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#### Abstract

Drug resistant tuberculsosis (TB) is global health crisis that demands novel treatment strategies. Bacterial ATP synthase inhibitors such as bedaquiline and next-generation analogues (such as TBAJ-876) have shown promising efficacy in patient populations and preclinical studies, respectively, suggesting that selective targeting of this enzyme presents a validated therapeutic strategy for the treatment of TB. In this work, we report tetrahydronaphthalene amides (THNAs) as a new class of ATP synthase inhibitors that are effective in preventing the growth of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tb) in culture. Design, synthesis and comprehensive structure-activity relationship studies for approximately 80 THNA analogues are described, with a small selection of compounds exhibiting potent (in some cases $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}<1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ / mL ) in vitro M.tb growth inhibition taken forward to pharmacokinetic and off-target profiling studies. Ultimately, we show that some of these THNAs possess reduced lipophilic properties, decreased hERG liability, faster mouse/human liver microsomal clearance rates and shorter plasma half-lives compared with bedaquiline, potentially addressing of the main concerns of persistence and phospholipidosis associated with bedaquiline.


© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

The rise of drug-resistant tuberculosis (TB) in recent times has become a major global health problem [1], and this resurgence of such a major infectious disease has also provided an impetus for the development of new classes of drugs. These are aimed at a wide variety of mycobacterial targets, including the control of gene expression [2], inhibition of drug efflux pumps [3], and of proteins in the mycobacterial electron transport chain [4]. In particular, the spectacular success of the drug bedaquiline in treating multi-drugresistant TB (MDR-TB) by inhibition of the mycobacterial enzyme ATP synthase has resulted in a largely curative regime (NIX-TB) [5]

[^0]for this disease, despite bedaquiline's side effect of hERG channel inhibition. This success has led to the development of potentially safer analogues of bedaquiline [6] and a search for alternative classes of ATP synthase inhibitors.

We recently reported [7] the synthesis and anti-mycobacterial structure-activity relationships (SARs) of a new class of N substituted tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQs, 1; Fig. 1) as effective inhibitors of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tb) ATP synthase enzyme and growth.

Building on this work, we now report synthesis and structure-activity-relationship (SAR) studies on a further novel class of tetrahydronaphthalene amide (THNA) derivatives as mycobacterial inhibitors. While the tetrahydronaphthalene amide unit is not widely featured in drugs, the derivative AR-A000002 (2; Fig. 1) has been studied as a selective and high affinity 5-hydroxytryptamine $\left(5-\mathrm{HT}_{1 B}\right)$ receptor antagonist [8]. It has been shown to be effective


1: tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative




Fig. 1. Known tetrahydronaphthalene-based drugs.
in animal models of depression and anxiety [9], and details of a chiral large-scale synthetic route have been published [10]. The related compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ have demonstrated sub- $\mu \mathrm{M}$ affinity for cloned rat D2L and D3 receptors expressed in HEK293 cells [11]. While a handful of THNA derivatives have been recently reported as M.tb inhibitors $[12,13]$ by targeting the cytochrome $b c_{1}$ complex [14], the THNA unit did not form part of the central scaffold, and was not progressed into further studies. To the authors knowledge, this is the first systematic SAR report of 2-substituted THNA compounds as inhibitors of ATP synthase for the development of antiTB drug candidates.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

Initial synthesis of analogues (compounds 5-35; Fig. 2) in Table 1 focused on synthesis of analogues with 5 -methyl and $8-\mathrm{N}$ methylpiperidyl substituents for the tetrahydronaphthalene unit. This substitution pattern was on the basis of our previous work [7] which showed near-optimal anti-M.tb properties with the structurally related tetrahydroisoquinoline compounds (Fig. 1). With this constant THNA core in place, attention was initially turned to SAR studies on the optimal substituents for the heterocyclic linker and the terminal benzene ring and any chiral preferences for activity.

Once the optimal linker and terminal groups had been identified from the study outlined in Table 1, efforts next focused on replacing the 5-methyl substituent on the tetrahydronaphthalene unit with groups of various steric bulk, electronic and lipophilic properties (Compounds 53-61, Fig. 2, Table 2) while modification of the $8-\mathrm{N}$ methylpiperidyl motif with more weakly basic heterocycles and cyclic amines (compounds $\mathbf{6 2 - 7 9}$, Fig. 2, Table 3) were carried out in attempt to address any potential hERG liabilities.

The amide-linked compounds 5-35 of Table 1 (A; Scheme 1) were constructed by direct amide formation between the known [8,10] $R$ or $S$ enantiomers of 5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-

1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine ( $\mathbf{8 0}$ or $\mathbf{8 0 R}$ ) with preassembled bicyclic side chain carboxylic acids that were either commercially available or prepared as outlined in the Experimental section.

The urea-linked compounds $\mathbf{3 6 - 4 0}$ of Table 1 (B; Scheme 1) were prepared by the formation of an activated 4 -nitrophenyl sidechain carbamate intermediate followed by coupling with tet-rahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$. This bromo-intermediate underwent further Suzuki cross-coupling with the appropriately substituted phenylboronic acids to furnish compounds 36, 37, 39 and 40 . This route proved to be a superior route to access urealinked compounds as compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ which was accessed via reaction between preformed 4-nitrophenyl sidechain $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 0}$ gave a lower overall yield.

Urea-linked compounds $\mathbf{4 1 - 5 2}$ of Table 1 (C; Scheme 1) were prepared by the formation of piperizine/piperidine-benzene ring linker which were coupled to tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ using 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate and triethylamine in DCM.

Schemes $2-4$ outline the synthesis of analogues with varied groups at the tetrahydronaphthalene 5-position in an attempt to explore SAR aspects such as steric bulk, electronics and lipophilicity at this site (Table 2). With carboxylic acid side chain $\mathbf{8 3}$ established as the favored side chain (rationale for selection discussed in detail in section 2.2.), Scheme 2 describes the formation of compound $\mathbf{5 3}$ via well-established amide coupling between $\mathbf{8 3}$ and tetrahydronaphthalenamine 82. THNA analogue $\mathbf{5 3}$ could be further elaborated using bromination conditions to yield compound 57. Nitration of tetrahydronaphthalenamine $\mathbf{8 2}$ afforded an inseparable mixture of 7 -nitro and desired 5 -nitro intermediate $\mathbf{8 4}$. The mixture could be used crude for the next step after which the desired 5 -nitro isomer could be isolated using silica chromatography to yield $\mathbf{5 8}$. Bromo-functionality in $\mathbf{5 7}$ was used as a synthetic handle to access further diverse analogues. Buchwald-Hartwig amination reaction between 57 and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethane-1,2diamine required prolonged reaction time of 6 h to afford tethered amine 59 and cyanation reaction of $\mathbf{5 7}$ using zinc cyanide led to successful formation of nitrile $\mathbf{6 1}$.



Fig. 2. THNA analogues.

Tetrahydronaphthalene 5-position was further elaborated with para-substituted phenyl groups (compounds 54 and 55, Scheme 3). Synthesis begins with 8-bromo-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one, which undergoes reductive amination with ( $R$ )- N -ethylphenylamine to yield amine 86, which is benzyl protected to yield 87 in $82 \%$ yield. Buchwald-Hartwig amination reaction with $N$-methylpiperazine gave $\mathbf{8 8}$ which underwent selective bromination on the tetrahydronaphthalene 5 -position to yield bromide 89. Suzuki coupling with the appropriate boronic acids gave tetrahydronaphthalenes with para-substituted phenyl groups 91 and 92 , which underwent deprotection followed by amide coupling gave analogues 54 and 55.

Scheme 4 depicts the preparation of 5-benzyl $\mathbf{5 6}$ and more hydrophilic 5-( $N$-methylpiperidyl) $\mathbf{6 0}$ analogues. Commencing with common intermediate bromide 89 (prepared in Scheme 3), lithium-halogen exchange followed by quenching with benzaldehyde led to alcohol 95, which was then reduced to 96 . Final amide coupling of amine $\mathbf{9 6}$ with acid $\mathbf{8 3}$ led to analogue 56. BuchwaldHartwig amination reaction between bromide 89 and $N$-methylpiperazine followed by reduction gave amine $\mathbf{8 5}$ and subsequent amide coupling with 83 furnished analogue 60.

To explore the effect of altering the pKa of the 8-methyl-piperazine unit on activity, a range of cyclic amines and heterocyclic amine analogues were prepared as described in Schemes 5-9. Scheme 5 reports the synthesis of the simple des-methyl piperidine analogue 62, while Scheme 6 replaces it with a range of 6membered aliphatic and aromatic ring systems (compounds 63, 64 and 66-70). Scheme 7 outline the syntheses of the NH and NMe piperidinyl analogues ( $\mathbf{6 5}$ and $\mathbf{6 6}$ ) of the corresponding piperazinyl analogues $\mathbf{6 2}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ respectively. Schemes 8 and 9 show the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{7 1} \mathbf{- 7 9}$, which have a range of six- and fivemembered nitrogen heterocycles in place of the 8methylpiperazine group.

### 2.2. Structure-activity relationships for the compounds of Table 1

Since the 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor antagonist $\mathbf{3}$ is a chiral compound, with much effort previously expended in its synthesis to obtain the pure $R$ enantiomer for the production of compound $\mathbf{3}$
[10], we were initially interested to determine if the chirality was also of importance in our related series targeted against M.tb. To evaluate this, twelve sets of $R / S$ enantiomer pairs of THNAs (Table 1) (compounds 5, 19-24, 28-30, 32 and $\mathbf{3 4}$ ) were prepared, bearing a representative range of both linker units $X$ and terminal ring substituents $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, and their activities (as MIC90 values) were determined against both aerobic (replicating; MABA) and anaerobic (nonreplicating; LORA) cultures of M.tb. (strain H37Rv). The results for this set show that the $S$ enantiomers (average $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ values of $1.69 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against MABA bacterial cultures and $3.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against LORA cultures) were about twice as potent as the corresponding $R$ enantiomers ( $\mathrm{MIC}_{90} \mathrm{~S} 3.88 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MABA and $6.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for LORA cultures) confirming the $S$ stereochemistry as the more potent eutomer (Supplementary Data- Fig. S1). In contrast, there were no significant differences between the isomers for mammalian toxicity, as measured by $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in VERO cell cultures (Table 1).

Consequently, the remaining SAR studies were conducted using only the more active $S$ enantiomers. For these 48 compounds, there is a modest correlation between the overall lipophilicity of the compounds and their potency of inhibition of bacterial growth in the MABA assay ( $\operatorname{logMIC}_{90}$ values for inhibition of bacterial growth under aerobic (replicating) conditions) (equation (1)).
$\log \left(\mathrm{MIC}_{90}(\mathrm{MABA})=-0.24( \pm 0.07) \log \mathrm{P}+1.88\right.$

$$
\mathrm{N}=48, \mathrm{R}=0.46, \mathrm{P}=<0.001, \mathrm{~F}=12.4
$$

The general trend suggested that higher overall compound lipophilicity correlated with more potent bacterial survival inhibition (Supplementary Data- Fig. S2). This relationship of the antiproliferative potency of compounds against cultures of live M.tb being correlating with increasing overall lipophilicity of the agents has been previously observed across agents with differing mechanisms of action, and has been attributed to drug distribution, with lipophilic drugs needed to efficiently cross the very lipophilic cell walls of mycobacteria [19-21].

In the present case, the THNAs studied were comprised of a

Table 1
Structures and biological activity of 5-methyl-substituted tetrahydronaphthalenes.



| $\mathrm{No}^{\text {a }}$ | X | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }^{\mathrm{b}}}{\%}$ |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})}{\mathrm{VERO}}$ | $\underline{\text { clog } \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{e}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | MABA | LORA |  |  |
| 30R |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 56 | 7.2 | 16 | 23 | 5.40 |
| 31 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 48 | 0.21 | 1.44 | 11 | 5.54 |
| 32 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 77 | 0.95 | 1.47 | 11 | 6.78 |
| 32R |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 37 | 1.82 | 2.87 | 12 | 6.78 |
| 33 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 98 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 12 | 5.88 |
| 34 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 75 | 3.81 | 11 | 21 | 5.23 |
| $34 R$ |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 71 | 3.93 | 10 | 12 | 5.23 |
| 35 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 51 | 3.91 | 3.8 | 12 | 4.51 |
| 36 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 71 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 12 | 5.83 |
| 37 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Me, 4-Cl } \\ & 3,5-\mathrm{diCF}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \\ & 3.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 3.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.04 \\ & 6.90 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Me, 4-Cl } \\ & 3,5-\mathrm{diCF}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.19 \\ & 6.69 \end{aligned}$ |
| 41 |  | H | 77 | 7.3 | >32 | >32 | 4.80 |
| 42 |  | 4-OMe | 80 74 | 8.3 3.51 | $>32$ | $15$ | 4.70 5.11 |
| 44 |  | ${ }_{4}^{4-\mathrm{CF}}$ | 74 75 | 14 | >32 | $>32$ 25 | 5.11 5.98 |
| 45 |  | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | 36 | 3.48 | 12 | 25 | 5.83 |
| 46 |  | 4-aza | 64 | >32 | >32 | >32 | 3.85 |
| 47 |  | 2-aza | 62 | 13.5 | >32 | >32 | 3.85 |
| 48 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 65 | 1.92 | 5.9 | 21 | 6.18 |
| 49 |  | 3,5-diCF 3 | 60 | 1.84 | 3.1 | 21 | 6.97 |
| 50 |  | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 47 | >32 | 27 | 23 | 4.17 |
| 51 |  | 2-Me, 4-Cl | 70 | 3.69 | 6.1 | 22 | 5.67 |
| 52 |  | 3,5-diCF 3 | 62 | 3.73 | 4.7 | 22 | 6.64 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Compounds are $S$ enantiomers unless labelled $R$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yield (\%) in the coupling step in Scheme 1.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{MIC}_{90}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ); minimum inhibitory concentration for $90 \%$ inhibition of growth of $M . t b$ strain H37Rv, determined under aerobic (replicating; MABA) [15] or nonreplicating (LORA) [16] conditions, determined at the Institute for Tuberculosis Research, University of Illinois at Chicago. Each value is the mean of at least two independent determinations.
${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in green monkey kidney epithelial (VERO) cells as a measure of mammalian cell toxicity [17].
e clogP values are calculated using ChemDraw Professional v18.01 (CambridgeSoft).
constant $\quad N$-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)acetamide unit with side chains made up of two variable but distinct "linker" and "terminal" regions. There was thus an opportunity to see whether variation in lipophilicity within these regions made differing contributions to MIC potency. The results (equation (2)) suggest that the lipophilicity of the linker unit does have a slightly larger influence on LORA potency than the lipophilicity of the terminal unit.
$\log \left(\right.$ MIC $_{90}($ LORA $)=-0.18( \pm 0.05) \operatorname{clog}_{\text {LINKER }}-0.11( \pm 0.08)$
$\operatorname{clog}_{\text {TERMINUS }}+0.94$

$$
\mathrm{N}=48, \mathrm{R}=0.54, \mathrm{P}=<0.001, \mathrm{~F}=9.3
$$

A wide variety of both aromatic and cyclic aliphatic linker

Table 2
Structures and biological activity of $(S)$ 5-substituted tetrahydronaphthalenes.


| No | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (\%) | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}{ }^{\text {b }}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | clog $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{MR}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MABA | LORA | VERO |  |  |  |
| 5 | Me | 75 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 11 | 6.76 | 6.88 | -0.17 |
| 53 | H | 59 | 0.79 | 2.6 | 11 | 5.83 | 1.03 | 0.00 |
| 54 | Ph | 24 | 0.96 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 7.72 | 25.28 | -0.01 |
| 55 | 4- ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuPh}$ | 28 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 11 | 9.55 | 44.95 | n/a |
| 56 | Bn | 21 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 11 | 7.90 | 31.17 | -0.09 |
| 57 | Br | 71 | 0.61 | 2.8 | 11 | 6.86 | 9.86 | +0.23 |
| 58 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 20 | 5.94 | n/a | +0.78 |
| 59 | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | 13 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.42 | 27.96 | -0.70 (NHMe) |
| 60 | NMepip | 30 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 13 | 5.73 | 31.09 | -0.83 ( $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ) |
| 61 | CN | 32 | 12 | 13 | >32 | 5.66 | 6.74 | +0.66 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yield (\%) in the coupling step in Scheme 1.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{MIC}_{90}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$; minimum inhibitory concentration for $90 \%$ inhibition of growth of $M . t b$ strain H37Rv, determined under aerobic (replicating; MABA [15] or non-replicating (LORA [16] conditions, determined at the Institute for Tuberculosis Research, University of Illinois at Chicago. Each value is the mean of at least two independent determinations.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in green monkey kidney epithelial (VERO) cells as a measure of mammalian cell toxicity [17].
${ }^{\text {d }}$ clogP values, calculated using ChemDraw Professional v18.01 (CambridgeSoft).
${ }^{e}$ Molar refractivity parameter as a measurement of substituent size.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Hammett constant as a measure of substituent electronic contribution to the aromatic system [18].
groups were explored. The most effective linkers in terms of compound potency were the aromatic 1,4 -benzene (compounds 5, 6) and the 1,4-(2-pyridyl (compounds 18-27). The angular pyridine 29 was less effective, while the linear 2,5 -pyrimidine (30) and the 2,5-pyrazine (31) were among the most active compounds in the set (especially when allowing for their considerably lower lipophilicity). In contrast, the linear aminopyridine (36), aminopyrazine (37) and aminopyrimidine (39) linkers were less effective. The 2,5-thiophene 32 was also among the most potent compounds, but a number of the five-membered aromatic linkers in compounds 33-35 (admittedly of much lower overall lipophilicity) were less effective. Finally, a series of 1,4-piperazines (41-49), $N$-piperazin-1amines $(\mathbf{5 0}-\mathbf{5 2})$ and piperazin-1-amides $(\mathbf{5 3}, 54)$ were also less effective than the above compound with linear aromatic linkers.

A number of the different linker units were then paired with a variety of terminal units to evaluate the comparable efficacies of the latter. Comparison of compounds $5,7,11,15,22,28,30,48$ with their counterparts bearing other terminal substitution show that the 2-methyl-4-chloro terminal unit consistently resulted in better activity.

Having established that the optimal sidechain in the THNAs in this study for anti-tubercular potency was the $S$-configuration with a 2-pyridyl linker group and a 2-methyl-4-chloro terminal ring, we then fixed this side chain and explored variations at the 5-position of the tetrahydronaphthalene unit in compounds 53-61 (Table 2).

Compounds 5, 2-pyridyl analogues 18 and 27, pyrimidine 30, pyrazine 31 and thiophene $\mathbf{3 2}$, which displayed unusually superior anti M.tb potency for their lipophilicity profiles (Supplementary Data- Figs. S2B and C) were subject to further study.

### 2.3. Structure-activity relationships for the compounds of Table 2

The results in Table 2 show there is considerable bulk tolerance
at the 5 -position of the tetrahydronaphthalene unit, with substituents varying in size from $H$ (molar refractivity; MR 1.03; compound 53) to benzyl (MR 31.2; compound 56) having no effect on antibacterial potency. This is supported by the MIC/overall lipophilicity relationship for this group (equation (3)) being very similar to that of equation (1), suggesting that, for 5 -substituted compounds the primary determinant of MIC potency is again overall lipophilicity, with the 5 -substituent not making substantial target interactions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\mathrm{MIC}_{90}(\mathrm{MABA})=-0.34( \pm 0.14) \log \mathrm{P}+2.52\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{N}=11 \mathrm{R}=0.64 \mathrm{P}=0.03 \mathrm{~F}=6.2
$$

This region did appear to be quite sensitive to changes in electronics contributed to the aromatic system (as measured by the Hammett constant), with both electron donating (59 and 60) and electron withdrawing ( $\mathbf{5 8}$ and $\mathbf{6 1}$ ) groups detrimental to anti-M.tb activity.

Finally, we evaluated variations in the 8-position of the tetrahydronaphthalene unit (Table 3).

### 2.4. Structure-activity relationships for the compounds of Table 3

Compounds 62-66, bearing a range of cyclic aliphatic strong bases, all showed activity similar to the original $N$-methylpiperazine analogue 5, whereas the weaker aliphatic ( $\mathbf{6 7}$ and 68) and aromatic ( $\mathbf{6 9}$ and 70) bases were inactive, despite having high overall lipophilicity. The concept that the pKa rather than the nature of the base is more important is reinforced by the pyridinetype bases 71-74; the stronger bases $\mathbf{7 1}$ and $\mathbf{7 2}$ were active

Table 3
Structures and biological activity of 8-substituted tetrahydronaphthalenes.
$\mathbf{6 3}$

Table 3 (continued)


Table 3 (continued )

| No | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }^{a}}{\%}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{MIC}_{90}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})}$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{IC}_{50}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})}{\mathrm{VERO}}$ | clogP ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MABA | LORA |  |  |
| 77 |  | 82 | 4.0 | 5.4 | >32 | 6.51 |
| 78 |  | 10 | 15 | 14 | >32 | 6.53 |
| 79 |  | 74 | 7.7 | >32 | >32 | 6.32 |

Footnotes for Table 3: As for Table 2.
inhibitors of bacterial growth, whereas ones with weaker bases (73-76) were not, despite retaining high lipophilicity. Finally, the pyrazole analogues 77-79 had weak activity. Overall this suggests an important role for an ionisable base at the 8 -position.

### 2.5. Preclinical evaluation

2.5.1. Mammalian cell toxicity of THNA compounds

In order to assess safety and selectivity in humans, all compounds were also screened for mammalian cell toxicity in VERO (green monkey kidney cell) [17] cultures (Tables 1-3). For the compounds of Tables 1 and 2 there was no clear overall relationship between their mammalian and mycobacterial cell potencies, but for compounds of Table 3, the weakly basic compounds $\mathbf{6 7 - 7 9}$ were much less toxic in both assays than those ( $\mathbf{6 2 - 6 6}$ ) bearing more basic side chains off the tetrahydronaphthalene chromophore. Based on the best MABA, LORA potencies, and superior selectivity profiles with respect to mammalian cell toxicity (based on the ratio of MABA or LORA inhibition vs VERO), a subset of THNAs were selected for further evaluation (Fig. 3).

A




[^1]

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the compounds 53, 57, 58, $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 1}$ of Table 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) HATU, DIPEA, DMF (53: $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$, 58: $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ over 2 steps); (ii) NBS, DMF, 28 h ( $25 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{NaOtBu}, \mathrm{XPhos}$, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}(13 \%)$; (iv) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3},(0 \text {-tol })_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(32 \%)$; (v) conc. sulphuric acid, nitric acid, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of the compounds $\mathbf{5 4}$ and 55 of Table 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) $p$-TSA, ( $R$ )- N -ethylphenylamine, $\mathrm{PhMe}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ then $\mathrm{NaBH} 4, \mathrm{MeOH}: i-\mathrm{PrOH}(2: 3), 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $18 \mathrm{~h}(37 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{KI}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, benzyl bromide, $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 27 \mathrm{~h}(82 \% \text { ); (iii) Pd( } \mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, BINAP, N -methylpiperazine, $\mathrm{PhMe}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min then $\mathrm{NaOtBu}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(64 \%$ ); (iv) NBS , DMF,


### 2.5.2. Inhibitory effects of THNA compounds on the mammalian

 hERG channelAs previously reported $[23,24]$ the ability of the clinicallyapproved tuberculosis drug bedaquiline ( BDQ ) to inhibit the
hERG cardiac potassium channel, with the concomitant risk of QT prolongation, has been a significant concern. Selected tetrahydronaphthalenes from Tables 1-3 were also evaluated for hERG channel blockade (Table 4) at two fixed concentrations ( 0.3 and


Scheme 4. Synthesis of the compounds $\mathbf{5 6}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ of Table 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) $n$ - BuLi , benzaldehyde $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $11 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{TFA}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}, \mathrm{DCM}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (85\%); (iii) HATU, DIPEA, DMF (56: 21\%, 60: 30\%). (iv) Pd(dppf)Cl 2 , 2 M aq $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, PhMe :EtOH, then $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (25\% over two steps).


Scheme 5. Synthesis of the compound 62 of Table 3. Reagents and conditions; (i) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{BINAP}, \mathrm{PhMe}, \mathrm{NaOtBu}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(76 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(53 \%)$; (iii) HATU, DIPEA, DMF, then TFA, DCM (100\%).
$1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), which translates to about 0.6 and $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. By this assay, compounds $5,18,28,32$, and 43 , bearing an $8-[(4-N-$ methylpiperazinyl)] unit had hERG inhibitory properties similar to that of BDQ (single digit $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), However, compounds 71, 72, 77 and 79 (Table 3) with aromatic heterocycles in that position, were much less hERG-inhibitory, suggesting that structural variations in this position are influential.
2.5.3. Inhibitory effects of THNA compounds on ATP synthase enzymes

The primary anti-tubercular mechanism of action of BDQ is its high selectivity for mycobacterial ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 10 \mathrm{nM}$ ) compared to human ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) ATP synthases [22]. Representative THNA compounds from Tables 1-3 were evaluated (Table 5) for their inhibition of both M.smegmatis and human ATP synthase enzymes. Compounds had M.smegmatis inhibition $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 1 to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, however they were less selective than BDQ over mammalian ATP synthase enzyme. Compounds $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ showed


Scheme 6. Synthesis of the compounds 63, 64, 67, 68, $\mathbf{6 9}$ and $\mathbf{7 0}$ of Table 3. Reagents and conditions; (i) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{BINAP}^{2} \mathrm{PhMe}, \mathrm{NaOtBu}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{or} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{dppf}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$,



Scheme 7. Synthesis of the compounds $\mathbf{6 5}$ and $\mathbf{6 6}$ of Table 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene: $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathbf{1 1 0}: 74 \%, \mathbf{1 1 1}: 84 \%\right.$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, MeOH, 50 psi, 24 h (112: 100\%, 113: 92\%); (iii) HATU, DIPEA, DMF (66: 82\%); (iv) TFA, DCM (65: $63 \%$ over two steps).
the best potency of $0.77 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively, with good selectivity over the human enzyme ( 70 and 50 fold respectively).

### 2.5.4. Microsome stability of THNA compounds

Selected compounds from Tables 1-3 were also evaluated for their stability against mouse and human liver microsome preparations, as a guide to their likely in vivo stability (Table 6). BDQ is
known to be cleared very slowly, leading to a very long in vivo halflife in humans and concomitant concerns about long-term accumulation [22]. The results show that most of the tetrahydronaphthalenes evaluated had desirably faster clearance rates by both mouse liver microsomes (MLM) and human liver microsomes (HLM) than did BDQ.

Finally, a small number of representative compounds from


Scheme 8. Synthesis of the compounds 71-74 of Table 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene: $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathbf{1 1 5}: 57 \%, 116: 65 \%, 117: 65 \%, 118: 68 \%)$; (ii) HATU, DIPEA, DMF (71: $88 \%, 72: 62 \%, 73: 80 \%, 74: 63 \%$ ).


Scheme 9. Synthesis of the compounds $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ of Table 3. Reagents and conditions:: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene: $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}(\mathbf{1 2 4}: 35 \%, \mathbf{1 2 5}: 51 \%, \mathbf{1 2 6}: 78 \%, \mathbf{1 2 7}: 75 \%$, 128: 43\%); ii) $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} / 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) HATU, DIPEA, DMF (75: $22 \%, 76: 53 \%, 77: 46 \%, 78: 10 \%, 79: 74 \%$ over two steps).

Tables 1-3 were evaluated for their PK properties in a mouse model following a single dose of drug at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, and the results are shown in Table 7. BDQ is very lipophilic (clogP 7.25) which has been suggested to contribute to its very long terminal half-life in humans ( 164 days after 8 weeks of dosing) [23]. The THNAs evaluated were considerably less lipophilic than BDQ and had desirably shorter
in vivo half-lives without compromising on total plasma drug exposure (AUC).

## 3. Conclusions

In summary, we show that tetrahydronaphthalene amides, a


Fig. 3. M.tb (MABA and LORA) vs mammalian toxicity (VERO) of THNA analogues. Compounds were selected for further evaluation based on those the displayed the most potent M.tb inhibition, and the best selectivity profiles with respect to their mammalian cytotoxicity, as measured by the ratio to MABA (A) or LORA (B) to VERO. Compounds to the left and below the blue dotted lines highlight the area of the graph display the most desirable criteria.

Table 4
hERG liability data for selected compounds of Tables 1-3

| No | hERG $(\% \text { inhibition })^{a}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 74 | 90 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 81 | 93 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 49 | 88 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 55 | 74 |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 70 | 86 |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 0.6 | 5.8 |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 6.2 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 0.0 | 5.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Inhibition of the hERG potassium channel (\% inhibition at a drug concentration of either 0.3 or $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).
new class of ATP synthase inhibitors are effective inhibitors of M.tb in culture. Systematic investigation of THNA structure-activity relationships revealed the optimal linker and terminal units, stereochemical requirements and tolerated positions for improvement of PK properties. The most effective linker units were 1,4 -substituted benzene and 1,4-(2-pyridyl), while the most effective terminal unit was 2-methyl-4-chlorobenzene. The $S$ enantiomers were about two-fold more potent than the corresponding $R$ enantiomers against M.tb but had broadly equipotent toxicities in mammalian cell cultures. For the (larger group of) $S$ enantiomers there was a modest but significant correlation between lipophilicity and their

Table 5
ATP synthase selectivity for selected compounds of Tables 1-3

| No | M.smeg ATP synth $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Human ATP synth $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Selectivity ratio $^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{B D Q}$ | 0.01 | $>200$ | $>20000$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 2.4 | 18 | 7.5 |
| $\mathbf{5 R}$ | 1.32 | 17.1 | 12.95 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.77 | 53.6 | 69.61 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 2.81 | 52.1 | 18.54 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 4.06 | 16 | 3.94 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 1.5 | 16 | 10.67 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 2.18 | 17.3 | 7.94 |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 3.68 | 12.3 | 3.34 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 2.27 | 29.7 | 13.08 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 1.9 | 15 | 7.89 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 1.0 | 50 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 0.89 | 18 | 20.22 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 1.9 | 15 | 7.89 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 2.7 | 25 | 9.26 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 2.4 | 4.7 | 1.96 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 2.0 | 8.9 | 4.45 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 4.6 | 16.1 | 3.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Inhibition ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of the ATP synthase from M.smegmatis.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Inhibition ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of human ATP synthase.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The selectivity for M.smegmatis over human ATP synthase was obtained from calculating the ratio (Human/M.smegmatis) of the IC ${ }_{50}$ values.
potency of M.tb inhibition. There was considerable bulk tolerance at the 5-position of the tetrahydronaphthalene unit, with substituents

Table 6
Microsomal stability data for selected compounds of Tables 1-3

| No | $M L M$ <br> $C l_{\text {int }}{ }^{a}$ | $H L M$ <br> $C l_{\text {int }}{ }^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BDQ | 3 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 195 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{5 R}$ | 38 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 811 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 219 | 31 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 603 | 49 |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 600 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 933 | 66 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 262 | 43 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 870 | 82 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 100 | 29 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 118 | 57 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 263 | 39 |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 51 | 65 |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 68 | 57 |
| $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 49 | 28 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Clearance of compound by human or mouse liver microsomes ( $\mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ / mg protein).

Table 7
In vivo PK data for representative tetrahydronaphthalenes.

| No | Mouse PK (at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PO})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AUC inf $\mathrm{h}^{*} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~h})^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{B D Q}$ | 21 | 56 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 21 | 11 | 7.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 47 | 9 | 6.76 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 17 | 7 | 5.40 |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 25 | 6.1 | 6.78 |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 39 | 16 | 6.70 |
| $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 67 | 14 | 6.70 |
| $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 30 | 6.5 | 6.51 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Drug exposure (AUC) after a single dose of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.
${ }^{b}$ Drug plasma half-life (hrs).
c Calculated using ChemDraw Professional, version 19.0.0.22.
varying in size from hydrogen to benzyl having similar antibacterial potencies. An ionisable substituent at the 8 -position of the tetrahydronaphthalene unit was important for anti-microbial activity. Results from a representative group of compounds also showed that weak aromatic bases (pyridines and pyrazoles) off the 8 positon of the tetrahydronaphthalene unit could desirably suppress the hERG inhibition activity. A smaller panel of these compounds (5, 18, 32, 71, 72, 77 and 79) exhibited potent M.tb growth inhibition and were therefore taken forward to pharmacokinetic studies. Importantly, THNA analogues $\mathbf{7 2}$ and 77 exhibited the best overall profiles, with potent MABA and LORA values ( $3-5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and no mammalian cytotoxicities ( $>32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), reduced lipophilicity, improved hERG liability ( $1-13 \%$ inhibition of the hERG potassium channel at $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), shorter half-life ( $14-16 \mathrm{~h}$ vs 56 h for bedaquiline) and desirably faster clearance rate compared to the clinically-approved tuberculosis drug bedaquiline. These findings show the potential of novel tetrahydronaphthalene amide-based compounds to be further developed into drug candidates for tuberculosis.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. General information

Final products were analysed by reverse-phase HPLC (Alltima C18 $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column, $15 \times 3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$; Alltech Associated, Inc., Deerfield, IL) using an Agilent HP1100 equipped with a diode-array detector.

Mobile phases were gradients of $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ in $45 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{HCO}_{2}$ at pH 3.5 and $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Purity was determined by monitoring at $330 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ and was $\geq 95 \%$ for all final products. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal 9100 melting point apparatus. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$. Low-resolution atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) mass spectra were measured for solutions on a ThermoFinnigan Surveyor MSQ mass spectrometer, connected to a Gilson autosampler. High resolution mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent G6530B Q-TOF spectrometer, and are reported for $M+H$.

Representative synthesis of compounds that progressed to advanced testing (For experimental procedures of all other final compounds, refer to the Supplementary Data).
4.1.1. General procedure A: (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-$2-y l) p i c o l i n a m i d e ~(5) ~$

A solution of 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)picolinic acid 83 ( $0.184 \mathrm{~g}, 0.746 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 15 mL ) was purged with nitrogen before DIPEA ( $0.48 \mathrm{ml}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. HATU ( $0.285 \mathrm{~g}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 15 min. (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}(0.259 \mathrm{~g}, 0.679 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture and stirred at r.t. for 40 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water and 2 M NaOH solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed to give the crude product, which was purified by silica column chromatography using $\mathrm{MeOH}(0-5 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ in EtOAc as eluent to give 5 ( $0.287 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a white foam. HPLC $99.1 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{ap} \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{ap} \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.45-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.22 (br, 1H), 1.89-1.99 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.0,150.0,144.2,139.5,137.4,135.2,133.9$, $133.7,132.1,131.0,130.5,129.7,129.5,128.2,127.1,126.2,117.4,55.8$, 52.3, 46.3, 45.6, 31.9, 28.8, 25.6, 20.5, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ : 487.2390, found 487.2405.
4.1.2. (R)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl) picolinamide (5R)

The title compound was obtained from ( $R$ )-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 80R and $\mathbf{8 3}$ using the general procedure $A$ to give $\mathbf{5 R}$ (69\%) as a white foam. HPLC 98.5\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81$ (ap d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35 (ap d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.28 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23 (ddd, $J=8.2,2.0$, $0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=16.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72$ (dd, $J=16.5,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (br, 4 H ), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), $2.22(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.0$, $150.0,144.2,139.5,137.4,135.2,133.9,133.7,132.1,131.0,130.5,129.7$, 129.5, 128.2, 127.1, 126.2, 117.4, 55.8, 52.3, 46.3, 45.6, 31.9, 28.8, 25.6, 20.5, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}: 487.2390$, found 487.2400 .
4.1.3. (S)-2-(4'-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-2'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)N -(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)acetamide (6)


A mixture of (4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)boronic acid (2.90 g, 16.1 mmol ), 4-bromo-3-methylphenol ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 32.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 50 mL ) was purged with nitrogen. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} . \mathrm{DCM}(0.655 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen in a sealable tube for 2 h . The mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water, the organic fraction was dried and evaporated. Column chromatography (0-5\% EtOAc:DCM) gave methyl 4'-hydroxy-2'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylate (134) ( $2.89 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ) as a tan solid. mp $166-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08$ (ap d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (ap d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (dd, $J=8.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=243.1$.

Bromo-2-methoxyethane ( $0.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a mixture of $\mathbf{1 3 4}(1.018 \mathrm{~g}, 4.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(91.45 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 20 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 16 h , and then partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic fraction was dried and evaporated, silica column chromatography (2:1 hexanes:DCM) gave methyl 4'-(2-methoxyethoxy)-2'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{1 3 5 ) ( 1 . 1 3 6 \mathrm { g } , 9 0 \% ) \text { as a colourless oil. } { } ^ { 1 } \mathrm { H } , ~ ( 1 )}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{ap} \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (ap d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.17$ (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.76-3.79 (m, 2H), 3.47 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=301.1$.

A solution of $135(0.701 \mathrm{~g}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ), MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for $16 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{LiOH}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}$, 32 mmol ) was added and stirring was continued for another 2 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in water $(50 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ was added until pH 2 was reached, the resulting white precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried to give 4'-(2-methoxyethoxy)-2'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylic acid (136) $(0.655 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a white solid. $\mathrm{mp} 130-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta 12.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (ap d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (ap d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]=285.1$.

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ using the general procedure A to give $\mathbf{6}$ ( $85 \%$ ) as a white foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79$ (ap d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36 (ap d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76-3.78$ (m, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.28 (dd, $J=16.4,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.87 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (bs, 4H), $2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93$ (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.1,158.5,150.0,145.1,136.8,135.2,133.9$, $133.2,132.0,130.9,129.8,129.8,129.7,128.2,126.9,117.4,116.9,112.1$,
71.3, 67.5, 59.5, 55.9, 52.3, 46.4, 45.6, 31.9, 28.9, 25.6, 20.9, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 527.3148, found 527.3161.
4.1.4. $\mathrm{N}-[(2 \mathrm{~S})-5-\mathrm{Methyl}-8-(4-m e t h y l-1-p i p e r a z i n y l)-1,2,3,4-$ tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]-5-phenyl-2-pyridinecarboxamide (18)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-phenylpicolinic acid using the general procedure A to give $\mathbf{1 8}$ (67\%) as a white foam. HPLC $98.1 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.78$ (dd, $J=2.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.49$ (m, 2H), $7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ (dd, $J=16.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.94(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.86-2.81 (m, 4H), 2.70-2.50 (m, 5H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.29-2.25 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.9$, 149.9, 149.0, 146.7, 139.2, 137.3, 135.8, 135.3, 132.1, 130.2, 129.5, 128.9, 128.14, 127.5, 122.5, 117.4, 55.8, 52.2, 46.3, 45.5, 32.1, 29.3, 26.3, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} 441.2639$, found 441.2649.
4.1.5. N-[(2S)-5-Methyl-8-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]-5-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]-2pyridinecarboxamide (20)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)picolinic acid using the general procedure A to give $\mathbf{2 0}(71 \%)$ as a white foam. HPLC $96.7 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.08 (br d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .2 .22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 163.7,149.9,149.4,146.6,137.9,135.9,135.8,135.3,132.1,130.1$, $128.9,128.1,122.6,121.9,117.4,55.8,52.2,46.3,45.5,32.1,29.9,26.2$, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 525.2472, found 525.2457.
4.1.6. (S)-5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl) picolinamide (22)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)picolinic acid 83 using the general procedure A to give $\mathbf{2 2}(55 \%)$ as a white foam. HPLC $98.7 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.32$ (m, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.94-2.99 (m, 2H), 2.76-2.86 (m, 4H), 2.67 (dd, $J=16.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.56 (br, 4H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.28 (br, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), $1.85-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,149.9,149.1,148.3,139.1$,
138.0, 137.7, 136.0, 135.3, 134.6, 132.1, 131.2, 130.8, 130.2, 128.1, 126.6, 122.1, 117.4, 55.8, 52.3, 46.3, 45.5, 32.1, 29.3, 26.2, 20.5, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ : 488.2343, found 488.2352.
4.1.7. (R)-5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl) picolinamide (22R)

The title compound was obtained from ( $R$ )-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 80R and 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)picolinic acid 83 using the general procedure A to give 22R (32\%) as a white foam. HPLC 99.6\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30$ (dd, $J=8.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (dd, $J=8.0$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.27-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=16.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.67$ (dd, $J=16.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,149.9$, 149.1, 148.3, 139.1, 138.0, 137.7, 136.0, 135.3, 134.6, 132.1, 131.2, 130.8, $130.2,128.1,126.6,122.1,117.4,55.8,52.3,46.3,45.5,32.1,29.3,26.2$, 20.5, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: 488.2343$, found 488.2358 .
4.1.8. 5-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-N-[(2S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]-2pyridinecarboxamide (27)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)picolinic acid using the general procedure A to give $\mathbf{2 7}$ (52\%) as a white foam. HPLC 97.9\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.79,(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.04 (br s, 2H), 7.97 (br s, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
procedure A to give $\mathbf{2 8}(83 \%)$ as a white foam. HPLC 97.4\%: mp $153-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.99$, (dd, $\left.J=2.2,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.08$ (dd, $J=8.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.47 (dd, $J=8.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.37-7.27$ (m. $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.35$ (s, 3H), $2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.3,161.7,150.0,147.6,138.1$, $135.8,135.1,134.8,132.1,131.1,131.0,129.3,128.8,128.4,126.4,124.0$, $117.5,55.8,52.2,46.3,45.8,31.8,28.7,25.5,20.5,19.6$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O} 489.2416$, found 489.2408 .
4.1.10. 5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-[(2S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl] nicotinamide (29)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)nicotinic acid using the general procedure A to give 29 (69\%) as a white foam. HPLC 95.3\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.2$, 152.3, 150.0, 146.4, 137.7, 136.7, 135.8, 135.8, 135.0, 134.6, 132.1, 131.3, $130.8,130.4,129.3,128.4,126.6,117.5,55.9,52.3,46.4,46.0,31.7$, 28.7, 25.5, 20.5, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O} 489.2416$, found 489.2413.
4.1.11. (S)-2-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl) pyrimidine-5-carboxamide (30)

6.93 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.3,150.5,149.8,146.7,139.5,136.3,136.2,135.2,133.5$, $133.2,132.8,132.5,132.2,130.0,128.2,128.6,127.3,124.6,122.8$, $122.6,122.6,121.9,119.2,117.5,55.7,52.0,46.0,45.6,32.0,29.2,26.1$, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ 577.2399, found 577.2399.
4.1.9. 6-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-[(2S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl] nicotinamide (28)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 6-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)nicotinic acid using the general

A mixture of 5-bromo-2-iodopyrimidine ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)boronic acid ( $0.980 \mathrm{~g}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was purged with nitrogen. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed under nitrogen for 3 h . Workup and chromatography on silica gave 5-bromo-2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrimidine $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ ( $0.716 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ) as a white solid. mp $104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=283.0,285.0$, 287.0.

Triethylamine ( $0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $150(0.605 \mathrm{~g}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a Berghof pressure reactor, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ $(0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DPPP ( $0.088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reactor was evacuated and then flushed twice with carbon monoxide, then pressurised with carbon monoxide to 80 psi and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water, the organic extracts were dried and evaporated. Chromatography on silica using 4:1 hexanes:EtOAc gave methyl 2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrimidine-5-carboxylate $151(0.520 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a white crystalline solid. mp. 108-109 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.34$ (s, 2 H ), 7.95 (dd, $J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29-7.32 (m, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.60 (s, 3H). LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=263.2,265.1$.

LiOH ( $0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 5.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 10 mL ) was added to a solution of $151(0.441 \mathrm{~g}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) and MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, then stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was diluted with water ( 80 mL ), 2 M HCl was added until pH 2 , the resulting white precipitate was filtered and dried to give 2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid $152(0.230 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$ as a white solid. $\mathrm{mp}>230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta 13.84$ (bs, 1H), $9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=249.1$, 251.1.

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid 152 using the general procedure $A$ to give $\mathbf{3 0}$ (54\%) as a white foam. HPLC 97.8\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5$, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.49-2.65 (br, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.18-2.26 (br, 1H), 1.95-2.05 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.5,163.5,155.8,150.0$, $140.3,136.3,135.6,135.0,132.5,132.1,131.7,129.1,128.5,126.4$, 125.2, 117.6, 55.8, 52.3, 46.3, 46.0, 31.7, 28.6, 25.4, 21.7, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}: 489.2295$, found 489.2311.
4.1.12. (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)pyrazine-2-carboxamide (31)

A mixture of (4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)boronic acid (3.57 g, 21.0 mmol ) and tert-butyl 5-chloropyrazine-2-carboxylate ( 3.743 g , 17.4 mmol ) in toluene ( 150 mL ), MeOH ( 60 mL ) and aqueous sodium carbonate ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purged with nitrogen. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. $\mathrm{DCM}(0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed under nitrogen for 45 min . The mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water and the organic fractions were dried and evaporated. Chromatography on silica using 9:1 hexanes:EtOAc gave tert-butyl 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrazine-2-carboxylate $153(5.22 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a colourless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.28-7.33 (m, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 9H). LRMS Found: $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]=249.1$, 251.1.

A solution of $153(0.523 \mathrm{~g}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trifluoroacetic acid ( $2.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 20 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , and then at reflux for 1 h . Evaporation of the solvent gave a yellow solid, which was triturated with water and then dried to give 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrazine-2carboxylic acid 154 ( $0.415 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) as a white solid. mp. $211-213{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta 13.79$ (bs, 1 H$), 9.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (dd, $J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ (s, 3H). LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=249.1$, 251.1.

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid 154 using the general procedure A to give $31(40 \%)$ as a white foam. HPLC 95.5\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.92-2.97 (m, 2H), 2.80-2.87 (m, 4H), 2.70 (dd, $J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56$ (bs, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.7,157.0,150.0,143.6$, $142.5,138.7,135.9,135.1,134.8,132.1,131.5,131.4,129.8,128.2,126.8$, $117.5,55.9,52.3,46.3,45.5,31.9,29.1,26.0,20.6,19.6$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ : 489.2295, found 489.2300.
4.1.13. (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl) thiophene-2-carboxamide (32)



A mixture of 5-bromothiophene-2-carboxylic acid (2.29 g, 11.1 mmol ), (4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)boronic acid (1.98 g, $11.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(7.21 \mathrm{~g}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF/toluene (1:2, 50 mL ) was purged with nitrogen. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for
calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{OS}$ : 493.1955, found 493.1968.
4.1.14. General procedure B: (S)-1-(5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl) pyridin-2-yl)-3-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)urea (36)


18 h . The mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water, the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 2 with 2 M HCl , then extracted with EtOAc. The organic fractions were dried and then evaporated on to silica gel, chromatography on silica using EtOAc gave 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)thiophene-2-carboxylic acid 155 ( 1.777 g , $63 \%$ ) as an off white solid. mp. 206-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ $\delta 13.18$ (bs, 1H), 7.73 (d, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]=251.1,253.1$.

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ and 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid 155 using the general procedure A to give $\mathbf{3 2}$ (77\%) as a white foam. HPLC 97.4\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4$, $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58$ (b, 4H), 2.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ (s, 3H), 1.91 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 161.5, 150.0, 146.6, 139.1, $138.2,135.2,134.4,132.1,132.0,131.7,131.0,129.6,128.3,128.1,127.3$, 126.4, 117.4, 55.9, 52.3, 46.4, 45.8, 31.9, 29.0, 25.7, 21.2, 19.6. HRMS

To a suspension of 5-bromopyridin-2-amine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 10 mL ) in an ice bath was added 4-nitrophenyl carbonochloridate ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) portionwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with DCM, and dried under vacuum to give the product 165 as a white solid ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ) which was used crude for the next step.

To a solution of $\mathbf{8 0}(0.260 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 10 mL ) at room temperature was added $165(0.405 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by trimethylamine ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred overnight and distributed between water and ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed to give the crude product, which was purified by Davisil® column chromatography, using gradient mixtures of MeOH and $\mathrm{DCM}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=8-15 \%)$ as eluent to give the product $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ as a white solid ( $0.388 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ): mp $185-187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.23 (br, 1H), 8.07 (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.03 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25$ (dd, $J=16.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.98$ (m,

2H), 2.78-2.88 (m, 4H), 2.66-2.72 (m, 1H), 2.56 (br, 4H), $2.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 458.15500$, found 458.15472 .

A mixture of (S)-1-(5-bromopyridin-2-yl)-3-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)urea
166 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)boronic acid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aqueous sodium carbonate ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 0.39 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 2 mL ) and EtOH ( 1 mL ) was purged with nitrogen gas before $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0065 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting mixture was heated in an oil bath at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After the solvent was removed, the residue was taken in EtOAc and washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica, using mixtures of MeOH and DCM ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=5-10 \%$ ) as eluent, followed by recrystallisation from DCM and heptane to give $\mathbf{3 6}$ as a white solid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ): HPLC $98.0 \%$. mp 112- $115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 9.20 (br, 1H), 7.95 (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.92-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{br}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O} 504.25246$, found 504.25242.
4.1.15. (S)-4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxamide (43)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-5-methyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 0}$
and 1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine using the general procedure C to give 43 ( $74 \%$ ) as a white solid. HPLC $95.5 \%$. mp $189-190^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.95-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.3,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10$ (apparent $\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.9,157.3$, $156.5,150.0,148.0,148.0,135.5,132.0,130.2,128.1,118.6,118.6,117.3$, 116.0, 115.8, 55.9, 52.3, 50.4, 46.4, 46.4, 44.1, 32.5, 29.6, 25.9, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O} 466.29818$, found 466.29871.
4.1.16. (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)picolinamide (53)

The title compound was obtained from (S)-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 3}$ using the general procedure A to give 53 (59\%) as a white solid. HPLC $99.9 \% . \mathrm{mp} 72-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (dd, $J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=16.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.70-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.94-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 163.7, 152.0, 149.1, 148.3, $139.2,138.0,137.7,137.0,136.1,134.6,131.2,130.8,130.1,126.7,126.6$, $124.6,122.1,117.5,55.8,52.2,46.3,45.9,31.8,29.3,28.3,20.5$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ : 475.2259, found 475.2259.
4.1.17. (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)picolinamide (54)


Step i. 8-Bromo-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (18.39 g, 81.7 mmol ) in toluene ( 50 mL ) was added pTSA ( 0.155 g , 0.817 mmol ) and ( $R$ )- N -ethylphenylamine ( 11.59 mL , 89.9 mmol ). The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sodium borohydride ( $4.95 \mathrm{~g}, 130.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol:isopropanol (2:3) was added in portions. The reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 50 mL ), extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and evaporated. The crude product was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ), added anhydrous HCl ( $24.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane) dropwise. The mixture was sonicated until white precipitate forms. The precipitate was filtered, collected into a flask. The white precipitate was added ethyl acetate:ethanol ( $2: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was cooled to $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and filtered to give (S)-8-bromo- $N$-((R)-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $\mathbf{8 6}$ as white solids ( $11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%$ ). $\alpha \mathrm{D}=-39.3^{\circ} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.43-10.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.29-7.27 (m, 1H), 6.94-6.89 (m, 2H), 4.60-4.50 (m, 1H), 3.52 (d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.24-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=330.2$.
Step ii. (S)-8-Bromo-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 86 ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 30 mL ) was added potassium iodide ( 0.045 g , $0.273 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by benzyl bromide ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was refluxed at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 27 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The residue purified by silica column chromatography using hexanes:EtOAc ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=2 \%$ ) to give $(S)-\mathrm{N}$-benzyl-8-bromo- N -((R)-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 87 as a white foam ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.24-7.19 (m, 2H), 6.97-6.89 (m, 2H), $4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.92 (d, $J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 3.02 (dd, $J=17.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.60$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.51-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=420.2$.
Step iii. ( $S$ )-N-benzyl-8-bromo- $N$-(( $(R)$-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 87 ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene ( 30 mL ) and flushed with nitrogen for 5 min . Palladium acetate ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), BINAP ( 0.22 g , 0.356 mmol ) and N -methyl piperazine ( $0.662 \mathrm{~g}, 6.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Sodium tert-butoxide ( $0.599 \mathrm{~g}, 6.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The residue purified by silica column chromatography using EtOAc to give ( $S$ ) $-N$-benzyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin1 -yl)- $N$-((R)-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2amine 88 as a white solid ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99-2.92 (m, 2H), 2.81-2.73 (m, 3H), 2.67-2.45 (m, 6H), 2.39
$(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ). LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=440.3$.
Step iv. (S)-N-Benzyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 88 ( 3.11 g , 7.07 mmol ) in DMF ( 20 mL ) was added N -bromosuccinamide $(1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 9.20 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 72 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The residue purified by silica column chromatography using EtOAc: $\mathrm{MeOH}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=5 \%)$ to give (S)-N-benzyl-5-bromo-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 89 as white solids $(2.89 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.39 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.73 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99-2.92 (m, 2H), 2.76-2.68 (m, 3H), 2.58-2.42 (m, 6H), 2.39 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=518.2$.
Step v. 89 ( $0.283 \mathrm{~g}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene: EtOH (10:4 mL) and flushed with nitrogen for 5 min . Phenylboronic acid ( $0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ solution, 2.18 mmol ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was bubbled nitrogen for 5 min , followed by addition of PddppfCl 2. DCM $(0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated in a sealed tube at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solvent was removed, and purified by silica column chromatography using EtOAc:MeOH ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=15 \%$ ) to give $91(0.084 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.71-2.43 (m, 7H), $2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=516.3$.
Step vi. 91 ( $0.185 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added $\mathrm{AcOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$ at 60 psi for 48 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to dryness to give pure $\mathbf{9 3}$ as a colorless, viscous oil which was used directly for the next step. 5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)picolinic acid $83 \quad(0.100 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.43 mmol ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was purged with nitrogen before DIPEA ( $0.093 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. $\operatorname{HATU}(0.177 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred for 15 min . ( $S$ )-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 93 ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred at r.t. for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc acetate and washed with water and 2 M NaOH solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed to give the crude product, which was purified by silica column chromatography using EtOAc:MeOH ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=20 \%$ ) as eluent to give 54 as a white foam ( $0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp} 95-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC $97.4 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.79 (dd, $J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.04-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.76-2.53 (m, 5H), $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.81-1.72 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,151.0,149.0,148.3$, $142.1,139.2,138.0,137.9,137.7,136.0,134.7,134.6,131.2,130.8$, $130.5,129.5,128.3,128.3,126.9,126.6,122.1,117.6,55.6,52.1$, 46.1, 45.6, 32.2, 29.5, 27.5, 20.5. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ : 550.2499 , found 550.2500 .
4.1.18. (S)-N-(5-Benzyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl) picolinamide (56)
water and 2 M NaOH solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed to give the crude product, which was


Step i. 89 ( $0.868 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 20 mL ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.09 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2 M solution in diethyl ether, 2.18 mmol ) was added followed by benzaldehyde ( 0.532 g , $5.31 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture was added water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The residue purified by silica column chromatography using EtOAc: $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=5 \%\right.$ ) to give 95 ( $0.096 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.25$ (m, 8H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 4H), 6.90 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.80-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.47$ (m, 5H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.72-1.65 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=546.3$.
Step ii. 95 ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 20 mL ), added TFA ( $0.136 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by triethylsilane $(0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.366 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at r.t. for 72 h . The reaction mixture was washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, water and extracted with DCM. The solvent was removed and the crude reaction mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$ at 55 psi for 72 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to dryness to give pure 96 as a colorless, viscous oil which was used directly for the next step. 5-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)picolinic acid $\mathbf{8 3}$ ( $0.059 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was purged with nitrogen before DIPEA ( $0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. HATU ( $0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 15 min. (S)-5-Benzyl-8-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 96 ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred at r.t. for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with
purified by silica column chromatography using EtOAc/:MeOH ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=20 \%$ ) as eluent to give $\mathbf{5 6}$ as a white foamy solid ( 0.025 g , 21\%). HPLC 95.6\%. mp $77-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49$ (dd, $J=2.1,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 3.38 (dd, $J=16.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.02-2.95$ (m, 2H), 2.91-2.75 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,150.3,149.1$, 148.3, 140.5, 139.1, 138.0, 137.7, 136.0, 135.3, 134.6, 134.3, 131., $130.8,130.5,128.9,128.8,128.6,126.6,126.1,122.0,117.5,55.7$, 52.1, 46.1, 45.4, 39.2, 32.2, 29.3, 25.9, 20.5. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: 564.2656$, found 564.2652 .
4.1.19. 5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-\{(2S)-8-[4-(dimethylamino)-1-piperidinyl]-5-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl\}-2-pyridinecarboxamide (63)

102 was obtained from (S)-8-bromo-5-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 101 and 4 -(dimethylamino)piperidine using the general procedure D to give $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ ( $76 \%$ ) as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22$ (ddd, $J=16.2,4.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.74(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.71-2.60 (m, 1H), $2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ (s, 3H), 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.64 (m). LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=288$.

The title compound was obtained from 102 and 5-(4-chloro-2methylphenyl)picolinic acid $\mathbf{8 3}$ using the general procedure A to give $63(86 \%)$ as a white foam. HPLC $95.0 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49$, (dd, $J=2.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ (dd, $J=8.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.08 (br d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.50$ (m, 5H), $2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.8,163.7,150.4,149.2,149.1,149.0,148.3$, $148.3,139.2,139.1,138.0,138.0,137.7,136.1,136.0,135.4,135.3,134.6$,
134.5, 132.5, 131.8, 131.2, 130.8, 130.8, 130.2, 130.1, 128.1, 128.0, $126.6,126.6,122.0,117.3,117.2,62.5,56.1,55.2,52.8,52.3,52.1,51.5$, $45.6,45.5,41.7,41.5,32.1,32.0,29.4,29.3,29.3,29.2,29.0,28.4,28.1$, 27.8, 26.3, 24.2, 20.5, 19.6, 19.6. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}$; 517.2734, found 517.2730.
4.1.20. 5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-I(2S)-5-methyl-8-(4-pyridinyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]-2-
pyridinecarboxamide (71)
115 was obtained from (S)-8-bromo-5-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 101 and pyridin-4-ylboronic acid using the general procedure B to give crude 115 which was used directly for the next step.

The title compound was obtained from 115 and 5-(4-chloro-2methylphenyl)picolinic acid 83 using the general procedure A to give $71(88 \%)$ as a white foam. $\mathrm{HPLC} 96 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.61$ (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45$, (dd, $J=2.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22$ (dd, $J=8.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 8.01 (br d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.75 (dd, $J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (d, J = $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.13 (m, 2H), 6.98 (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4$, $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.32 ( s and $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,150.0,149.8,148.8,148.3,139.2,138.0$, $137.7,137.7,137.2,136.0,135.0,134.6,131.6,131.2,130.8,128.0,127.0$, 126.6, 126.6, 124.7, 122.0, 45.6, 38.8, 35.3, 29.2, 26.4, 20.5, 20.1. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O} 468.1854$, found 468.1848.
4.1.21. 5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-[(2S)-5-methyl-8-(3-pyridinyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyll-2-
pyridinecarboxamide (72)
116 was obtained from (S)-8-bromo-5-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine 101 and pyridin-3-ylboronic acid using the general procedure B to give 116 (65\%) as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=239$.

The title compound was obtained from 116 and 5-(4-chloro-2methylphenyl)picolinic acid 83 using the general procedure A to give $72(62 \%)$ as a white foam. HPLC $91 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\right.$ drop of $\left.\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)\right) \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.49$, (br s, 1 H$), 8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 7.00 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.35 (br, 1H), 3.02-2.80 (m), 2.75 (dd, $J=16.4,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,150.2,148.8,148.3,148.3,139.2,138.0$, 137.7, 137.0, 136.8, 136.7,136.0, 135.0, 134.6, 132.3, 131.2, 130.8.128.0, 127.8, 126.6, 123.3, 122.0, 45.6, 35.5, 29.2, 26.3, 20.5, 20.1. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O} 468.1854$, found 468.1856 .
4.1.22. (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(1H-pyrazol-5-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)picolinamide (77)

126 was obtained from 97 and (1H-pyrazol-5-yl)boronic acid 121 using the general procedure B to give 126 (78\%) as a crude product. This was immediately dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.30 \mathrm{~g})$ at 60 psi for 72 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to dryness to give pure 131 as a colorless, viscous oil which was used directly for the next step.

The title compound was obtained from 131 and 5-(4-chloro-2methylphenyl)picolinic acid $\mathbf{8 3}$ using the general procedure A to give 77 (46\%) as a white foam. HPLC $98.7 \%$. mp 147- $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.38$ (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97-2.82 (m, 3H), 2.33-2.25 (m, 1H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
1.98-1.88 (m, 1H) (NH not observed). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.8$, $148.8,148.2,139.1,138.0,137.7,137.2,136.0,135.0,134.5,132.9,131.2$, 130.8, 129.8, 127.9, 127.4, 126.6, 122.0, 106.1, 45.6, 35.1, 28.9, 26.1, 20.5, 20.1. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=$ 457.2. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 456.1717$ found 456.1730 .
4.1.23. (S)-5-(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)-N-(5-methyl-8-(1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl) picolinamide (79)

128 was obtained from 97 and (1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl) boronic acid 123 using the general procedure B to give $\mathbf{1 2 8}(43 \%)$ as a crude product. This was immediately dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.30 \mathrm{~g})$ at 60 psi for 72 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to dryness to give pure $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ as a colorless, viscous oil which was used directly for the next step.

The title compound was obtained from 133 and 5-(4-chloro-2methylphenyl)picolinic acid $\mathbf{8 3}$ using the general procedure A to give 79 ( $74 \%$ ) as a white foam. HPLC $98.7 \%$. mp $76-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.07 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.77 (dd, $J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=16.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7,148.9$, 148.3, 139.4, 139.2, 138.0, 137.7, 136.0, 135.6, 134.8, 134.6, 132.3, $131.2,130.9,130.8,129.1,127.8,127.5,126.7,122.0,121.9,45.7,39.2$, 35.6, 29.0, 26.2, 20.5, 20.0. LRMS Found: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]=471.1$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ : 470.1873 , found 470.1885 .
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[^1]:    Scheme 1. Synthesis of the compounds 5-35(A), 36-40(B) and 41-52 (C) of Table 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) HATU, DIPEA, DMF; (ii) Et $\mathbf{3}$, $\mathrm{NCM}, \mathrm{MeCN}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ aq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, PhMe:EtOH, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, PhMe, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 4$-nitrophenyl chloroformate, DCM.

