



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the [Library Thesis Consent Form](#) and [Deposit Licence](#).

Note : Masters Theses

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

3D Visualisation and Analysis of Skin Lymphatic Drainage Patterns in Melanoma

by Hayley Maria Reynolds

Supervised by Dr Nicolas Smith and Associate Professor Rod Dunbar

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Auckland

Auckland Bioengineering Institute
The University of Auckland
New Zealand

May 2008

Abstract

This thesis aimed to improve visualisation and analysis of potential patterns of melanoma spread from the skin to lymph nodes. For this purpose, anatomically based geometric models of the skin and lymph nodes have been created. A three-dimensional (3D) finite element (FE) skin model has been constructed using the Visible Human (VH) male dataset and a Sawbones head and neck model. A discrete lymph node model was also created using the VH dataset.

This study has been conducted in collaboration with the Sydney Melanoma Unit (SMU), in Sydney, Australia. Clinicians at the SMU have recorded an extensive lymphoscintigraphy (LS) database, accurately mapping skin lymphatic drainage from over 5000 patients with cutaneous melanoma. The SMU's entire LS database was mapped from two-dimensional LS images onto the 3D anatomical model. Melanoma sites were mapped onto the skin model using free-form deformation and projection techniques, while draining node fields were mapped onto a reduced lymph node model.

Spatial heat maps were created using field fitting to visualise the likelihood that any area of skin would drain to a particular node field, or a specified number of node fields. An interactive skin selection tool was also developed to provide dynamic predictions of the draining node fields from any region of skin. The heat maps and interactive skin selection tool quantified that lymphatic drainage of the torso was highly complex, where the most unpredictable regions were located near Sappey's lines. Drainage from skin on the upper and lower limbs was the most predictable, almost always draining to ipsilateral axillary and groin node fields respectively. Skin on the head and neck were shown to usually drain to two or more node fields, where the most common node fields were the cervical level II and preauricular node fields.

Detailed statistical analysis was then conducted to investigate widely accepted assumptions about lymphatic drainage. Sappey's lines were shown to be highly inaccurate at predicting lymphatic drainage from the skin of the torso. At least 12.5% of all melanoma sites located on the torso showed drainage across Sappey's lines, and nearly the entire torso demonstrated ambiguous lymphatic drainage. A multinomial statistical model was fitted to the LS data to investigate whether lymphatic drainage was symmetric about the body's vertical midline. Results showed that a signif-

icant proportion of the skin was likely to have symmetric lymphatic drainage patterns. Asymmetry that was shown within specific regions was likely due to an asymmetric distribution of melanoma sites within that region and/or a sparsity of data. Regions that indicated symmetry were reflected, providing a larger LS dataset to improve the statistical accuracy of drainage predictions.

A cluster analysis was conducted using this reflected LS dataset to group regions of skin that drained in a similar manner. Results indicated that the dominant axillary, groin, cervical level II and preauricular node fields drained significant areas of skin. Clustering resulted in division of the torso into regions similar to Sappey's lines, although an additional cluster formed in the middle of the anterior and posterior torso where predominantly ambiguous lymphatic drainage occurred. Confidence intervals were calculated using non-parametric bootstrapping to further determine the statistical accuracy of drainage predictions from each of these clusters.

This body of research has been presented as four papers, which have either been published or will be submitted to international peer-reviewed journals:

1. Reynolds, H. M., Dunbar, P. R., Uren, R. F., Thompson, J. F. & Smith, N. P. (2007), 'Mapping melanoma lymphoscintigraphy data onto a 3D anatomically based model', *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, **35**:(8),1444-1457.
2. Reynolds, H. M., Dunbar, P. R., Uren, R. F., Blackett, S. A., Thompson, J. F. & Smith, N. P. (2007), 'Three-dimensional visualisation of lymphatic drainage patterns in patients with cutaneous melanoma', *The Lancet Oncology*, **8**:(9),806-12.
3. Reynolds, H. M., Smith, N. P., Uren, R. F., Thompson, J. F., & Dunbar, P. R. (2008), 'Three-dimensional visualization of skin lymphatic drainage patterns from the head and neck', *Head & Neck*, **accepted**.
4. Reynolds, H. M., Walker, C. G., Dunbar, P. R., O'Sullivan M. J., Uren, R. F., Thompson, J. F. & Smith, N. P. (2008), 'Redefining the understanding of skin lymphatic drainage through statistical analysis', *submitted*.

This work has also been presented at the following three international conferences:

1. 28th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, New York, USA. Aug 30-Sep 3, 2006.
2. Biomedical Engineering Society 2007 Annual Fall Meeting, Los Angeles, California. Sep 26-29, 2007.
3. 6th International Sentinel Node Society Meeting. Sydney, Australia. Feb 18-20, 2008.

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my brother:

Wayne Matthew Reynolds

15 Feb '88 - 18 Nov '05

who lost his fight with leukemia after a courageous 18 month battle. He was a large part of the motivation behind this work.

My hope is that this study will contribute towards the ongoing fight against cancer. Although, regardless of how much money we throw at the health system or into scientific research, all men are ultimately destined for the grave. There is still only one man who has conquered death:

John 16:33

Jesus said: 'In this world you will have trouble.

But take heart! I have overcome the world.'

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank the Maurice Wilkins Centre for Molecular Biodiscovery for providing me with financial assistance during this thesis, without which this study would not have been conducted. Thanks also to NERF (the New Economy Research Fund) from the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology for its financial support.

A huge thanks is owed to my supervisors, Dr Nicolas Smith and Associate Professor Rod Dunbar. Nic, I would not have considered that I was capable of doing a PhD without your encouragement and support. You have been a fantastic supervisor, even though you have been on the other side of the world for the best part of my thesis! You have gone out of your way to ensure that I was on track, and I have appreciated the opportunities to visit you at The University of Oxford. Rod, thank you for envisioning this project and seeing the potential for a multidisciplinary approach to melanoma research. Your guidance and feedback has been invaluable.

Thank you to both Professor Roger Uren and Professor John Thompson from the Sydney Melanoma Unit for your willingness to collaborate on this study. I have enjoyed visiting you in Sydney, to discuss this project and to personally observe the current state of melanoma treatment. Roger, thank you for recording such an accurate lymphoscintigraphy database for over 20 years and for promptly answering my numerous questions. I was honoured to speak at the International Sentinel Node Society Meeting in Sydney earlier this year.

Also thanks to Oliver Horlacher for assisting me during the development of the mapped database. To Cameron Walker and Mike O'Sullivan, you have both been a great help with the statistical analysis. Cameron, thank you for putting so much of your time into the reflection analysis, and for giving me prompt feedback while editing my thesis. Mike, thank you for your help with the cluster analysis. Thanks is also due to Shane Blackett, whose work on CMGUI to aid development of the skin selection tool has been greatly appreciated.

I have now been at the Bioengineering Institute for four years, and am very grateful to all the friends I have made during that time. To those of you who have moved on: Albert, Tanusha, Anita

and Nina; as well as those who have relocated to The University of Oxford: Jack, Steve, Dave and Kelly. Also thanks to Gib Bogle and Shane Lin for being great 'desk-mates'. To numerous others, including: Rita, Mike, Jae, Zoar, Dan, Vijay, Paul, Fav, Nic, Sarah, Jessica, Sally and Kim - thanks for being willing to talk and joke around when I needed a break! The IT and Admin teams have been an great source of practical support throughout my studies as well - so thank you.

To all my non-Bioengineering friends, thank you for your support and friendship. To Kelly and Katrina in particular, thanks for keeping me entertained with emails during my work day. During the last year I have had the privilege of being in a brilliant flat, and am particularly grateful that my flatmates have put up with me during these last crazy days of research and writing. Thanks especially to my flatmates Michael and Tracey for their support. Michael, I have really appreciated the transport to and from university, especially when I made you late!

Lastly, I must thank my amazing family. My wonderful parents, Bruce and Ruth Reynolds, have been my greatest support team during the last three years, not to mention during my entire life. I am eternally indebted to you both for your love and encouragement. To my sister Lynda, and brother-in-law Steve, thank you for always being there for me. I particularly enjoyed being sent Russian fudge in the mail during the last few weeks of my write-up! Finally, I have dedicated this thesis to my younger brother Wayne. Although he is no longer here, he is my constant inspiration. Thank you Wayne, for being such a fantastic brother and for dealing with your cancer so bravely.

Contents

Abstract	iii
Dedication	v
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xix
Glossary of Symbols	xxi
Glossary of Acronyms	xxiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Cutaneous melanoma	2
1.2.1 Staging	4
1.2.2 Treatment	5
1.3 Lymphatic anatomy	6
1.3.1 Lymphatic vessels	7
1.3.2 Lymph nodes	8
1.4 Previous lymphatic anatomy studies	12
1.5 Lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy	15
1.6 Sydney Melanoma Unit’s lymphoscintigraphy database	20
1.6.1 Recording the primary melanoma site	20
1.6.2 Recording the sentinel nodes	22
1.6.3 Additional data recorded	23
1.6.4 Data visualisation	23

1.7	Anatomical modelling	25
1.7.1	Finite element models	26
1.7.2	Software	27
1.8	Thesis overview	27
2	Anatomical Geometry	29
2.1	Finite element basis functions	29
2.2	Visible human data	32
2.3	Creating a finite element model	32
2.3.1	Data digitisation	33
2.3.2	Initial linear mesh	34
2.3.3	Fitting	36
2.4	Customising finite element models	38
2.5	Skin model construction	42
2.5.1	Torso and limbs	42
2.5.2	Head and neck	45
2.6	Lymph node model construction	50
2.7	Summary	55
3	Mapping Lymphoscintigraphy Data onto Anatomical Geometry	57
3.1	Mapping the primary melanoma site	57
3.1.1	Torso and lower limbs	58
3.1.2	Upper limbs	61
3.1.3	Hands and feet	64
3.1.4	Head and neck	65
3.2	Mapping the sentinel nodes	69
3.3	Discussion	73
3.4	Summary	76
4	Visualisation Methodology	77
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Random melanoma coordinates	78
4.3	Spatial heat maps	82
4.3.1	Field fitting	82
4.3.2	Methodology	85
4.4	Interactive skin selection tool	86
4.4.1	Methodology	87

4.4.2	Software	88
4.5	Summary	91
5	Visualisation of Mapped Lymphoscintigraphy Data	93
5.1	Spatial heat maps	93
5.1.1	Torso and limb node fields	96
5.1.2	Head and neck node fields	99
5.1.3	Number of draining node fields	104
5.2	Interactive skin selection tool	106
5.2.1	Results	106
5.3	Discussion	109
5.4	Summary	111
6	Statistical Analysis Methodology	113
6.1	Introduction	113
6.2	Analysing Sappey's Lines	114
6.2.1	Methodology	115
6.3	Symmetry Testing	118
6.3.1	Multinomial modelling approach	122
6.3.2	Reflection method	126
6.4	Cluster Analysis	127
6.4.1	Theory	128
6.5	Confidence intervals	131
6.6	Summary	133
7	Statistical Analysis of Mapped Lymphoscintigraphy Data	135
7.1	Analysing Sappey's Lines	135
7.1.1	Results	136
7.2	Symmetry Testing	141
7.2.1	Torso	142
7.2.2	Upper and lower limbs	147
7.2.3	Head and neck	149
7.3	Cluster Analysis	153
7.3.1	Results	153
7.4	Discussion	160
7.5	Summary	164
8	Conclusions and Future Work	165

8.1	Model limitations	166
8.2	Future work	167
8.3	Thesis summary	172
A	Sentinel Node Field Codes	175
B	Heat Maps and Frequency Displays	177
C	Anatomical Geometry and Mapping Methods Paper	195
D	Data Visualisation Paper	211
E	Web Interface for the Data Visualisation Paper	219
F	Editorial Response to the Data Visualisation Paper	225
G	Statistical Analysis Code in R	229
	References	233

List of Figures

1.1	Cutaneous melanoma.	3
1.2	The sequence of melanoma spread through the body.	3
1.3	Schematic demonstrating the melanoma staging systems of Clark and Breslow.	5
1.4	Schematic of certain lymphatics of the head, abdomen, pelvis and limbs.	7
1.5	Schematic of a lymph node.	9
1.6	Lymph node model visualising different node field locations.	10
1.7	Sappey's detailed drawings showing superficial lymphatic vessels of the (a) anterior trunk and (b) posterior trunk.	13
1.8	A zone of ambiguous lymphatic drainage defined 2.5 cm either side of Sappey's lines shown on the (a) anterior trunk and (b) posterior trunk.	14
1.9	Areas of skin with ambiguous lymphatic drainage shaded in black, (a) anterior and (b) posterior views.	15
1.10	A lymphatic capillary with gaps between adjacent endothelial cells allowing particles to enter.	16
1.11	LS imaging: (a) patient positioned under the gamma camera, (b) clinician searching for a SN using a radioactive point source.	17
1.12	LS images of a patient with a primary melanoma on the left forearm and two SNs in the left axilla.	17
1.13	LS imaging: (a) marking the SN skin location, (b) SN location marked with an X.	19
1.14	Body maps used to record primary melanoma site, with (X,Y) coordinates plotted for the full patient database.	21
1.15	Melanoma sites plotted on the posterior torso body map for the full patient database.	22
1.16	An example body map grid unit.	22
1.17	SMU display showing melanoma sites that drain to the left axillary node field.	24
1.18	SMU display showing melanoma sites on the trunk that drain to the groin.	25
1.19	SMU display showing melanoma sites that drain across Sappey's horizontal line around the waist.	25

1.20	Models of (a) the heart and (b) the lungs developed at the ABI.	26
1.21	Musculo-skeletal model of the the forearm and hand.	27
2.1	Finite element basis functions.	30
2.2	One-dimensional finite elements.	31
2.3	Manually digitising the VH skin.	33
2.4	The Polhemus laser scanner.	34
2.5	Fitting the torso and thigh skin surface.	35
2.6	(a) Standard surface elements and (b) collapsed surface elements.	36
2.7	Mesh designs with collapsed elements requiring nodal versions.	36
2.8	Datapoint \mathbf{z}_d orthogonally projected onto the face of a surface element to give the closest point $\mathbf{u}(\xi_{1d}, \xi_{2d})$	37
2.9	The host-mesh fitting process (a) before deformation and (b) after deformation. . .	40
2.10	The initial linear mesh of the (a) left upper limb and (b) left leg and foot skin models.	43
2.11	Collapsed elements with nodal versions on the skin of the (a) hand and (b) foot. . .	44
2.12	The fitted VH skin mesh with the torso and limbs connected together.	45
2.13	The Sawbones head and neck model.	46
2.14	Raw scanned data of the Sawbones head model.	47
2.15	Sawbones head and neck skin mesh (a-c) linear mesh and (d-f) fitted bicubic mesh.	48
2.16	Comparing VH head data to the initial Sawbones fitted model.	49
2.17	Refitting the head and neck model before joining to the skin mesh.	50
2.18	Final combined skin model.	51
2.19	Axial VH image of the head with identifiable lymph nodes.	52
2.20	Lymph node model visualising all node fields.	54
3.1	Host-mesh fitting to align the anterior torso body map with the skin model.	58
3.2	Host-mesh fitted body maps aligned with the skin model. Landmark points are shown in red and target points in green.	59
3.3	Converting the anterior torso skin mesh from (a) 3D to (b) 2D.	60
3.4	(a) Projecting melanoma (X,Y) coordinates orthogonally onto the 2D anterior torso skin mesh and (b) the final interpolated melanoma coordinates on the 3D skin mesh.	61
3.5	Error created during interpolation of projected torso and leg melanoma coordinates from the 2D to 3D skin mesh.	62
3.6	The left arm host-mesh fitted about the elbow joint.	63
3.7	Projection method for the arm melanoma sites.	64

3.8	All possible melanoma sites on the body maps for the (a) dorsum of the right hand and (c) the anterior feet. Corresponding manually placed sites on the (b) right hand skin mesh and (d) skin mesh feet.	65
3.9	Host-mesh fitting the (a) anterior and (b) posterior head body maps to the skin mesh.	66
3.10	Host-mesh fitting the lateral head body maps to the skin mesh.	68
3.11	Mapped melanoma sites on the head and neck, with anterior sites shown in black, posterior sites in red and lateral sites in yellow.	70
3.12	Mapped (X, Y) melanoma coordinates on the skin mesh for the full patient database.	71
3.13	Lymph node model reduced to one representative node in each field.	72
3.14	Magnification of the melanoma site recording error.	74
3.15	Heat map displaying the recording error magnification for the torso and leg melanoma sites projected from 2D onto the 3D skin model.	74
4.1	Schematic demonstrating a melanoma site (X, Y) contained within a skin element.	78
4.2	Mapped $(randX, randY)$ melanoma coordinates on the skin model.	80
4.3	Frequency of primary melanoma sites (X, Y) on the skin model.	81
4.4	Posterior views of the skin mesh, displaying regions of skin that showed drainage to the left axillary node field with different visualisation methods.	83
4.5	Heat map displaying the likelihood that the skin will drain to the left axilla, using different Sobolev smoothing values.	86
4.6	An example skin element containing three melanoma sites.	87
4.7	The skin model showing elements that do not contain LS data in black.	89
4.8	The skin selection tool displaying draining node fields from the example skin element.	90
5.1	Heat map displaying the percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right axillary node fields.	97
5.2	Heat map displaying the percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right groin node fields.	98
5.3	Heat map displaying the percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right preauricular node fields.	99
5.4	Heat map displaying the percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right cervical level II node fields.	101
5.5	Heat map displaying the percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to (a) anterior head and neck node fields: preauricular, submental, cervical levels I, II, III and IV, and (b) posterior head and neck node fields: postauricular, occipital, cervical level V and supraclavicular fossa.	103

5.6	Heat map displaying the percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to different numbers of node fields.	105
5.7	The interactive skin selection tool, showing (a) complex lymphatic drainage for a selected posterior torso element and (b) associated drainage statistics.	107
5.8	The interactive skin selection tool, showing (a) simple lymphatic drainage for a selected posterior torso element and (b) associated drainage statistics.	108
5.9	The interactive skin selection tool, showing (a) complex lymphatic drainage for a selected element on the left side of the head and (b) associated drainage statistics.	109
6.1	Defining Sappey's lines on the skin model, (a) anterior and (b) left lateral views.	115
6.2	Calculating a plane parallel to Sappey's midline plane that passes through melanoma site \mathbf{u}_m , to determine its relative position.	117
6.3	Defining a zone of ambiguous drainage either side of Sappey's lines.	119
6.4	LS data format. Case 1 has drainage to one node field, meanwhile case 2 has drainage to three node fields, and case 3 has drainage to two node fields.	120
6.5	Modifying the LS data format to enable mutually exclusive outcomes. Cases with multiple draining node fields have been separated to give multiple data entries.	120
6.6	Example skin region reflected from the right side onto the left, where two cases are located on the right region and one case is located on the left.	124
6.7	Decision flowchart used to determine whether LS data can be reflected.	125
6.8	Reflecting melanoma sites using corresponding elements and ξ coordinates.	126
6.9	Detection of clusters in the unit square.	130
6.10	Example cluster tree diagram.	130
6.11	Schematic of the bootstrapping process to estimate confidence intervals for the sample mean $s(\mathbf{x})$	132
7.1	The number of cases crossing Sappey's vertical line for different line positions.	136
7.2	The number of cases crossing Sappey's lines for each skin region for various height and angle values of the midline plane.	137
7.3	The total number of cases crossing Sappey's lines for various height and angle values of Sappey's midline plane.	138
7.4	The optimal position for Sappey's lines.	139
7.5	The number of cases crossing Sappey's lines including a zone of ambiguous drainage.	140
7.6	A zone of ambiguous drainage defined 140 mm either side of Sappey's lines.	141
7.7	Division of the (a) anterior and (b) posterior torso into separate skin regions.	143
7.8	Horizontal division of the upper posterior torso into smaller skin regions.	146
7.9	Division of the upper and lower limbs into skin regions.	148

7.10	Skin regions on the head and neck.	149
7.11	Skin of the head that has been divided further into subregions.	151
7.12	Results of the symmetry analysis, displaying regions of skin that were asymmetric in black.	152
7.13	Cluster tree diagram for the reflected LS data.	155
7.14	Clusters on the skin mesh at check distance $d/13$	156
7.15	Clusters on the skin mesh at check distance $d/18$	157
7.16	Clusters on the skin mesh at check distance $d/19$	158
8.1	Preliminary example of an LS recording interface.	168
8.2	Interface enabling dynamic visualisation of the LS dataset.	169
8.3	Example of (A and B) LS images versus (C and D) SPECT/CT images of a patient with melanoma medial to the scapula and three SNs.	170
B.1	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right triangular intermuscular space node fields.	178
B.2	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right epitrochlear node fields.	179
B.3	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right popliteal node fields.	180
B.4	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right postauricular node fields.	181
B.5	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right occipital node fields.	182
B.6	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the submental node field.	182
B.7	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right cervical level I node fields. These maps exclude submental nodes.	183
B.8	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right cervical level III node fields.	184
B.9	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right cervical level IV node fields.	185
B.10	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right cervical level V node fields. These maps exclude supraclavicular fossa nodes.	186
B.11	Percentage likelihood that lymphatic drainage will occur to the (a) left and (b) right supraclavicular fossa node fields.	187
B.12	Primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to (a-c) left and (d-f) right infraclavicular nodes.	188

B.13 Primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to (a-c) left and (d-f) right interpectoral nodes.	189
B.14 Anterior views showing primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to (a) left and (b) right internal mammary nodes.	190
B.15 Anterior views showing primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to (a) left and (b) right costal margin nodes.	190
B.16 Primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to intercostal nodes.	191
B.17 Posterior view showing primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to paravertebral or paraaortic nodes.	191
B.18 Primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to retroperitoneal nodes.	192
B.19 Anterior view showing primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to upper mediastinal nodes.	192
B.20 Primary melanoma sites that have shown lymphatic drainage to interval nodes.	193
E.1 Screenshot of the skin selection tool given in the data visualisation website.	220
E.2 Screenshot of the heat maps given on the data visualisation website.	221
E.3 Google Analytics summary of the visitors accessing the data visualisation website.	222
E.4 Google Analytics Map Overlay visualising where website visitors were located.	223

List of Tables

1.1	Clinical stages of melanoma.	4
1.2	Head and neck node field locations.	9
1.3	Upper limb node field locations.	11
1.4	Lower limb node field locations.	11
1.5	Other node field locations.	11
2.1	Skin mesh fitting parameters.	44
2.2	Node field location references used to construct the lymph node model and the number of nodes in each field of the model.	53
3.1	Host-mesh fitting details for the torso and leg body maps.	60
3.2	Projection details for the torso and leg melanoma sites.	62
3.3	Host-mesh fitting details for the head and neck body maps.	67
3.4	Projection details for the head and neck melanoma sites.	69
4.1	RMS error values for different Sobolev weights used to fit a field displaying the likelihood of drainage to the left axilla.	86
4.2	Details regarding the draining node fields from each case on the example skin element.	87
4.3	Statistics calculated for the example skin element, including the number of cases and the percentage likelihood that drainage will occur to each node field.	88
5.1	Number of cases draining to each node field from the mapped LS database.	95
5.2	Number of cases draining to one or multiple node fields.	104
6.1	Determining the number of cases from each torso region draining across Sappey's lines.	118
6.2	Details of each case on the example skin region that has been reflected.	124
6.3	Data structure for cluster analysis.	128

6.4	Symmetrical distance matrix.	129
7.1	Results of the torso reflection analysis. Number of cases and individual data entries draining to interval nodes are given in brackets.	144
7.2	Results of the posterior torso reflection analysis, after further skin region discretisation. Number of cases and individual data entries draining to interval nodes are given in brackets.	146
7.3	Results of the upper and lower limb reflection analysis. Number of cases and individual data entries draining to interval nodes are given in brackets.	148
7.4	Results of the head and neck reflection analysis. Number of cases and individual data entries draining to interval nodes are given in brackets.	150
7.5	Number of cases in each skin region that have been reflected.	153
7.6	Cluster analysis results.	154
7.7	Drainage statistics of the dominant draining node fields from each cluster at check distance $d/19$	159
A.1	Sentinel node field codes.	176

Glossary of Symbols

Chapter 1

(X, Y)	Melanoma site coordinates
$(randX, randY)$	Random melanoma site coordinates

Chapter 2

ξ	Local or material coordinate
ϕ_n	Lagrange basis function
ψ_n^m	Hermite basis function
\mathbf{u}_n	FE geometry (x,y,z) or field value at node n
$\mathbf{u}(\xi)$	FE continuum field evaluated at ξ
$\left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \xi} \right _n$	FE geometry (x,y,z) or field derivative at node n
s	Arc-length
L	Arc-length scale-factor
\mathbf{z}_d	Global coordinates of data point d
D	Least-squares distance function
F	Fitting objective function
w_d	Weight of data point d
F_s	Sobolev smoothing term
N	Total number of data points
$\alpha_i, i = 1..5$	Sobolev weighting terms
$\eta_i, i = 1..3$	Slave mesh material coordinates
$\xi_i, i = 1..3$	Host mesh material coordinates

Chapter 4

N_X	Approximate number of elements in the X -direction per grid unit
N_Y	Approximate number of elements in the Y -direction per grid unit
G	Side dimension of a body map grid unit
$(rand\xi_1, rand\xi_2)$	Local coordinates of a random melanoma site ($randX, randY$)

Chapter 6

θ	Angle of Sappey's midline plane
$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$	Geometric coordinates (x,y,z) on Sappey's midline plane
\mathbf{n}	Normal vector to Sappey's midline plane
\mathbf{u}_m	Melanoma site coordinate
e	Equation of Sappey's midline plane
e_m	Equation of a plane passing through melanoma site \mathbf{u}_m
w_v	Vertical width of ambiguous drainage on the trunk
w_h	Horizontal width of ambiguous drainage on the trunk
n_t	Number of trials
$p_i, i = 1..k$	Probability trial classified in category i , out of k categories
$Y_i, i = 1..k$	Number of times outcome i observed out of k categories
$f_i, i = 1..q$	Likelihood of drainage to node field i
$\beta_i, i = 0..k$	Multinomial model parameters
$\gamma_i, i = 1..k$	Linear predictor
$\hat{\sigma}^2$	Estimate of the error variance
K	Number of multinomial model parameters
(ξ_{1r}, ξ_{2r})	Local coordinates of a reflected melanoma site
n_c	Number of samples for cluster classification
m	Number of characteristics to define samples
$X_{ij}, i = 1..n_c, j = 1..m$	Number of objects in sample i , classified by characteristic j
$d_{ik}, i = 1..n_c, k = 1..n_c$	Euclidean distance between samples i and k
d_{check}	Check distance
n	Number of observations
\mathbf{x} or $x_i, i = 1..n$	Set of n observations
$s(\mathbf{x})$	Statistic of interest of observation \mathbf{x}
$\mathbf{x}^{*i}, i = 1..B$	Set of B bootstrap samples
$s(\mathbf{x}^*)$	Sample statistic

Glossary of Acronyms

1D	One-Dimensional
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
AAO-HNS	American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery
ABI	The University of Auckland's Bioengineering Institute
AIC	Akaike Information Criterion
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
CLND	Complete Lymph Node Dissection
CMGUI	CMISS Graphical User Interface
CMISS	Continuum Mechanics, Image analysis, Signal processing and System Identification
CT	Computed Tomography
DOF	Degree of Freedom
FE	Finite Element
GEE	Generalised Estimator Equation
GLM	Generalised Linear Model
L2	Second Lumbar Vertebra
L3	Third Lumbar Vertebra
L4	Fourth Lumbar Vertebra
LL	Lower Left
LR	Lower Right
LS	Lymphoscintigraphy
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
RMS	Root Mean Square
SNB	Sentinel Node Biopsy
SMU	Sydney Melanoma Unit
SN	Sentinel Node
SPECT	Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography

TIS	Triangular Intermuscular Space
UL	Upper Left
UR	Upper Right
VH	Visible Human