



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the [Library Thesis Consent Form](#) and [Deposit Licence](#).

Note : Masters Theses

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

(Sub)urban Directions

Frederick Bryan Pooley

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
Architecture

The National Institute of Creative Arts and Industries
University of Auckland, 2010

Abstract

A 2003 thesis by Sumita Ghosh concluded that, in relation to the scope of her study, the low density (18 households/hectare) block at a distance from the CPD offered the most sustainable urban form in Auckland when using indicators for transport, carbon sequestration, energy, food and waste. This study considers and extends this hypothesis by using a single stand-alone house in an Auckland suburb to examine, from practical evidence, the potential offered by low density suburbia for reduction in household energy use, harvesting of water and food, recycling of waste and change to transport habits.

For this study, an existing suburban house on a plot that matched the density claimed by Ghosh to be ideal was first studied unconverted. It was then changed to improve its use of energy, the site was redesigned so food could be grown, and the transport habits of the occupants changed. The whole process was monitored over two periods, each of one year. Total energy, excluding that for travel, was reduced by 70 percent between the two study periods. The electricity component was reduced by only 12.7 percent although substantial improvements were made in amenity and comfort. These included a 2°C rise in indoor temperature over the heating season. Water purchased from the utility supplier was reduced by 78 percent and on a 31m² garden, 23.5 percent of the household's vegetables were grown. Given a 555m² plot size that matches Ghosh's calculated ideal, CO₂ emissions from work related travel in the study house would have been sequestered within its own plot.

There is evidence that the house on an individual plot at Ghosh's proposed density of 18 households per hectare may be the optimum size for a degree of self sufficiency for the average family within the Auckland urban environment. This research revealed that an average family could be autonomous in water, electrical energy and could sequester its work travel CO₂ emissions. Substantial reductions could also be made in bought vegetables and organic waste disposal.

This research shows that the existing suburban layout can be used in a much more sustainable manner, suggesting the current concerns with densification may be moving suburban Auckland in a non-sustainable direction. This research also demonstrates the need for any changes to suburbia to ensure people can still use their houses and gardens in a sustainable manner. This will provide Auckland with a robust built environment that can cope with future changes in resource availability.

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my family

Acknowledgements

I wish to express sincere gratitude to my main supervisor Professor Brenda Vale for her constant encouragement, dedicated supervision and staunch support throughout the duration of my studies and research. I also extend my gratitude to my co-supervisor Professor Robert Vale for his insightful comments and advice. Without their assistance the journey would have been far more arduous.

My family also deserve special appreciation for their assistance and tolerance over the long research and writing periods.

Finally, I wish to convey my thanks to the Trustees of the William Chick Doctoral Scholarship who provided financial support for the first two years of my studies.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| (Sub)urban Directions | i |
| Consent form | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| Abstract | iii |
| Dedication | iv |
| Acknowledgements | v |
| Table of Contents | vi |
| List of Tables..... | xi |
| List of Figures | xiii |
| List of equations..... | xv |
| CHAPTER ONE | 1 |
| Research background | 1 |
| The need for research | 2 |
| Existing research | 2 |
| Research aims..... | 3 |
| Thesis structure | 4 |
| Scope of research | 4 |
| Transitional suburbs..... | 5 |
| CHAPTER TWO | 6 |
| Introduction and existing research | 6 |
| Introduction | 7 |
| Suburban Prejudice | 8 |
| Preferred Housing types..... | 9 |
| Retrofitting | 14 |
| Housing Lifecycle | 17 |
| Measuring sustainability | 19 |
| Potential of NZ suburbs to be sustainable..... | 20 |
| Summary | 21 |
| CHAPTER THREE | 22 |
| Suburban Development..... | 22 |
| Introduction..... | 23 |
| Britain..... | 23 |
| United States of America | 28 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Australia | 29 |
| New Zealand | 31 |
| Land | 31 |
| Housing | 33 |
| Summary..... | 40 |
| CHAPTER FOUR | 41 |
| Suburban Potential..... | 41 |
| SECTION ONE..... | 41 |
| The Study House | 41 |
| Introduction..... | 42 |
| Study House selection..... | 43 |
| Methodology..... | 49 |
| Design process | 53 |
| Introduction..... | 53 |
| Space organisation..... | 56 |
| New site and plan..... | 61 |
| Recycling and waste..... | 62 |
| Ventilation..... | 64 |
| Humidity | 66 |
| Insulation..... | 69 |
| Solar Hot Water | 70 |
| Shading..... | 71 |
| Food and household waste | 71 |
| Draught-proofing | 71 |
| Planting and carbon sequestration..... | 71 |
| The study group | 72 |
| SECTION TWO | 73 |
| General | 73 |
| Water | 74 |
| Introduction..... | 74 |
| Design of the rainwater system..... | 77 |
| Rainwater harvesting formula..... | 78 |
| Water data collection methodology | 80 |
| Data Analysis | 81 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Economics of rainwater collection..... | 85 |
| Theoretical autonomous rainwater supply | 87 |
| Conclusion | 88 |
| SECTION THREE | 90 |
| Garden Produce, organic waste and carbon sequestration | 90 |
| Introduction..... | 91 |
| Garden design | 93 |
| Data collection methodology | 96 |
| Produce..... | 96 |
| Analysis..... | 97 |
| Organic waste | 98 |
| Introduction..... | 98 |
| Carbon sequestration (CS) | 99 |
| Conclusion | 101 |
| SECTION FOUR | 103 |
| Energy use..... | 103 |
| Introduction..... | 104 |
| Energy use data collection methodology | 105 |
| Gas usage | 106 |
| Firewood | 107 |
| Electricity and energy | 108 |
| Energy use comparisons..... | 108 |
| Potential for energy generation | 109 |
| Analysis of energy use in the Study House..... | 110 |
| CO ₂ calculations..... | 113 |
| Conclusion | 114 |
| SECTION FIVE | 116 |
| Room temperatures | 116 |
| Introduction..... | 117 |
| Temperature data collection methodology..... | 118 |
| Heating the study house | 119 |
| Conclusion | 129 |
| SECTION SIX..... | 130 |
| Solar Hot Water | 130 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Introduction..... | 131 |
| Designing the system..... | 133 |
| System discussion..... | 133 |
| Legal requirements..... | 134 |
| Solar water heating data collection methodology..... | 134 |
| Monitoring to achieve hot water satisfaction..... | 135 |
| To increase hot water satisfaction when should the heating element be used? | 140 |
| Radiation data..... | 141 |
| Discussion..... | 145 |
| Economics of installing a hot water system..... | 146 |
| Conclusion | 148 |
| SECTION SEVEN | 150 |
| Transport | 150 |
| Introduction..... | 151 |
| The study group and transport data collection methodology | 152 |
| Analysis of travel patterns..... | 153 |
| Conclusion | 157 |
| SECTION EIGHT | 158 |
| Sustainability..... | 158 |
| Introduction..... | 159 |
| NABERS Methodology | 159 |
| NABERS Residential score summary..... | 159 |
| Analysis..... | 161 |
| Environmental footprint..... | 161 |
| Environmental footprint methodology..... | 162 |
| Assessment calculations..... | 162 |
| Comparisons with Ghosh's residential blocks..... | 163 |
| Conclusion | 168 |
| SECTION NINE | 169 |
| Study House Economics | 169 |
| Introduction..... | 170 |
| Embodied energy | 171 |
| Conclusion | 172 |
| CHAPTER FIVE..... | 173 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Future Directions..... | 173 |
| Introduction..... | 174 |
| New Zealand directions | 174 |
| Current directions..... | 175 |
| Future directions | 184 |
| Methodology | 188 |
| Discussion | 191 |
| Conclusion | 194 |
| CHAPTER SIX..... | 197 |
| Conclusions..... | 198 |
| Can retrofitting contribute towards a sustainable urban environment | 198 |
| What is the potential offered by the suburban stand alone house?..... | 199 |
| How can the potential offered by suburbia be utilized?..... | 201 |
| Does suburbia offer a more sustainable urban form than other alternatives?..... | 201 |
| What is the most sustainable residential block density..... | 213 |
| Further research..... | 218 |
| Final conclusion..... | 203 |
| Bibliography..... | 204 |
| Appendix One..... | 212 |
| Appendix Two..... | 221 |
| Appendix Three..... | 221 |
| Appendix Four..... | 222 |
| Appendix Five..... | 223 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 1 Housing preferences in United Kingdom | 11 |
| Table 2 New Zealand housing statistics | 11 |
| Table 3 Residential building consents 1995 to 2005 | 13 |
| Table 4 Estimated existing housing stock | 19 |
| Table 5 Construction elements in New Zealand houses | 44 |
| Table 6 House construction elements and room orientation | 45 |
| Table 7 House orientation | 57 |
| Table 8 Modified house | 61 |
| Table 9 Mean monthly rainfall (mm) for 1991-2000 for Auckland. | 75 |
| Table 10 Household water facilities | 80 |
| Table 11 Comparison of water use during research periods | 81 |
| Table 12 Wastewater charge with and without rainwater harvesting 2005 | 86 |
| Table 13 Water tank construction and installation costs | 86 |
| Table 14 Water tank economics | 86 |
| Table 15 Produce from 31m ² study house garden | 97 |
| Table 16 Produce from 50m ² Ho garden | 97 |
| Table 17 Subdivision effect on carbon sequestration | 100 |
| Table 18 Reduction in carbon sequestration from subdivision | 101 |
| Table 19 Household electricity use in New Zealand | 105 |
| Table 20 Total household energy use in New Zealand | 105 |
| Table 21 Study house energy use activities | 106 |
| Table 22 Firewood use | 107 |
| Table 23 Firewood energy calculation | 107 |
| Table 24 Comparisons of annual energy use kWh | 108 |
| Table 25 Annual electricity kWh 2001-2006 | 109 |
| Table 26 Deficit energy | 112 |
| Table 27 Comparative temperature with and without fire | 120 |
| Table 28 Activity hours for the 2 July 2005 | 122 |
| Table 29 Heating hours and energy use | 122 |
| Table 30 Average room temperature variations | 123 |
| Table 31 Winter lounge temperatures on selected days | 123 |
| Table 32 New Zealand electricity generation GWh | 132 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 33 System design considerations | 133 |
| Table 34 Shower satisfaction level Nov 2003 – Oct 2005..... | 136 |
| Table 35 Level 3 & 4 winter hot water satisfaction..... | 138 |
| Table 36 6pm temperature and satisfaction level..... | 139 |
| Table 37 Temperature gain and satisfaction level | 139 |
| Table 38 Negative temperature gains..... | 144 |
| Table 39 Cylinder temperature gains from May to July 2005 | 144 |
| Table 40 Solar system costs | 147 |
| Table 41 Motor vehicle travel distances | 153 |
| Table 42 Annual home office CO ₂ savings..... | 153 |
| Table 43 Motor vehicle energy use..... | 154 |
| Table 44 Comparison of CO ₂ sequestration..... | 155 |
| Table 45 Comparison of CO ₂ travel emissions..... | 156 |
| Table 46 Comparison of transport energy use (1)..... | 156 |
| Table 47 Original study house NABERS assessment..... | 160 |
| Table 48 Modified house NABERS assessment..... | 161 |
| Table 49 Comparison of block energy deficits | 164 |
| Table 50 Comparison of transport energy use (2)..... | 165 |
| Table 51 Tree and scrub areas for CO ₂ sequestration..... | 165 |
| Table 52 Residential blocks including productive land area total CO ₂ comparisons | 166 |
| Table 53 Comparison of average food energy per household (hh)..... | 166 |
| Table 54 Residential block CO ₂ comparisons per household | 167 |
| Table 55 Purchase and construction costs..... | 171 |
| Table 56 Values and profit..... | 171 |
| Table 57 Additional costs in \$NZ..... | 171 |
| Table 58 Study block existing areas and land values..... | 192 |
| Table 59 Study plots areas Table 60 House moving statistics | 193 |
| Table 61 New development areas | 193 |
| Table 62 New development “free” area..... | 194 |
| Table 63 “Free” area comparisons | 194 |
| Table 64 Floor insulation calculations | 214 |
| Table 65 Wall insulation calculations..... | 214 |
| Table 66 Ceiling insulation calculations..... | 214 |
| Table 67 Comparison of temperatures | 218 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 68 Comparison of energy use | 218 |
|---|-----|

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 Desirable homes in the United Kingdom | 10 |
| Figure 2 Original house plan..... | 46 |
| Figure 3 Original site plan | 47 |
| Figure 4 1940 aerial view..... | 48 |
| Figure 5 2002 aerial view..... | 48 |
| Figure 6 House being prepared for moving | 50 |
| Figure 7 The start (4 th Nov 02) | 50 |
| Figure 8 House ready for moving (5 th Nov 02)..... | 51 |
| Figure 9 House on the trailer..... | 51 |
| Figure 10 House positioned on jacks (6 th Nov 02) | 52 |
| Figure 11 House near completion (4 th Aug 05) | 52 |
| Figure 12 The inconvenience (6 th May 04)..... | 55 |
| Figure 13 Modified house plan | 57 |
| Figure 14 House level 2 plan | 58 |
| Figure 15 Lighting plan..... | 58 |
| Figure 16 New site plan | 59 |
| Figure 17 Subdivided properties | 60 |
| Figure 18 Stairs made from recycled timber..... | 64 |
| Figure 19 Cross section showing stack ventilation routes | 65 |
| Figure 20 Original fireplace..... | 65 |
| Figure 21 Double height hall with stack ventilation windows..... | 66 |
| Figure 22 Towel rail with afternoon sun..... | 67 |
| Figure 23 Kitchen ventilation | 68 |
| Figure 24 Attic room showing access to roof windows for summer ventilation | 68 |
| Figure 25 Insulation installed in the walls Figure 26 Insulation under floor | 70 |
| Figure 27 UV and filtration system..... | 78 |
| Figure 28 Household water consumption for all years during study period (kilolitres)..... | 82 |
| Figure 29 Household water consumption data for years to be compared (kilolitres)..... | 82 |
| Figure 30 Monthly rainfall for years to be compared (mm) | 83 |
| Figure 31 Garden plan..... | 94 |
| Figure 32 Garden preparation (16 th Oct 04)..... | 95 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 33 Garden developed 1 (20 th Nov 05) | 95 |
| Figure 34 Garden developed 2 (4 th Dec 05)..... | 96 |
| Figure 35 Monthly electricity use | 111 |
| Figure 36 Comparative living room temperatures without (28 th) and with (29 th) a fire | 119 |
| Figure 37 Comparative internal temperatures on the 29 August 2002 and 2 July 2005..... | 121 |
| Figure 38 Lounge and external noon temperature for November 2001 to October 2002..... | 124 |
| Figure 39 Lounge and external noon temperature for November 2004 to October 2005..... | 124 |
| Figure 40 Comparative summer temperatures in lounge 15 Dec 01 and 15 Dec 04 | 125 |
| Figure 41 Comparisons of daily performance..... | 126 |
| Figure 42 Performance of 4 rooms 27 June 2005 during one of the coldest days. | 127 |
| Figure 43 22 (Wed) and 28 June 2005 (Tues) were days when Auckland used 92% and 94% of electrical capacity..... | 128 |
| Figure 44 Glazed flat panel solar hot water system on roof | 135 |
| Figure 45 Frequency of satisfaction level by month..... | 137 |
| Figure 46 Frequency of heating element use by month | 138 |
| Figure 47 Daily radiation level and temperature gain scattergram..... | 141 |
| Figure 48 Daily radiation level and temperature gain (May to July 2005)..... | 142 |
| Figure 49 Hot water cylinder monthly average daily temperature gain | 143 |
| Figure 50 Daily SHW temperature gain (May to July 2005)..... | 145 |
| Figure 51 Real house prices in New Zealand | 170 |
| Figure 52 Medium density housing near to study house (1)..... | 177 |
| Figure 53 Medium density housing near to study house (2)..... | 177 |
| Figure 54 A alternative medium density housing development | 178 |
| Figure 55 The study house street | 178 |
| Figure 56 Housing New Zealand terraced housing..... | 179 |
| Figure 57 Housing New Zealand study block..... | 180 |
| Figure 58 Housing New Zealand cleared site | 180 |
| Figure 59 Completed Housing New Zealand project..... | 181 |
| Figure 60 Housing New Zealand study block original house layout | 182 |
| Figure 61 Housing New Zealand block with alternative layout to clear site development | 183 |
| Figure 62 Housing New Zealand block at Ghosh's optimum lot size..... | 184 |
| Figure 63 1940 street layout north of the study house..... | 186 |
| Figure 64 The study block in 1940 | 187 |
| Figure 65 The study block in 2002 | 187 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 66 1940 study block in development | 189 |
| Figure 67 study block developed | 189 |
| Figure 68 Study block | 190 |
| Figure 69 Study block house layout..... | 190 |
| Figure 70 Study block alternative layout | 190 |
| Figure 71 Site and roof plan Figure 72 House plan | 212 |
| Figure 73 House plan 1st floor..... | 213 |
| Figure 74 Water tank..... | 215 |
| Figure 75 Diurnal slab preparation | 215 |
| Figure 76 Heat sink preparation..... | 215 |
| Figure 77 Heat sink rocks | 216 |
| Figure 78 Insulation to room above heat sink (the thermal cell) | 216 |
| Figure 79 Insulating to prevent cold bridging..... | 216 |
| Figure 80 Completed house with sun entering 2.30pm 21 June | 217 |
| Figure 81 Preparation for 2.8kW photovoltaic array | 217 |

List of equations

| | |
|---|----|
| Equation 1 calculated rain collection and the measured collection of the study period. | 78 |
| Equation 2 measured rain collection during study period..... | 79 |
| Equation 3 litres per person per day..... | 83 |
| Equation 4 rainwater tank sizing for stand alone supply | 87 |