

RESEARCHSPACE@AUCKLAND

http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the <u>Library Thesis Consent Form</u> and <u>Deposit Licence</u>.

Note: Masters Theses

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

Mathematical Modelling of an Annealing Furnace

Nicholas Brian Depree

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Chemical and Materials Engineering, The University of Auckland, 2009.

Abstract

The metal coating line at New Zealand Steel relies on a large electric radiant furnace to heat steel strip before hot-dip galvanising in a continuous process. The temperature evolution of the strip inside the furnace is vital in ensuring the specified mechanical properties are achieved for a range of steel products. Ductile products require high temperatures sufficient to cause recrystallisation of the steel microstructure, while stronger products must be heated without causing recrystallisation. Strip dimensions and desired properties are changed often and irregularly during operation, and these changes and associated furnace control actions cause changes in furnace and strip temperatures and rate of heat transfer over several different time scales.

Accurate control of temperature is difficult because temperature measurement devices are strongly affected by reflected radiation in the furnace cavity. The furnace is often operating during transient temperature conditions, as control actions take effect very slowly compared to the rate of change of operational targets. Understanding of the transient behaviour of this system of interrelated, nonlinear variables can be improved using modelling to calculate furnace and strip temperatures as a result of control actions in real time, which cannot otherwise be measured or predicted.

It is shown that a three-dimensional model is capable of accurately calculating furnace temperatures changing over both time and location, requiring minimal simplification of the physical system, but is computationally expensive. Radiative heat exchange in the furnace cavity causes significantly increased temperature along the edges of the steel strip, which can cause reject product due to localised softening. It was found that furnace thermocouples are strongly affected by reflected radiation, so that furnace wall temperatures be may significantly hotter than measured.

A simplified, coupled temperature-metallurgical model was shown to accurately calcu-

late both furnace and strip temperatures and metallurgical changes, while the 3D model provides understanding of effects not explicitly modelled in the simplified model. The simplified model is used for optimisation of furnace operational parameters, to improve plant throughput and energy efficiency while maintaining desired metallurgical properties, which is demonstrated by application to common products at NZ Steel.

Acknowledgements

I firstly wish to thank my academic supervisors, Professors John Chen, Mark Taylor and James Sneyd for accepting me as their student and offering this interesting project for study. Their advice, insight and knowledge of course proved invaluable in developing my work and thesis, and their support has allowed me to present this work in conferences and publications.

I also thank Professor Steve Taylor for his extensive help and support in developing my mathematical knowledge and solutions. His earlier work on this problem and further suggestions were very useful and influential.

I wish to acknowledge the support of New Zealand Steel, without which this project would not have been possible. I thank Michael O'Connor for his supervision and guidance on the direction of the project, knowledge of previous investigations, and technical suggestions and advice. I thank Phil Bagshaw for organising and approving the project and my candidacy, and Nebojsa Joveljic for his extensive knowledge of the MCL plant, process metallurgy, and for critically examining my work and assumptions.

Financial support for this project was provided by the Foundation for Research Science and Technology (FRST), Auckland Uniservices Ltd, and New Zealand Steel, which enabled me to pursue this research, and is gratefully acknowledged.

I also wish to thank the staff and students at the Light Metals Research Centre and the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering at the University of Auckland for accommodating me during this study, and providing resources and especially companionship and an enjoyable working environment throughout. Lastly I wish to thank my family and friends and partner Abby for their support and encouragement.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction 1
	1.1	Background
	1.2	Annealing Furnace Characteristics
	1.3	Study Aims
	1.4	Methodology
	1.5	Thesis Outline
2	$\operatorname{Lit}\epsilon$	erature Review 8
	2.1	The Continuous Galvanising Process
		2.1.1 Cold Rolling
		2.1.2 Phase Diagram and Crystal Structure
	2.2	Annealing
		2.2.1 Recovery
		2.2.2 Recrystallisation
		2.2.3 Grain Growth
	2.3	Annealing Furnace Modelling
		2.3.1 Mathematical Models
		2.3.2 Statistical Models
		2.3.3 Model Based Control Systems
		2.3.4 Model Type Selection
	2.4	Heat Transfer
		2.4.1 Conductive Heat Transfer
		2.4.2 Convective Heat Transfer
		2.4.3 Radiative Heat Transfer
		2.4.4 The Heat Equation
	2.5	Prior Studies of the NZ Steel MCL Furnace
		2.5.1 NZ Steel Steady State Model
		2.5.2 MISG Furnace Model
		2.5.3 SYDAC Model
		2.5.4 Strip and Thermocouple Temperatures
		2.5.5 Requirements For New Model
	2.6	Metallurgical Calculation Methods
		2.6.1 Kinetics of Recrystallisation
		2.6.2 State Variable Methods
		2.6.3 Statistical Methods
		2.6.4 Empirical Methods

		2.6.5	Furnace Model Calculations	55
	2.7	Metall	lurgical Model for NZ Steel	
		2.7.1	Model Basis	
		2.7.2	Metallurgical Model Development	
		2.7.3	Recrystallisation Trials	68
3	Pra	ctical '	Temperature Calculation to Improve Control	72
	3.1	Difficu	ulty of Measurement and Control	72
	3.2	Metho	od of Solution	73
	3.3	Scope	of Research	73
		3.3.1	Model furnace system in COMSOL software	
		3.3.2	Examine furnace model behaviour	74
		3.3.3	Simplify equation system	75
		3.3.4	Build simplified temperature model	. 75
		3.3.5	Create integrated metallurgical model	
		3.3.6	Demonstrate optimisation using model	76
4	Mo	del Me	ethodology and Development	77
	4.1		luction	. 77
	4.2		ce Physical Design	
		4.2.1	Furnace Heating Method and Equipment	
		4.2.2	Furnace Cooling Method and Equipment	
		4.2.3	Temperature Measurement Equipment and Location	
		4.2.4	Hearth Rolls	. 89
	4.3	Furna	ce Control	90
		4.3.1	Introduction	90
		4.3.2	Furnace Operating Cycles	90
		4.3.3	Control During Cycle Change	91
	4.4	Model	theory development	94
		4.4.1	Introduction	94
		4.4.2	Conductive Heat Transfer Calculations	
		4.4.3	Radiative Heat Transfer Calculations	. 97
		4.4.4	Convective Heat Transfer Calculations	98
	4.5	3D Mo	odel Development	103
		4.5.1	Introduction	103
		4.5.2	F3DC Model Assumptions and Simplifications	103
		4.5.3	F3DC Model Equations	
		4.5.4	F3DC Model Calculation Procedure	
		4.5.5	F3DC Model Outputs	
	4.6	Simpli	ified Model Development	
		4.6.1	Introduction	
		4.6.2	RDHT Model Assumptions and Operation	
		4.6.3	RDHT Model Geometry	
		4.6.4	RDHT Model Calculation Method	
		4.6.5	Radiation Equation Approximation	
		466	Heat Balance Calculation	128

		4.6.7 Strip Heat Equation Approximation
		4.6.8 Wall Finite Difference Approximation
		4.6.9 RDHT Model Outputs
	4.7	Metallurgical Modelling
		4.7.1 Introduction
		4.7.2 Equation Fitting
		4.7.3 Recrystallisation Temperature Comparison
		4.7.4 Integration into RDHT Model
5	Mo	del Results and Validation 156
	5.1	Introduction
	5.2	Validation Data Selection
	5.3	F3DC Model Results and Validation
		5.3.1 Validation of Cycle 24 0.4mm x 940mm G550 Strip Model 160
		5.3.2 Validation of Cycle 15 0.39mm x 905mm G300 Strip Model 165
		5.3.3 Thermocouple Block Temperature Variation
		5.3.4 F3DC Model Strip Edge Heating
	5.4	RDHT Model Temperature Results Validation
		5.4.1 Model Result Interpretation
		5.4.2 RDHT Model Temperature Results
	5.5	Comparison of RDHT and F3DC Model Results
	5.6	RDHT-CM Model Recrystallisation Results Validation
		5.6.1 Soft Iron Recrystallised Products
		5.6.2 Hard Iron Recovered Products
		5.6.3 Production Coils With Incorrect Heat Treatment
	5.7	RDHT-CM Transient Temperature Results
	J.,	5.7.1 Cycle Change from Hard to Soft Iron
		5.7.2 Other Cycle Change Simulations
	5.8	Summary of Model Results
6		CL Furnace Optimisation 201
		Introduction
	6.2	Selection of MCL Products
	6.3	Simulation of Selected Products
		6.3.1 Product 1. 0.4 940 G550 A 24A
		6.3.2 Product 2. 0.39 905 G300 A 15B
		6.3.3 Product 3. 0.55 938 G300 A 14B
	6.4	Furnace Optimisation Summary
7	Cor	nclusions and Further Work 216
	7.1	Conclusions
		7.1.1 F3DC Model Results
		7.1.2 RDHT Model Results
		7.1.3 RDHT-CM Model Results
		7.1.4 Furnace Optimisation
		7.1.5 Project Aims

	7.2	Recom	nmended Further Work	. 222
		7.2.1	RDHT Model Improvements and Applications	. 222
		7.2.2	Improved Transient Solutions	. 224
		7.2.3	Improved Temperature Model Fitting	. 224
		7.2.4	Improved Metallurgical Model Fitting	. 225
\mathbf{A}	Non	nencla	ture	226
	A.1	Genera	al Nomenclature	. 226
	A.2	Greek	Symbols	. 227
	A.3	Physic	eal Constants	. 227
	A.4	RDHT	C-CM Temperature Model Specific	. 228
	A.5	RDHT	T-CM Metallurgical Model Specific	. 228
В	RD	HT-CN	M Model Variable List	229
\mathbf{C}	RD	HT-CN	M Model Example Code	232
Bi	Bibliography 24			

List of Figures

1.1	Schematic diagram of the Metal Coating Line process	2
1.2	Furnace schematic diagram showing location of Pyrometers IR1-4 and L1-L4, Induction Pre-heaters B1-C4 and Contact Thermocouple	2
2.1	Comparison of grain structure distortion in cold rolled low-carbon steel	11
2.2	The iron-carbon phase diagram	12
2.3	Property changes during the stages of annealing	14
2.4	Changes in grain structure of typical cold worked steel during annealing	15
2.5 2.6	Example of transient solution of strip temperature during cycle change Strip temperature and heat transfer coefficient results for direct fired fur-	21
	nace using stepwise model	22
2.7 2.8	Side and end elevations of similar model for indirectly fired furnace Schematic diagrams of multi-layer predictive control schemes implemented	23
	on several continuous annealing lines	27
2.9	Schematic diagram of a predictive control scheme utilising expert system .	28
2.10	Steady state solution for furnace wall and strip temperatures of the MISG	
	model	45
2.11	Predicted temperature variation across the strip width for fixed strip centre temperature of 500°C and wall temperature 900°C	48
2.12	Predicted temperature variation along thermocouple length for fixed strip	10
	centre temperature of 500°C and wall temperature 950°C	48
2.13	Annealing kinetics of an Iron crystal deformed at 70% and annealed at	
	various temperatures	52
2.14	Typical grain size difference in hot rolled vs warm rolled microstructure	65
2.15	Recrystallisation experiment results showing property changes with tem-	
	perature for 0.4mm Warm Rolled steel	68
2.16	Results for 0.4mm Hot Rolled steel where micrographs show recrystallisa-	
	tion earlier than indicated by softening of steel	69
2.17	Possible band of selections for recrystallisation start and end temperatures	
2.10	for 0.75mm warm rolled strip	70
2.18	Experimentally determined recrystallisation start temperatures for NZ Steel	
0.10	strip	71
2.19	Experimentally determined recrystallisation complete temperatures for NZ	71
	Steel strip	71
4.1	Typical cross section of MCL annealing furnace heating zone	78

4.2	Photograph inside furnace heating zone showing heating elements installed	
	on roof and floor. The hearth rolls are removed here	. 79
4.3	A warped heating element after removal from furnace	. 80
4.4	Elevation of Zone 20 'Hot Bridle Zone'	. 81
4.5	Typical cross section of annealing furnace cooling zone	. 82
4.6	Cross section of gas jet cooler units	. 83
4.7	Photograph showing furnace zone thermocouples installed in furnace	. 85
4.8	Gold cup and pyrometer measurement comparison in Zone 12	. 86
4.9	Error between gold cup and pyrometer measurements at all pyrometer lo-	
	cations	. 87
4.10	Contact thermocouple mechanism installed in transition section between	
	preheater and furnace entry	. 88
4.11	Typical hearth roll assembly in heating section	. 89
4.12	List of common furnace cycles for different products depending on grade	
	and dimensions	. 91
4.13	Comparison of furnace zone temperatures for a hard iron product and a	
	soft iron product of the same dimensions	. 92
4.14	Example of manual control of linespeed and preheater control during a	
	cycle change from 0.55mm to 0.95mm gauge strip, causing incorrect peak	
	strip temperature	. 93
4.15	Demonstration of using 'mirror wall' along furnace plane of symmetry to	
	maintain radiative heat transfer inside furnace cavity	
	COMSOL model of a single cast nichrome heating element	. 105
4.17	COMSOL model used to compare radiative intensity from a square heating	
	block (right) against a serpentine cast heating element (left)	. 106
4.18	End view of F3DC model showing representation of thermocouple using a	
	protruding rectangular block	. 106
4.19	End view of F3DC model for heating zones showing hearth rolls under the	
	strip	. 107
4.20		400
4.01	and below the strip, and coarser mesh size on hearth rolls	. 108
4.21	End elevation of F3DC model showing irregular mesh spacing through fur-	100
1.00	nace walls, with fine mesh elements adjacent to radiative face	. 109
4.22	Location and types of heat transfer calculations performed in the F3DC	110
4.00	model	. 110
4.23	Summary diagram of F3DC model operation including inputs, calculation	119
1 9 1	pathway, outputs and assumptions	. 113
4.24	Order of operation of the F3DC model components representing three dif-	111
4 2E	ferent sections of the furnace	. 114
4.20	furnace wall or strip centre-line temperature	115
1 26	Example of temperature variation around the perimeter of the furnace cav-	. 110
4.20	ity at a fixed x -position	116
	a_1 at a fixed a -position	. 110

4.27	Comparison plotting the transient wall temperature result due to a step change in heater power by either the full wall temperature profile or by
	selection of a single point
4.28	Summary diagram of RDHT model operation including inputs, calculation pathway, outputs and assumptions
<i>1</i> 20	Schematic diagram showing geometry of the RDHT model, and type and
4.23	location of heat transfer equations used
4 30	Geometry used to calculate view factors between finite rectangles on par-
1.00	allel planes
4.31	Representation of parallel rectangles approximation used to evaluate view
1.01	factors between furnace and strip
4.32	Furnace walls represented as a 2D calculation mesh, including notation for
	calculation point spacing
4.33	Sketch of furnace showing approximate location of steady state temperature
	results for furnace wall or strip temperature profiles
4.34	Sketch of furnace showing transient temperature results for furnace wall or
	strip temperature at specified location
4.35	Example of transient simulation results with power input changes applied
4.00	at different times
	Fractional recrystallisation (X_v) against temperature for 25s hold time 139
4.37	Calculated recrystallisation compared with experimental yield stress soft-
1 20	ening for 0.4mm 100 grade strip
4.50	sured upper yield stress (UYS) for 0.4mm 100 grade strip
4 39	Comparison of model results against steel recrystallisation experiments for
1.00	two different gauge sizes (a) 0.3mm and (b) 1.75mm of the same chemistry
	(grade 100)
4.40	Comparison of model results against steel recrystallisation experiments for
	two different chemistry grades (a) 210 and (b) 310, of the same gauge size
	$(1.15 \text{mm}) \dots \dots$
4.41	Comparison of model results of recrystallisation start and finish tempera-
	tures for grade 110 hot rolled steel against experimental data 145
4.42	Scaled yield stress reduction against temperature for recrystallisation trial
	of 0.55mm hot rolled grade 100 steel showing selection of recrystallisation
1 19	start and stop temperatures
4.43	Comparison of model results of recrystallisation start and finish tempera-
1 11	tures for all gauges of grade 110 hot rolled steel against experimental data 147 Comparison of model results of recrystallisation start and finish tempera-
4.44	tures for all gauges of grade 210 hot rolled steel against experimental data 149
4.45	Comparison of model results of recrystallisation start and finish tempera-
1,10	tures for all gauges of grade 310 hot rolled steel against experimental data 150
4.46	Yield stress softening curves (fractional) for 1.15mm grade 210 steel and
	1.55mm grade 310 steel
4.47	Comparison of model results for grade 210 hot rolled steel against experi-
	mental data with alternative 95% temperature selection for 1.15mm gauge 151

4.48	Comparison of model results for grade 310 hot rolled steel against experimental data with alternative 95% temperature selections for 1.15 and 1.55mm gauge	152
4.49	Cumulative recrystallisation calculation of steel strip against x-coordinate, showing highest, nominal and lowest likely recrystallisation	
4.50	Example of transient result from RDHT-CM model	155
5.1	Variation in applied power to two heating zones after a cycle change from 550MPa 0.4x940mm strip to 300MPa 0.4x905mm strip	157
5.2	Variation in power applied to furnace heating zones 1-12 for several steady state coils of the same specification over a three week period	158
5.3	Variation in power applied to furnace heating zones 1-12 for steady state coils of the same specification processed two years apart	159
5.4	F3DC model results for thermocouple temperatures using minimum, maximum and average power input values as shown in Figure 5.2	
5.5	F3DC model result for strip and furnace temperatures for cycle 24 0.4x940mm product using average steady state input data from Figure 5.2	
5.6	Detail of F3DC model result for wall and thermocouple temperatures for	
5.7	cycle 24 0.4×940mm product using averaged steady state input data F3DC model result for wall and thermocouple temperatures for cycle 24 0.4×940mm product after introducing 10kW heat loss in position of dam	163
5.8	wall between zones 9 and 10	
5.9	product using averaged steady state input data \dots	
5.10	ature	166
	blocks for heating zones 1-6	167
	blocks for heating zones 7-12	168
	perature for cycle 24 0.4mm×940mm product	170
0.10	width at the end of the heating zones model for products simulated in Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2	170
5.14	F3DC model result showing strip temperature variation across the strip width at the end of the heating zones model for products simulated in	
5.15	Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 with doubled strip emissivity along edge Wall and strip temperature results from RDHT furnace model compared against furnace thermocouple and L1 pyrometer readings for two example	171
5.16	soft-steel recrystallised products	172
	against furnace thermocouple and L1 pyrometer readings for two example	173

5.17	Comparison of results from the F3DC model and the RDHT model for
	0.4×940 mm G550 strip
5.18	Comparison of results from the F3DC model and the RDHT model for
E 10	0.39×905mm G300 strip
5.19	strip temperatures and the resulting cumulative recrystallisation with max-
	imum and minimum likely tolerance values for a soft iron recrystallised
	product
5 20	Example of model sensitivity to temperature. The lowest likely recrystalli-
5.20	sation increases from 80% to 100% by increasing peak strip temperature
	by only 4°C
5.21	Results from the RDHT-CM model, showing the predicted furnace and
0.21	strip temperatures and the resulting cumulative recrystallisation with max-
	imum and minimum likely tolerance values for a hard iron recovered product 183
5 22	Example of incorrect heat treatment in two coils caused by tripping of the
0.22	induction preheaters
5.23	RDHT-CM model results for G250 0.55x1200mm coil number G611779
J.25	under normal operating conditions showing full recrystallisation 185
5.24	RDHT-CM model results for G250 0.55x1200mm coil number G611782
	without preheating showing incomplete recrystallisation 185
5.25	Example showing high temperature at end of coil G609702 due to early
	change of furnace settings before cycle change
5.26	RDHT-CM model results for G500 1.45 x 1174mm coil under normal op-
	erating conditions showing no recrystallisation
5.27	RDHT-CM model results for G500 1.45 x 1174mm coil under incorrect
	operating conditions showing partial recrystallisation
5.28	Example of power change in a single furnace zone during two consecutive
	cycle changes and a linear equation approximation for the RDHT-CM model 189
5.29	RDHT-CM model results for G550 0.4 x 902mm coil using steady state
	input data before a cycle change occurs
5.30	Strip temperature measured by L1 pyrometer changing over the cycle change
	period
5.31	Manual changes applied to furnace induction heater power and linespeed
- 00	during cycle change
5.32	Changes to power input in heating zones 1-12 during cycle change due to
۳ ۵۵	PID control actions
5.33	Changes to power input in cooling zones 13-19 during cycle change due to
5 94	PID control actions
5.54	Transient solution strip temperature result at $x = 70m$ compared with actual L1 pyrometer measurement
5 25	Transient temperature solutions from Zones 2 and 5 compared with zone
ა.აა	thermocouple measurements
5 36	Transient temperature solutions from Zones 10 and 15 compared with zone
5.50	thermocouple measurements

Transient strip temperature result and L1 pyrometer measurement for cycle
change from 0.442x940mm G550 to 0.39x905mm G300 196
Transient strip temperature result and L1 pyrometer measurement for cycle
change from 0.39x905mm G300 to 0.4x940mm G550
Transient strip temperature result and L1 pyrometer measurement for cycle
change from 0.39x905mm G300 to 0.55x940mm G550
Temperature and recrystallisation results for RDHT-CM model simulation
of Product No.1 - 0.4 940 G550 A 24A
Temperature and recrystallisation results for RDHT-CM model simulation
of Product No.1 after increasing linespeed to 140m/min 206
Temperature and recrystallisation results for RDHT-CM model simulation
of Product No.2 with 140m/min linespeed
Temperature and recrystallisation results for RDHT-CM model simulation
of Product No.3 with 130m/min linespeed

List of Tables

2.1	Number and type of variables used in neural network models of continuous galvanising lines by various authors	25
2.2	Variation of conductivity and heat capacity of steel with temperature [25, 39]	43
2.3	Activation energy of recrystallisation for various steel types [57]	58
2.4	Valid composition range of Bluescope Steel metallurgical model [57]	62
2.5	Experienced composition range of NZ Steel MCL chemistry grades	62
4.1	Material properties of furnace bodies to be used in conductive heat transfer calculations	95
4.2	Steel thermal properties to suit MCL steel chemistries 100, 110, 210	
4.2	Steel thermal properties to suit MCL steel chemistries 100, 110, 210 Steel thermal properties to suit MCL steel chemistry 310	95 96
4.3	Physical properties of air at 20°C [20, 54]	99
4.4	Physical properties of nitrogen gas at 927°C [25]	
4.6	Comparison of radiative and convective heat transfer coefficients calculated	IUC
4.0	for a simplified furnace representation	109
4.7	Zone lengths, number of grid points per zone, and distance between grid	102
4.1	points used in the RDHT model	193
4.8	View factors between furnace strip and furnace floor at increasing grid	120
1.0	position offsets	127
4.9	Comparison of fractional reduction in yield strength against fractional re-	,
	ı v o o	141
4.10	·	
	9	143
4.11	Measured average composition of each element used in Q_{rex} calculation for	
	MCL steel grades over Jan - Nov 2007	144
4.12	Comparison of experimental and model calculated recrystallisation start	
	(10% softening) temperatures	148
4.13	Comparison of experimental and model calculated recrystallisation stop	
	(95% softening) temperatures $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	148
5.1	Difference between measured and model average temperature for heating	
	zones, and zone 13 vs. L1 pyro strip temperature for a selection of coils	
	without preheating	174
5.2	Difference between measured and model average temperature for heating	
	zones, and zone 13 vs. L1 pyro strip temperature for a selection of coils	
	with preheating	175

5.3	Nominal (RX) and Minimum (RX $_{min}$) expected recrystallisation results	100
- 1	from the RDHT-CM model for a selection of soft iron products	180
5.4	Recrystallisation results from the RDHT-CM model showing high sensitiv-	
	ity to temperature change when in the region of partial recrystallisation	181
5.5	Nominal (RX) and Maximum (RX_{max}) expected recrystallisation results	
	from the RDHT-CM model for a selection of hard iron products	182
5.6	Hardness test results (HR30T) from 2 measurement positions on coils be-	
	fore and during reduction in strip temperature shown in Figure 5.22	184
6.1	Factors describing the range of product types processed on the MCL	202
6.2	The 10 most common products by weight processed on the MCL in the 12	
	months preceding May-2008	202
6.3	Applied power to each furnace zone, zone target temperature and element	
	condition applied to the RDHT-CM model for Product No.1	204
6.4	Strip properties and plant operating data applied to the RDHT-CM model	
		205
6.5	Comparison of Product No.1 base case of 130m/min, and with increased	
0.0		206
6.6	Comparison of Product No.1 existing 140m/min case, and alternative using	200
0.0		208
6.7	Comparison of Product No.1 base case of 130m/min, and with reduced	200
0.7		208
60	- ,	200
6.8	Results for reduced linespeed case to find maximum allowable strip tem-	200
<i>a</i> o	1	209
6.9	Comparison of alternative options for Product 2 - 0.39 905 G300 A 15B	
6.10	Comparison of all alternative options for Product 3 - 0.55 938 G300 A 14B	212

List of Abbreviations

GJC Gas Jet Cooler HR Hot rolled (steel)

L1 'Land 1' Pyrometer (Furnace Zone 13)

MCL Metal Coating Line

MISG Mathematics in Industry Study Group

NZS New Zealand Steel

PID Proportional Integral Derivative (Control)
RX Recrystallisation (of Steel Microstructure)

UTS Upper Tensile Strength (MPa)
UYS Upper Yield Strength (MPa)

WR Warm rolled (steel)

Model Designations

F3DC Full 3D Comsol

RDHT Reduced Dimensionality Heat Transfer

RDHT-CM RDHT - Coupled Metallurgical