of phylogeny for the AAZ radiation of *Gehyra* emphasise the value of phylogenomic datasets with comprehensive sampling of taxa for resolving the diversity of radiating taxa (Blom et al. 2017).

Biogeographic analyses indicate that there has been relatively little movement between biomes, a pattern that contrasts with several other co-distributed lineages (Fujita et al. 2010; Oliver et al. 2014a; Brennan and Oliver 2017). Habitat use appears to be more plastic, with several shifts between rocks and trees (generalists) inferred in the main AAZ clade. One shift into generalist habitat use in the far southern (arid) edge of the AMT is also inferred, on the edge of the distribution of the *australis* group, providing further evidence that throughout most of the AMT the *variegata* group has been excluded from trees. While our date estimates are derived from secondary calibrations, they also suggest the main AAZ and the AMT radiations both occurred around the late Miocene, with declining diversification rates. Crown ages for many geographically overlapping radiations in the AMT and AAZ are similar (Oliver and Bauer 2011; Crisp and Cook 2013; Laver et al. 2017), suggesting a common response to increased aridity and seasonality from the late Miocene to the early Pliocene (Martin 2006; Byrne et al. 2008; Sniderman et al. 2016; Christensen et al. 2017).

We found no evidence that lineage diversification rates differ across the AMT, Pilbara, or central AAZ. However, the profusion of small-range lineages in the Pilbara (both rock and generalist) and in the central AAZ ranges (rock only) contrasts against the wide distribution of generalist species in central AAZ. In the western AAZ, the hyperdiverse and

paraphyletic *G. punctata* lineages are associated with the geologically complex Pilbara region, as are several short-range endemic *G. variegata* B and C lineages (Fig. 1). Several central AAZ lineages (*G. lazelli*, *G. pulingka* and *G. moritzi*) that are restricted to the Flinders and Central Ranges are paleoendemics that have persisted through multiple cycles of aridification.

In other gecko radiations in Australia (Pepper et al. 2011; Oliver et al. 2014c) and southern Africa (Heinicke et al. 2017), saxicoline lineages also show higher diversity and smaller ranges than more ecologically generalised relatives. In the *variegata* group, we also found that the lineage-rich Pilbara region was likely to be a source of generalist taxa that are now widespread across the central AAZ, suggesting recolonisation of arid woodlands from rocky refugia. In general, the absence of accelerated diversification in the AAZ and the concentration of large numbers of small-range lineages in rocky refugia in both biomes (Moritz et al. 2018) are inconsistent with a model of ecological release, and instead point to the overriding importance of topographic complexity in shaping this radiation.

## MORPHOLOGICAL EVOLUTION

Body size is a common axis of ecological diversification in squamate radiations (e.g., Burbrink et al. 2012; Garcia-Porta and Ord 2013; Oliver et al. 2014b). Likewise, in *Gehyra* the main axis of morphological evolution was for body size, although within the *variegata* group this did not vary systematically across biomes or habitats, despite the absence of larger bodied *australis* group species in the AAZ. Previous studies of *variegata* group species also found lability in body size but overall conservatism in body shape (King 1979; Siström et al. 2012). In the rock-dwelling *nana* clade, there appears to be displacement of body size in relation to geographically varying patterns of sympatry among lineages (Doughty et al. 2012, 2018; Moritz et al. 2018); the same could be true for the geographically overlapping *punctata*

*B* (mostly large-bodied) and *punctata A* (mostly small-bodied) clades in the Pilbara region, but this remains to be tested.

Taxa closely associated with rocks had significantly shallower heads and snouts than generalists. Studies of other lizards have found that dorsoventral flattening of rock-dwellers is the most consistent difference across habitat types (Revell et al. 2007; Goodman and Isaac 2008). This body shape is probably beneficial both biomechanically (keeping the centre of mass close to the rock face; Aerts et al. 2003) and ecologically (enabling use of narrow crevices for shelter; Vitt et al. 1997). The two *Gehyra* ecomorphs do not differ in leg length, unlike *Anolis* and Australian *Cryptoblepharus* skinks, which have more strikingly divergent ecomorphs (Losos 2009; Blom et al. 2016).

The only other putative ecomorphological pattern we detected involved *G. pilbara*, which has a dramatically shortened head and snout relative to the rest of the *variegata* group (rPC1 outlier; circled in Fig. 5A). *Gehyra pilbara* is the only *Gehyra* species found almost exclusively on termite mounds (Wilson and Swan 2013), suggesting it is a termite-eating specialist, although *G. kimberleyi* also occurs on termite mounds (as well as trees) and was until recently placed in this taxon (Oliver et al. 2016). Other termite-eating specialist geckos in the AAZ (genus *Diplodactylus*, *Rhynchoedura*; Pianka and Pianka 1976), also appear to have shortened snouts (Storr et al. 1990). Stayton (2005) demonstrated that insectivorous iguanids and agamids converged on short jaws, implying more powerful muscles; a shorter snout may make it easier to catch and quickly consume small prey.

The main result from the morphological analyses is simply that a diverse continental radiation of 40 lineages, with obvious divergence in habitat use and widespread sympatry, shows little signal of predictable patterns of body size evolution linked to ecology, and only weak or idiosyncratic signals for body shape. This contrasts with many of the best examples of adaptive radiation, especially in lizards, which show great phenotypic diversity and clear

links between phenotype and ecology (Losos 2009; Blom et al. 2016). It also contrasts with observations that at shallower phylogenetic scales, *Gehyra* show considerable plasticity in morphology and ecology (Doughty et al. 2012; Siström et al. 2012; Moritz et al. 2018). The capacity to undergo rapid microevolutionary shifts apparently does not always translate into marked, or predictable, macroevolutionary patterns. In the case of *Gehyra*, it may be that the generalised scansorial phenotype works well across tree and rock microhabitats. Indeed, many *Gehyra* that use the rocks as permanent retreats also make extensive use of nearby vegetation when foraging (personal observations).

Genetic and morphological diversification in the *variegata* group do not appear to be closely linked. Most strikingly, we find evidence of elevated rates of phenotypic evolution in the region with the lowest lineage diversity: the central AAZ (nine lineages), perhaps driven by the closely related species *G. versicolor* (medium-sized generalist) and *G. minuta* (small rock-dweller). Rates of overall phenotypic evolution otherwise do not differ across habitats or major biomes. Taxa in young, unstable and climatically challenging habitats may show elevated rates of phenotypic evolution (Schluter 2000); hence recent waves of intense aridification, contraction of key habitats (Fujioka et al. 2009), and the presence of comparatively few stable rocky refugia, may underpin higher rates of phenotypic evolution in the central AAZ.

In contrast, in other more geographically complex and climatically buffered regions such as the AMT and Pilbara, any signature of ecophenotypic diversification may be overridden by the proliferation and long-term persistence of localised, divergent, yet ecologically equivalent lineages in refugia (Oliver et al. 2010). Furthermore, aside from rapid and recent shifts in body size that might reflect competitive interactions (Doughty et al. 2012; Siström et al. 2012; Hutchinson et al. 2014; Moritz et al. 2018), the overall morphological diversity in *Gehyra* is limited, and is mostly associated with small shifts in head shape

between habitats (deeper heads in generalists, and a shortened snout in the termite mound-dweller).

An "uncoupling" between genetic and phenotypic diversity has been observed in other diverse continental lizard radiations: Hipsley et al. (2014) found an inverse relationship between species richness and morphological diversity in lacertid lizards, and Rabosky et al. (2014) demonstrated a marked reduction in morphological evolutionary rate in the rapidly diversifying *Ctenotus* clade of Australian AAZ skinks. Even in the famous island radiation of *Anolis* lizards, while insular phenotypes are more predictable, they do not show overall greater trait variation than their mainland counterparts (Yoder et al. 2010). While overt adaptive radiations on insular systems have attracted wide attention, there is increasing evidence that in many radiations, especially on continental systems, allopatric processes, combined with relatively subtle morphological shifts, variation in physiology, landscapes, and climate, are often more than sufficient to mediate extensive diversification (Kozak et al. 2006; Garcia-Porta et al. 2017).

#### References

- Adams, D. C. and E. Otárola-Castillo. 2013. geomorph: an r package for the collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4:393–399.
- Aerts, P., R. Van Damme, K. D'Aout, and B. Vanhooydonck. 2003. Bipedalism in lizards: whole-body modelling reveals a possible spandrel. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 358:1525–1533.
- Alfoldi, J., F. Di Palma, M. Grabherr, C. Williams, L. Kong, E. Mauceli, P. Russell, C. B. Lowe, R. E. Glor, J. D. Jaffe, D. A. Ray, S. Boissinot, A. M. Shedlock, C. Botka, T. A. Castoe, J. K. Colbourne, M. K. Fujita, R. G. Moreno, B. F. ten Hallers, D. Haussler, A. Heger, D. Heiman, D. E. Janes, J. Johnson, P. J. de Jong, M. Y. Koriabine, M. Lara, P. A. Novick, C. L. Organ, S. E. Peach, S. Poe, D. D. Pollock, K. de Queiroz, T. Sanger, S. Searle, J. D. Smith, Z. Smith, R. Swofford, J. Turner-Maier,

- J. Wade, S. Young, A. Zadissa, S. V. Edwards, T. C. Glenn, C. J. Schneider, J. B. Losos, E. S. Lander, M. Breen, C. P. Ponting, and K. Lindblad-Toh. 2011. The genome of the green anole lizard and a comparative analysis with birds and mammals. *Nature* 477:587–591.
- Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403–410.
- Antonelli, A., H. Hettling, F. L. Condamine, K. Vos, R. H. Nilsson, M. J. Sanderson, H. Sauquet, R. Scharn, D. Silvestro, M. Töpel, C. D. Bacon, B. Oxelman, and R. A. Vos. 2017. Toward a self-updating platform for estimating rates of speciation and migration, ages, and relationships of taxa. *Syst. Biol.* 66:152–166.
- Badgley, C., T. M. Smiley, R. Terry, E. B. Davis, L. R. G. DeSantis, D. L. Fox, S. S. B. Hopkins, T. Jezkova, M. D. Matocq, N. Matzke, J. L. McGuire, A. Mulch, B. R. Riddle, V. L. Roth, J. X. Samuels, C. A. E. Strömberg, and B. J. Yanites. 2017. Biodiversity and topographic complexity: modern and geohistorical perspectives. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32:211–226.
- Bi, K., D. Vanderpool, S. Singhal, T. Linderoth, C. Moritz, and J. Good. 2012. Transcriptome-based exon capture enables highly cost-effective comparative genomic data collection at moderate evolutionary scales. *BMC Genomics* 13:403.
- Bickford, D., D. J. Lohman, N. S. Sodhi, P. K. L. Ng, R. Meier, K. Winker, K. K. Ingram, and I. Das. 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 22:148–155.
- Blattner, F. R., G. Plunkett, C. A. Bloch, N. T. Perna, V. Burland, M. Riley, J. Collado-Vides, J. D. Glasner, C. K. Rode, G. F. Mayhew, J. Gregor, N. W. Davis, H. A. Kirkpatrick, M. A. Goeden, D. J. Rose, B. Mau, and Y. Shao. 1997. The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Science* 277:1453–1462.

- Blom, M. P. K., J. G. Bragg, S. Potter, and C. Moritz. 2017. Accounting for uncertainty in gene tree estimation: summary-coalescent species tree inference in a challenging radiation of Australian lizards. *Syst. Biol.* 66:352–366.
- Blom, M. P. K., P. Horner, and C. Moritz. 2016. Convergence across a continent: adaptive diversification in a recent radiation of Australian lizards. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283:20160181.
- Blomberg, S. P., T. Garland, and A. R. Ives. 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioural traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57:717–745.
- Bouckaert, R., J. Heled, D. Kühnert, T. Vaughan, C.-H. Wu, D. Xie, M. A. Suchard, A. Rambaut, and A. J. Drummond. 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian Evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comp. Biol.* 10:e1003537.
- Bourke, G., R. C. Pratt, E. Vanderduys, and C. Moritz. 2017. Systematics of a small *Gehyra* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from the Einasleigh Uplands, Queensland: description of a new range restricted species. *Zootaxa* 4231:85-99.
- Bragg, J. G., S. Potter, K. Bi, R. Catullo, S. C. Donnellan, M. D. B. Eldridge, L. Joseph, J. S. Keogh, P. Oliver, K. C. Rowe, and C. Moritz. 2017. Resources for phylogenomic analyses of Australian terrestrial vertebrates. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 17:869–876.
- Bragg, J. G., S. Potter, K. Bi, and C. Moritz. 2016. Exon capture phylogenomics: efficacy across scales of divergence. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 16:1059–1068.
- Brennan, I. G. and P. M. Oliver. 2017. Mass turnover and recovery dynamics of a diverse Australian continental radiation. *Evolution* 71:1352–1365.
- Burbrink, F. T., X. Chen, E. A. Myers, M. C. Brandley, and R. A. Pyron. 2012. Evidence for determinism in species diversification and contingency in phenotypic evolution during adaptive radiation. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279:4817–4826.

- Burnham, K. P. and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. Springer, New York.
- Byrne, M., D. K. Yeates, L. Joseph, M. Kearney, J. Bowler, M. A. Williams, S. Cooper, S. C. Donnellan, J. S. Keogh, R. Leys, J. Melville, D. J. Murphy, N. Porch, and K. H. Wyrwoll. 2008. Birth of a biome: insights into the assembly and maintenance of the Australian arid zone biota. *Mol. Ecol.* 17:4398–4417.
- Carstens, B. C., T. A. Pelletier, N. M. Reid, and J. D. Satler. 2013. How to fail at species delimitation. *Mol. Ecol.* 22:4369–4383.
- Christensen, B. A., W. Renema, J. Henderiks, D. de Vleeschouwer, J. Groenveld, I. S. Castaneda, L. Reuning, K. Bogus, G. Auer, T. Ishiwa, C. M. McHugh, S. J. Gallagher, C. S. Fulthorpe, and I. E. Scientists. 2017. Indonesian Throughflow drove Australian climate from humid Pliocene to arid Pleistocene. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44:6914–6925.
- Clavel, J., G. Escarguel, and G. Merceron. 2015. mvMORPH: an R package for fitting multivariate evolutionary models to morphometric data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 6:1311–1319.
- Cogger, H. 2014. Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- Crisp, M. D. and L. G. Cook. 2013. How was the Australian flora assembled over the last 65 million years? A molecular phylogenetic perspective. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 44:303–324.
- Doughty, P., G. Bourke, L. G. Tedeschi, R. Pratt, P. M. Oliver, R. A. Palmer, and C. Moritz. 2018. Species delimitation in the *Gehyra nana* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) complex: cryptic and divergent morphological evolution in the Australian Monsoonal Tropics, with the description of four new species. *Zootaxa* 4403:201–244.

- Doughty, P., R. Palmer, M. J. Sistrom, A. M. Bauer, and S. C. Donnellan. 2012. Two new species of *Gehyra* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) geckos from the north-west Kimberley region of Western Australia. *Rec. West. Aust. Mus.* 27:117–134.
- Drummond, A. J. and R. R. Bouckaert. 2015. Bayesian evolutionary analysis with BEAST. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- ESRI. 2016. ArcGIS Desktop. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA. Available at <http://desktop.arcgis.com/en/>.
- Flicek, P., M. R. Amode, D. Barrell, K. Beal, K. Billis, S. Brent, D. Carvalho-Silva, P. Clapham, G. Coates, S. Fitzgerald, L. Gil, C. G. Girón, L. Gordon, T. Hourlier, S. Hunt, N. Johnson, T. Juettemann, A. K. Kähäri, S. Keenan, E. Kulesha, F. J. Martin, T. Maurel, W. M. McLaren, D. N. Murphy, R. Nag, B. Overduin, M. Pignatelli, B. Pritchard, E. Pritchard, H. S. Riat, M. Ruffier, D. Sheppard, K. Taylor, A. Thormann, S. J. Trevanion, A. Vullo, S. P. Wilder, M. Wilson, A. Zadissa, B. L. Aken, E. Birney, F. Cunningham, J. Harrow, J. Herrero, T. J. P. Hubbard, R. Kinsella, M. Muffato, A. Parker, G. Spudich, A. Yates, D. R. Zerbino, and S. M. J. Searle. 2013. Ensembl 2014. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42:D749–D755.
- Fujioka, T., J. Chappell, L. K. Fifield, and E. J. Rhodes. 2009. Australian desert dune fields initiated with Pliocene–Pleistocene global climatic shift. *Geology* 37:51–54.
- Fujisawa, T., A. Aswad, and T. G. Barraclough. 2016. A rapid and scalable method for multilocus species delimitation using Bayesian model comparison and rooted triplets. *Syst. Biol.* 65:759–771.
- Fujita, M. K., A. D. Leaché, F. T. Burbrink, J. A. McGuire, and C. Moritz. 2012. Coalescent-based species delimitation in an integrative taxonomy. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27:480–488.

- Fujita, M. K., J. A. McGuire, S. C. Donnellan, and C. Moritz. 2010. Diversification and persistence at the arid–monsoonal interface: Australia-wide biogeography of the Bynoe’s gecko (*Heteronotia binoei*; Gekkonidae). *Evolution* 64:2293–2314.
- Gamble, T., E. Greenbaum, T. R. Jackman, and A. M. Bauer. 2015. Into the light: diurnality has evolved multiple times in geckos. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 115:896–910.
- Garcia-Porta, J. and T. J. Ord. 2013. Key innovations and island colonization as engines of evolutionary diversification: a comparative test with the Australasian diplodactyloid geckos. *J. Evol. Biol.* 26:2662–2680.
- Garcia-Porta, J., M. Simó-Riudalbas, M. Robinson, and S. Carranza. 2017. Diversification in arid mountains: biogeography and cryptic diversity of *Pristurus rupestris rupestris* in Arabia. *J. Biogeogr.* 44:1694–1704.
- Gavrilets, S. and J. B. Losos. 2009. Adaptive radiation: contrasting theory with data. *Science* 323:732–737.
- Gillespie, R. 2009. Adaptive radiation. Pp. 143-152 in S. A. Levin, S. R. Carpenter, C. Godfray, A. P. Kinzig, M. Loreau, J. B. Losos, B. Walker, and D. S. Wilcove, eds. *The Princeton Guide to Ecology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Glor, R. E., J. J. Kolbe, R. Powell, A. Larson, and J. B. Losos. 2003. Phylogenetic analysis of ecological and morphological diversification in Hispaniolan trunk-ground anoles (*Anolis cybotes* group). *Evolution* 57:2383–2397.
- Goodman, B. A. and J. L. Isaac. 2008. Convergent body flattening in a clade of tropical rock-using lizards (Scincidae: Lygosominae). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 94:399–411.
- Harvey, M. G., G. F. Seeholzer, B. T. Smith, D. L. Rabosky, A. M. Cuervo, and R. T. Brumfield. 2017. Positive association between population genetic differentiation and speciation rates in New World birds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:6328–6333.

- Harvey, M. S. 2002. Short-range endemism amongst the Australian fauna: some examples from non-marine environments. *Invertebr. Syst.* 16:555–570.
- Heinicke, M. P., E. Greenbaum, T. R. Jackman, and A. M. Bauer. 2011. Phylogeny of a trans-Wallacean radiation (Squamata, Gekkonidae, *Gehyra*) supports a single early colonization of Australia. *Zool. Scr.* 40:584–602.
- Heinicke, M. P., T. R. Jackman, and A. M. Bauer. 2017. The measure of success: geographic isolation promotes diversification in *Pachydactylus* geckos. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 17:9.
- Hipsley, C. A., D. B. Miles, and J. Muller. 2014. Morphological disparity opposes latitudinal diversity gradient in lacertid lizards. *Biol. Lett.* 10:20140101.
- Hutchinson, M. N., M. J. Sistrom, S. C. Donnellan, and R. G. Hutchinson. 2014. Taxonomic revision of the Australian arid zone lizards *Gehyra variegata* and *G. montium* (Squamata, Gekkonidae) with description of three new species. *Zootaxa* 3814:221–241.
- Jetz, W., G. H. Thomas, J. B. Joy, K. Hartmann, and A. O. Mooers. 2012. The global diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature* 491:444–448.
- Jones, M. R. and J. M. Good. 2016. Targeted capture in evolutionary and ecological genomics. *Mol. Ecol.* 25:185–202.
- Kealley, L., P. Doughty, M. Pepper, S. Keogh, M. Hillier, and J. Huey. in press. Conspicuously concealed: revision of the arid clade of the *Gehyra variegata* (Gekkonidae) species group in Western Australia using an integrative molecular and morphological approach, with the description of five cryptic species. *PeerJ*.
- Kembel, S. W., P. D. Cowan, M. R. Helmus, W. K. Cornwell, H. Morlon, D. D. Ackerly, S. P. Blomberg, and C. O. Webb. 2010. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26:1463–1464.

- King, M. 1979. Karyotypic evolution in *Gehyra* (Gekkonidae: Reptilia) I. The *Gehyra variegata-punctata* complex. *Aust. J. Zool.* 27:323–343.
- Kozak, K. H., D. W. Weisrock, and A. Larson. 2006. Rapid lineage accumulation in a non-adaptive radiation: phylogenetic analysis of diversification rates in eastern North American woodland salamanders (Plethodontidae: *Plethodon*). *Proc. R. Soc. B* 273:539–546.
- Kück, P. and K. Meusemann. 2010. FASconCAT: convenient handling of data matrices. *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 56:1115–1118.
- Lack, D. 1947. Darwin's finches. Cambridge University Press, London.
- Landis, M. J., N. J. Matzke, B. R. Moore, and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2013. Bayesian analysis of biogeography when the number of areas is large. *Syst. Biol.* 62:789–804.
- Laver, R. J., P. Doughty, and P. M. Oliver. 2017. Origins and patterns of endemic diversity in two specialized lizard lineages from the Australian Monsoonal Tropics (*Oedura* spp.). *J. Biogeogr.* doi: 10.1111/jbi.13127.
- Leaché, A. D. and M. K. Fujita. 2010. Bayesian species delimitation in West African forest geckos (*Hemidactylus fasciatus*). *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277:3071–3077.
- Lewis, P. O. 2001. A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological character data. *Syst. Biol.* 50:913–925.
- Losos, J. B. 2009. Lizards in an evolutionary tree: ecology and adaptive radiation of anoles. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Losos, J. B., R. E. Glor, J. J. Kolbe, and K. Nicholson. 2006. Adaptation, speciation, and convergence: a hierarchical analysis of adaptive radiation in Caribbean *Anolis* lizards. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 93:24–33.
- Martin, H. A. 2006. Cenozoic climatic change and the development of the arid vegetation in Australia. *J. Arid Environ.* 66:533–563.

- Matzke, N. J. 2013a. BioGeoBEARS: BioGeography with Bayesian (and likelihood) Evolutionary Analysis in R Scripts. University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA. Available at <http://cran.r-project.org/package=BioGeoBEARS>.
- Matzke, N. J. 2013b. Probabilistic historical biogeography: new models for founder-event speciation, imperfect detection, and fossils allow improved accuracy and model-testing. *Front. Biogeogr.* 5:242–248.
- Matzke, N. J. 2014. Model selection in historical biogeography reveals that founder-event speciation is a crucial process in island clades. *Syst. Biol.* 63:951–970.
- Matzke, N. J. 2015. BEASTmasteR: automated conversion of NEXUS data to BEAST2 XML format, for fossil tip-dating and other uses. PhyloWiki. Available at <http://phylo.wikidot.com/beastmaster>.
- Matzke, N. J. and A. M. Wright. 2016. Inferring node dates from tip dates in fossil Canidae: the importance of tree priors. *Biol. Lett.* 12:20160328.
- Mirarab, S. and T. Warnow. 2015. ASTRAL-II: coalescent-based species tree estimation with many hundreds of taxa and thousands of genes. *Bioinformatics* 31:i44–i52.
- Mitchell, F. J. 1965. Australian geckos assigned to the genus *Gehyra* Gray (Reptilia, Gekkonidae). *Senckenb. Biol.* 46:287–319.
- Moritz, C., J. L. Patton, C. J. Schneider, and T. B. Smith. 2000. Diversification of rainforest faunas: an integrated molecular approach. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 31:533–563.
- Moritz, C., R. C. Pratt, S. Bank, G. Bourke, J. G. Bragg, P. Doughty, J. S. Keogh, R. J. Laver, S. Potter, L. C. Teasdale, L. G. Tedeschi, and P. M. Oliver. 2018. Cryptic lineage diversity, body size divergence and sympatry in a species complex of Australian lizards (*Gehyra*). *Evolution* 72:54–66.
- Moritz, C. M. 1986. The population biology of *Gehyra* (Gekkonidae): chromosome change and speciation. *Systematic Zoology* 35:46–67.

- Moritz, C. M. 1992. The population biology of *Gehyra* (Gekkonidae). III. Patterns of microgeographic variation. *J. Evol. Biol.* 5:661–676.
- Morton, S. R., J. Short, and R. D. Barker. 1995. Refugia for Biological Diversity in Arid and Semi-arid Australia: a Report to the Biodiversity Unit of the Department of Environment, Sport and Territories. Dept. of Environment, Sport and Territories, Canberra.
- Nguyen, L. T., H. A. Schmidt, A. von Haeseler, and B. Q. Minh. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32:268–274.
- Ogilvie, H. A., R. Bouckaert, and A. J. Drummond. 2017. StarBEAST2 brings faster species tree inference and accurate estimates of substitution rates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34:2101–2114.
- Ogilvie, H. A., J. Heled, D. Xie, and A. J. Drummond. 2016. Computational performance and statistical accuracy of \*BEAST and comparisons with other methods. *Syst. Biol.* 65:381–396.
- Oliver, P. M., M. Adams, and P. Doughty. 2010. Molecular evidence for ten species and Oligo-Miocene vicariance within a nominal Australian gecko species (*Crenadactylus ocellatus*, Diplodactylidae). *BMC Evol. Biol.* 10:386.
- Oliver, P. M. and A. M. Bauer. 2011. Systematics and evolution of the Australian knob-tail geckos (*Nephrurus*, Carphodactylidae, Gekkota): Plesiomorphic grades and biome shifts through the Miocene. *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 59:664–674.
- Oliver, P. M., G. Bourke, R. C. Pratt, P. Doughty, and C. Moritz. 2016. Systematics of small *Gehyra* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) of the southern Kimberley, Western Australia: redescription of *G. kimberleyi* Borner & Schuttler, 1983 and description of a new restricted range species. *Zootaxa* 4107:49–64.

- Oliver, P. M., P. J. Couper, and M. Pepper. 2014a. Independent transitions between monsoonal and arid biomes revealed by systematic revision of a complex of Australian geckos (*Diplodactylus*; Diplodactylidae). PLOS ONE 9:e111895.
- Oliver, P. M., R. J. Laver, F. De Mello Martins, R. C. Pratt, S. Hunjan, and C. C. Moritz. 2017. A novel hotspot of vertebrate endemism and an evolutionary refugium in tropical Australia. Divers. Distrib. 23:53–66.
- Oliver, P. M. and P. J. McDonald. 2016. Young relicts and old relicts: a novel palaeoendemic vertebrate from the Australian Central Uplands. R. Soc. Open Sci. 3:160018.
- Oliver, P. M., P. Skipwith, and M. S. Y. Lee. 2014b. Crossing the line: increasing body size in a trans-Wallacean lizard radiation (*Cyrtodactylus*, Gekkota). Biol. Lett. 10:20140479.
- Oliver, P. M., K. L. Smith, R. J. Laver, P. Doughty, M. Adams, and B. Riddle. 2014c. Contrasting patterns of persistence and diversification in vicars of a widespread Australian lizard lineage (the *Oedura marmorata* complex). J. Biogeogr. 41:2068–2079.
- Orme, D. 2013. Caper: Comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. Available at <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/caper/vignettes/caper.pdf>.
- Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. Bioinformatics 20:289–290.
- Pattengale, N. D., M. Alipour, O. R. Bininda-Emonds, B. M. Moret, and A. Stamatakis. 2010. How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? J. Comput. Biol. 17:337–354.
- Peñalba, J. V., L. L. Smith, M. A. Tonione, C. Sass, S. M. Hykin, P. L. Skipwith, J. A. McGuire, R. C. K. Bowie, and C. Moritz. 2014. Sequence capture using PCR-generated probes: a cost-effective method of targeted high-throughput sequencing for nonmodel organisms. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 14:1000–1010.

- Pennell, M. W., J. M. Eastman, G. J. Slater, J. W. Brown, J. C. Uyeda, R. G. FitzJohn, M. E. Alfaro, and L. J. Harmon. 2014. *geiger* v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 30:2216–2218.
- Pepper, M., P. Doughty, and J. S. Keogh. 2013. Geodiversity and endemism in the iconic Australian Pilbara region: a review of landscape evolution and biotic response in an ancient refugium. *J. Biogeogr.* 40:1225–1239.
- Pepper, M., M. K. Fujita, C. Moritz, and J. S. Keogh. 2011. Palaeoclimate change drove diversification among isolated mountain refugia in the Australian arid zone. *Mol. Ecol.* 20:1529–1545.
- Pérez-Ponce de León, G. and R. Poulin. 2016. Taxonomic distribution of cryptic diversity among metazoans: not so homogeneous after all. *Biol. Lett.* 12:20160371.
- Pianka, E. R. and H. D. Pianka. 1976. Comparative ecology of twelve species of nocturnal lizards (Gekkonidae) in the Western Australian desert. *Copeia* 1976:125–142.
- Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, D. Sarkar, and R Core Team. 2015. *nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects models*. Available at <http://cran.r-project.org/package=nlme>.
- Poe, S., A. N.-M. de Oca, O. Torres-Carvajal, K. de Queiroz, J. A. Velasco, B. Truett, L. N. Gray, M. J. Ryan, G. Köhler, F. Ayala-Varela, and I. Latella. 2018. Comparative evolution of an archetypal adaptive radiation: innovation and opportunity in *Anolis* lizards. *Am. Nat.* 191:E000–E000.
- Purvis, A. 2008. Phylogenetic approaches to the study of extinction. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 39:301–319.
- R Core Development Team. 2015. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at <http://www.r-project.org/>.

- Rabosky, D. L., S. C. Donnellan, M. Grundler, and I. J. Lovette. 2014. Analysis and visualization of complex macroevolutionary dynamics: an example from Australian scincid lizards. *Syst. Biol.* 63:610–627.
- Ranwez, V., S. Harispe, F. Delsuc, and E. J. P. Douzery. 2011. MACSE: Multiple Alignment of Coding SEquences accounting for frameshifts and stop codons. *PLOS ONE* 6:e22594.
- Ree, R. H., S. A. Smith, and A. Baker. 2008. Maximum likelihood inference of geographic range evolution by dispersal, local extinction, and cladogenesis. *Syst. Biol.* 57:4–14.
- Revell, L. J. 2012. Phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 3:217–223.
- Revell, L. J., M. A. Johnson, J. A. Schulte, 2nd, J. J. Kolbe, and J. B. Losos. 2007. A phylogenetic test for adaptive convergence in rock-dwelling lizards. *Evolution* 61:2898–2912.
- Ronquist, F. 1997. Dispersal-vicariance analysis: a new approach to the quantification of historical biogeography. *Syst. Biol.* 46:195–203.
- Rundell, R. J. and T. D. Price. 2009. Adaptive radiation, nonadaptive radiation, ecological speciation and nonecological speciation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24:394–399.
- Schluter, D. 2000. *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Singhal, S. 2013. De novo transcriptomic analyses for non-model organisms: an evaluation of methods across a multi-species data set. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 13:403–416.
- Singhal, S. and C. Moritz. 2013. Reproductive isolation between phylogeographic lineages scales with divergence. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 280:20132246.
- Sistrom, M., S. C. Donnellan, and M. N. Hutchinson. 2013. Delimiting species in recent radiations with low levels of morphological divergence: a case study in Australian *Gehyra* geckos. *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 68:135–143.

- Sistrom, M., D. L. Edwards, S. Donnellan, and M. Hutchinson. 2012. Morphological differentiation correlates with ecological but not with genetic divergence in a *Gehyra* gecko. *J. Evol. Biol.* 25:647–660.
- Sistrom, M., M. Hutchinson, T. Bertozzi, and S. Donnellan. 2014. Evaluating evolutionary history in the face of high gene tree discordance in Australian *Gehyra* (Reptilia: Gekkonidae). *Heredity* 113:52–63.
- Sistrom, M. J., M. N. Hutchinson, R. G. Hutchinson, and S. C. Donnellan. 2009. Molecular phylogeny of Australian *Gehyra* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) and taxonomic revision of *Gehyra variegata* in south-eastern Australia. *Zootaxa* 2277:14–32.
- Smith, B. T., J. E. McCormack, A. M. Cuervo, M. J. Hickerson, A. Aleixo, C. D. Cadena, J. Pérez-Emán, C. W. Burney, X. Xie, M. G. Harvey, B. C. Faircloth, T. C. Glenn, E. P. Derryberry, J. Prejean, S. Fields, and R. T. Brumfield. 2014. The drivers of tropical speciation. *Nature* 515:406–409.
- Sniderman, J. M., J. D. Woodhead, J. Hellstrom, G. J. Jordan, R. N. Drysdale, J. J. Tyler, and N. Porch. 2016. Pliocene reversal of late Neogene aridification. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 113:1999–2004.
- Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313.
- Stayton, C. T. 2005. Morphological evolution of the lizard skull: a geometric morphometrics survey. *J. Morphol.* 263:47–59.
- Storr, G. M., L. A. Smith, and R. E. Johnstone. 1990. Lizards of Western Australia III: geckos and pygopods. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Struck, T. H., J. L. Feder, M. Bendiksbj, S. Birkeland, J. Cerca, V. I. Gusarov, S. Kistenich, K.-H. Larsson, L. H. Liow, M. D. Nowak, B. Stedje, L. Bachmann, and D. Dimitrov.


2018. Finding evolutionary processes hidden in cryptic species. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 33:153–163.
- Sukumaran, J. and L. L. Knowles. 2017. Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:1607–1612.
- Tonione, M. A., R. N. Fisher, C. Zhu, and C. Moritz. 2016. Deep divergence and structure in the Tropical Oceanic Pacific: a multilocus phylogeography of a widespread gekkonid lizard (Squamata: Gekkonidae: *Gehyra oceanica*). *J. Biogeogr.* 43:268–278.
- Vitt, L. J., P. A. Caldwell, P. Zani, and T. A. Titus. 1997. The role of habitat shift in the evolution of lizards morphology: evidence from tropical Tropicurines. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94:3828–3832.
- Wilson, S. and G. Swan. 2013. *A complete guide to reptiles of Australia*. New Holland Publishers, Australia.
- Yang, Z. 2015. The BPP program for species tree estimation and species delimitation. *Curr. Zool.* 61:854–865.
- Yoder, J. B., E. Clancey, S. Des Roches, J. M. Eastman, L. Gentry, W. Godsoe, T. J. Hagey, D. Jochimsen, B. P. Oswald, J. Robertson, B. A. J. Sarver, J. J. Schenk, S. F. Spear, and L. J. Harmon. 2010. Ecological opportunity and the origin of adaptive radiations. *J. Evol. Biol.* 23:1581–1596.

**Table 1** Mean rates of diversification and morphological evolution of the *Gehyra variegata* group estimated using the StarBEAST2 species tree.

Grouping	DR statistic (lineages/My) with 95% CI	Morphological evolution rate ( $\sigma^2$ /My)
<i>variegata</i> group	0.301 (0.133–0.626)	N/A
AMT taxa	0.231 (0.136–0.349)	9.14E-5
Central AAZ taxa	0.377 (0.104–0.676)	1.84E-4
Pilbara taxa	0.312 (0.146–0.491)	1.11E-4
Rock-dwellers	0.281 (0.125–0.545)	1.14E-4
Generalists	0.375 (0.144–0.676)	1.41E-4

*Figure legends*


**Fig. 1** Locations of *Gehyra variegata* group samples used for exon capture phylogenomics (listed in Table S1). The top left pane shows Australia, with the Australian monsoonal tropics (AMT) and Australian arid zone (AAZ) biomes coloured blue and orange, respectively. Panes 1–3 zoom in on western, northern, and southern Australia, with the *Gehyra* samples coloured by lineage (as per the key on the right).



**Fig. 2** *Gehyra* phylogenies inferred using (A) RAxML concatenation of 547 loci, and (B) ASTRAL summary species tree method with 499 loci. Branch supports are maximum likelihood bootstrap values, and the RAxML scale bar is nucleotide sequence change per My. The RAxML tree is coloured by habitat type and main clades are labelled as follows: (i)




itself has six groups: (a) *purpurascens-einasleighensis*, (b.1) *variegataC2*, (c) the *punctata B* clade, (b.2) *variegataC1*, (d) the *punctata A* clade, and (e) the *variegata* clade..




**Fig. 4** *Gehyra variegata* group ancestral state estimation analyses using BioGeoBEARS, under the best-fitting model on the StarBEAST2 species tree. Scale bars are in Mya. (A) Geographic range analysis under the DEC model: the AMT is blue, the central AAZ is

orange, and the Pilbara (western AAZ) is red. (B) Biome analysis under the  $M_k$  model: the AMT is blue and the AAZ is orange. (C) Habitat analysis under the  $M_k$  model: rock-dwelling is red and generalist is green.



**Fig. 5** Phylomorphospace plots showing divergence in body shape (A–C: rPC1–3, head and foreleg dimensions) against body size (log SVL). *Gehyra* lineages are coloured by habitat type (red = rock-dwellers, green = generalists), with the StarBEAST2 phylogeny as

connecting lines. (A) Three pairs of lineages are circled in orange (small-bodied on the left, large-bodied on the right) to highlight the body size disparity within the *purpurascens-einasleighensis* clade, the *nana* clade (*G. granulum* vs. *G. occidentalis*), and the *punctata B* clade (*G. punctataB1* vs. *G. punctataB3*). The termite mound specialist *G. pilbara* is circled in blue to highlight its unusually short head.



**Fig. 6** Divergence of size-corrected (A) snout depth and (B) head depth between rock-dwelling and generalist *Gehyra* lineages. Asterisks indicate statistical significance ( $p$  value 0.01–0.001).

