Vascular Dysfunction in Alzheimer’s Disease – a Biomarker of Disease Progression and a Potential Therapeutic Target
Vascular dysfunction in Alzheimer’s disease: a biomarker of disease progression and a potential therapeutic target

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder and the predominant form of dementia. Since its initial description by Alois Alzheimer in 1906, several advances have been made in our understanding of the progression of the disease and its clinical consequences, yet the underlying etiology remains contentious. Given the stereotyped patterns of cortical and hippocampal neuronal loss and the progressive degeneration of key neurotransmitter pathways, research has traditionally been focused on factors affecting neuronal viability, including the contribution of glial dysfunction to neuronal degeneration. From a clinical perspective, the fruits of this work have been underwhelming. Key pathological markers of the disease, including β-amyloid (Aβ) plaque formation and tau hyperphosphorylation, have yielded no effective therapies, highlighted by the recent discontinuations of several high profile Aβ immunotherapy trials. The few current symptomatic therapies for AD are predicated on the amelioration of cholinergic or glutamatergic dysfunction. Aside from underscoring the inadequacy of current therapeutic approaches, this also points to the importance of alternative contributors to AD pathogenesis. In recent years, there has been a growing appreciation for the multimodal and multifactorial nature of the condition; the case for combinatorial therapies is thus strong.

Vascular dysfunction is a well attested feature of AD, presenting in subtle ways prior to the onset of clinical symptoms and contributing significantly to the disease from the earliest stages (Govindapani et al., 2019). Aside from their role as regulators of neuronal-vascular coupling to meet dynamic energy requirements in the brain, cerebral vascular cells are being increasingly recognized as support cells in their own right. Indeed, the cerebral vasculature represents a key system in the modulation of cerebral function, immunity and homeostasis and its dysfunction has severe consequences for local and global cerebral health. Vascular dysfunction in AD has been linked to the development of classical pathology like Aβ plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, but vascular cells may themselves exert direct effects on their surrounding milieu in disease, releasing neurotoxic factors, altering the local inflammatory environment and contributing to the pathological remodeling of neuronal and glial networks. Vascular alterations in AD were recognized in older studies at the macrostructural level, but current research is highlighting more microstructural, molecular and biochemical disturbances which may provide promising targets for drug development. Several related “vascular hypotheses” of AD have been proposed, seeking to place vascular dysfunction at the centre of the constellation of deficits observed in the disease. The very early onset of vascular dysfunction in the preclinical stage of AD indeed suggests the potential for a causative role rather than a passive contribution to disease pathogenesis. A stronger focus on the vascular component in the development and progression of AD may thus contribute to our understanding of the early pathogenesis of the disease and open a pathway towards disease modifying therapies or therapies that slow disease progression.

One interesting development in this area has been the discovery of vascular receptor and transport systems that closely regulate Aβ transport and removal in the brain. Therapies attempting to target Aβ deposition directly, including Aβ immunotherapies, have not been successful and are in some cases poorly tolerated by patients. The existence of an endogenous system for vascular Aβ removal raises the potential for therapies that upregulate or co-opt the function of existing systems. Low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP-1) is a key component of this system, binding free parenchymal Aβ and transporting it into the systemic circulation for removal. The receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) functions in turn to transport Aβ across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and into the brain parenchyma (Figure 1). Neuronal LRP-1 and RAGE expression and their alterations in AD have been well characterized, but the contribution of vascular LRP-1 and RAGE to AD pathogenesis has been poorly explored. These systems are known to be present and functional in multiple vascular cell types, including endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes. Vascular LRP-1 downregulation has been reported in AD patients and AD mouse models. There is evidence that Aβ at high concentrations can enhance proteasomal LRP-1 degradation and LRP-1 downregulation has been shown to reduce Aβ clearance across the BBB (Deane et al., 2004). In addition, the Aβ40 isoform has a higher affinity for LRP-1 than Aβ42, potentially resulting in reduced Aβ40 clearance and increased parenchymal deposition (Deane et al., 2004). The pharmacological inhibition or genetic knock-down of vascular LRP-1 in APP/BI mice (Zhao et al., 2015) leads to a reduction in the emergence or worsening of amyloid pathology in the parenchyma and an increase in cerebral amyloid angiopathy (Kanekiyo et al., 2012). On the other hand, supplementation with soluble LRP or re-combinant LRP fragments on the luminal side is a possible strategy for increasing soluble Aβ efflux across the BBB. LRP-1 upregulation may be achievable in the future through pharmacological modulation or gene therapy, but the viability of this approach remains to be determined. Studies have reported upregulated vascular RAGE expression in the AD microvasculature, particularly in Aβ-rich regions, and RAGE inhibition appears to have beneficial effects in aged APP+/- mice, reducing Aβ accumulation, normalising perfusion deficits and improving cognitive function (Deane et al., 2012). This system is relatively unexplored in the context of human AD and could be a promising avenue in the development of combinatorial therapies targeting Aβ accumulation in patients with early AD. Phosphatidylinositol-binding clathrin assembly protein (PICALM) plays an important role in the LRP-1-mediated BBB transcytosis of Aβ, and microvascular PICALM levels are reduced in late-stage AD. In endothelial monolayers from AD brains, this is associated with reduced internalisation and clearance of Aβ, and PICALM knockdown also appears to worsen cerebral Aβ pathology in APP+/- mice (Zhao et al., 2015). Compensation for AD-related PICALM deficiency could thus be a valid therapeutic strategy to promote Aβ clearance. The recently-discovered glymphatic (glial + lymphatic) pathway may provide an alternative route for Aβ flushing from the interstitium into the periarterial space, where it may interact with BBB clearance mechanisms to promote removal (Iliff et al., 2012). The interaction between the glymphatic system and vascular Aβ transport may be a promising area for future investigation. Vascular cells also contribute directly to Aβ catabolism, with Aβ-degrading enzymes like neprilysin and insulin-degrading enzyme being expressed by the endothelium. The genetic or epigenetic modulation of neprilysin expression in vascular cells and neurons has been proposed as a potential strategy for Aβ removal. The role of Aβ itself as a vasoactive factor and modulator of BBB integrity lends further support to vascular Aβ accumulation as a potential therapeutic target alongside parenchymal deposition. Indeed, the mechanisms underlying parenchymal and vascular plaque formation may differ and involve alternative Aβ species.

In addition to their role in Aβ clearance, vascular cells may themselves be vulnerable to Aβ-induced dysfunction. Several studies have demonstrated the potential for direct Aβ toxicity in vascular cells. In addition to this, Aβ has the potential to interact with receptor systems on several cell types, including vascular cells, to initiate deleterious responses. Aβ has recently been shown to inhibit the activity and reduce the expression of AT1-binding cassette transporters in cerebral endothelial cells. These transporters are involved
in the active transport of several compounds across the endothelial membrane, including lipids, cytokines, glucocorticoids and even Aβ$_{42}$ itself. Thus, the Aβ$_{42}$-induced downregulation of ATP-binding cassette transporters in AD could contribute to both deficits in Aβ$_{42}$ clearance and BBB dysfunction (Shuibby and Penny, 2018). Aβ$_{42}$ oligomers have also been shown to bind to calcium-sensing receptors (CaSR) on astrocytes, microglia and oligodendrocytes, stimulating the synthesis and release of nitric oxide, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), pro-inflammatory cytokines and more Aβ$_{42}$, thus further exacerbating the pathological changes seen in neurovascular units (NIVs) and possibly vasculo-toxic and possibly vasculo-toxic environmental (Chiariti et al., 2016).

The contribution of localized perfusion deficits and hypometabolism to early AD pathogenesis has been demonstrated in several studies, and the stereotypical evolution of these deficits could represent a biomarker of disease onset and progression. Interestingly, such changes have been shown to begin preclinically and are potentially measurable with standard medical imaging modalities such as arterial spin labeling (ASL) imaging. There is growing evidence that inhibitors of vascular activation such as sunitinib can improve cerebral microvascular function in AD patients (Patel et al., 2010). This could point to VEGF supplementation or stimulation as a viable therapeutic strategy in reducing vascular dysfunction and promoting re-vascularisation in AD, as well as in addressing AD-associated changes in vascular inflammatory signalling. On the other hand, anti-angiogenic factors like tyrosine kinase inhibitors that inhibit VEGF receptors have been shown to reduce amyloid burden and cognitive deficits in AD mouse models (Grammas et al., 2014). Some studies have reported heightened VEGF levels in perivascular astrocytes and other cell types in AD. This is likely linked to astrocytic CaSR stimulation by Aβ$_{42}$ oligomers, and this VEGF overexpression may have detrimental effects for both neuronal and vascular health (Dal Prà et al., 2014).

Focal reductions in blood flow may contribute to AD pathogenesis not just by altering metabolic conditions, but by reducing the vascular-mediated clearance of Aβ and other deleterious agents. Aβ$_{42}$ accumulation has consequences not just for parenchymal plaque formation but for the development of cerebral amyloid angiopathy (Figure 1), which further impairs vascular contractility and neurovascular coupling. This suggests vasoactive modulation as a potential means of counteracting such changes at an early stage of disease pathogenesis. Several current symptomatic treatments for AD may involve a vascular modulatory component, but this has not been well studied. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors have been shown to alter regional blood flow in the AD brain and this may contribute to the observed amelioration of disease symptoms. Other neurotransmitter systems, including the glutamatergic, gamma-aminobutyric acidergic, noradrenergic and serotonergic systems, have been implicated in the complex regulation of neurovascular coupling, and there may be value in investigating the therapeutic potential of these systems in this regard. The dysfunction of these neurotransmitter systems in the AD brain may also have consequences for cerebral perfusion in the disease. Other vasoactive modulators targeting adenosine, histamine, prostaglandin, neuropeptide and purinergic receptors, for example, may also be of utility here, as might the supplementation or modulation of endothelium-released vasoactive agents like nitric oxide, endothelin and arachidonic acid metabolites. Needless to say, this is an area that presents significant scope for therapeutic investigation.

A major paradigm in recent years has been the involvement of the vasculature in neuroinflammatory modulation, with both endothelial cells and pericytes being identified as mediators of neuroinflammation. Not only do cerebral vascular cells respond to severe inflammatory cytokines, but they release a repertoire of these agents themselves. There is thus a vascular contribution to local inflammatory environments in the brain, and the local inflammatory state in turn has a significant influence on vascular health and function. The utility of anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of AD has been controversial, with the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs being variously reported to reduce the risk of AD or to have no measurable effect in patient trials. However, there is evidence that inhibitors of vascular activation such as sunitinib can attenuate the vascular expression of pro-inflammatory mediators, reduce vascular Aβ$_{42}$ burden and improve cognitive function in AD transgenic mice as well as protect rat brain endothelial cells from oxidative damage in vitro (Grammas et al., 2014). The therapeutic targeting of vascular inflammatory pathways may thus be a valid adjunct to glial immunomodulation in AD.

Another intriguing feature of AD is the widespread occurrence of angiogenic remodeling, often involving a combination of pathological vascular regression and aberrant neoangiogenesis. This is in part mediated by the accumulation of morphological changes in vascular networks, including “wicker-like” networks of new vessels and abnormal sprouting, as well as the formation of string vessels, likely as a result of both sprout regression and vascular degeneration (Govindpani et al., 2019). This could potentially have consequences for the hemodynamics of the system as well as the integrity of the BBB, which is significantly impaired in AD. The dysregulation of angiogenic factors has indeed been demonstrated in human AD brain where the pro-angiogenic factor VEGF appears to be a general downregulation in VEGF, an important anti-angiogenic factor, in the capillaries of the brainstem, hippocampus and temporal cortex. VEGF also functions as an important mediator of vascular inflammation. Aβ$_{42}$ has been shown to play a direct role in reducing the functional binding of VEGF to VEGF receptor-2 on endothelial cells (Patel et al., 2010). This could point to VEGF supplementation or stimulation as a viable therapeutic strategy in preventing vascular degeneration and its sequelae in AD. The VEGF receptor is induced by hypoxia and Aβ$_{42}$ pathology in the disease, but there is a clear potential for therapeutic development.

In conclusion, there is significant evidence for a vascular contribution to AD, with vascular impairment occurring and worsening at every stage of the disease process. However, little attention has been paid to cerebrovascular pathology as a therapeutically-relevant target for drug design. Indeed, the literature presents an extensive and diverse set of vascular processes affected in the disease that remain relatively unexplored from a therapeutic perspective. Thus, the incorporation of vascular-targeted treatments into combinatorial therapies could be a promising approach in improving or supplementing current AD therapeutic approaches.
Figure 1 The neurovascular unit in Alzheimer’s disease.

The neurovascular unit is the primary structural and functional unit for vascular organization in the mammalian brain. It is comprised of several cell types and non-cell components including endothelial cells, perivascular pericytes, vascular smooth muscle cells, basal lamina, and closely associated astrocyte end-feet and interneuron terminals. Cerebral endothelial cells associate via tight junctions to form a selectively permeable BBB. Alzheimer’s disease is associated with dysfunction across the vasculature, including cell morphological changes and mortality, inflammatory activation, altered expression of receptors and transporters involved in Aβ clearance, the deposition of Aβ as vascular plaques (CAA), alterations in vascular tone, dysregulated endothelial junction formation and aberrant increases in BBB permeability. Therefore, there are several potential vascular targets for therapeutic intervention in Alzheimer’s disease. Aβ: β-Amyloid; APP: amyloid precursor protein; BBB: blood-brain barrier; CAA: cerebral amyloid angiopathy; LRP-1: lipoprotein-related protein-1; RAGE: glycation end-products.
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