



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the [Library Thesis Consent Form](#) and [Deposit Licence](#).

Note : Masters Theses

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

Tool Manufacture, Social Learning and Cognition in New Caledonian Crows

Jennifer Christine Holzhaider

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of PhD in Psychology
The University of Auckland 2010



Bird, I said, that fliest forever on New Caledonia's shore
That hast manufactured tools no other bird has dreamt before
Scientists have for centuries searched and thought and tried in vain
To reveal the dreary secrets that lie hidden in thy brain
Will there, will there come the hour when thy genius we'll explore?
Quoth the Raven: NEVERMORE!

H. Holzhaider, based on E.A. Poe, *The Raven*

Abstract

Wild New Caledonian crows are among the most sophisticated non-human tool manufacturers. In this thesis I investigate three important aspects related to the evolution and ontogeny of their tool skills that have not been studied in the wild before: the crows' social structure, the development of pandanus tool manufacture and use, and the crows' grasp of the functional properties of pandanus tools. My main methodological approach was to observe crows at feeding sites in their natural habitat on the island of Maré, New Caledonia.

The findings on NC crows' sociality revealed that they are one of the less social corvid species, with a social network size of probably less than 20 individuals. However, they have close, long-term relationships within their immediate family, which includes a partner and juveniles of up to two consecutive breeding seasons. Parental care is very extended, and juveniles may be tolerated and fed until 20 months old. The social structure of NC crows is likely to promote vertical transmission of tool skills while limiting the opportunity for horizontal transmission.

My developmental study showed that the ontogeny of wide pandanus tool manufacture is a lengthy process comparable to the development of tool use in primates, and that both individual and social learning are likely to play important roles. Juveniles do not reach adult proficiency until their second year of life and have ample opportunity to observe their parents' tool behaviour, while parents strongly scaffold the juveniles' learning environment. Exposure to parental tools might help juveniles form a mental template of functional tool design and thus facilitate the faithful transmission of local design traditions.

My experiments on NC crows' understanding of tool functionality showed that they do not strongly attend to the functional properties of pandanus tools. Instead, they appeared to solve tool problems through associative rules. In the wild, successful pandanus tool use is likely to rely on procedural knowledge of tool manufacture acquired during an individual's ontogeny.

Overall, my results suggest that New Caledonian crows' social structure and the mechanisms involved in the ontogeny of tool manufacture are suitable to support cumulative technological evolution that has been proposed to underlie the diversification of tool designs on New Caledonia's mainland Grande Terre.

Acknowledgements

Many people have contributed to the completion of this thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to them here.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Russell Gray. Like no other he boosted my motivation when it was needed most. Thank you for guidance, support, constructive ideas and discussions – and for teaching me how to cook the perfect steak! Gavin Hunt introduced me to Maré, its people and the crows. Thank you for practical support in the field, for teaching me how to catch, band and measure crows, and for enormous amounts of detailed and competent comments on the various drafts of my papers. Mick Sibley provided invaluable help with handling birds, collecting data, maintaining tracks, building the aviary and turning all my video footage into DVDs. To Alex Taylor, thanks for some great field seasons full of constructive crow talk, for motivation and heaps of valuable ideas. Victoria Campbell helped me keep my sanity in the early days on Maré and assisted with the data collection for Chapter 4. Michael Anderson, Noel Andrews, Lindsey Davidson and Maren Wagener all helped with observing feeding tables and operating watchits. Katie Palmer and Puja Singh helped me immeasurably with the coding of hundreds of hours of video footage. Thank you Puja for saving me tons of time by breaking up my data with your *Excel*-lent computer skills. The rapid progress with Chapter 2 would not have been possible without you. Thanks to Doug Elliffe and Gjurgjica Badzakova for statistical advice. To my office mates over the years, Quentin Atkinson, Simon Greenhill, Felipe Medina, Robert Ross, thank you for your company. Simon especially endured crow talk for almost six years and was unfailingly helpful in lots of different ways. To the people of Maré, in particular Monsieur William Wadrobot and his family, *Ci oreon mayay* for letting me work on your land and taking me ‘under your wing’ while I was the sole female researcher on the island.

To my parents – thank you for putting up with me being so far away for so long, for your never ending support over the years, and for not sparing time or money to visit me repeatedly anyway! Roland – I have more to thank you for than I can list here. Thank you for supporting every step of this thesis morally and practically, for cutting tracks and enduring red ants, for following me around the globe and making a life in NZ, for critical questions and unquestioning support. And thank you Luisa – your bright smile makes everything else seem insignificant even in these last days before submitting this thesis.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge funding provided by the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund and a University of Auckland International Doctoral Scholarship.

The research reported in this thesis was approved by the University of Auckland Animal Ethics committee (approvals R231 and R375) and complies with the laws of New Caledonia.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables.....	viii
1 Introduction	1
2 The Social Structure of New Caledonian Crows	15
3 Development of Tool Manufacture and Tool Use in wild New Caledonian Crows	39
4 Folk Physics – Do New Caledonian Crows attend to the Functional Properties of their Tools?	79
5 Conclusions	109
References	123
Appendix	137

List of Figures

Chapter 2	Social Structure	
Figure 2.1	Map of study area.....	20
Figure 2.2	Association of target males with their partners.....	25
Figure 2.3	Associations of juveniles with their parents	26
Figure 2.4	Tolerance at feeding tables	27
Figure 2.5	Juveniles' opportunities for social learning at feeding tables	29
Chapter 3	Development of Tool Manufacture	
Figure 3.1	Percentage of time spent with parent(s) at feeding table	53
Figure 3.2	Juveniles watching tool manufacture and meat extraction	53
Figure 3.3	Individual development of pandanus tool manufacture techniques	55
Figure 3.4	Examples of tools manufactured with Techniques 0-4.....	57
Figure 3.5	Duration of adult-like tool manufacture	58
Figure 3.6	Variants of tool manufacture	59
Figure 3.7	Frequency of tool manufacture variants	60
Figure 3.8	Frequency of pandanus tool manufacture starting at counterparts	61
Figure 3.9	Origin of pandanus tools used at tables	64
Figure 3.10	Ratio of faulty probing time to total probing time.....	65
Figure 3.11	Rewarded probing time per piece of meat from logs.....	66
Figure 3.12	Ratio of unrewarded probing time to total probing time	67
Chapter 4	Folk Physics	
Figure 4.1	Experimental tools	89
Figure 4.2	Tool choice (stick tool vs. pandanus tool)	92
Figure 4.3	Trial by trial description of behaviour in Experiment 1	96
Figure 4.4	Latencies until flipping or abandoning a barbs-down pandanus tool	98
Figure 4.5	Tool choice (barbed vs. barbless pandanus tool).....	100
Figure 4.6	Detailed summary of Experiment 3	103

List of Tables

Chapter 2	Social Structure	
Table 2.1	Details of target families.....	21
Table 2.2	Social contacts tolerated by six target males at feeding tables	28
Chapter 3	Development of Tool Manufacture	
Table 3.1	Details of target juveniles and their families	47
Table 3.2	Ethogram definitions of tool related behaviours	48
Table 3.3	Tool preference at tables (stick tool vs. pandanus tool)	62
Table 3.4	Parental feeding at tables	68
Chapter 4	Folk Physics	
Table 4.1	Frequency of flips in Experiment 1 (pandanus tool flipping)	93
Table 4.2	Frequency of flips in Experiment 3 (stick tool flipping)	101