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Abstract

The spectrum of the perturbed shift operator $T$, $T : f(n) \rightarrow f(n + 1) + a(n)f(n)$, in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is considered for $a(n)$ taking a finite set of values. It is proven that if all values of the function $a(n)$ have uniform frequencies on $\mathbb{Z}$ then the essential part of the spectrum is continuous and fills a lemniscate.
1 Introduction

In this paper we determine conditions which should be imposed on a function \( a : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) in such a way that the spectrum of the perturbed shift operator

\[
T : f(n) \to f(n + 1) + a(n)f(n),
\]

acting on the space of sequences \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \), fills a generalized lemniscate. The case of periodic function \( a(n) \) was considered in [9] and [8]. Here we deal with the general case. In particular, we allow deformations of the periodic function \( a(n) \) with rare layout along the axis \( \mathbb{Z} \).

1.1 Lemniscate

The essential part of the spectrum of the operator considered here lies on a lemniscate.

**Definition 1.** (see [4]) Let \( \{z_s\}_{s=1}^{N} \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a finite set of distinct complex points and let \( \{\alpha_s\}_{s=1}^{N} \) be a set of positive numbers such that \( \sum_{s=1}^{N} \alpha_s = 1 \). For a given \( r > 0 \) the level curve \( l_r \) of the function

\[
p(z) := \prod_{s=1}^{N} |z - z_s|^{\alpha_s}, \tag{1.1}
\]

is said to be a generalized lemniscate, that is

\[
l_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z) = r\}.
\]

The points \( z_s \) are foci of the lemniscate \( l_r \).

In what follows we will drop the word "generalized" and put \( l := l_1 \).

Some properties of the lemniscate can be deduced from the maximum modulus principal for subharmonic function:

1) the lemniscate separates each point \( z_k \) from infinity;
2) no point of the lemniscate \( l_r \) can lie interior to a Jordan curve consisting wholly of the points of \( l_r \);
3) each such Jordan curve must contain inside at least one point \( z_k \);
4) the lemniscate \( l_r \) consists of a finite number \( M \) of bounded Jordan curves, \( 1 \leq M \leq N \).

For rational \( \alpha_s \) we obtain the classical definition of lemniscate (see [10], [7]). Thus, the lemniscate with equation \( |z^2 - 1| = r^2 \) is called the Cassini oval, or, in the case \( r = 1 \) the Bernoulli lemniscate (which looks like the "infinity"-sign \( \infty \)).

The lemniscate actually can be a rather intricate curve. In 1897 D. Hilbert had showed (see [5]) that for any bounded simply connected domain \( G \) and for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a connected lemniscate \( l_r \subset G \) such that the boundary \( \partial G \) lies in the \( \varepsilon \)-neighborhood of \( l_r \), and \( l_r \) lies in the \( \varepsilon \)-neighborhood of \( \partial G \):

\[
\partial G \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{dist}(z, l_r) < \varepsilon\}, \quad l_r \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{dist}(z, \partial G) < \varepsilon\}.
\]
Hilbert meant a "classical" lemniscate with rational powers \( \alpha \). The extension of Hilbert’s theorem to the general case of unbounded multiply connected domains with a compact compliment one can find in [10].

## 1.2 Shift operator.

Let \( f(n) \) be a sequence, \( f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \), and \( S \) the shift operator, i.e.,

\[
(Sf)(n) = f(n+1), \ n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Then \( S \) is a unitary operator on the space

\[
\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ f : |f|^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(n)|^2 < \infty \right\},
\]

and its spectrum \( \sigma(S) \) coincides with the unit circle, which is the simplest lemniscate, \( \sigma(S) = \{|z| = 1\} \). Further, let \( a \) be a multiplication operator on a function \( a(n) : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \), and \( T := S + a \) the perturbed shift, i.e.,

\[
(Tf)(n) = f(n+1) + a(n)f(n), \ n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

In the paper [9] (see also [8]) it is shown that for a periodic function \( a(n) \) \( (a(n+m) = a(n), \ n \in \mathbb{Z}) \) the spectrum \( \sigma(T) \) fills a lemniscate and the set of foci of the lemniscate is exactly the set of values of the function \( a(n) \). Moreover, all powers \( \alpha_s \) in (1.1) are rational numbers and \( p(z) \) is a fractional power of the modulus of a polynomial \( \mathcal{P}(z) \). The set of roots of the polynomial is a range of values of the function \( a(n) \), that is

\[
p(z) = |\mathcal{P}(z)|^{1/m}.
\]

We will consider the similar case when the function \( a \) has a finite range of values \( \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\} \) and every value \( a_s \) is met in the sequence \( \{a(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \) with a uniform “frequency” \( \alpha_s \geq 0 \), \( \sum_{s=1}^{N} \alpha_s = 1 \). Here we allow rare allocation of some values of the function \( a \), that is \( \alpha_s = 0 \).

## 2 Main theorem

Let \( a(n) \) be a function on \( \mathbb{Z} \) with a finite range of values, i.e.,

\[
a : \mathbb{Z} \to \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\} \subset \mathbb{C}.
\]

Denote by \( m_s(n,j) \) the number of integer \( t \) from the interval \([n, n+j]\) such that \( a(t) = a_s \), i.e.,

\[
m_s(n,j) := \# \{ t \in [n, n+j] : a(t) = a_s \}; \ s = 1, 2, \ldots, N; \ n \in \mathbb{Z}; \ j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]
It is clear that
\[ \sum_{s=1}^{N} m_s(n, j) = j + 1. \] (2.1)

To each number \( a_s \) we couple non-negative number \( \alpha_s \geq 0 \) which has a meaning of frequency of occurrence of the number \( a_s \) in the sequence \( \{a(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \). More precisely, we suppose that
\[ \sum_{s=1}^{N} \alpha_s = 1, \]
and
\[ m_s(n, j) = \alpha_s j + \beta_s(n, j); \ j \geq 0; \ 1 \leq s \leq N; \] (2.2)
where \( \beta_s(n, j) = o(j) \), and \( \beta_s(n - j, j) = o(j) \) as \( j \to \infty \).

The small functions \( \beta_s(n, j) \) can have both positive and negative values. However, if \( \alpha_s = 0 \) then \( \beta_s(n, j) = m_s(n, j) \geq 0 \). Furthermore, we have
\[ 0 \leq m_s(n, j) \leq j + 1, \]
thus
\[ -\alpha_s j \leq \beta_s(n, j) \leq j + 1, \]
and in particular,
\[ \max_{s,n} |\beta_s(n, j)| \leq j + 1. \]

Finally, it follows from (2.1) that \( \sum_{s=1}^{N} \beta_s(n, j) = 1 \).

**Definition 2.** A function \( a(n): \mathbb{Z} \to \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\} \) such that conditions (2.2) are fulfilled is said to be of class

1) \( \mathcal{A} \), if quotients \( \beta_s(n, j)/j \) tend to zero uniformly with respect to \( n \) as \( j \) goes to infinity, i.e.
\[ \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{j} \max_{s,n} |\beta_s(n, j)| \right) = 0; \] (2.3)

2) \( \mathcal{B} \), if \( \beta_s(n, j) \) are uniformly bounded, i.e.
\[ D := \max_{s,n,j} |\beta_s(n, j)| < \infty. \] (2.4)

Note that according to (2.4) any number \( a_s \) with \( \alpha_s = 0 \) appears in the sequence \( \{a(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \) not more then \( D \) times. It is clear that \( \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A} \). All the functions \( a \) considered in \cite{9} and \cite{8} belong to the class \( \mathcal{B} \).

For a given function \( a \) of the class \( \mathcal{A} \) we put
\[ p(z) = p_a(z) := \prod_{s: \alpha_s \neq 0} |z - a_s|^{\alpha_s}. \] (2.5)

Denote by \( \tau(a) \) the union of the lemniscate \( l = \{z : p(z) = 1\} \) and the set of those points \( a_s \) which are external to \( l \), i.e.
\[ \tau(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z) = 1\} \cup \{a_s : p(a_s) > 1\}. \]
Now we are able to formulate our main result.

**Theorem.** Let \(a \in \mathcal{A}\). Then:

1) the open set \(\mathbb{C} \setminus \tau(a)\) is contained in the resolvent set of the operator \(T\);
2) if \(a \in \mathcal{B}\), then
   
i) the spectrum \(\sigma(T)\) of the operator \(T\) coincides with the closed set \(\tau(a)\), i.e. \(\sigma(T) = \tau(a)\); moreover, there exist positive numbers \(\delta, C_1\) and \(C_2\) such that the estimate
   \[
   \frac{C_1}{|p(z) - 1|} \leq \|(T - z)^{-1}\|, \quad z \in U_\delta \setminus l,
   \]
   holds in the neighborhood \(U_\delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - \delta \leq p(z) \leq 1 + \delta\}\) of the lemniscate \(l\)
   
ii) if no point as lies on \(l\) then we have
   \[
   \|(T - z)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C_2}{|p(z) - 1|}, \quad z \in U_\delta \setminus l.
   \]

3 Proof of the theorem

In the first part of the proof we will represent the resolvent of the operator \(T\) via generalized Jacobi interpolation series \([10]\) (see also \([2], [6]\)). Then estimates of the resolvent norm in a neighborhood of the corresponding lemniscate will be proved.

3.1 Jacobi series for the resolvent

In order to describe the spectrum \(\sigma(T)\) of the operator \(T\) we construct the resolvent \((T - z)^{-1}\). To do this on the Hilbert space \(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\) we need to consider the next nonhomogeneous equation:

\[
(T - z)f = g, \quad g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}).
\]  
(3.1)

We are looking for the values of the parameter \(z\) such that for any \(g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\) there exists a solution \(f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\). In a more detailed appearance, the equation (3.1) looks as follows:

\[
f(n + 1) + (a(n) - z)f(n) = g(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]  
(3.2)

So, we deal with a linear nonhomogeneous difference equation of the first order \([1]\).

Let us consider the next polynomial:

\[
P(n, j; z) := \prod_{k=0}^{j} (z - a(n + k)); \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]  
(3.3)

Then

\[
P(n - j, j - 1; z) = \prod_{k=1}^{j} (z - a(n - k)); \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \]

(3.4)
and

\[ P(n, j + 1; z) = P(n, j; z)(z - a(n + j + 1)), \]

\[ P(n, j; z) = (z - a(n))P(n + 1, j - 1; z), \]

\[ |P(n, j; z)| = p(z)^j B(n, j; z), \]

where

\[ B(n, j; z) := \prod_{i=1}^{N} |z - a_i|^{\beta_i(n, j)}; \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \quad (3.5) \]

For a given \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \tau(a) \) and for any finite sequence \( g = g(n) \) we define a sequence \( V_g(n, z) \):

\[ V_g(n, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n+j)}{P(n, j; z)}, \quad \text{if} \quad z : p(z) > 1, \quad z \notin \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{N}, \quad (3.6) \]

i.e., the point \( z \) belongs to the exterior of the lemniscate \( l \) and coincides with neither of the values of the function \( a(n) \);

\[ V_g(n, z) := g(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(n-j-1)P(n-j, j-1; z), \quad \text{if} \quad z : p(z) < 1, \quad (3.7) \]

i.e., the point \( z \) belongs to the interior of the lemniscate \( l \).

We will show that the sequence \( V_g \) is a solution to the nonhomogeneous equation (3.1) and \( V_g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) for \( g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Convergence of series (3.6) and (3.7) for any \( g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) follows from the next lemma:

**Lemma 1.** For any number \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \tau(a) \) there exists a constant \( C(z) \) such that for every finite sequence \( g = g(n) \) the following inequality holds:

\[ ||V_g(z)|| \leq C(z)||g||. \]

**Proof.** Let us consider points \( z \), distinguished by their layout relative to the lemniscate.

**Case 1.** The point \( z \) belongs to the exterior of the lemniscate \( l \) and coincides with neither of values of the function \( a(n) \), that is \( z : p(z) > 1, \quad z \notin \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \).

Let the indicated point \( z \) be fixed. Let us estimate \( |V_g(n)| \). Due to the definition of \( p(z) \) in (2.5), and taking into account (2.2), (3.3), (3.5), by the Cauchy inequality we obtain:

\[ |V_g(n)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|g(n+j)|}{|P(n, j; z)|} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|g(n+j)|}{p(z)^jB(n, j; z)} \]

\[ \leq \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(z)^jB(n, j; z)^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|g(n+j)|^2}{p(z)^j} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.8) \]
To estimate the first factor, we put:

$$K = K(z) := \max_s \max \{|z - a_s|, |z - a_s|^{-1}\}.$$  

It is clear that $K > 1$, and since $z \notin \{a_s\}_{s=1}^N$ we have $K < \infty$. It follows from (2.3) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $J$ such that for any $j > J$ uniformly with respect to $s$ and $n$ the following inequality holds

$$|\beta_s(n, j)| < \varepsilon j.$$  

Choosing such $J$ we get the next finite number

$$C_1(z) := \max_n \sum_{j=0}^J \frac{1}{p(z)^j B(n, j; z)^2}.$$  

Since

$$\frac{1}{|z - a_s|^{2\beta_s(n, j)}} \leq K^{2\varepsilon j},$$

we can estimate the first factor in the last part of (3.8) in the following way

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{p(z)^j B(n, j; z)^2} \leq C_1(z) + \sum_{j=J+1}^\infty \frac{K^{2\varepsilon j N}}{p(z)^j} = C_1(z) + \sum_{j=J+1}^\infty \left( \frac{K^{2\varepsilon N}}{p(z)} \right)^j.$$  

We know that $p(z) > 1$ and we first choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(z) < 1$ such that

$$K^{2\varepsilon N} = (1 - \varepsilon)p(z).$$

Then we can find an appropriate number $J = J(z)$. So, we get the estimations

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{p(z)^j B(n, j; z)^2} \leq C_1(z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon(z)},$$

and

$$|V_g(n)|^2 \leq C_2(z) \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{|g(n + j)|^2}{p(z)^j},$$

with

$$C_2(z) := C_1(z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon(z)}.$$  

Further:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |V_g(n)|^2 \leq C_2(z) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{|g(n + j)|^2}{p(z)^j} = C_2(z) \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{p(z)^j} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(n + j)|^2.$$
\[ = C_2(z) \|g\|^2 \frac{1}{1 - 1/p(z)} = C_2(z) \frac{p(z)}{p(z) - 1} \|g\|^2. \]

Finally putting

\[ C(z)^2 = C_2(z) \frac{p(z)}{p(z) - 1} \]

we obtain the required estimation.

**Case 2.** The point \( z \) belongs to the interior of the lemniscates \( l \) and coincides with neither of its foci, i.e. \( z : p(z) < 1, \ z \notin \{a_s : \alpha_s > 0\}_{s=1}^N \).

Let the indicated point \( z \) be fixed. Using the inequality \((a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)\) we obtain:

\[ |V_n(g)|^2 \leq 2|g(n - 1)|^2 + 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(n - j - 1)||P(n - j, j - 1; z)| \right)^2. \quad (3.9) \]

Taking into account (2.2) and (3.4), we can present \(|P(n - j, j - 1; z)|\) in (3.9) as a product of terms \( |z - a_s| \) where \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), of two types: the first type contains foci of the lemniscate, i.e. such \( a_s \) that \( \alpha_s > 0 \), and the second one contains \( a_s \) with \( \alpha_s = 0 \). So, we need only upper estimations of the factors \( |z - a_s| \) with \( \alpha_s = 0 \). By

\[ |P(n - j, j - 1; z)| = p(z)^{j-1}B(n - j, j - 1; z), \]

where \( B(n - j, j - 1; z) \) is the product of factors of two types mentioned above, we obtain:

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(n - j - 1)||P(n - j, j - 1; z)| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(n - j - 1)p(z)^{j-1}B(n - j, j - 1; z) \]

\[ \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(z)^{j-1}B(n - j, j - 1; z)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(n - j - 1)|^2p(z)^{j-1} \right)^{1/2}. \]

Define \( Q(z) := \max_k |z - a_k| \). Then, for any \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) the following estimation holds \( |z - a_s| \leq Q(z) \). Further, considering only foci we put \( q(z) := \min_{k: \alpha_k > 0} |z - a_k| \). Then for any \( s \) with \( \alpha_s > 0 \) the estimation is valid:

\[ |z - a_s| \geq q(z) > 0. \]

We designate the greatest of values \( Q(z) \) and \( 1/q(z) \) as \( L \):

\[ L = L(z) := \max\{Q(z), 1/q(z)\}. \]

Then, for all \( s, n, j \) it is true that

\[ |z - a_s|^{\beta_s(n - j, j - 1)} \leq L^{\beta_s(n - j, j - 1)} \]

Now by (2.3) for a fixed \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we choose \( J \) such that for any \( j > J \) we have

\[ |\beta_s(n - j, j - 1)| < \varepsilon j. \]
Thus
\[ |z - a_s|^{2\beta_s(n-j,j-1)} \leq L^{2\varepsilon j}. \]

Therefore
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(z)^{j-1} B(n-j,j-1;z)^2 \leq C_3(z) + \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} p(z)^{j-1} L^{2\varepsilon j N}, \]

with
\[ C_3(z) := \max_n \sum_{j=1}^{J} p(z)^{j-1} B(n-j,j-1;z)^2 < \infty. \]

In the case \( 0 < p(z) < 1 \) let us fix \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(z) < 1 \) such that the following condition would be fulfilled
\[ p(z) L^{2\varepsilon N} = 1 - \varepsilon. \]

Then we can find an appropriate number \( J = J(z) \). So, we obtain:
\[
\sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \frac{p(z)^{j-1} L^{2\varepsilon j N}}{p(z)^j} = \frac{1}{p(z)} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon)^j \leq \frac{1}{p(z)\varepsilon(z)}.
\]

and
\[
|V_g(n)|^2 \leq 2|g(n-1)|^2 + 2C_4(z) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(n-j-1)|^2 p(z)^{j-1},
\]

with
\[ C_4(z) := C_3(z) + \frac{1}{p(z)\varepsilon(z)}. \]

Finally
\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |V_g(n)|^2 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(n-1)|^2 + C_4(z) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |g(n-j-1)|^2 p(z)^{j-1}
\]
\[
= \|g\|^2 + C_4(z) \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} p(z)^{j-1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(n-j-1)|^2 = \|g\|^2 + \frac{C_4(z)\|g\|^2}{1 - p(z)}.
\]

So, we obtain the required estimation with
\[ C(z)^2 := 2 + 2C_4(z)/(1 - p(z)). \]

Case 3. The point \( z = z_0 \) coincides with a focus of the lemniscate, i.e. there exists a number \( t \) with \( a_t = z_0 \) and \( \alpha_t > 0 \).

We will show the existence of such number \( M \geq 1 \) that the function \( a(n) \) takes the value \( z_0 \) on the interval \([n, n + M]\). Therefore, \( P(n, M; z_0) = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Really, let the product \( P(n, j; z_0) \) be nonzero. Then
\[
m_t(n, j) = \alpha_t j + \beta_t(n, j) = 0. \tag{3.10}
\]
The relation (3.10) can be fulfilled only for the interval \([n, n + j]\) of finite length since this is equivalent to the equality:
\[
\frac{\beta_t(n, j)}{j} = -\alpha_t.
\]

The ratio in the left part of the equality tends to zero uniformly with respect to \(n\) as \(j \to \infty\). Therefore, there exists a number \(M\) such that for any \(j \geq M\) and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) the relations
\[
\left|\frac{\beta_t(n, j)}{j}\right| < \alpha_t; \quad m_t(n, j) \geq 1; \quad P(n, j; z_0) = 0;
\]
are fulfilled. The existence of the above mentioned number \(M\) is proved.

Define
\[
R := \max\{1, \max_{n,j} |P(n - j, j - 1; z_0)|\}.
\]

Then
\[
|V_g(n)| \leq |g(n - 1)| + \sum_{j=1}^{M} |g(n - j - 1)||P(n - j, j - 1; z_0)|
\]
\[
\leq |g(n - 1)| + R \sum_{j=0}^{M} |g(n - j - 1)| \leq R \sum_{j=0}^{M} |g(n - j - 1)|
\]
\[
\leq R(M + 1)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{M} |g(n - j - 1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Further
\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |V_g(n)|^2 \leq R^2(M + 1) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{M} |g(n - j - 1)|^2 = R^2(M + 1) \sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |g(n - j - 1)|^2
\]
\[
= R^2(M + 1)^2 \|g\|^2.
\]

Supposing
\[
C(z) := R(M + 1),
\]
we again obtain the required estimation. •

Extending the map \(g \to V_g\) on the space \(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\) by continuity, we obtain the following statement.

**Lemma 2.** Let \(z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \tau(a)\). Then for any \(g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\) the sequence \(f(n) := V_g(n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\), and \(f\) is a solution to the equation (3.2).

**Proof.** Let us check the second part of the assertion of the lemma by means of direct substitution of \(f(n)\) into the equation.

**Case 1.** The point \(z\) belongs to the exterior of the lemniscate \(l\) and coincides with neither of values of the function \(a(n)\), i.e. \(z : p(z) > 1, z \notin \{a(s)\}_{s=1}^{N}\). In this case we have
\[
f(n) = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n + j)}{P(n, j; z)}; \quad f(n + 1) = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n + j + 1)}{P(n + 1, j; z)}.
\]
Taking (3.4) into account, we obtain:

\[(a(n) - z)f(n) = (z - a(n))(\frac{g(n)}{P(n, 0; z)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n + j)}{P(n, j; z)}) =
\]

\[= g(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (z - a(n)) \frac{g(n + j)}{(z - a(n))P(n + 1, j - 1; z)} = g(n) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n + j + 1)}{P(n + 1, j; z)} =
\]

\[= g(n) - f(n + 1),
\]

i.e. \(f(n)\) is a solution of the equation (3.2).

**Case 2.** The point \(z\) belongs to the interior of the lemniscate \(l\) and can coincide with a focus. It was shown in the proof of Lemma 1 that if \(z \in \{a_i\}\) then the formula (3.6) contains only a finite number of items, so, \(f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\). As above we have

\[f(n) = g(n - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(n - j - 1)P(n - j, j - 1; z),
\]

\[f(n + 1) = g(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(n - j)P(n - j + 1, j - 1; z).
\]

Taking into account (3.4), we get

\[(a(n) - z)f(n) = (z - a(n))g(n - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(n - j - 1)(z - a(n))P(n - j, j - 1; z) =
\]

\[= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(n - j)P(n - j + 1, j - 1; z) = g(n) - f(n + 1),
\]

which means that \(f(n)\) is a solution of the equation (3.2).

>From Lemmas 1 and 2 we obtain representation for the resolvent on the open set \(C \setminus \tau(a)\).

**Corollary.** The resolvent of the operator \(T\) on \(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\) can be written as follows

\[((T - z)^{-1}g)(n) = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n + j)}{P(n, j; z)}, \quad (3.11)
\]

if the point \(z\) belongs to the exterior of the lemniscate \(l\) and does not coincide with a value of the function \(a(n)\), i.e. \(z : p(z) > 1, z \notin \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{N}\), and

\[((T - z)^{-1}g)(n) = g(n - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(n - j - 1)P(n - j, j - 1; z), \quad (3.12)
\]

if \(z\) belongs to the interior of the lemniscate \(l\), i.e. \(z : p(z) < 1\).
The points $a_s$ from the exterior of the lemniscate $l$ are singular points of the resolvent. Thus, the part 1) of Theorem is proved.

**Remarks.** 1) It is easy to show that if $p(a_{s_0}) > 1$ and

a) $N_0 := \inf \{ n : a(n) = a_{s_0} \} > -\infty$ then the number $z = a_{s_0}$ is an eigenvalue of the operator $T$, and the dimension of the corresponding invariant subspace coincides with the number of different values $n$ for which $a(n) = a_{s_0}$ (see [8]). Thus the operator $T$ has a Jordan one-side bounded box at the point $a_{s_0}$;

b) $N_0 = -\infty$ then the corresponding Jordan box should be two-side unbounded.

2) Let no point $a_s$ lies on $l$. Then we can choose a positive number $\delta$ such that the neighborhood $U_\delta$ is free of $a_s$. Let $a \in B$ then there exist constants $b_1$ and $b_2$ such that for any $n, j$ and $z \in U_{\delta/2}$ we have

$$b_1 \leq |B(n, j; z)| \leq b_2.$$ 

Thus, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$\| (T - z)^{-1} \| \leq \frac{C}{|p(z) - 1|}, \quad z \in U_{\delta/2} \setminus l.$$ 

This proves the statement ii) of Theorem.

### 3.2 A lower estimate of the resolvent near the lemniscate

In this section we will get a lower estimate only in the case $p(z) > 1$. The opposite case $p(z) < 1$ can be considered in a similar way.

As far as $p(z) > 1$, the formula (3.11) is valid for the resolvent. Let us apply this to the function

$$g(n) = \chi(n) := \begin{cases} 
1, & n = 0, \\
0, & n \neq 0.
\end{cases}$$

We obtain:

1) if $n \geq 1$ then $f(n) \equiv 0$;

2) if $n \leq 0$ then

$$f(n) = ((T - z)^{-1}\chi)(n) = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n+j)}{P(n, j; z)} = -\frac{1}{P(n, |n|; z)}.$$ 

Therefore, we have:

$$|f(n)| = \frac{1}{|P(n, |n|; z)|} = \frac{1}{p(z)|n|B(n, |n|; z)}, \quad n \leq 0.$$ 

We need an upper estimate for $B(n, |n|; z)$ on the set $U_{\delta/2}$, where $U_{\delta}$ is free of $a_{s}$. Note that $\beta_{s}(n, |n|; z) = m_{s}(n, |n|) \geq 0$. Denote

$$A_{1} := \max_{z \in U_{\delta/2}} \max_{s} |z - a_{s}|.$$
The sets $U_{\delta/2}$ and $\{a_{s}\}_{s=1}^{N}$ are bounded, therefore $A_1 < \infty$. In particular,

$$\max_{s: \alpha_s} |z - a_s| \leq A_1.$$ 

On the other hand closed sets $U_{\delta/2}$ and the set of foci of the lemniscate are disjoint. Thus

$$A_2 := \min_{z \in U_{\delta/2}} \max_{s: \alpha_s > 0} |z - a_s| > 0,$$

and by (2.4) we have the next estimate

$$0 \leq \max_{z \in U_{\delta/2}} B(n, |n|; z) \leq A^{DN},$$

with

$$A := \max\{A_1, A_2^{-1}, 1\}.$$ 

Thus, taking into account that $\|\chi\| = 1$, we get the necessary estimation of the resolvent norm for $z \in U_{\delta/2} \setminus l$:

$$\|(T - z)^{-1}\| \geq \|(T - z)^{-1}\chi\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f(-k)\|^2$$

$$\geq A^{-2DN} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(z)^{2k}} = \frac{p(z)^2}{A^{2DN} (p(z)^2 - 1)} \geq \frac{1}{(2 + \delta)A^{2DN} (p(z) - 1)}.$$ 

Thus, it is proved that the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \tau(a)$ is the resolvent set of the operator $T$, and its spectrum $\sigma(T)$ coincides with the set $\tau(T)$. The latter completes the proof of Theorem.\!

**Remark.** The proof of our main theorem depends crucially on the product rule $|zw| = |z||w|$ which holds for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. One can expect that once this condition is fulfilled, we may consider the shift on generalized complex numbers: the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ and the Cayley numbers $\mathbb{K}$ (see [3], [11]). Note that for these numbers the commutative law of multiplication does no hold and for the Cayley numbers even the associative law of multiplication is lost. However every non-zero element of $\mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{K}$ has an inverse. The case of periodic function $a(n)$ see in [9].
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