

http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

ResearchSpace@Auckland

### Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <u>http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</u>

#### General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the <u>Library Thesis Consent Form</u> and <u>Deposit Licence</u>.

## THE METABOLISM AND PHARMACOKINETICS OF BZP AND TFMPP - 'PARTY PILL' DRUGS

USHTANA ANTIA

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY, FACULTY OF MEDICAL AND HEALTH SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, 2009.

### Abstract

Benzylpiperazine (BZP) and trifluoromethylphenylpiperazine (TFMPP) are recreational drugs and the major constituents of a number of 'party pills'. Previous studies of these drugs have indicated that they may be metabolised by hepatic enzymes of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) family. However, the metabolism, pharmacokinetic properties and drug interactions of these drugs are poorly understood. This thesis aimed to develop and apply an analytical method to the detection of these drugs, to investigate their *in vitro* and *in vivo* biotransformation, to describe their pharmacokinetic properties in humans and describe the potential for drug-drug interactions.

An analytical method consisting of a reversed phase HPLC system coupled with MS using an Agilent Zorbax C18 HPLC column (4.6 x 150 mm, 5  $\mu$ m) with guard column (C18, 4.6 x 10 mm, 5  $\mu$ m) at 20 °C and a mobile phase of ammonium formate buffer (pH 4.5, 0.01 M, solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B) with a phase gradient and total run time of 15 minutes was developed and validated for the detection of BZP and TFMPP plus three hydroxylated metabolites in plasma.

*In vitro* inhibition assays with human liver preparations were used to study the metabolism of these drugs. By using inhibitors quinidine, furafylline and troleandomycin it was found that CYP2D6, CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 metabolise both BZP and TFMPP. CYP2D6 poor metaboliser status was shown to compromise metabolism of TFMPP both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. For the human pharmacokinetic study, three groups of seven healthy human participants were dosed with either BZP HCl (200 mg) or TFMPP HCl (60 mg) or both drugs (100 mg of BZP HCl and 30 mg of TFMPP HCl). BZP and TFMPP reached maximum plasma concentrations of 262 ng/mL and 24.1 ng/mL at 75 minutes and 90 minutes respectively, and were cleared from the plasma of participants within 24 hr. *In vitro* and *in vivo* interactions were evident in this data, most notably the *in vivo* inhibition of the hydroxylation of each drug in the presence of the other.

In summary, this thesis presents the results of some of the first studies on the metabolism and pharmacokinetics of these drugs in humans and sets the stage for future studies on the pharmacology of these commonly-used recreational drugs.

We shall not cease from exploration And the end of all our exploring

Will be to arrive where we started

And know the place for the first time.

-T. S. Eliot

This thesis was possible due to the involvement of several parties.

I am extremely grateful to my supervisors, Dr. Bruce Russell and Dr. Malcolm Tingle, and my advisors, Prof. Rob Kydd and Assoc. Prof. Nick Holford, for the advice and encouragement I have received over the last three years.

Sincere thanks are also extended to Dr. Anne-Thea McGill, Dr. Ailsa McGregor, Hee Seung Lee, Michelle Gordon and Meghan Murphy for sample collection in the pharmacokinetic trial, and to the participants of the pharmacokinetic trial.

I was extremely fortunate to have eight committed undergraduate research students, namely Meghan Murphy, Hsin-Yao Chang, Jenny Han, Abir Ibrahim, Carla Corbet, Kathryn Dean, Lena Estrin and Sarah Ho, whom it was a pleasure to supervise and work with on the *in vitro* interaction studies and would like to thank them for their efforts. Thanks also to James Millar and Ken Chou for their contribution to this project, through their own research.

This research along with my fees and stipend were funded by the Health Research Council of New Zealand. I would also like to acknowledge the personal financial support received from the University of Auckland (UoA) Postgraduate Tuition Fees Bursary (2008) and travel grants from the School of Pharmacy (UoA) and the Postgraduate Student Association (UoA) and also from the Australian Society of Clinical & Experimental Pharmacologists & Toxicologists (ASCEPT) in both 2007 and 2008.

Lastly, I would like to thank my fiancé, my family and friends for their patient support throughout this journey.

# **Table of Contents**

ABSTRACT	<u> II</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	<u>IV</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	<u>V</u>
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	X
ABBREVIATIONS	XII
<u>ABBRE VIATIONS</u>	
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. DRUGS OF ABUSE.         1.1.1. Cannabis	2
<ul><li>1.1.3. Opium, Morphine and Heroin</li><li>1.1.4. Mescaline, Tryptamines and LSD.</li></ul>	4
1.1.4.       Mescame, Tryptammes and Dob         1.1.5.       Amphetamines	5
1.2 'PARTY PILLS' IN NEW ZEALAND	
1.2.1 Benzyl and Phenyl Pinerazines	7
1.2.2 'Party Pills' and the Law	ð
13 FEFECTS OF 'PARTY PILL' DRUGS	У
131 Subjective effects	9
132 Mode of action	
1.3.3. Adverse events	10
1.4. THE FATE OF 'PARTY PILL' DRUGS IN THE BODY	12
1.5. DIRECTION OF THIS THESIS	د1
CHAPTER 2. BIOANALYSIS OF BZP AND TFMPP	<u>14</u>
2.1. INTRODUCTION	
2.1.1 Chromatographic techniques	
2.2. DEVELOPMENT OF THE BIOANALYTICAL METHOD	10
2.2.1. Materials	10 16
2.2.2. Extraction method	10
<ul> <li>2.2.3. Protein binding and TFMPP</li></ul>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20
<ul><li>2.2.6. Solvents/ Mobile Phase</li><li>2.2.7. Detection of TFMPP, BZP and their metabolites</li></ul>	
2.2.7. Detection of TTWIT, B21 and then metacemeenteenteenteenteenteenteenteenteentee	24
2.3 VALIDATION OF A METHOD FOR THE BIOANALYSIS OF BZP AND TFMPP	
2.3.1 Introduction	
2.3.2 Experimental Methods	
2 3 3 Results	
2.4 DISCUSSION	
2.5. CONCLUSION	
CHAPTER 3. IN VITRO METABOLISM	<u>36</u>
3 I INTRODUCTION	
3.1.1 The Metabolism of BZP and TFMPP	
3.2 ORIECTIVES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION	
3.2.1 Optimising incubation conditions	
3.2.2. Exploring <i>in vitro</i> kinetics	
3.2.3. Identifying the enzymes that metabolise BZP and TFMPP	
3.2.4. Concentration-dependent metabolism of BZP and TFMPP	
<ul> <li>3.2.5. Metabolism of TFMPP in a CYP2D6 poor metaboliser</li></ul>	
3.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	

3.3.1.	Materials	11
3.3.2.	Isolating microsomes from liver samples	+1
3.3.3.	Determination of the protein content in a liver microsome sample	+1 • 0
3.3.4.	Optimising in vitro incubation conditions	ŧZ
3.3.5.	Turning Lingting of TEMDD motobolism	+S –
3.3.6.	Identification of the enzymes that metabolise BZP and TFMPP	13
3.3.7.	Concentration-dependent metabolism of BZP and TFMPP	+4
3.3.8.	Metabolism of TFMPP in microsomes prepared from a known CYP2D6 poor metaboliser	14
3.3.9.	Preparation of incubation samples for analysis	45
3.3.10.		45
3/1 1	Results	46
3.4.1.	Optimal In Vitro Protein Concentration for TFMPP incubations	46
3.4.1.	Optimal TFMPP Concentration and Incubation time	46
	In vitro kinetics of TFMPP metabolism	48
3.4.3.	Enzymes that metabolise BZP and TFMPP	50
3.4.4.	Concentration-dependent metabolism of BZP and TFMPP	51
3.4.5.	Concentration-dependent metabolism of DZF and TTVIT 1	53
3,4.6.	Metabolism of TFMPP in a CYP2D6 poor metaboliser liver	54
3.5. I	DISCUSSION	5 1 E 6
<u>CHAP</u>	TER 4. PHARMACOKINETICS OF BZP AND TFMPP IN HUMANS	<u>30</u>
4.1. I	NTRODUCTION	56
42 I	PRFI IMINARY TRIALS	57
4.3. 1	PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS	59
4.3.1.	Study protocol	39
4.3.2.	Sample handling	60
4.3.3.	Instrumental analysis	60
4.3.4.	Quantification	60
4.3.5.	Data analysis	61
4.3.6.	Pharmacodynamic tests	61
AA	RECIT TO	62
4.4.1.	TFMPP concentrations in plasma	62
4.4.2.	BZP concentrations in plasma	64
4.4.2.	Metabolite concentrations in plasma	.66
	Urinary concentrations of TFMPP and its metabolites	69
4.4.4.	Urinary concentrations of BZP and its metabolites	.72
4.4.5.	Pharmacodynamics of BZP and TFMPP	75
4.4.6.	Discussion	78
4.5.	DISCUSSION	
	TEK 5. BRCC DRCC HILLICICIO	
	INTRODUCTION	.04 .04
5.1.1.	Inhibition of CYP enzymes by BZP and TFMPP	.04 05
5.1.2.	Metabolic interactions between BZP and TFMPP	.05 20
5.1.3.	Pharmacokinetic interactions between BZP and TFMPP	.83
5.2.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	.87
5.2.1.	Materials	.87
5.2.2.	The ability of BZP and TFMPP to inhibit the metabolism of probe CYP enzyme substrates.	.87
5.2.3.	In vitro investigations of metabolic interactions between BZP and TFMPP	.89
5.2.4.	Investigating PK interactions between BZP and TFMPP	.89
5.3.	PECIT TO	.90
5.3.1.	Inhibition of CYP enzymes by BZP and TFMPP	.90
5,3.2.	Metabolic interactions between BZP and TFMPP	
533	Pharmacokinetic interactions between TFMPP and BZP	97
5.4.	DISCUSSION	102
5.4.1.	Inhibition of CYP enzymes by BZP and TFMPP	102
5.4.2.	Metabolic interactions between BZP and TFMPP	105
5.4.3.	Pharmacokinetic interactions between TFMPP and BZP	105
	PTER 6. FINAL DISCUSSION	111
	DISCUSSION ARISING FROM PREVIOUS CHAPTERS	111
6.1.	DISCUSSION ARISING FROM PREVIOUS CHAPTERS	

6.2. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	
LIST OF REFERENCES	***************************************
APPENDIX 1 PIPERAZINE ANALOGUES	
INTRODUCTION	
METHODS	
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	
Conclusion	
APPENDIX 2 CYP2D6 PHENOTYPING	***************************************
INTRODUCTION	
METHODS	
RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	
APPENDIX 3 SUPPLEMENTARY DATA FROM PHA	RMACOKINETIC TRIALS
APPENDIX 4 PUBLICATIONS	
JOURNAL ARTICLES:	100
CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS:	

Table 2: Variation between spiked plasma samples (n=6): a measure of accuracy and matrix effects	Table 1: Free and bound TFMPP in biological matrices
<ul> <li>effects</li></ul>	Table 2: Variation between spiked plasma samples $(n=6)$ : a measure of accuracy and matrix
Table 3: Intra-day variation between spiked plasma samples (n = 3) over three consecutive days	effects
days	Table 3: Intra-day variation between spiked plasma samples $(n = 3)$ over three consecutive
Table 4: Inter-day variation between average concentrations of spiked plasma samples (m=3) over three consecutive days.       31         Table 5: Linearity: Comparison of slope and R <sup>2</sup> of nine linear calibration curves in human plasma Spike.       32         Table 6: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples (compared to spiked buffer samples).       32         Table 7: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after storage at room temperature for 24 hr       33         Table 8: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after three freeze-thaw cycles       33         Table 9: Percentage of analyte measured in a spiked plasma samples after three freeze-thaw cycles       33         Table 10: Percentage of analyte measured in a spiked plasma samples after long-term storage33       Table 10: Percentage of analyte measured in a stock solution stored at room temperature for 24 hr       33         Table 11: Percentage of analyte measured in a plasma sample in an LC-MS autosampler (20 °C) for 33 hr       33         Table 12: Summary of liver donor details used in preparing pooled human liver microsomes.       45         Table 13: Best fit values for V <sub>max</sub> (µM/min/mg of protein) and K <sub>m</sub> (µM) for TFMPP derived using PRISM <sup>TM</sup> software. Values are means (± SEM) over three incubations. 49         Table 14: Substrate turnover (µmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in human liver microsomes.       51         Table 15: Turnover of TFMPP and DXM in normal, CYP2D6 PM and pooled liver microsomes.       77         Table 16: Pharmacokinetic p	dave
over three consecutive days	Table 4. Inter-day variation between average concentrations of spiked plasma samples $(n=3)$
plasma Spike	over three consecutive days
plasma Spike	Table 5: Linearity: Comparison of slope and $R^2$ of nine linear calibration curves in human
Table 6: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples (compared to spiked buffer samples)       .32         Table 7: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after storage at room temperature for 24 hr       .33         Table 8: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after three freeze-thaw cycles       .33         Table 9: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after long-term storage33       Table 10: Percentage of analyte measured in a stock solution stored at room temperature for 24 hr       .33         Table 11: Percentage of analyte measured in a plasma sample in an LC-MS autosampler (20 °C) for 33 hr       .33         Table 12: Summary of liver donor details used in preparing pooled human liver microsomes.       .45         Table 13: Best fit values for V <sub>max</sub> (µM/min/mg of protein) and K <sub>m</sub> (µM) for TFMPP derived using PRISM™ software. Values are means (± SEM) over three incubations. 49         Table 14: Substrate turnover (µmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in human liver microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes.       .51         Table 15: Turnover of TFMPP and DXM in normal, CYP2D6 PM and pooled liver microsomes.       .68         Table 16: Pharmacokinetic parameters for TFMPP, BZP, and their hydroxylated metabolites       .68         Table 17: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale.       .77         Table 19: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative o	nlasma Snike
samples)	Table 6: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples (compared to spiked buffer
Table 7: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after storage at room temperature for 24 hr	samples)
<ul> <li>temperature for 24 hr</li></ul>	Table 7: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after storage at room
Table 8: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after three freeze-thaw cycles	temperature for 24 hr
cycles	Table 8: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after three freeze-thaw
<ul> <li>Table 10: Percentage of analyte measured in a stock solution stored at room temperature for 24 hr</li></ul>	cycles
<ul> <li>Table 10: Percentage of analyte measured in a stock solution stored at room temperature for 24 hr</li></ul>	Table 9: Percentage of analyte measured in spiked plasma samples after long-term storage33
24 hr	Table 10. Percentage of analyte measured in a stock solution stored at room temperature for
<ul> <li>°C) for 33 hr</li></ul>	24 hr
<ul> <li>Table 12: Summary of liver donor details used in preparing pooled human liver microsomes</li></ul>	Table 11: Percentage of analyte measured in a plasma sample in an LC-MS autosampler (20
Table 13: Best fit values for V <sub>max</sub> (µM/min/mg of protein) and K <sub>m</sub> (µM) for TFMPP derived using PRISM <sup>™</sup> software. Values are means (± SEM) over three incubations .49         Table 14: Substrate turnover (µmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in human liver microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes	°C) for 33 hr
<ul> <li>Table 13: Best fit values for V<sub>max</sub> (µM/min/mg of protein) and K<sub>m</sub> (µM) for TFMPP derived using PRISM<sup>™</sup> software. Values are means (± SEM) over three incubations .49</li> <li>Table 14: Substrate turnover (µmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in human liver microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes</li></ul>	Table 12: Summary of liver donor details used in preparing pooled human liver microsomes.
using PRISM™ software. Values are means (± SEM) over three incubations. 49         Table 14: Substrate turnover (µmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in human liver microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes	
Table 14: Substrate turnover (μmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in human liver microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes	Table 13: Best fit values for $V_{max}$ ( $\mu$ M/min/mg of protein) and $K_m$ ( $\mu$ M) for TFMPP derived
<ul> <li>microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes</li></ul>	using PRISM <sup>TM</sup> software. Values are means $(\pm SEM)$ over three incubations. 49
Table 15: Turnover of TFMPP and DXM in normal, CYP2D6 PM and pooled liver microsomes.       53         Table 16: Pharmacokinetic parameters for TFMPP, BZP, and their hydroxylated metabolites       68         Table 17: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma TFMPP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale.       77         Table 18: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale       77         Table 19: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale       77         Table 19: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and measured physiological effects.       77         Table 20: Substrates (200 µM) and controls used in inhibition assays.       87         Table 21: Optimal detection wavelengths, retention times, calculated precision and accuracy for each substrate assay (n = 6).       89         Table 22: Optimal detection wavelengths, retention times, calculated precision and accuracy of assays for TFMPP and BZP.       89         Table 23: Substrate turnover (±SEM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) of probe substrates in the	Table 14: Substrate turnover (µmol/min/mg protein) of BZP and TFMPP in numan liver
<ul> <li>microsomes</li></ul>	microsomes in the presence of known inhibitors of CYP isoenzymes
<ul> <li>Table 16: Pharmacokinetic parameters for TFMPP, BZP, and their hydroxylated metabolites</li></ul>	52
<ul> <li>Table 17: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma TFMPP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Table 17: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma TFMPP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale</li></ul>	Table 16: Pharmacokinetic parameters for TFMPP, BZP, and their hydroxylated metabolites
<ul> <li>relationship between plasma TFMPP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale</li></ul>	- $(1 + 1)$ $(1 + 1)$ $(1 + 1)$ $(1 + 1)$ $(1 + 1)$
<ul> <li>Ratings on VAS scale</li></ul>	Table 17: Number of participants (out of /) demonstrating a positive, negative of no
<ul> <li>Table 18: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale</li></ul>	relationship between plasma IFMPP concentrations and sen-reported mood
<ul> <li>relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and self-reported Mood Ratings on VAS scale</li></ul>	Ratings on VAS scale
<ul> <li>on VAS scale</li></ul>	Table 18: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative of no
<ul> <li>Table 19: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative or no relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and measured physiological effects</li></ul>	relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and sen-reported wood Ratings
<ul> <li>relationship between plasma BZP concentrations and measured physiological effects</li></ul>	on VAS scale
<ul> <li>effects</li></ul>	Table 19: Number of participants (out of 7) demonstrating a positive, negative of no
<ul> <li>Table 20: Substrates (200 μM) and controls used in inhibition assays</li></ul>	relationship between plasma BZF concentrations and measured physiological
<ul> <li>Table 21: Optimal detection wavelengths, retention times, calculated precision and accuracy for each substrate assay (n = 6).</li> <li>Table 22: Optimal detection wavelengths, retention times, calculated precision and accuracy of assays for TFMPP and BZP.</li> <li>Table 23: Substrate turnover (±SEM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) of probe substrates in the</li> </ul>	T-11-20. Systemates (200 µM) and controls used in inhibition assays 87
for each substrate assay (n = 6). Table 22: Optimal detection wavelengths, retention times, calculated precision and accuracy of assays for TFMPP and BZP	Table 20: Substrates (200 $\mu$ M) and controls used in minoriton assays.
Table 22: Optimal detection wavelengths, retention times, calculated precision and accuracy of assays for TFMPP and BZP.Table 23: Substrate turnover (±SEM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) of probe substrates in the	Table 21: Optimal detection wavelengths, recention times, calculated prediction and accuracy for each substrate accay $(n = 6)$
of assays for TFMPP and BZP	Table 22. Optimal detection wavelengths retention times calculated precision and accuracy
Table 23: Substrate turnover (±SEM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) of probe substrates in the	of access for TEMPP and BZP
nessance of BZP and TEMPP (200 µM)	Table 23: Substrate turnover (+SFM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) of probe substrates in the
	presence of BZP and TFMPP (200 $\mu$ M)
Table 24: Substrate turnover (+ SEM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) in human liver microsomes	Table 24: Substrate turnover ( $\pm$ SEM) (nmol/min/mg of protein) in human liver microsomes
in the presence of benzylpiperazines and phenylpiperazine	in the presence of benzylpiperazines and phenylpiperazine

Table 25: Plasma concentrations in poor and extensive metabolisers for CYP2D6, 3 I 30 mg oral dose of DXM (99).	nr after a 130
Table 26: Plasma concentrations for study subjects 3 hr after a 30 mg oral dextromethorphan	dose of
Table 27: Details of participants in the pharmacokinetic trial. $A = BZP$ (200 mg); $B =$	TFMPP

(60 mg); C = BZP (100 mg) & TFMPP (30 mg)......131

Figure 1: BZP and TFMPP (L-R)
Figure 2: MeOPP, pFPP and mCPP (L-R)
Figure 3: UV absorption spectrum of TFMPP (200 µM)22
Figure 4: UV absorption spectrum of BZP (200 µM)
Figure 5: LC-MS output for blank plasma () and plasma spiked () with (A) BZP, (B)
TFMP, (C) 3-OH BZP and 4-OH BZP, and (D) 4-OH TFMPP (10 ng/mL for
each)
Figure 6: TFMPP metabolites in male Wistar rats
Figure 7: BZP metabolites in male Wistar rats
Figure 8: Effect of varying protein concentration on the metabolism of TFMPP47
Figure 9: TFMPP metabolism in human liver microsomes (2 mg/mL protein) at varying
concentrations
Figure 10: TFMPP concentration vs. reaction rate (20 min incubation) in human liver
microsomes (2 mg/mL protein)
Figure 11: TFMPP concentration vs. reaction rate demonstrating fitting of equation 249
Figure 12: Lineweaver-Burk projection of TFMPP concentration versus reaction rate50
Figure 12: Concentration-dependent inhibition of TFMPP metabolism in human liver
Intel Coolines intel internet interne
Figure 14: Concentration-dependent inhibition of BZP metabolism in human liver microsomes
Figure 15: Plasma concentration-time profiles of BZP following a single 100 mg oral dose of
BZP HCl in 3 healthy volunteers
Figure 16: Dose dependent of plasma concentrations of BZP following a single oral dose of
BZP HCl in one individual (Subject 1)
Figure 17: Plasma concentrations of TFMPP following a single 50 mg oral dose of TFMPP
HCl in Subject 4
Figure 18: Plasma concentrations of TFMPP over 24 hr following a single 60 mg oral dose of
TFMPP HCl62
Figure 19: Log[TFMPP] vs. time showing two phases of elimination63
Figure 20: Plasma concentrations of BZP over 24 hr following a single 200 mg oral dose of
BZP HCl64
Figure 21: Log[BZP] vs. Time
Figure 22: Plasma concentrations of 4-OH TFMPP over 24 hr following a single oral dose of
TFMPP HCl (60 mg)
Figure 23: Log[4-OH TFMPP] vs. time showing a single linear elimination phase
Figure 24: Plasma concentrations of 3-OH BZP and 4-OH BZP over 24 hr following a single
200 mg oral dose of BZP HCl
Figure 25: Total amounts of TFMPP and 4-OH TFMPP measured in total 24-hr urine samples
(untreated urine)
Figure 26: Total amounts of TFMPP and 4-OH TFMPP measured in total 24-hr urine samples
(enzymatic hydrolysis method)
Figure 27: Mass spectrum of urinary metabolite consistent with the <i>N</i> -glucuronide of TFMPP
Figure 27: Mass spectrum of urmary metabolite consistent with the 79-grucuronide of 11 Mil 1
Figure 28: Total amounts of BZP, 3-OH BZP and 4-OH BZP measured in 24-hr urine samples
(neat urine)
Figure 29: Mass spectrum of putative urinary metabolite: the <i>O</i> -sulfate of BZP
Figure 30: Mass spectrum of putative urinary metabolite: the N-sulfate of BZP73

## Abbreviations

[S]	substrate concentration
λmax	wavelength demonstrating maximal absorbance
μm	micrometers/ microns
μg	microgram
μL	microlitres
μM	micromolar
3-OH BZP	3-hydroxyl benzylpiperazine
4-OH BZP	4-hydroxyl benzylpiperazine
4-OH TFMPP	4-hydroxyl trifluoromethylphenyl piperazine
5 <b>-</b> HT	5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin)
ACN	acetonitrile
ADHD	attention-deficit hyperactive disorder
Ae	amount of drug excreted
ANOVA	analysis of variance
AUC	area under curve
BMI	body mass index
BRUMS	Brunel Mood Scale
BSA	bovine serum albumin
BZP	1-benzylpiperazine
C.I.	confidence interval
CL	rate of clearance
CL/F	apparent clearance
Cmax	peak concentration
CNS	central nervous system
CYP	cytochrome P450
DA	dopamine
DDI	drug-drug interaction
DXM	dextromethorphan
EED	ethinylestradiol
EM	extensive metaboliser
ESI	electrospray ionisation
F	bioavailability
g	gravity
hr	hours
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
kg	kilogram
$K_i$	inhibition constant
$K_{m}$	Michaelis constant
L	litres

LC	liquid chromatography
LSD	lysergic acid diethylamide
М	molar
m/z	mass/charge
mCPP	1-(3-chlorophenyl) piperazine
MBZP	4-methyl-1-benzyl piperazine
MDBP	1-(3,4-methylenedioxylbenzyl) piperazine
MDEA	3,4-methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine
MDMA	(3,4-methylenedioxy)methamphetamine
MeOH	methanol
MeOPP	l-(4-methoxyphenyl) piperazine
min	minute
mg	milligram
mL	millilitres
mm	millimetres
mM	millimolar
mol	mole
$MPP^+$	l-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium
MR	metabolite ratio
MS	mass spectrometry
n	sample size
NA	noradrenaline
NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
ng	nanogram
nm	nanometres
nmol	nanomoles
NonMEM	non-linear mixed effects modelling
OPZ	omeprazole
PD	pharmacodynamic
PET	positron emission tomography
pFPP	l-(4-fluorophenyl) piperazine
PK	pharmacokinetic
PM	poor metaboliser
psig	pound-force per square inch gauge
R.S.D	relative standard deviation
R <sup>2</sup>	square of the correlation coefficient
S.D	standard deviation
SCX	strong cation exchange
SEM	standard error of the mean
SIM	single-ion monitoring
t <sub>1/2</sub>	half-life
TAO	troleandomycin

ТВА	tolbutamide
TFMPP	1-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl) piperazine
THC	tetrahydrocannabinol
THF	tetrahydrofuran
TIC	total ion chromatogram
Tlag	lag time
TLC	thin-layer chromatography
$T_{\text{max}}$	time taken to reach peak concentration
UV	ultraviolet
V	voltage
V	reaction rate
V/F	volume of distribution/bioavailability
v/v	volume for volume
VAS	visual analogue scale
$V_{max}$	maximum reaction rate
w/v	weight for volume