



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

*ResearchSpace@Auckland*

**Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.  
<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

**General copyright and disclaimer**

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the [Library Thesis Consent Form](#) and [Deposit Licence](#).

**Note : Masters Theses**

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain alterations requested by the supervisor.

# **The Anatomical and Radiological Basis of the Operation Extrafascial Excision of the Rectum**

**Ian Peter Bissett**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
**Doctor of Medicine,**  
**The University of Auckland, 2000**

## **Abstract**

The operation of extrafascial excision dissects directly on the rectal fascia propria to remove the rectum and mesorectum within an intact fascial envelope. The studies contained in this thesis revolve around the recent finding that if a rectal cancer is contained within the fascia propria and the rectum is removed by extrafascial excision then local recurrence of the cancer will be exceptional. The thesis is presented in 4 parts as anatomical, radiological, clinical and operative sections.

Gross and microscopic examination of surgical specimens, post-mortem dissections and axial cross sectional anatomy images were used to define the anatomy of the fascia propria. It is shown to be a 150 $\mu$ m thick, collagen membrane completely surrounding the mesorectum. The hypogastric nerves and pelvic plexuses are embedded in the parietal fascia separated from the mesorectum and fascia propria by a loose areolar layer. A computerised three-dimensional model of the rectum and mesorectum has been generated based on 1mm axial cross sections of the anatomy of the area and axial MR scans offering the potential to visualise the rectum in its mesorectum preoperatively.

A systematic review of preoperative radiological staging has been reported comparing endorectal ultrasound, CT and MR imaging. The ability to determine the relation of the tumour to the fascia propria preoperatively has, however, not been previously explored. Cadaveric studies in this thesis have demonstrated that the fascia propria can be identified by axial CT and MR imaging. In a consecutive series of 43 patients with rectal cancer preoperative MR accurately predicted the relation of the deepest tumour invasion to this fascia.

In the third section extrafascial excision has been compared with conventional surgery at a single institution over a 16 year period. In a study population of 262 rectal cancer patients operated on with curative intent, extrafascial excision had a significantly lower local recurrence rate and prolonged cancer-free survival without an increase in cost or complication rates. Based on these studies a new description of the operation of extrafascial excision of the rectum is presented in the fourth section with emphasis on preventing complications.

This thesis has provided a new understanding of the surgical anatomy of the rectum and a novel management protocol for rectal cancer based on the relationship of the tumour to the fascia propria as detected by preoperative MR imaging.

## Acknowledgments

This thesis could not have been produced without the assistance of many people. I am indebted to each of them in their encouragement, practical help, advice and friendship throughout the planning, research and writing.

Professor Graham Hill initially proposed the challenge of unravelling the anatomy of the fascia propria. He then provided regular, ongoing constructive supervision as the project expanded, never tiring of reading and rereading drafts of the individual manuscripts. Many of the ideas that led to the individual studies in the thesis were suggested by him and he has co-authored each of the publications.

Professor Bryan Parry invited me to join the team in the University Department of Surgery in Auckland in 1998. He provided an employment opportunity for me as a lecturer on my return from overseas. The confidence he placed in me spurred me on and kept me on track. He has shown supportive leadership and close friendship when I have needed it most. He is co-supervisor of the thesis providing advice, constructive criticism and practical help throughout.

Dr Kai Chau, Clinical Pathologist, carried out all the pathological examinations for the various studies with enthusiasm. We spent many hours over microscopes together and the pathological basis of the whole thesis has rested on his shoulders. He has been a very supportive colleague.

Dr Charitha Fernando and Dr David Hough, gastrointestinal radiologists, spent many hours reporting the CT and MR images that are an essential part of Section 2 of the thesis. Their insights shared at our weekly combined meetings have added greatly to our understanding of MR imaging in rectal cancer.

Dr Brett Cowan, cardiac MR physician, willingly offered advice and expertise concerning MR imaging. We together made many visits to the MR scanner as he helped to optimise the images we obtained (Chapters 4 and 5).

Dr Alistair Young, medical imaging engineer, advised and assisted in the three-dimensional reconstruction of images (Chapter 5).

Professor Stuart Heap, Professor of Radiology, and Mr. Mischel Neill, Colorectal Surgeon, gave input to our weekly combined clinical meetings and contributed insights to the discussion of the concepts involved in the project.

Henry Kwok, an Auckland medical student, carried out his summer studentship with me. He performed the initial literature search for the systematic review of radiological staging of rectal cancer and was co-author of that publication (Chapter 7).

Dr Glen McKay, a surgical trainee in Auckland, obtained the clinical records and performed the initial collection of data from charts for the comparative study reported in Chapter 10. He also assisted in the analysis of the results and co-authored this publication.

Dr Richard Flint, a surgical trainee in Auckland, wrote and distributed the nerve function questionnaire to rectal cancer patients (Chapter 10).

Michelle McAnulty-Smith, medical technologist, performed the preparation and staining of the slides in the anatomical study (Chapter 3).

Hilary Holloway, electron microscopist, undertook the electron microscopy of the fascia propria.

Mark Shelley, medical photographer, photographed the slides and specimens.

Arthur Ellis, medical illustrator, assisted in the production of the figures in Chapter 11. He was willing to redraw figures repeatedly in an attempt to best demonstrate our anatomical findings.

John Bodley, an engineering student, who, as part of his summer studentship project, wrote the programme for the reconstruction of the visible human cross sections as a 3 dimensional model and assisted in the production of the thesis compact disk (Chapter 5).

Andrea Zarcovic and Kenneth Wong, medical students, assisted in dissections during their surgical anatomy options papers (Chapters 4 and 8).

Diana Patience proof-read the text.

The Maurice and Phyllis Paykel Trust provided a funding grant that met most of the expenses involved in the anatomy studies in Section 1.

The Wellcome Trust funded the workstation that was used to generate the three-dimensional images of the fascia propria.

My wife, Johanna and children, Rebecca, Miriam, and Peter offered constant understanding and support in the many hours of research and writing involved in completing this thesis.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1: THE EVOLUTION OF SURGERY FOR RECTAL CANCER .....</b>	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
PRE 1800.....	1
PERINEAL PROCEDURES .....	2
THE RESULTS OF PERINEAL SURGERY .....	4
THE COMBINED PROCEDURES .....	4
SPHINCTER-PRESERVING SURGERY .....	6
LYMPHATIC DRAINAGE OF THE RECTUM.....	7
OUTCOMES OF ANTERIOR RESECTION AND ABDOMINO-PERINEAL EXCISION.....	9
DISTAL RESECTION MARGINS .....	10
LATERAL RESECTION MARGINS .....	10
EXTENDED OPERATIONS .....	11
<i>Extended pelvic lymphadenectomy.....</i>	11
<i>Total mesorectal excision.....</i>	12
NERVE SPARING SURGERY .....	13
SUMMARY.....	14
<b>Section 1: The Anatomical Basis of Extrafascial Excision of the Rectum for Cancer.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: AN INTRODUCTION TO PELVIC FASCIAL ANATOMY .....</b>	<b>16</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	16
DEFINITIONS .....	16
EARLY DESCRIPTIONS.....	16
MILES AND GABRIEL.....	17
THE 1940S.....	19
UHLENHUTH'S CONTRIBUTION .....	20
THE JAPANESE UNDERSTANDING.....	21
HAVENGA .....	21
THE MESORECTUM.....	22
SUMMARY.....	25
<b>CHAPTER 3: THE SURGICAL ANATOMY OF THE FASCIA PROPRIA.....</b>	<b>26</b>
BACKGROUND .....	26
PATIENTS AND METHODS.....	27
<i>Patients .....</i>	27
<i>Table 3.1: Patient Data .....</i>	27
<i>The Technique of Extrafascial Excision .....</i>	28
<i>Processing of Specimens .....</i>	28
<i>Special Stains.....</i>	30
<i>Electron Microscopy .....</i>	30
<i>The Rectosacral Ligament.....</i>	30

<i>Statistical Analysis</i> .....	31
<b>RESULTS</b> .....	31
<i>Rectal Excision</i> .....	31
<i>Gross Appearance of the Intact Specimens</i> .....	32
<i>Denonvilliers' fascia</i> .....	33
<i>The Rectosacral Ligament</i> .....	34
<i>The Nature of the Fascia Propria</i> .....	34
<i>Fascia Propria Thickness</i> .....	39
<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	41
<b>CHAPTER 4: THE LOCATION OF THE HYPOGASTRIC NERVES, THE PELVIC PLEXUSES AND THEIR BRANCHES TO THE RECTUM</b> .....	44
<b>BACKGROUND</b> .....	44
<b>METHODS</b> .....	45
<i>Study 1: The rectal branches of the pelvic plexus</i> .....	45
<i>Study 2: The pelvic plexuses</i> .....	46
<i>Study 3: The fascial planes</i> .....	46
<b>RESULTS</b> .....	47
<i>Subjects</i> .....	47
<i>Nerve preservation</i> .....	47
<i>Study 1: The rectal branches of the pelvic plexus</i> .....	49
<i>Study 2: The pelvic plexuses</i> .....	50
<i>Study 3: The fascial planes</i> .....	51
<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	52
<b>CHAPTER 5: THREE-DIMENSIONAL ANATOMY OF THE FASCIA PROPRIA OF THE RECTUM</b> .....	55
<b>BACKGROUND</b> .....	55
<b>METHODS</b> .....	55
<i>Study 1: Reconstruction using the Visible Human Data set</i> .....	55
<i>Study 2: Reconstruction of an MRI of the rectum</i> .....	56
<b>RESULTS</b> .....	57
<i>Study 1: Reconstruction using the Visible Human Data set</i> .....	57
<i>Study 2: Reconstruction of an MRI of the rectum</i> .....	59
<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	61
<b>SECTION 2: THE RADIOLOGICAL BASIS OF EXTRAFASCIAL EXCISION OF THE RECTUM FOR CANCER</b> .....	62
<b>CHAPTER 6: AN INTRODUCTION TO RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF RECTAL CANCER</b> .....	64
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	64
<b>PATHOLOGICAL STAGING</b> .....	65
<b>ADJUVANT RADIOTHERAPY</b> .....	65
<b>CLINICAL STAGING</b> .....	66
<b>RADIOLOGICAL STAGING</b> .....	67
<b>THE FASCIA PROPRIA IN PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT</b> .....	67
<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	68

<b>CHAPTER 7: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF PREOPERATIVE STAGING OF RECTAL CANCER .....</b>	<b>69</b>
AIM .....	69
METHODS .....	69
<i>Selection of Papers</i> .....	69
<i>Definition of Terms</i> .....	70
<i>Analysis</i> .....	70
RESULTS .....	71
<i>Characteristics of Included Studies</i> .....	71
<i>Wall Penetration</i> .....	71
<i>Nodal Involvement</i> .....	73
<i>Comparing CT, ES and MR</i> .....	74
<i>Year of Publication</i> .....	76
<i>Radiotherapy</i> .....	76
DISCUSSION .....	76
<b>CHAPTER 8: PREOPERATIVE IDENTIFICATION OF THE FASCIA PROPRIA .....</b>	<b>79</b>
AIM .....	79
METHODS .....	79
<i>Study 1: Radiological and Anatomical Correlation</i> .....	79
<i>Study 2: Rectal Level of Fascial Visibility</i> .....	80
<i>Study 3: Visibility of Fascia Propria</i> .....	80
RESULTS .....	81
<i>Study 1: Radiological and Anatomical Correlation</i> .....	81
<i>Study 2: Rectal Level of Fascial Visibility</i> .....	82
<i>Study 3: Visibility of Fascia Propria</i> .....	83
DISCUSSION .....	85
<b>CHAPTER 9: PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE RELATION OF TUMOUR INVASION TO THE FASCIA PROPRIA USING MR IMAGING.....</b>	<b>87</b>
AIM .....	87
METHODS .....	87
<i>Patients</i> .....	87
<i>Imaging</i> .....	87
<i>Pathology</i> .....	88
<i>Clinical</i> .....	88
<i>Analysis</i> .....	89
RESULTS .....	89
<i>Patients</i> .....	89
<i>MR Imaging</i> .....	90
<i>Assessment of Fascia Propria Invasion</i> .....	91
DISCUSSION .....	91
<b>Section 3: The Results of Extrafascial Excision of the Rectum.....</b>	<b>93</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	94
<b>CHAPTER 10: RESULTS OF EXTRAFASCIAL EXCISION AND CONVENTIONAL SURGERY FOR RECTAL CANCER AT AUCKLAND HOSPITAL .....</b>	<b>95</b>

BACKGROUND .....	95
PATIENTS AND METHODS .....	96
<i>Patients</i> .....	96
<i>Definitions</i> .....	96
<i>Local recurrence</i> .....	97
<i>Mortality and Survival</i> .....	97
<i>Analysis</i> .....	98
<i>Cost</i> .....	98
RESULTS .....	98
<i>Patients</i> .....	98
<i>Tumour Characteristics</i> .....	100
<i>Complications</i> .....	101
<i>Stoma formation</i> .....	101
<i>Follow-up</i> .....	101
<i>Local recurrence</i> .....	102
<i>Systemic recurrence</i> .....	103
<i>Survival</i> .....	103
<i>Cost</i> .....	106
DISCUSSION .....	106

## **SECTION 4: THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION-THE TECHNIQUE OF EXTRAFASCIAL EXCISION OF THE RECTUM..... 109**

### **CHAPTER 11: EXTRAFASCIAL EXCISION OF THE RECTUM FOR CANCER: HOW TO AVOID THE COMPLICATIONS OF RECTAL MOBILISATION. .... 110**

INTRODUCTION .....	110
COMPLICATIONS OF RECTAL EXCISION .....	110
SURGICAL ANATOMY .....	113
<i>The fascia propria</i> .....	114
<i>The parietal fascia</i> .....	115
<i>The lateral ligaments</i> .....	116
<i>The fascia of Denonvilliers</i> .....	116
<i>The retrorectal space</i> .....	117
<i>The mesorectum</i> .....	117
<i>Presacral veins</i> .....	118
<i>Pelvic Nerves</i> .....	118
THE TECHNIQUE OF EXTRAFASCIAL EXCISION.....	121
<i>Mobilisation of the sigmoid colon</i> .....	122
<i>Posterior pelvic dissection</i> .....	122
<i>Anterior dissection</i> .....	123
<i>Lateral dissection</i> .....	123
SUMMARY .....	124

### **CHAPTER 12: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS ..... 125**

RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....	125
THE ANATOMY OF THE FASCIA PROPRIA .....	125
<i>Findings</i> .....	125
<i>Applications</i> .....	126
THE ANATOMY OF THE PELVIC AUTONOMIC NERVES .....	127

<i>Findings</i> .....	127
<i>Applications</i> .....	127
PREOPERATIVE IMAGING .....	127
<i>Findings</i> .....	127
<i>Applications</i> .....	128
CLINICAL RESULTS OF EFE .....	128
<i>Findings</i> .....	128
<i>Applications</i> .....	129
THE FUTURE .....	129
<b>APPENDIX A: TABLES OF SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RADIOLOGICAL STAGING OF RECTAL CANCER .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	<b>139</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Patient Data.....	27
Table 3.2: The rectosacral ligament.....	34
Table 3.3: Geometric Means of Thickness of the Fascia Propria.....	40
Table 4.1: Length and position of the rectal branches of the pelvic plexuses..... 48	
Table 4.2: Dimensions of the pelvic plexuses in mm..... 49	
Table 6.1: TNM system.....	64
Table 6.2: York Mason clinical staging.....	65
Table 7.1a: Pooled results of detection of bowel wall penetration.....	71
Table 7.1b: Pooled results of T-staging.....	71
Table 9.1: Assessment of fascia propria penetration by MR Imaging.....	91
Table 10.1: Definitions of Terms used in the Study.....	97
Table 10.2: Patient demographics and operations performed.....	99
Table 10.3: Incidence of significant medical co-morbidities..... 99	
Table 10.4: Stage and differentiation of tumours.....	100
Table 10.5: Site of tumour within the rectum.....	100
Table 10.6: Postoperative complications.....	101
Table 10.7: Local recurrence rates for each Dukes' stage.....	103
Table 10.8: Cancer-free survival at 5 years.....	105
Table 11.1: Complications of rectal excision.....	111

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Illustration of an opened rectal carcinoma specimen.....	18
Figure 2.2: An illustration of the perineal dissection in rectal excision.....	18
Figure 2.3: An illustration of the pelvic fascial layers as described by Uhlenhuth.....	20
Figure 2.4: Schematic transection of the midrectum as described by Takahashi.....	21
Figure 2.5: The relation of the hypogastric nerve to the visceral fascia.....	22
Figure 2.6: Illustration of a cross-section of the lower rectum showing the plane of dissection for rectal excision according to Heald.....	23
Figure 2.7: Schematic representation of a transverse section through the lower rectum according to Church et al.....	24
Figure 2.8: Semischematic drawings of transverse sections through male and female pelvis.	25
Figure 3.1: Fresh rectal specimen after EFE.....	32
Figure 3.2: Photograph of the superior rectal artery and the fascia propria.....	33
Figure 3.3: Mesothelial cysts lying within Denonvilliers' fascia.....	34
Figure 3.4: Photograph of the fascia propria and the rectal wall at the level of the ano-rectal junction.....	35
Figure 3.5: Photograph of the everted fascia propria and mesorectum from the recto-sigmoid to the ano-rectal junction.....	36
Figure 3.6: A cross-section of the rectum caudal to the peritoneal reflection.....	37
Figure 3.7: The fascia propria and the peritoneum.....	38
Figure 3.8: An electron micrograph of the fascia propria.....	39
Figure 3.9: The thickness of the fascia propria.....	40
Figure 4.1: A photograph showing the right lateral ligament during dissection of the rectum.	45
Figure 4.2: Photograph of the hypogastric nerves and pelvic plexuses after EFE.....	46
Figure 4.3: The right pelvic side wall after extrafascial dissection. The right hypogastric nerve can be seen passing from the sacrum to the pelvic plexus lateral to the rectum.....	47
Figure 4.4: The left pelvic side wall after extrafascial dissection.....	47
Figure 4.5: An AP X-ray of the pelvis after insertion of Kirschner wires.....	49

Figure 4.6: An AP X-ray of the pelvis in which the pelvic plexuses have been marked with skin staples.....	50
Figure 4.7: The conceptualised arrangement of the fascial planes in the retrorectal area.....	51
Figure 5.1: A cross-sectional image of the pelvis from the Visible Human Data Set showing the rectum at the level of the seminal vesicles.....	56
Figure 5.2: The fascia propria and the enclosed rectum and mesorectum manually coloured for identification by the computer program.....	56
Figure 5.3: An image with the position of the fascia propria shown in black.....	56
Figure 5.4: An image that only includes the rectum, mesorectum and fascia propria.....	56
Figure 5.5: The reconstructed three-dimensional model from the posterior aspect.....	57
Figure 5.6: The reconstructed three-dimensional model from the lateral aspect.....	57
Figure 5.7: The reconstructed three-dimensional model from the anterior aspect.....	57
Figure 5.8: A T2 weighted axial scan of the pelvis from the MRI of the study patient showing the rectum at the level of the seminal vesicles.....	58
Figure 5.9: The fascia propria and the enclosed rectum and mesorectum manually coloured for identification by the computer program.....	58
Figure 5.10: An image with the position of the fascia propria shown in black.....	58
Figure 5.11: An image that only includes the rectum, mesorectum and fascia propria for entry into the volume-rendering program.....	58
Figures 5.12: The reconstructed 3-dimensional model of the rectal MRI from the posterior aspect.....	59
Figures 5.13: The reconstructed 3-dimensional model of the rectal MRI from the lateral aspect.....	59
Figures 5.14: The reconstructed 3-dimensional model of the rectal MRI from the anterior aspect.....	59
Figure 5.15: Coronal section of the three-dimensional model of the MR of the rectum.....	61
Figure 5.16: Axial section of the three-dimensional model of the MR of the rectum.....	61
Figure 8.1: A T1-weighted axial MR image of the cadaveric pelvis before dissection.....	81
Figure 8.2: An enlarged view of the T1-weighted axial MR image of the cadaveric pelvis after extrafascial dissection of the rectum.....	82
Figure 8.3: An axial CT scan in the prone position at the level of the superior margin of the femoral head.....	83

Figure 8.4: An axial CT scan at the level of the superior margin of the femoral head showing the fascia propria on both sides of the mesorectum.....	84
Figure 8.5: An axial MR image at the level of the superior margin of the femoral head showing the fascia propria on both sides of the mesorectum.....	85
Figure 9.1: A T2 weighted axial MR image of a patient with rectal cancer showing the fascia propria with the tumour contained within it.....	90
Figure 9.2: A T1 weighted MR of a patient with rectal cancer showing the tumour penetrating through the fascia propria.....	90
Figure 10.1: Actuarial local recurrence rates to 6 years.....	102
Figure 10.2: Overall (crude) survival to 5 years.....	104
Figure 10.3: Cancer-free survival to 5 years.....	105
Figure 11.1: Schematic representation of a transverse section showing the relationship of the rectum to surrounding fasciae, blood vessels and nerves in the male pelvis.....	113
Figure 11.2: An axial section of a cadaver at the level of the upper seminal vesicles.....	114
Figure 11.3: The fascia propria dissected as a sock off the mesorectum of a rectal specimen removed by extrafascial excision.....	115
Figure 11.4: A three-dimensional reconstruction of the rectum and fascia propria.....	118
Figure 11.5. Pelvic nerves after mobilization in the extrafascial plane.....	119
Figure 11.6: An axial section through the lower seminal vesicles.....	121

# **Abbreviations**

ACC – Accuracy  
Ant R – Anterior resection  
APR – Abdomino-perineal resection  
°C – Degrees Celsius  
cm – centimetres  
CT – Computerised tomography  
EFE – Extrafascial excision of the rectum  
ES – Endorectal sonography  
EVG – Verhoeff's method with van Gieson counterstain  
F – female  
FP – fascia propria  
Gy – Gray  
H&E – Haematoxylin and Eosin  
HN – Hypogastric nerve  
IMA – Inferior mesenteric artery  
M – male  
ml – millilitres  
mm – millimetres  
MR – Magnetic resonance  
MRI – Magnetic resonance image  
NIH – National Institute of Health  
NLR – Negative likelihood ratio  
NPV – Negative predictive value  
PLR – Positive likelihood ratio  
PP – Pelvic plexus  
PPV – Positive predictive value  
S4 – Fourth sacral vertebrae  
SD – Standard deviation  
SEN – Sensitivity  
SHP – Superior hypogastric plexus  
SPE – Specificity  
TME – Total mesorectal excision  
TNM – Tumour, node, metastasis  
UC – Ulcerative Colitis  
UK – United Kingdom  
µm – microns  
USA - United States of America

