

Flexibility of the petunia strigolactone receptor DAD2 promotes its interaction with signaling partners

Hui Wen Lee^{1,2†#}, Prachi Sharma^{1,2†‡}, Bart J. Janssen¹, Revel S. M. Drummond¹, Zhiwei Luo¹, Cyril Hamiaux¹, Thomas Collier³, Jane R. Allison^{2,4,5}, Richard D. Newcomb^{1,2} and Kimberley C. Snowden^{1*}

From the ¹The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Auckland, New Zealand; ²School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; ³School of Natural and Computational Sciences, Massey University Albany, Auckland, New Zealand; ⁴Digital Life Institute & Maurice Wilkins Centre for Molecular Biodiscovery, University of Auckland, New Zealand; ⁵Biomolecular interaction Centre, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand.

Running title: *Mutational analysis of the strigolactone receptor DAD2*

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Kimberley C. Snowden: The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Auckland 1142, New Zealand; kimberley.snowden@plantandfood.co.nz; Tel. +64 9 925 7180

†These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Present Addresses: #Plant Health and Environment Laboratory, Ministry for Primary Industries, Auckland, New Zealand; ‡Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Keywords: strigolactone, plant hormone, DAD2, *Petunia hybrida*, signal transduction, receptor, mutagenesis, crystallography, molecular dynamics, phytohormone signaling

ABSTRACT

Strigolactones (SLs) are terpenoid-derived plant hormones that regulate various developmental processes, particularly shoot branching, root development, and leaf senescence. The SL receptor has an unusual mode of action. Upon binding SL, it hydrolyses the hormone, and then covalently binds one of the hydrolytic products. These initial events enable the SL receptor DAD2 (in petunia) to interact with the F-box protein PhMAX2A of the Skp-Cullin-F-box (SCF) complex and/or a repressor of SL signaling, PhD53A. However, it remains unclear how

binding and hydrolysis structurally alters the SL receptor to enable its engagement with signaling partners. Here, we used mutagenesis to alter DAD2 and affect SL hydrolysis or DAD2's ability to interact with its signaling partners. We identified three DAD2 variants whose hydrolytic activity had been separated from the receptor's interactions with PhMAX2A or PhD53A. Two variants, DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{F135A}, having substitutions in the core α/β hydrolase fold domain and the hairpin, exhibited hormone-independent interactions with PhMAX2A and PhD53A respectively. Conversely, the DAD2^{D166A} variant could not interact with

PhMAX2A in the presence of SL, but its interaction with PhD53A remained unaffected. Structural analyses of DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{D166A} revealed only small differences compared with the structure of the wild-type receptor. Results of molecular dynamics simulations of the DAD2^{N242I} structure suggested that increased flexibility is a likely cause for its SL-independent interaction with PhMAX2A. Our results suggest that PhMAX2A and PhD53A have distinct binding sites on the SL receptor and that its flexibility is a major determinant of its interactions with these two downstream regulators.

Introduction

Strigolactones (SLs) are a group of terpenoid-derived plant hormones, characterized by a butenolide ring linked to a tricyclic lactone by an enol-ether bridge. They were initially identified as exogenous signals that promote the germination of parasitic weeds, as well as symbiosis between host plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (1-3). In plants, SLs regulate various developmental processes, particularly shoot branching, root development, and leaf senescence (4-9).

The SL signal is perceived by an α/β hydrolase fold protein that possesses a canonical Ser-His-Asp catalytic triad in its active site cavity (10). Orthologs of the SL receptor have been identified from a number of species, including petunia (DECREASED APICAL DOMINANCE 2; DAD2) (10), rice (DWARF14; OsD14 (11,12)), *Arabidopsis* (*Arabidopsis thaliana* D14; AtD14 (13)) and pea (RAMOSUS3; RMS3 (14)). The catalytic triad allows the SL receptor to slowly hydrolyze the SL molecule at the enol-ether linkage, resulting in the generation of a tricyclic lactone (ABC-ring) and a butenolide product (D-ring (10,12,14,15)). SL hydrolysis by the SL receptor leads to the covalent modification of the catalytic histidine residue as shown for AtD14, OsD14, and RMS3 (14,16,17).

Numerous studies have shown that the receptor has a lower melting temperature (T_m) in the presence of SL (10,14,18-20). In response to SL,

the receptor interacts with an F-box protein of the Skp1-Cullin1-F-box (SCF) ubiquitin ligase complex: petunia (MORE AXILLARY GROWTH 2A, PhMAX2A); *Arabidopsis* (MAX2); rice (DWARF3, D3) (10,16,19) and the repressors of SL signaling: petunia (PhD53A); rice (DWARF53, D53); *Arabidopsis* (SUPPRESSOR OF MAX2-LIKE, SMXL 6/7/8) (21-25). The interaction between the receptor, SCF ubiquitin ligase complex and the SL repressor protein, leads to degradation of the repressor protein in an ubiquitin-dependent manner via the 26S proteasomal pathway, resulting in the activation of the SL signaling cascade(26).

Several research groups have crystallized the SL receptor in the presence of SL and SL-intermediates, with none of these ligand-associated receptor structures showing a substantial change in conformation compared to the apo-receptor structures (12,15,19,27). In 2015, Zhao et al. reported that the OsD14 receptor, in the presence of the synthetic SL analogue, GR24, showed a similar deuterium exchange rate as that observed for the receptor alone which is consistent with the structural evidence of minimal conformational change (19). The OsD14 receptor does, however, show increased deuterium exchange in the presence of both GR24 and the rice F-box protein D3. These results suggested that the SL receptor undergoes conformational change in the presence of both SL and the F-box protein and that binding of the SL ligand alone may not lead to a conformational change.

Consistent with the findings for the rice receptor of Zhao et al. (19), structural characterization of the *Arabidopsis* receptor AtD14 in complex with the F-box protein D3 and ASK1 (ARABIDOPSIS SKP1-LIKE1, another component of the SCF complex and required for efficient expression of the F-box protein) showed that AtD14 underwent a conformational change in its four-helix-lid domain (Figure S1) upon interaction with D3. A SL hydrolysis intermediate called CLIM (Covalently-Linked Intermediate Molecule, composed of the D-ring from the SL ligand) was proposed to be trapped within the cavity of the bound AtD14 receptor and covalently linked to the catalytic His and Ser residues (16). Based on

the structure of the AtD14-D3-ASK1 protein complex, it was proposed that SL hydrolysis and/or binding of the D-ring to the catalytic histidine residue of AtD14 play a role in generation of the active conformation of AtD14, allowing interaction with D3 (16). Using mass spectrometry, Yao et al. (16) showed that the D-ring covalently binds to AtD14 both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, although the attachment was detected only on the catalytic His residue of the AtD14 receptor (16). A similar modification was also detected on the catalytic His residue of the pea SL receptor, RMS3, after it was incubated with GR24 (14). These findings suggest a possible role of D-ring binding in the formation of the active conformation of the SL receptor for interaction with the SCF complex.

In contrast with the hydrolysis-dependent mechanism of SL signaling discussed above, recent work by Seto et al. (28) suggests a hydrolysis-independent mechanism of SL signaling. Based on their observations of altered T_m of the AtD14 receptor in the presence of a range of different ligands over time, the authors suggested that an intact SL molecule probably leads to or induces the active signaling state of the AtD14 receptor (28). The authors further showed that a catalytic amino acid mutant, AtD14^{D218A}, defective in SL hydrolysis, could bind an intact SL molecule with high affinity and cause a small T_m change. The AtD14^{D218A} mutant, in the presence of SL, could also interact with the MAX2-ASK1 complex and SMXL7 in yeast two-hybrid assays (28). When expressed *in planta*, this mutant could complement the *Atd14-1* knockout mutant but not the *Atd14 max4* (receptor and SL biosynthesis) double mutant (28). These findings led to the hypothesis that SL hydrolysis by D14 is not essential for the initiation of SL signal transduction. In a study conducted by Shabek et al. (29), the rice F-box protein D3 was shown to regulate the hydrolytic activity of OsD14 via its C-terminal α -helix (CTH), and the authors also proposed that the D3 protein can bind different conformations of the OsD14 receptor (open or closed) via its CTH, suggesting that the F-box protein might be involved in the selection of hydrolysis-dependent or hydrolysis-independent signaling mechanisms

by binding the receptor in different conformations.

Despite these advances we still do not completely understand how hydrolysis alters the wild-type receptor to allow interaction with signaling partners. We know that some mutations can dispense with the requirement for hydrolysis to enable interaction with signaling partners. Here we aimed to use mutagenesis to generate mutants with the ability to interact with the F-box protein PhMAX2A or the target protein PhD53A, even in the absence of hormone. Biochemical and structural analysis of these mutants was undertaken to provide insight into how the receptor becomes capable of interacting with partners, without the need for including a ligand that, in wild-type, is hydrolyzed during perception.

Results

Mutations on the lid domain and core α/β hydrolase fold altered interaction with PhMAX2A and PhD53A

To understand the relative contributions of the different functions of the DAD2 SL receptor, we used mutagenesis to identify variants of DAD2 showing changes in interactions with binding partners, and/or altered enzyme activity. Two approaches were used. The first was to use random mutagenesis together with a yeast two-hybrid screen for mutants that could interact with binding partners in the absence of hormone. The other approach was to specifically mutate residues based on their location within the receptor or how well they were conserved in orthologous proteins. Of the mutants generated (Figure S2), DAD2^{N242I}, DAD2^{D166A}, DAD2^{F135A} were selected for further analysis as these mutants had altered interactions with binding partners. We also included the active site mutant DAD2^{S96A} as well as the DAD2^{S96A/N242I} and DAD2^{F135A/N242I} double mutants (Figure 1, Figures S1, S2 and S3). The DAD2^{S96A} catalytic site mutant had previously been shown to have lost its hydrolytic activity and also the ability to interact with the F-box protein, PhMAX2A, or to complement the *dad2* mutant background (Figure 1A; also see (10)).

The DAD2^{N242I} mutant was able to interact with PhMAX2A in the absence of GR24 (Figure 1A). This interaction with PhMAX2A in the absence of hormone, could still occur even in combination with the active site mutation (DAD2^{S96A/N242I}). In the presence of GR24, the overall interaction level for this double mutant with PhMAX2A was reduced compared with that of DAD2^{WT}. The DAD2^{F135A} mutant, similar to DAD2^{WT}, could not interact with PhMAX2A in the absence of GR24, although the double mutant DAD2^{F135A/N242I} was able to interact with PhMAX2A in the absence of GR24 (Figure 1C). The DAD2^{F135A/N242I} double mutant also showed a lower level of interaction with PhMAX2A in the presence of GR24, compared to the levels shown in the absence of GR24. The DAD2^{D166A} mutant showed the same baseline interaction as the negative control with PhMAX2A in the absence or presence of GR24 (Figure 1B).

We also investigated the ability of these mutants to interact with the target repressor protein PhD53A. DAD2^{WT} interaction with PhD53A is induced by GR24 and this interaction is retained by both DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{D166A} mutants (Figure 1D and E). The DAD2^{F135A} and DAD2^{F135A/N242I} mutants both showed a strong interaction with PhD53A in the absence of GR24 (Figure 1F). However DAD2^{F135A/N242I} showed an overall reduced ability to interact with PhD53A in the presence of GR24 compared with DAD2^{WT}. Neither the DAD2^{S96A} nor the DAD2^{S96A/N242I} mutants were able to interact with PhD53A (Figure 1D).

To examine the interaction between DAD2 and PhD53A in the presence of PhMAX2A, we generated yeast expressing all three proteins (Figure 2). The presence of PhMAX2A reduced the strength of interaction between DAD2^{WT} and PhD53A in the presence of GR24 (Figure 2). This decrease was also observed for the DAD2 mutants, DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{F135A}, but not DAD2^{S96A}, DAD2^{S96A/N242I} and DAD2^{F135A/N242I} (Figure 2). In the absence of GR24, PhMAX2A enhanced the interaction between DAD2^{F135A/N242I} and PhD53A, and also that between DAD2^{N242I} and PhD53A (Figure 2B).

DAD2 mutants have altered melting temperature compared with DAD2^{WT}

We investigated the thermal stability of the DAD2 mutants (DAD2^{F135A}, DAD2^{D166A}, DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{S96A/N242I}) in the presence and absence of GR24, using differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) assays. DAD2^{F135A/N242I} was not included as it was not possible to express stable soluble protein. Consistent with our previous results (10,30), DAD2^{WT} was observed to show a shift (ΔT_m) of -8.9°C in its melting temperature, from 57.2°C in the absence to GR24 to 48.3°C in the presence of GR24 (Table 1 and Figure S4). In comparison to DAD2^{WT}, the DAD2 mutants, DAD2^{F135A}, DAD2^{D166A} and DAD2^{N242I}, had lower melting temperatures in both the presence and absence of GR24 (Figure S4 and Table 1). The overall ΔT_m for these mutants was observed to be greater than for DAD2^{WT} (-16.7°C for DAD2^{F135A}, -13.0°C for DAD2^{D166A} and -13.6°C for DAD2^{N242I}; Table 1). The DAD2^{S96A/N242I} mutant also had a lower T_m in the absence of GR24; however, no changes were observed in the melting temperature of DAD2^{S96A/N242I} in the presence of GR24 (Figure S4; Table 1), implying that this double mutant does not interact with GR24. Taken together, our results indicate that the DAD2^{F135A}, DAD2^{D166A} and DAD2^{N242I} mutants are thermally less stable than DAD2^{WT}.

DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{D166A} are catalytically similar to DAD2^{WT}

We examined whether the DAD2 mutants are catalytically distinct from DAD2^{WT}, using a SL analogue, Yoshimulactone Green (YLG) as the substrate (31). We have previously shown that DAD2^{WT} displays a two-step hydrolysis mechanism, similar to AtD14, OsD14 and RMS3, using YLG; therefore all kinetic analyses in this study were conducted using a 15-min time point of the pre-steady-state phase (14,29-31). Here, we refer to k_{cat} as the rate constant of the pre-steady-state phase and the $K_{1/2}$ as the YLG concentration that gives half the maximal velocity (V_{max} ; (14,30)). DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{D166A} have similar kinetics to DAD2^{WT} (Figure 3A, 3G and Table 2) and DAD2^{F135A} has a reduced k_{cat} (Table 2; Figure 3E and 3F).

We have previously shown that the catalytic triad mutant of DAD2 (DAD2^{S96A}) is hydrolytically inactive when examined using thin layer

chromatography with GR24 as the substrate (10). Unexpectedly, weak hydrolytic activity towards the YLG substrate was detected in DAD2^{S96A} and DAD2^{S96A/N242I} (Table 2; Figure 3C and 3D). The observed weak YLG hydrolysis by DAD2^{S96A} was abolished when this mutant was subjected to heat-denaturation (Figure 3C). However, it should be noted that YLG is a non-natural substrate (31). The observed weak hydrolysis of YLG by DAD2^{S96A} may therefore not be entirely relevant to SL hydrolysis by DAD2.

DAD2^{N242I} exhibits structural flexibility

To determine whether the N242I mutation has altered the conformation of DAD2, allowing it to interact with PhMAX2A in the absence of GR24, we solved the crystal structure of DAD2^{N242I} at 1.58 Å resolution, with two molecules of the mutant protein per asymmetric unit (ASU). Both molecules displayed the classical apo-SL receptor structure, with no major conformational change observed in either of the two protein molecules (Figure 4 and Figure S5). A MES molecule was bound within the catalytic cavity of the two DAD2^{N242I} molecules, where MES interacts with the catalytic S96 and H246 residues through hydrogen bonds (Figure S6). DSF results showed that there was no significant shift in the melting temperature of DAD2^{WT} and DAD2^{N242I} in the presence of MES (Figure S7), suggesting that MES binds to DAD2 with low affinity. It is likely that MES was present within the internal cavity of the protein in the crystal structure, because of its high concentration (0.1 M) in the crystallization solution.

Detailed comparisons of the DAD2^{N242I} structure with the structure of DAD2^{WT} highlighted small differences particularly in two core loops of both molecules of DAD2^{N242I}. The first loop, referred to here as the activation loop (A loop), consists of residues T214-P221 and shapes the entrance to the catalytic cavity. The second loop (L241-P248), referred to here as the mutation loop (M loop) containing the mutated N242I residue, connects the β7 sheet to the α11 helix (Figure 4 and Figure S5). In molecule A of the DAD2^{N242I} crystal structure, the A and M loops were displaced in comparison to those of DAD2^{WT}. In particular, a displacement of up to 2.5 Å was observed for the mutated residue at position 242

between DAD2^{WT} and DAD2^{N242I} (Figure 4). Similar displacements, although of smaller amplitude, were also observed in molecule B of the asymmetric unit (Figure S5). Apart from these two loops, other small displacements were also observed in the N-terminal end of the protein, the hairpin and the lid domain (αL1 and αL3 helices) of DAD2^{N242I} compared with DAD2^{WT} (Figure 4 and Figure S5).

The DAD2^{N242I} mutant is more dynamic than DAD2^{WT}

Based on the observed differences between DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{WT}, it was hypothesized that DAD2^{N242I} might possess increased structural flexibility compared to DAD2^{WT}. To test this hypothesis, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using both DAD2^{WT} and DAD2^{N242I}. Examination of MD trajectories revealed similar overall movements, except in a few regions, particularly the αL2 helix-loop-αL3 helix region in the lid domain and the N-termini of the proteins (Figure 5, Movie S1). The residues of these regions showed larger deviations from their initial positions in the DAD2^{N242I} structures than in DAD2^{WT} structures (Figure 5). The root-mean-square-fluctuation (RMSF), which quantifies the average deviation of the atomic positions of the residues from their average position during a simulation, also indicated that the residues comprising the αL2 helix-loop-αL3 helix region of the lid domain and the N-terminus of DAD2^{N242I} have higher fluctuations than those of DAD2^{WT} (Figure S8). By contrast, the residues comprising the A and M loops showed no significant differences in RMSF between the two models (Figure 5 and Figure S8).

A cluster analysis (in which the different structures that occur during the simulation were grouped based on their similarity as quantified by their RMSD; (32,33)) indicated that DAD2^{N242I} displays more flexibility than DAD2^{WT}, based on the observed number of clusters, the size of clusters and the duration for which the clusters were present in the simulation (Figure 6). For DAD2^{WT}, the most populated cluster, cluster 1, was populated for 84% of the simulation (~420 ns), and was continuously occupied for extended periods of time (Figure 6A). The other clusters were only present transiently. By contrast,

DAD2^{N242I} adopted several metastable conformations during the course of the simulation, which was revealed by the appearance of several relatively short-lived clusters throughout the simulation (Figure 6B). Furthermore, the most stable conformation adopted by DAD2^{N242I}, cluster 1, was present only for ~230 ns (i.e. 46%) of the total simulation. Taken together, the results from the MD simulations suggest that DAD2^{N242I} is more flexible than DAD2^{WT} and exhibits more dynamic states than DAD2^{WT}.

The D166A mutation does not affect the overall conformation of the protein

As described previously, the DAD2^{D166A} mutant retains its hydrolytic activity and interaction with PhD53A, but loses the ability to interact with PhMAX2A. To investigate the structural changes that could be responsible for this observation, we solved the crystal structure of DAD2^{D166A} at 1.52 Å resolution, with two molecules of the mutant protein in the ASU. Both the DAD2^{D166A} molecules displayed a wild-type like structure (10), with no structural changes (Figure S9). Detailed comparison of the DAD2^{D166A} structure with that of DAD2^{WT} (PDB ID: 4DNP; (10)) showed only minor differences between the two proteins, specifically in the α L1 helix, α L3 helix and the loop containing the mutated A166 residue (referred to here as the D loop) of DAD2^{D166A} (Figure S9).

To gain an understanding of the possible role of the D166A mutation, we examined the corresponding residue (D167) in the orthologous protein AtD14 both in the unbound (open) state (12) and in the F-box-bound (closed) state (16). In the open state, the D167 residue is located within the loop that connects the α L2 helix and α L3 helix of the lid domain, while in the closed state, this residue becomes part of the newly formed extended coil (Figure S10). Moreover, the D167 residue changes from being completely exposed in the open state, to being completely buried in the closed state. In the closed state, the side chain of D167 forms two hydrogen bonds with the main chain atoms of the following valine residue (V168). Although D167 is located within the interaction surface where the F-box protein has been reported to bind to the receptor (16), the

buried side chain of this residue does not form a direct interaction with the F-box protein. This information suggests that disruption of electrostatic interactions with adjacent residues may be responsible for the effects of the DAD2^{D166A} mutation.

Discussion

In this work we aimed to address how the SL receptor gains the ability to interact with signaling partners during SL signal reception and transduction. We identified three mutants (DAD2^{N242I}, DAD2^{D166A} and DAD2^{F135A}) in which we altered the ability of the receptor to interact with PhMAX2A and/or PhD53A in the presence or absence of SL. These mutants generally altered the interaction with one signaling partner while not altering interaction with the other (Figure S11), indicating that the SCF complex and PhD53A protein bind the receptor using different interaction surfaces and may affect the conformation of the receptor in different ways.

The N242I mutation enabled the protein to bypass the requirement for a ligand in the interaction with PhMAX2A but not with PhD53A, which was still dependent on SL (Figure 1). The crystal structure of DAD2^{N242I} showed displacements of two loops compared to DAD2^{WT} (Figure 4 and Figure S5). The displacements near the site of the mutation (M and A loops) were thought to be due to direct effects of the mutation. In MD simulations, we observed that DAD2^{N242I} was more dynamic than DAD2^{WT}, with more short-lived transient conformations than DAD2^{WT}, which displayed a single conformation for most of the simulation (Figure 6). The most dynamic region of the mutant protein was found to be the α L2 helix-loop- α L3 helix region of the lid domain (Movie S1 and Figure S1). This region of the lid domain is in close proximity to the M loop, where the shift of the M loop may allow this region to become more flexible, resulting in the observed movement in the MD simulation.

In the AtD14-D3-ASK1 complex reported by Yao et al. (16) the α L2 helix-loop- α L3 helix region of the AtD14 receptor is part of the interaction surface and residues from this region

such as A160-V164, E174 and R177 are involved in the interaction with the F-box D3 protein. Based on these observations and the movements of this region of the DAD2^{N242I} model, we propose that it is the increased flexibility of the α L2 helix-loop- α L3 helix region that allows DAD2^{N242I} to interact with PhMAX2A. We predict that the movement of this region exposes key residues, perhaps transiently, including residues which are buried under the α L3 lid helix, and makes them available for interaction with PhMAX2A. The flexibility of this region could also facilitate the conformational changes required for the interaction between the DAD2^{N242I} mutant and the PhMAX2A protein.

It is possible that the N242I mutation does not affect the flexibility of regions of the mutant protein involved in, or required for, binding of the PhD53A protein, which is fulfilled by the presence of SL. Indeed the DAD2^{S96A/N242I} mutant, which has severely reduced hydrolytic activity, is unable to interact with PhD53A in the presence of SL. However, it can still interact with PhMAX2A with or without SL, suggesting that SL alters the flexibility of different regions of the receptor protein to enable interaction with signaling partners. This is consistent with the altered T_m observed for the mutant receptor in the absence of SL and is consistent with the observations of Zhao et al. (19). The SL-independent interaction detected between DAD2^{N242I} and PhD53A in the presence of PhMAX2A in yeast two-hybrid experiments (Figure 2B) also suggests that the binding of the F-box protein enables the mutant receptor to undergo further conformational change(s) that favors the recruitment of the target protein, PhD53A, to the SCF complex.

Similar to DAD2^{N242I}, the DAD2^{F135A} mutant has hormone-independent interactions, in this case with PhD53A, while its interaction with PhMAX2A is still dependent on SL (Figure 1). In the absence of SL, the interaction with PhD53A remained unaffected by the presence or absence of PhMAX2A (Figure 2). However, in combination with the N242I mutation, we observed an increase in hormone-independent interaction between the double mutant (DAD2^{F135A/N242I}) and PhD53A in the presence of

PhMAX2A (Figure 2), suggesting that the double mutant is forming a ternary complex with both proteins. In the DSF assays, DAD2^{F135A} showed a lower T_m than DAD2^{WT} (Table 1 and Figure S4). It is possible that, like the DAD2^{N242I} mutant, both DAD2^{F135A} and DAD2^{F135A/N242I} have increased flexibility in specific regions of the receptor that enable these mutants to interact respectively with one or two of the downstream partners (Figure S11). However we have not been able to solve the structures of these mutants and larger conformational changes remain a possibility for being responsible for their altered interactions with signaling partners, and altered kinetic properties in the case of DAD2^{F135A}.

The D166A mutation led to a loss of interaction with PhMAX2A in the presence of SL without affecting interaction with PhD53A (Figure 1). Like DAD2^{N242I}, this mutant has WT-like hydrolytic activity, was found to be thermally less stable than the WT receptor, and there were no obvious conformational changes in the DAD2^{D166A} crystal structure (Figure 3, Tables 1-2, Figure S4 and S9). The D166 residue is situated in the loop that connects the α L2 lid helix to the α L3 lid helix and is conserved in most identified SL receptor orthologs, but is not directly involved in the interaction with the F-box protein. Instead it is likely that replacement of D166 with an Ala residue has its effect by preventing key electrostatic interactions required for the receptor to adopt the closed state in which it interacts with the F-box protein.

Our work using MD simulations suggests the hypothesis that flexibility of certain regions of the receptor can affect the ability to interact with signaling partners, though we consider it unlikely that we have fully identified all regions involved (Figure S11). With respect to the SCF complex, Zhao et al 2015 and Yao et al 2016 have identified regions of the SL receptor that are involved in the interaction with the F-box protein. These regions include the helices of the lid and the loop that shapes the entrance of the cavity (referred to here as the A loop). In the crystal structure of the AtD14-D3-ASK1 complex by Yao et al (2016), the A loop becomes disordered while the lid helices undergo changes and move towards each other to close the entrance of the cavity to create

the interaction surface. In our previous work, we also showed that a residue of the A loop interacts with a SL receptor antagonist, tolfenamic acid, effectively locking the receptor in the open form (30). The flexibility of this loop may therefore also be involved in the ability of the receptor to interact with signaling partners.

In this and other work, it is evident that SL signaling can be activated in the absence of the ligand or hydrolytic activity of the receptor (19,28). However, phylogenetic comparisons show the catalytic triad is conserved in all SL receptors examined (34). It is possible that hydrolysis may still be involved in removing SL from the cell after it is perceived, although it is currently unknown if other proteins can also fulfil this role or if hydrolysis and/or the catalytic triad is required for another function. Furthermore, the hydrolytic products of the receptor do not have biological activity, at least with respect to altering branching in plants (10,15,35,36), however it remains possible that these products may still have a role that is currently unknown.

Perception of SL appears to be a surprisingly complex process (26). The data presented here demonstrate that it is possible to bypass the need for ligand hydrolysis by introducing mutations which have an effect on the flexibility of specific regions of the SL receptor that are involved in interactions with downstream signaling partners. This is consistent with the findings of Seto et al. (28) and Zhao et al (2015). Such a hydrolysis-independent mechanism may also be regulated by the SCF complex as suggested by Shabek et al. (29). A major goal to shed further light on the changes occurring in the receptor upon binding of its partners is to solve the structure of a complex containing the receptor as well as the F-box protein and a target (e.g. the repressor protein D53) of the receptor-SCF complex.

Experimental procedures

Mutagenesis of DAD2

Amino acid mutations were introduced into the bacterial codon-optimized open reading frame of DAD2. Random mutagenesis was performed using an error-prone PCR polymerase using the

GeneMorph® II Random EZClone Domain Mutagenesis kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Agilent Technologies). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange® Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

A C89Q mutation was introduced into the DAD2^{D166A}, DAD2^{F135A} and DAD2^{N242I} constructs to obtain protein crystals with good diffraction (30). DAD2^{D166A} used in the DSF and YLG hydrolysis assays also contained the C89Q mutation. The C89Q mutation in DAD2 does not alter the function of the proteins in terms of SL hydrolysis (see Figure S12) and SL binding (30).

Expression and purification of recombinant proteins

DAD2 and its mutants were expressed as fusion proteins with a cleavable, N-terminal His₆-MBP tag in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta gami-2 (DE3) cells (Novagen, USA) at 20 °C, overnight. The cells were harvested and homogenized twice using an EmusiFlex C3 (Avestin) at 10,000 - 15,000 psi. The soluble fraction was purified by metal affinity chromatography using a 5 mL HisTrap™ HP column (GE healthcare) and eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 300 mM Imidazole. The eluted protein was dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT (for proteins used for crystallization, dialysis was performed in the same buffer without DTT) at 4 °C for 16 h and further purified by anion-exchange using a 5 mL HiTrap™ Q HP column (GE healthcare). Proteins were eluted using a continuous salt gradient of 0-500 mM in 20 mM Tris-HCL (pH 8.0) and 1 mM DTT (proteins used for crystallization were eluted in the same condition without DTT). The His₆-MBP tag was then cleaved using tobacco etch virus protease at a protease:protein ratio of 1:50 (w/w) at 4 °C by dialyzing against 20 mM Tris HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM L-arginine, 50 mM L-glutamic acid, 1 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA. The cleaved protein was then dialyzed against the same buffer lacking 1 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA for 2 h at 4 °C before being subjected to another round of metal affinity chromatography. The flow-through containing purified protein was collected, concentrated to ~6

mg/mL and stored at -80 °C. For the DAD2^{D166A} mutant, anion-exchange chromatography was not performed. Prior to crystallization, YLG hydrolysis and DSF assays, the proteins were buffer-exchanged into 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl (proteins used for YLG hydrolysis and DSF assays were exchanged into buffer containing 1 mM DTT) using Superdex™ 75 100/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) and concentrated, if required for an experiment.

Crystallization

The crystallization experiments were performed by a hanging drop vapor diffusion method at room temperature. For DAD2^{N242I}, the initial crystal hits were obtained in two conditions, the first comprising 0.1 M MES (pH 6.5) and 1.6 M magnesium sulfate and the second comprising 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 6.5) and 1.4 M sodium acetate. Crystals used for data collection were obtained in a condition containing 0.9 µL of 7 mg/mL of DAD2^{N242I} in the presence of 0.1 M MES buffer pH 6.5 with 1.58 M MgSO₄ or 1.72 M MgSO₄. For DAD2^{D166A}, initial crystal hits were obtained in several conditions of the Morpheus screen (Molecular dimension). The condition containing 0.1 M Trizma-bicine (pH 8.5), 30 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM CaCl₂ and 20% v/v PEG 500 monomethyl ether, 10% v/v PEG 20000 was further refined and crystals used for data collection were obtained in conditions containing 0.9 µL of 5 mg/mL of DAD2^{D166A} in the presence of 0.1 M Trizma-acetate-bicine (pH 8.5), 30 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM CaCl₂ and 18-22% PEG 500 monomethyl ether, 9-11% PEG 20000. The crystals used for data collection were obtained in a condition comprised of 0.1 M Trizma-acetate-bicine (pH 8.5), 30 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM CaCl₂ and 20% v/v PEG 500 monomethyl ether, 10% PEG 20000. A small amount of DAD2^{F135A} was obtained and crystallized in 0.1 M Trizma-acetate-bicine (pH 8.5), 30 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM CaCl₂ and 12% v/v PEG 500 monomethyl ether, 6% PEG 20000.

Data collection, processing and structure determination

Complete datasets were collected at the Australian synchrotron (Victoria, Australia). The

dataset for the DAD2^{N242I} protein crystal was collected on the MX2 beamline to a resolution of 1.58 Å. The dataset for the DAD2^{D166A} crystals was collected on the MX1 beamline to a resolution of 1.52 Å. Data indexing and integration were performed using iMOSFLM or XDS (37,38). The intensities were merged using aimless/pointless from the CCP4 suite (39-41). The number of molecules in the asymmetric unit were determined using Matthew's coefficient from the CCP4 suite. Structures were solved by molecular replacement using the crystal structure of WT DAD2 (DAD2^{WT}; PDB ID: 4DNP) as the model in PHASER MR from CCP4 suite (42,43). Structure refinement and subsequent cycles of model building were performed in REFMAC5 and COOT, respectively (44,45). The final models were refined using the optimized parameters obtained from the PDB redo server. The statistics for data collection and refinement are listed in Table S1. Crystals obtained with DAD2^{F135A} showed only poor diffraction and were not analyzed further.

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

The initial coordinates for the DAD2^{WT} and DAD2^{N242I} models were obtained from their respective crystal structures (DAD2, PDB ID: 4DNP and DAD2^{N242I}, PDB ID: 6UH8, molecule A of the asymmetric unit). The crystallographic water and any ligands were removed from the PDB file. The simulations were performed using the Gromacs software, version 2016.1 (<http://www.gromacs.org>; (46)) and the Amber force field, FF99SB (47). Periodic boundary conditions were used with a cubic simulation box with sides of 2 nm from the solute in all directions. The systems were solvated using the TIP3P (48) water model. All amino acids were assumed to be in their standard protonation state at physiological pH (~7), resulting in a net charge of -4 for DAD2^{WT} and -5 for DAD2^{N242I}. Sodium ions were added to ensure an overall net neutral system. All simulations were performed using a 2 fs integration time step with the covalent bond lengths involving hydrogen atoms constrained using LINCS (49). Non-bonded interactions were calculated explicitly up to a cut-off of 1.2 nm. Outside this, long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using Particle Mesh Ewald

summation (50). The temperature was maintained using the Velocity Rescale Thermostat (51) and for the NPT simulations, constant pressure was maintained using the Berendsen Barostat (52). The systems underwent a two stage energy minimization comprising 5000 steps using the steepest descent algorithm followed by 5000 steps with the conjugate gradient algorithm. The systems were then heated from 50 K to 298 K over 250 ps at a heating rate of 1 K/ps in the NVT ensemble, followed by a short (250 ps) equilibration simulation under NPT conditions (298 K, 1 atm). Production simulations were then performed in the NPT ensemble for 500 ns at 298 K and 1 atm pressure.

Analysis of MD simulation data

The MD simulation data were analyzed using Gromacs (version 2016.1; <http://www.gromacs.org>; (Abraham et al., 2015)) tools and visualized using Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD) (Version 1.9.3; <http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd>; (53)). For cluster analysis, only every 100th frame was considered to make the all-by-all RMSD calculations required for cluster analysis tractable. Clustering was performed using the gromos algorithm and a root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) cut-off of 0.15 nm. This cut-off value was selected as it gave a manageable number of clusters (i.e. less than 50). Occupation of the most populated 20 clusters was then plotted against the time-series of the simulation using an in-house Python script. For RMSF analysis, the main trajectory files of the two models were used and the analysis was performed using RMSF tool of Gromacs.

Differential scanning fluorimetry

Differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) experiments were performed as described in Hamiaux et al. (10). Reaction mixtures were set up in 384 multi-well plates at a molar ratio of 25:1 (GR24:protein). Each reaction, in a final volume of 20 μ L, consisted of 250 μ M (*rac*)-GR24, 10 μ M protein and 10 \times SyproTM Tangerine protein dye in 20 μ M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl and 2.5% DMSO. The final reaction mixture for the DMSO control consisted of the same assay components without (*rac*)-GR24.

DSF assays were also performed using MES (2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid) as a test compound. Various concentrations of MES were tested (0.25 - 128 mM). The experiment was setup in a similar method as described above with MES instead of (*rac*)-GR24.

YLG hydrolysis assays

The hydrolytic activity of DAD2 mutants was determined using Yoshimulactone Green (YLG; TCI Chemicals) according to the methods of Tsuchiya et al. (31) with some modifications. To determine the time-course hydrolysis of YLG, each reaction mixture (in a final volume of 100 μ L) consisted of 0.34 μ M protein and 1 μ M YLG in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl and 1% DMSO. The YLG control consisted of 1 μ M YLG in the same buffer. For kinetics analyses, assay reactions were performed at seven YLG concentrations (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1, 2, 3.5 and 5 μ M) in triplicate. Protein concentration and reaction buffer used were the same as the time-course hydrolysis assays. All experiments were conducted in three technical replicates. Fluorescence was monitored using a FLUOStar[®] Omega microplate reader (BMG LabTech) with 485 \pm 12 nm excitation filter and 520 \pm 10 nm emission filter every 2 min over a 60-min interval. The gain was set to 920, and the number of flashes to 20. Fluorescence units were converted to fluorescein concentrations using fluorescein standard curves. Velocity (μ M/min) at each YLG concentration was calculated as the amount of fluorescein produced (μ M) per minute. Michaelis-Menten analyses were determined by non-linear regression in GraphPad Prism using the velocity at the 15-min time-point.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

Yeast two-hybrid experiments were performed by β -galactosidase liquid culture assay according to methods from the Clontech Yeast Protocols Handbook (2009). DAD2^{WT} and DAD2 mutants were cloned into a pBD vector, whereas PhMAX2A and PhD53A were cloned into a pAD vector for expression in yeast PJ69-4 (54,55). The pURA3-GW vector was used to express PhMAX2A as a third untagged protein when required in the yeast assays. Yeast transformants were subjected to western blot analysis to confirm

the presence of the binding domain and activation domain fusion proteins (30). The strength of DAD2-PhMAX2A and DAD2-PhD53A interactions in comparison with DMSO controls were quantified using orthonitro-phenyl- β -galactopyranoside (Sigma-Aldrich) as the substrate in the presence of 10 μ M and 1 μ M (*rac*)-GR24, respectively.

Accession numbers

The crystal structure of DAD2^{N242I} has been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under PDB ID 6UH8, and the crystal structure of DAD2^{D166A} under PDB ID 6UH9

Acknowledgments: We thank members of the Laboratory of Structural Biology (University of Auckland) for help with X-ray data collection, and Colm Carraher and Donald Hunter for comments on the manuscript. Crystallography data was collected on the MX1 and MX2 beamlines at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO (Victoria, Australia; www.ansto.gov.au).

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

References

1. Cook, C. E., Whichard, L. P., Turner, B., Wall, M. E., and Egley, G. H. (1966) Germination of Witchweed (*Striga lutea* Lour.): Isolation and Properties of a Potent Stimulant. *Science* **154**, 1189-1190
2. Yokota, T., Sakai, H., Okuno, K., Yoneyama, K., and Takeuchi, Y. (1998) Alectrol and orobanchol, germination stimulants for *Orobancha minor*, from its host red clover. *Phytochemistry* **49**, 1967-1973
3. Akiyama, K., Matsuzaki, K.-i., and Hayashi, H. (2005) Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* **435**, 824-827
4. Gomez-Roldan, V., Fermas, S., Brewer, P. B., Puech-Pages, V., Dun, E. A., Pillot, J. P., Letisse, F., Matusova, R., Danoun, S., Portais, J. C., Bouwmeester, H., Becard, G., Beveridge, C. A., Rameau, C., and Rochange, S. F. (2008) Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. *Nature* **455**, 189-194
5. Umehara, M., Hanada, A., Yoshida, S., Akiyama, K., Arite, T., Takeda-Kamiya, N., Magome, H., Kamiya, Y., Shirasu, K., Yoneyama, K., Kyojuka, J., and Yamaguchi, S. (2008) Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones. *Nature* **455**, 195-200
6. Kapulnik, Y., Delaux, P.-M., Resnick, N., Mayzlish-Gati, E., Winer, S., Bhattacharya, C., Séjalón-Delmas, N., Combier, J.-P., Bécard, G., Belausov, E., Beeckman, T., Dor, E., Hershenhorn, J., and Koltai, H. (2011) Strigolactones affect lateral root formation and root-hair elongation in *Arabidopsis*. *Planta* **233**, 209-216
7. Ruyter-Spira, C., Kohlen, W., Charnikhova, T., van Zeijl, A., van Bezouwen, L., de Ruijter, N., Cardoso, C., Lopez-Raez, J. A., Matusova, R., Bours, R., Verstappen, F., and Bouwmeester, H. (2011) Physiological effects of the synthetic strigolactone analog GR24 on root system architecture in *Arabidopsis*: another belowground role for strigolactones? *Plant Physiol* **155**, 721-734
8. Snowden, K. C., Simkin, A. J., Janssen, B. J., Templeton, K. R., Loucas, H. M., Simons, J. L., Karunairetnam, S., Gleave, A. P., Clark, D. G., and Klee, H. J. (2005) The Decreased apical dominance1/*Petunia hybrida* CAROTENOID CLEAVAGE DIOXYGENASE8 gene affects branch production and plays a role in leaf senescence, root growth, and flower development. *Plant Cell* **17**, 746-759
9. Yamada, Y., Furusawa, S., Nagasaka, S., Shimomura, K., Yamaguchi, S., and Umehara, M. (2014) Strigolactone signaling regulates rice leaf senescence in response to a phosphate deficiency. *Planta* **240**, 399-408
10. Hamiaux, C., Drummond, R. S. M., Janssen, B. J., Ledger, S. E., Cooney, J. M., Newcomb, R. D., and Snowden, K. C. (2012) DAD2 Is an alpha/beta Hydrolase Likely to Be Involved in the Perception of the Plant Branching Hormone, Strigolactone. *Current Biology* **22**, 2032-2036
11. Arite, T., Umehara, M., Ishikawa, S., Hanada, A., Maekawa, M., Yamaguchi, S., and Kyojuka, J. (2009) *d14*, a strigolactone-insensitive mutant of rice, shows an accelerated outgrowth of tillers. *Plant Cell Physiology* **50**, 1416-1424
12. Zhao, L. H., Zhou, X. E., Wu, Z. S., Yi, W., Xu, Y., Li, S., Xu, T. H., Liu, Y., Chen, R. Z., Kovach, A., Kang, Y., Hou, L., He, Y., Xie, C., Song, W., Zhong, D., Xu, Y., Wang, Y., Li, J., Zhang, C., Melcher, K., and Xu, H. E. (2013) Crystal structures of two phytohormone signal-transducing alpha/beta hydrolases: karrikin-signaling KAI2 and strigolactone-signaling DWARF14. *Cell research* **23**, 436-439
13. Chevalier, F., Nieminen, K., Sanchez-Ferrero, J. C., Rodriguez, M. L., Chagoyen, M., Hardtke, C. S., and Cubas, P. (2014) Strigolactone promotes degradation of DWARF14, an alpha/beta hydrolase essential for strigolactone signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **26**, 1134-1150

14. de Saint Germain, A., Clave, G., Badet-Denisot, M.-A., Pillot, J.-P., Cornu, D., Le Caer, J.-P., Burger, M., Pelissier, F., Retailleau, P., Turnbull, C., Bonhomme, S., Chory, J., Rameau, C., and Boyer, F.-D. (2016) An histidine covalent receptor and butenolide complex mediates strigolactone perception. *Nature chemical biology* **12**, 787-794
15. Nakamura, H., Xue, Y. L., Miyakawa, T., Hou, F., Qin, H. M., Fukui, K., Shi, X., Ito, E., Ito, S., Park, S. H., Miyauchi, Y., Asano, A., Totsuka, N., Ueda, T., Tanokura, M., and Asami, T. (2013) Molecular mechanism of strigolactone perception by DWARF14. *Nature communications* **4**, 2613
16. Yao, R., Ming, Z., Yan, L., Li, S., Wang, F., Ma, S., Yu, C., Yang, M., Chen, L., Chen, L., Li, Y., Yan, C., Miao, D., Sun, Z., Yan, J., Sun, Y., Wang, L., Chu, J., Fan, S., He, W., Deng, H., Nan, F., Li, J., Rao, Z., Lou, Z., and Xie, D. (2016) DWARF14 is a non-canonical hormone receptor for strigolactone. *Nature* **536**, 469-473
17. Yao, R., Wang, L., Li, Y., Chen, L., Li, S., Du, X., Wang, B., Yan, J., Li, J., and Xie, D. (2018) Rice DWARF14 acts as an unconventional hormone receptor for strigolactone. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **69**, 2355-2365
18. Waters, Mark T., Scaffidi, A., Flematti, G., and Smith, Steven M. (2015) Substrate-Induced Degradation of the α/β -Fold Hydrolase KARRIKIN INSENSITIVE2 Requires a Functional Catalytic Triad but Is Independent of MAX2. *Molecular Plant* **8**, 814-817
19. Zhao, L.-H., Zhou, X. E., Yi, W., Wu, Z., Liu, Y., Kang, Y., Hou, L., de Waal, P. W., Li, S., Jiang, Y., Scaffidi, A., Flematti, G. R., Smith, S. M., Lam, V. Q., Griffin, P. R., Wang, Y., Li, J., Melcher, K., and Xu, H. E. (2015) Destabilization of strigolactone receptor DWARF14 by binding of ligand and E3-ligase signaling effector DWARF3. *Cell research* **25**, 1219-1236
20. Abe, S., Sado, A., Tanaka, K., Kisugi, T., Asami, K., Ota, S., Kim, H. I., Yoneyama, K., Xie, X., Ohnishi, T., Seto, Y., Yamaguchi, S., Akiyama, K., Yoneyama, K., and Nomura, T. (2014) Carlactone is converted to carlactonoic acid by MAX1 in Arabidopsis and its methyl ester can directly interact with AtD14 in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
21. Liang, Y., Ward, S., Li, P., Bennett, T., and Leyser, O. (2016) SMAX1-LIKE7 signals from the nucleus to regulate shoot development in *Arabidopsis* via partially EAR motif-independent mechanisms. *The Plant Cell*
22. Jiang, L., Liu, X., Xiong, G., Liu, H., Chen, F., Wang, L., Meng, X., Liu, G., Yu, H., Yuan, Y., Yi, W., Zhao, L., Ma, H., He, Y., Wu, Z., Melcher, K., Qian, Q., Xu, H. E., Wang, Y., and Li, J. (2013) DWARF 53 acts as a repressor of strigolactone signalling in rice. *Nature* **504**, 401-405
23. Zhou, F., Lin, Q., Zhu, L., Ren, Y., Zhou, K., Shabek, N., Wu, F., Mao, H., Dong, W., Gan, L., Ma, W., Gao, H., Chen, J., Yang, C., Wang, D., Tan, J., Zhang, X., Guo, X., Wang, J., Jiang, L., Liu, X., Chen, W., Chu, J., Yan, C., Ueno, K., Ito, S., Asami, T., Cheng, Z., Lei, C., Zhai, H., Wu, C., Wang, H., Zheng, N., and Wan, J. (2013) D14-SCF(D3)-dependent degradation of D53 regulates strigolactone signalling. *Nature* **504**, 406-410
24. Wang, L., Wang, B., Jiang, L., Liu, X., Li, X., Lu, Z., Meng, X., Wang, Y., Smith, S. M., and Li, J. (2015) Strigolactone Signaling in Arabidopsis Regulates Shoot Development by Targeting D53-Like SMXL Repressor Proteins for Ubiquitination and Degradation. *Plant Cell* **27**, 3128-3142
25. Soundappan, I., Bennett, T., Morffy, N., Liang, Y., Stanga, J. P., Abbas, A., Leyser, O., and Nelson, D. C. (2015) SMAX1-LIKE/D53 Family Members Enable Distinct

- MAX2-Dependent Responses to Strigolactones and Karrikins in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* **27**, 3143-3159
26. Machin, D. C., Hamon-Josse, M., and Bennett, T. (2019) Fellowship of the rings: a saga of strigolactones and other small signals. *The New phytologist*
 27. Kagiya, M., Hirano, Y., Mori, T., Kim, S. Y., Kyojuka, J., Seto, Y., Yamaguchi, S., and Hakoshima, T. (2013) Structures of D14 and D14L in the strigolactone and karrikin signaling pathways. *Genes to cells : devoted to molecular & cellular mechanisms* **18**, 147-160
 28. Seto, Y., Yasui, R., Kameoka, H., Tamiru, M., Cao, M., Terauchi, R., Sakurada, A., Hirano, R., Kisugi, T., Hanada, A., Umehara, M., Seo, E., Akiyama, K., Burke, J., Takeda-Kamiya, N., Li, W., Hirano, Y., Hakoshima, T., Mashiguchi, K., Noel, J. P., Kyojuka, J., and Yamaguchi, S. (2019) Strigolactone perception and deactivation by a hydrolase receptor DWARF14. *Nature communications* **10**, 191
 29. Shabek, N., Ticchiarelli, F., Mao, H., Hinds, T. R., Leyser, O., and Zheng, N. (2018) Structural plasticity of D3–D14 ubiquitin ligase in strigolactone signalling. *Nature*
 30. Hamiaux, C., Drummond, R. S. M., Luo, Z., Lee, H. W., Sharma, P., Janssen, B. J., Perry, N. B., Denny, W. A., and Snowden, K. C. (2018) Inhibition of strigolactone receptors by N-phenylanthranilic acid derivatives: Structural and functional insights. *J Biol Chem* **293**, 6530-6543
 31. Tsuchiya, Y., Yoshimura, M., Sato, Y., Kuwata, K., Toh, S., Holbrook-Smith, D., Zhang, H., McCourt, P., Itami, K., Kinoshita, T., and Hagihara, S. (2015) Probing strigolactone receptors in *Striga hermonthica* with fluorescence. *Science* **349**, 864-868
 32. Abramyan, T. M., Snyder, J. A., Thyparambil, A. A., Stuart, S. J., and Latour, R. A. (2016) Cluster analysis of molecular simulation trajectories for systems where both conformation and orientation of the sampled states are important. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **37**, 1973-1982
 33. Phillips, J. L., Colvin, M. E., and Newsam, S. (2011) Validating clustering of molecular dynamics simulations using polymer models. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 445
 34. Delaux, P.-M., Xie, X., Timme, R. E., Puech-Pages, V., Dunand, C., Lecompte, E., Delwiche, C. F., Yoneyama, K., Bécard, G., and Séjalon-Delmas, N. (2012) Origin of strigolactones in the green lineage. *New Phytologist* **195**, 857-871
 35. Boyer, F.-D., de Saint Germain, A., Pillot, J.-P., Pouvreau, J.-B., Chen, V. X., Ramos, S., Stévenin, A., Simier, P., Delavault, P., Beau, J.-M., and Rameau, C. (2012) Structure-Activity Relationship Studies of Strigolactone-Related Molecules for Branching Inhibition in Garden Pea: Molecule Design for Shoot Branching. *Plant Physiology* **159**, 1524-1544
 36. Umehara, M., Cao, M., Akiyama, K., Akatsu, T., Seto, Y., Hanada, A., Li, W., Takeda-Kamiya, N., Morimoto, Y., and Yamaguchi, S. (2015) Structural Requirements of Strigolactones for Shoot Branching Inhibition in Rice and *Arabidopsis*. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **56**, 1059-1072
 37. Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. *Acta crystallographica. Section D, Biological crystallography* **66**, 125-132
 38. Battye, T. G. G., Kontogiannis, L., Johnson, O., Powell, H. R., and Leslie, A. G. W. (2011) iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography* **67**, 271-281
 39. Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Cowtan, K. D., Dodson, E. J., Emsley, P., Evans, P. R., Keegan, R. M., Krissinel, E. B., Leslie, A. G. W., McCoy, A., McNicholas, S. J., Murshudov, G. N., Pannu, N. S., Potterton, E. A., Powell, H. R., Read, R. J., Vagin,

- A., and Wilson, K. S. (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallographica Section D* **67**, 235-242
40. Evans, P. R. (2011) An introduction to data reduction: space-group determination, scaling and intensity statistics. *Acta crystallographica. Section D, Biological crystallography* **67**, 282-292
 41. Evans, P. (2006) Scaling and assessment of data quality. *Acta Crystallographica Section D* **62**, 72-82
 42. McCoy, A. J. (2007) Solving structures of protein complexes by molecular replacement with Phaser. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography* **63**, 32-41
 43. McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., and Read, R. J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **40**, 658-674
 44. Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallographica Section D* **60**, 2126-2132
 45. Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A., and Dodson, E. J. (1997) Refinement of Macromolecular Structures by the Maximum-Likelihood Method. *Acta Crystallographica Section D* **53**, 240-255
 46. Abraham, M. J., Murtola, T., Schulz, R., Páll, S., Smith, J. C., Hess, B., and Lindahl, E. (2015) GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **1-2**, 19-25
 47. Hornak, V., Abel, R., Okur, A., Strockbine, B., Roitberg, A., and Simmerling, C. (2006) Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. *Proteins* **65**, 712-725
 48. Jorgensen, W. L., Chandrasekhar, J., Madura, J. D., Impey, R. W., and Klein, M. L. (1983) Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **79**, 926-935
 49. Hess, B., Bekker, H., Berendsen, H. J., and Fraaije, J. G. (1997) LINCS: a linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *Journal of computational chemistry* **18**, 1463-1472
 50. Di Pierro, M., Elber, R., and Leimkuhler, B. (2015) A Stochastic Algorithm for the Isobaric–Isothermal Ensemble with Ewald Summations for All Long Range Forces. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **11**, 5624-5637
 51. Bussi, G., Donadio, D., and Parrinello, M. (2007) Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **126**, 014101
 52. Berendsen, H. J. C., Postma, J. P. M., Gunsteren, W. F. v., DiNola, A., and Haak, J. R. (1984) Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **81**, 3684-3690
 53. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., and Schulten, K. (1996) VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics* **14**, 33-38
 54. Maier, R., Brandner, C., Hintner, H., Bauer, J., and Onder, K. (2008) Construction of a reading frame-independent yeast two-hybrid vector system for site-specific recombinational cloning and protein interaction screening. *Biotechniques* **45**, 235-244
 55. James, P., Halladay, J., and Craig, E. A. (1996) Genomic libraries and a host strain designed for highly efficient two-hybrid selection in yeast. *Genetics* **144**, 1425-1436

FOOTNOTES

This work was supported by the Marsden Fund from the Royal Society Te Apārangi (contract PAF1301) as well as by Plant & Food Research. JRA was supported by a Rutherford Discovery Fellowship (15-MAU-001).

TABLES

Table 1. Melting temperatures of DAD2^{WT} and DAD2 mutants in the presence and absence of GR24

Protein	Melting temperature (T_m , °C)		Difference (ΔT_m , °C)
	DMSO	GR24	
DAD2 ^{WT}	57.2	48.3	-8.9
DAD2 ^{F135A}	53.0	36.3	-16.7
DAD2 ^{D166A}	56.0	43.0	-13.0
DAD2 ^{N242I}	51.2	37.6	-13.6
DAD2 ^{S96A/N242I}	48.2	48.5	+0.3

Table 2. Kinetic parameters of DAD2^{WT} and DAD2 mutants.

Protein	$K_{1/2}$ (μM)	V_{max} ($\mu\text{M}/\text{min}$)	K_{cat} (min^{-1})
DAD2 ^{WT}	1.36 ± 0.17	0.032	0.093 ± 0.004
DAD2 ^{S96A}	1.06 ± 0.57	0.003	0.010 ± 0.002
DAD2 ^{F135A}	1.05 ± 0.31	0.020	0.059 ± 0.006
DAD2 ^{D166A}	1.46 ± 0.33	0.027	0.080 ± 0.007
DAD2 ^{N242I}	1.03 ± 0.11	0.025	0.073 ± 0.003
DAD2 ^{S96A/N242I}	1.44 ± 0.35	0.004	0.012 ± 0.001




Figure 1. Interaction of DAD2 mutants with PhMAX2A and PhD53A. (**A, B, C**) Interaction of DAD2 mutants with PhMAX2A. (**D, E, F**) Interaction of DAD2 mutants with PhD53A. Interactions in each figure were quantified in the presence and absence of GR24 (10 μ M for A-C and 1 μ M for D-F) using yeast two-hybrid β -galactosidase liquid culture assays. All data shown are means \pm S.E.M.; n = 3 technical replicates. Western blot analyses confirming the presence of the fusion proteins in yeast are shown in Figure S3.




Figure 2. Interactions of DAD2 mutants with PhD53A in the presence and absence of PhMAX2A. A and B denote experiments conducted on separate occasions. Protein-protein interactions were quantified by assaying β -galactosidase activity in the absence and presence of 1 μ M GR24. Wild-type DAD2 is indicated by WT. All data shown are means \pm S.E.M.; n = 3 technical replicates. Western blot analyses confirming the presence of the fusion proteins in yeast are shown in Figure S3.




Figure 3. Hydrolysis of YLG by DAD2 mutants. (A, C, E, G) Time-course hydrolysis of 1 μM YLG by DAD2 mutants (0.34 μM protein) over 60 min. Denatured DAD2^{WT} (WT DAD2) and DAD2^{S96A} (S96A) were used as negative controls. (B, D, F, H) Hydrolysis of YLG by DAD2 mutants (0.34 μM protein) at various YLG concentrations. Each data point is the mean ± S.E.M. of three technical replicates.




Figure 4. Structural comparison of DAD2^{WT} and molecule A of DAD2^{N242I}. **(A)** Structure of molecule A of DAD2^{N242I} protein (light green) superimposed onto the structure of DAD2^{WT} (magenta, PDB ID: 4DNP; (Hamiaux et al., 2012)). The N242 and I242 residues are shown in stick representation in blue, the A loop in red and the M loop in fluorescent green. **(B)** The displacement of A and M loops (in Å, shown as yellow dotted lines) of molecule A of DAD2^{N242I} in comparison to that of DAD2^{WT}. Comparison of molecule B of DAD2^{N242I} and DAD2^{WT} is shown in Figure S5. The DAD2^{N242I} protein also included a C89Q mutation to facilitate crystallization.




Figure 5. Visualization of DAD2^{WT} and DAD2^{N242I} MD simulation trajectories. Subset (first, every 100th and last) of structures present within the DAD2^{WT} (WT; left) and DAD2^{N242I} (N242I; right) MD simulation trajectories. The colors represent the start (blue), middle (white), and the end (red) of the simulation. The degree of rotation specified for each figure of WT or N242I is with respect to the structure shown in the top left corner. The α L2 helix-loop- α L3 helix region of the lid domain (highlighted in the black box) and the N-terminus of the protein (highlighted in the green box) show the most differences in movement between the WT and N242I simulations.




Figure 6. Cluster analysis of DAD2^{WT} and DAD2^{N242I} MD simulations. Cluster time series plots of DAD2^{WT} (A) and DAD2^{N242I} (B) showing cluster occupation versus the simulation time (in ns). Each cluster is represented as a horizontal series of lines in a specific colour where each line represents occupancy of that cluster at that point in time. Clusters are ordered from the most (1) to the least (20) populated. The cluster analysis highlights that DAD2^{N242I} is more dynamic as it occupies several clusters throughout the simulation, while DAD2^{WT} mostly exists in a single stable conformation represented by cluster 1. The cluster program identified 15 clusters for DAD2^{WT} and 26 clusters for DAD2^{N242I} at the specified cut-off of 0.15 nm. The DAD2^{WT} plot depicts all of the identified 15 clusters while the DAD2^{N242I} plot depicts the most populated 20 clusters from the identified 26 clusters.

Flexibility of the petunia strigolactone receptor DAD2 promotes its interaction with signaling partners

Hui Wen Lee, Prachi Sharma, Bart J. Janssen, Revel S.M. Drummond, Zhiwei Luo, Cyril Hamiaux, Thomas Collier, Jane R. Allison, Richard D. Newcomb and Kimberley C. Snowden

J. Biol. Chem. published online February 17, 2020

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: [10.1074/jbc.RA119.011509](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011509)

Alerts:

- [When this article is cited](#)
- [When a correction for this article is posted](#)

[Click here](#) to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts