### A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 13 May 2019.

<u>View the peer-reviewed version</u> (peerj.com/articles/6898), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Pochon X, Wecker P, Stat M, Berteaux-Lecellier V, Lecellier G. 2019. Towards an in-depth characterization of Symbiodiniaceae in tropical giant clams via metabarcoding of pooled multi-gene amplicons. PeerJ 7:e6898 <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6898</u>

1	Towards an in-depth characterization of Symbiodiniaceae in tropical giant clams via
2	metabarcoding of pooled multi-gene amplicons
3	
4	Xavier Pochon <sup>1,2*</sup> , Patricia Wecker <sup>3</sup> , Michael Stat <sup>4</sup> , Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier <sup>5</sup> , Gaël
5	Lecellier <sup>5,6</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Coastal and Freshwater Group, Cawthron Institute, Nelson, New Zealand
8	<sup>2</sup> Institute of Marine Science, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
9	<sup>3</sup> Consultant in marine Microbiology, 7 Av. des garennes, 62170 Montreuil sur mer, France
10	<sup>4</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW 2109, Australia
11	<sup>5</sup> UMR250/9220 ENTROPIE, IRD-CNRS-UR, LabEx CORAIL, 101, promenade Roger-Laroque,
12	BP A5 98848 Nouméa Cedex, New-Caledonia.
13	<sup>6</sup> Université Paris -Saclay, UVSQ, 55 Avenue de Paris 78035 Versailles Cedex, France
14	
15	Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist. Xavier Pochon
16	is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.
17 18	* E-mail: xavier.pochon@cawthron.org.nz
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

### 27 ABSTRACT

28 High-throughput sequencing is revolutionizing our ability to comprehensively characterize 29 free-living and symbiotic Symbiodiniaceae, a diverse dinoflagellate group that plays a 30 critical role in coral reef ecosystems. Most studies however, focus on a single marker for 31 metabarcoding Symbiodiniaceae, potentially missing important ecological traits that a 32 combination of markers may capture. In this proof-of-concept study, we used a small set 33 of symbiotic giant clam (Tridacna maxima) samples obtained from nine French Polynesian 34 locations and tested a dual-index sequence library preparation method that pools and 35 simultaneously sequences multiple Symbiodiniaceae gene amplicons per sample for in-36 depth biodiversity assessments. The rational for this approach was to allow the 37 metabarcoding of multiple genes without extra costs associated with additional single 38 amplicon dual indexing and library preparations. Our results showed that the technique 39 effectively recovered very similar proportions of sequence reads and dominant 40 Symbiodiniaceae clades among the three pooled gene amplicons investigated per sample, 41 and captured varying levels of phylogenetic resolution enabling a more comprehensive assessment of the diversity present. The pooled Symbiodiniaceae multi-gene 42 43 metabarcoding approach decribed here is readily scalable, offering considerable analytical 44 cost savings while providing sufficient phylogenetic information and sequence coverage.

- 45
- 46

Keywords (6-10 words): Biodiversity, Marine Ecology, Multi-gene Metabarcoding, HighThroughput Sequencing, South Pacific Ocean, symbiosis, *Tridacna*.

- 49
- 50

PeerJ Preprints | https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27313v2 | CC BY 4.0 Open Access | rec: 9 Jan 2019, publ: 9 Jan 2019

### 51 INTRODUCTION

Giant clams (Family Tridacnidae) play important roles in reef systems, acting as shelter 52 53 for a number of organisms (Mercier & Hamel 1996), contributing to primary production 54 through their symbiosis with dinoflagellates (Neo et al. 2015), and as effective filter feeders 55 (Klumpp & Griffiths 1994). Due to their large size, relative abundance and longevity, giant 56 clams can be considered as centennial barometers of reef health (Knop 1996). 57 Unfortunately, as a highly prized resource throughout much of their Indo-Pacific range, 58 giant clams also contain some of the most endangered species due to habitat degradation 59 and overfishing, i.e. wild stock depletion and local extinctions (IUCN Red List (List 2018). 60

61 Giant clams on shallow reefs allow for the establishment of a diverse *in-situ* reservoir of 62 interacting fungal, bacterial, and micro-algal communities (Baker 2003; Neo et al. 2015). 63 Importantly, they form obligatory symbioses with, and release living cells of, 64 Symbiodiniaceae sensu LaJeunesse et al. (2018), a group of dinoflagellates that are critical 65 for the survival of a myriad of tropical invertebrates, including corals. Despite these 66 dynamic interactions, very little is known about the extent of symbiont diversity within 67 giant clams and the potential exchange with other reef invertebrates engaged in similar 68 symbiotic associations (i.e. nudibranchs and corals; Wecker et al. 2015). Unlike traditional 69 molecular techniques (e.g. PCR-based fingerprinting methods and Sanger sequencing) that 70 have been extensively used to shed light on Symbiodiniaceae diversity in reef organisms 71 (reviewed in Coffroth & Santos 2005; Stat et al. 2006), recent advances in High-72 Throughput Sequencing (HTS) technologies now enable unprecedented sequencing depth 73 for global biodiversity assessments of symbiotic and free-living communities of 74 Symbiodiniaceae (Boulotte et al. 2016; Cunning et al. 2015; Edmunds et al. 2014; Hume

### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# Peer Preprints

et al. 2018; Shinzato et al. 2018; Thomas et al. 2014). Nevertheless, such studies usually
focus on metabarcoding analyses of single molecular markers in isolation, in particular the *ITS2* marker (but see Smith et al. 2017; Thomas et al. 2014), potentially overlooking
intrinsic phylogenetic differences known to occur between distinct Symbiodiniaceae genes
(Pochon et al. 2012; Pochon et al. 2014).

80

81 A variety of HTS library preparation methods exist for metabarcoding biological samples using Illumina<sup>TM</sup> sequencing platforms, including the use of fusion tag primers (Stat et al. 82 2017), the ligation of Illumina<sup>TM</sup> adapters using TruSeq<sup>TM</sup> PCR-free kits (Rhodes et al. 83 2014), and the addition of Illumina<sup>TM</sup> adapters via dual-index sequencing (Kozich et al. 84 85 2013). The latter technique requires two distinct rounds of PCR analyses. The first round uses gene-specific primers modified to include Illumina<sup>TM</sup> adapter tails. Following 86 87 purification of the PCR products, a second short round of PCR is applied using Nextera<sup>TM</sup> 88 library construction kits that involve individual primer sets containing the Illumina<sup>TM</sup> 89 adapter and sequencing primer sequence. This second PCR step is usually performed on 90 individual PCR amplicon products before the pooling and sequencing of multiple samples 91 so that demultiplexing of sequence data results in appropriate identification of input 92 samples. For laboratories that use the services of external genomic facilities for the 93 preparation of their dual-index libraries, an increased sample set usually correlates 94 positively with the analytical cost due, in part, to the use of additional Nextera<sup>TM</sup> indexed 95 primers. Therefore, one solution for reducing costs when performing multi-gene analyses 96 of individual samples, is to pool the PCR amplicon products prior to the second PCR step, 97 followed by the sequencing and gene-specific demultiplexing per sample.

99 Here we conducted a preliminary assessment of a dual-index multi-gene metabarcoding 100 approach via the pooling and side-by-side HTS analysis of PCR amplicons from three 101 commonly employed nuclear and chloroplastic Symbiodiniaceae markers. The ability to 102 combine multiple gene amplicon targets per sample offers considerable analytical cost 103 savings while providing sufficient phylogenetic information and sequence coverage. This 104 study describes a multi-marker metabarcoding approach using giant clam Tridacna maxima 105 as a model and discusses future applications for improving analyses of coral reef 106 holobionts.

107

### 108 MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 109 Sample collection and DNA extraction

For this study, twelve DNA extracts from *Tridacna maxima* biopsies, previously collected between February 1<sup>st</sup> 2011 and November 2<sup>nd</sup> 2013 from nine islands in the French Polynesian Archipelagos (Figure 1, Table S1) were used (Dubousquet et al. 2018).

### 114 Preparation of Multiplexed Amplicons High-Throughput Sequencing Libraries

115 Three sets of Symbiodiniaceae-specific primers with Illumina<sup>™</sup> adapter tails (Table S2) 116 were used to amplify each sample (S141-S152; Table 1) in separate Polymerase Chain 117 Reactions (PCR). Three markers were amplified: (i) the Internal Transcribed Spacer 2 118 (ITS2) of the nuclear ribosomal RNA array using primers ITSD illu and ITS2rev2 illu, 119 (ii) the D1-D2 region of the 28S large subunit (LSU) nuclear ribosomal RNA gene using 120 the newly designed primers LSU1F illu and LSU1R illu, and (*iii*) the hyper-variable 121 region of the chloroplast 23S (23S) ribosomal RNA gene using primers 23SHyperUP illu 122 and 23SHyperDN illu (Manning & Gates 2008; Pochon et al. 2010).

123

124 PCR was performed for each sample and for each gene separately in 50 uL volumes, with 125 the reaction mixture containing 45 µL of Platinum PCR SuperMix High Fidelity (Life 126 Technologies), 10 uM of each primer, and 10-20 ng of template DNA. In order to maximize 127 specificity to Symbiodiniaceae, a touchdown PCR protocol was used for each reaction as 128 follows: (i) 95 °C for 10 min; (ii) 25 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s (decreasing 129 the annealing temperature 0.5 °C for every cycle after cycle 1), and 72 °C for 1 min; (*iii*) 14 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and (*iv*) a final extension 130 131 of 72 °C for 10 min. Amplicons of the correct size were purified using Agencourt AMPure 132 XP PCR Purification beads following the manufacturers' instructions. In order to sequence 133 the three gene amplicons per sample in multiplex using HTS, individual purified products 134 for each marker originating from the same giant clam were pooled together to enable the attachment of the same Nextera<sup>TM</sup> index (i.e. 12 samples). This was achieved by 135 136 quantifying the amplicons using a Qubit Fluorometer 2.0 (Life Technologies), diluting to 137 1 ng/ $\mu$ L using Milli-Q water and mixing 5  $\mu$ L of each of gene amplicon from the same 138 giant clam together. To assess the levels of cross-contamination between samples 139 potentially arising during the library indexing step, nine unmixed amplicon products (i.e. 140 ITS2, LSU and 23S amplicons from three haphazardly selected giant clams; samples S141-141 S143; Table 1), each with their own unique index to be added, were also prepared.

142

143 The resulting 21 samples were sent to New Zealand Genomics Ltd. (University of 144 Auckland, New Zealand) for HTS library preparation which involved a second round of 145 PCR to attach the Nextera<sup>TM</sup> indexes on to the amplicons for MiSeq Illumina<sup>TM</sup> sequencing. 146 PCR products were combined in equimolar concentrations and the final library paired-end sequenced on an Illumina<sup>TM</sup> MiSeq using a 500 cycle (2 x 250) MiSeq® v2 Reagent Kit
and standard flow cell.

149

150

### 151 *Bioinformatics*

152 Illumina<sup>TM</sup> sequence datasets were prepared using the read preparation and dereplication 153 pipeline of USEARCH (Edgar 2010). Firstly, paired reads were merged (fastq\_mergepairs 154 command) and filtered (fastq\_filter command) with an expected number of error of 0.25. 155 More than 90% of the base pairs had a Q score > 40. Next, samples were demultiplexed in 156 three groups, primers were trimmed and a global trimming was operated according to the 157 recommendations for *ITS* amplicon reads (Edgar 2013). The sequence data were 158 dereplicated and unique singletons found across the complete dataset were discarded.

159

160 For phylogenetic assignments of Symbiodiniaceae, three distinct annotated reference 161 databases (ITS2, LSU and 23S) were generated in fasta format, including sequence 162 representatives from each of nine Symbiodiniaceae clades (A to I), with (i) 409 163 representative ITS2 phylotypes from GeoSymbio (Franklin et al. 2012), (ii) 37 164 representative LSU sequences from Pochon et al. (2012), and (iii) 104 sequences of 23S 165 from Takabayashi et al. (2011). Symbiodiniaceae assignments were performed using the 166 software 'Kallisto' (Bray et al. 2016) which provides unprecedented speed and accuracy 167 for optimal analysis of large-scale datasets (e.g. large RNA-Seq data) without the need for 168 time-consuming alignment steps.

169

170 Because the main goal of the present pilot study was to investigate the sequencing depth

171 and potential inter-marker biases of the multi-marker metabarcoding approach using giant 172 clam samples as a proof-of-concept, as opposed to describing potentially novel 173 Symbiodiniaceae diversity in these samples, we modified the Kallisto pipeline to only 174 retain HTS reads yielding exact matches (i.e. without ambiguity amongst k-mers) to 175 individual referenced genotypes in each gene. This approach transforms each sequence 176 from reference databases into pseudo-alignments of k base-pairs (bp) k-mers which slide 177 along the sequence of reference one bp at a time. Individual sequences generated via HTS 178 were then blasted against all pseudo-alignments and exact matches against the entire 179 population of k-mers are recorded. To reduce mis-assignments, all merged reads with 180 ambiguities between k-mers of different reference genotypes were determined as chimeric 181 and removed from the dataset. The sequences that did not result in exact matches could 182 correspond to non-Symbiodiniacea sequences or to sequences not comprised in our custom 183 database. Therefore, a second comparison using BLASTn against the National Center for 184 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide databases was performed and the accession 185 numbers yielding exact matches were retained for downstream analyses. The number of 186 unique sequences matching genotypes in the reference databases and GenBank was 187 recorded (Table S3). Raw sequence data were submitted to the BioProject Archive under 188 accession PRJNA471926 (SRR7181922-SRR7181942).

189

### 190 Sequence Diversity Analyses

Unique sequence genotypes found at or above a 0.05% threshold from the total sequence abundance per sample were scored (Table S3) and the specific genotypes of reference (i.e. from in-house reference databases and GenBank) were retained for sequence diversity and phylogenetic analyses. Global sequence diversity from each of the three

datasets (*23S*, *ITS2*, and *LSU*) were visualized using the plug-in DataBurst implemented in
Excel (Microsoft Office version 2013 or later).

197

198 One sequence alignment was generated for each of the three investigated gene datasets 199 using the sequence alignment software BioEdit v7.2.5 (Hall 1999). Owing to the difficulty 200 in aligning sequences from Symbiodinium (clade A) and Cladocopium (clade C) genera 201 when using the 23S and ITS2 genes, and between Symbiodiniaceae and non-202 Symbiodiniaceae (i.e. clams, fungi, and plants) sequences, phylogenetic reconstructions 203 only aimed at depicting pair-wise relationships between retained sequence genotypes. 204 Therefore, unrooted phylogenetic inferences were generated using the neighbor-joining 205 method implemented in the program MEGA v. 7.0 (Kumar et al. 2016), with the p-distance 206 model and gaps treated as pairwise deletions. Internal nodes support was tested using the 207 bootstrap method (Felsenstein 1985) and 500 replicates.

208

### 209 **RESULTS**

210 A total of 1,590,047 sequences were obtained from the 21 samples  $(75,716 \pm 41,576)$ 211 sequences per sample), which included 12 amplicon samples (S141-S152) each containing 212 three pooled gene products (23S, ITS2, and LSU) and nine amplicon samples from three 213 selected giant clam isolates (S141, S142, and S143) which only contained a single gene 214 amplicon as internal controls (Table 1; Table S3). One sample (internal control S143 for 215 ITS2) failed the sequencing step with only 130 raw reads produced. After read cleaning, 216 the total number of high-quality sequences was 1,104,687 (52,604 +/- 29,250 sequences 217 per sample). The proportion of total reads (Table 1) between the three investigated genes 218 was well-balanced with 398,442 reads (23S), 339,780 reads (ITS2), and 359,768 reads

219 (LSU). In contrast, unique reads varied between 23,779 sequences for the 23S gene and 220 71,776 sequences for the LSU gene (Table S3). The inclusion of nine positive controls, 221 representing three amplicon products per gene sequenced in isolation, revealed the 222 presence of low levels of sequence cross-contamination between samples (mean of 4.5 sequences  $\pm$  4.6 SD) (Table 1). This low-level of background contamination (1 to 23 223 224 sequences per sample) represented <0.003% of the total reads per sample (Table S3). 225 Therefore, as a conservative measure, we chose to remove sequences that represented < 226 0.05% of the total sequence abundance per sample.

227

228 Our bioinformatics pipeline identified 43 Symbiodiniaceae 23S chloroplast genotypes, 229 including 16 that matched the 23S reference database and another 27 that matched 230 sequences in GenBank. After exclusion of genotypes represented by less than 0.05% of the 231 sequence abundance in each sample (Table S3), the number of unique 23S 232 Symbiodiniaceae sequences retained for phylogenetic analysis was eleven (Figure S1). 233 Similarly, blasting ITS2 and LSU datasets against both types of databases led to the 234 identification of 117 and 93 unique sequences when using the original datasets, and to 46 235 and 51 unique sequences following the 0.05% filtering threshold, respectively.

236

Diversity diagrams were generated to visualize the sequence abundance of Symbiodiniaceae generic and sub-generic sequences recovered from the twelve giant clam samples and among the three investigated genes (Figure 2). The pooled multi-gene approach yielded similar proportions of dominant genera, but with some notable differences. The genus *Symbiodinium* (previously Clade A) dominated in all three markers, particularly in *23S* (91.8%; dominant sub-generic sequence chvA2), with lower but similar

9

243 proportions between ITS2 (81.7%; dominant sub-generic sequences A3/A6) and LSU 244 (83.9%; dominant sub-generic sequences A3/A13). The genus *Cladocopium* (previously 245 Clade C) represented 7.9% (dominant sub-generic sequence chvC1), 18.2% (dominant 246 sequence C1), and 15.0% (dominant sequence C1) of reads for the 23S, ITS2, and LSU 247 markers, respectively. *Geracladium* (previously clade G) was only detected using the 248 chloroplast 23S gene (0.2% of reads), whereas the nuclear LSU gene displayed reduced 249 specificity for Symbiodiniaceae as indicated by  $\sim 1\%$  of sequence reads matching other 250 organisms such as streptophytes (*Mitchella repens* and *Asclepias verticillata*), and the host 251 giant clam T. maxima. Overall, the proportion of dominant Symbiodiniaceae generic and 252 sub-generic sequences recovered between the multiplexed samples and the positive (single 253 gene) controls were very similar (Table S4).

254

### 255 **DISCUSSION**

### 256 Multi-gene metabarcoding: more for less

257 The concept of pooled multi-gene amplicons for dual-indexed metabarcoding, i.e. the tagging and pooling of distinct gene amplicons before Illumina<sup>TM</sup> adapter indexing and 258 259 simultaneous sequencing of samples, has been used extensively in other research fields 260 (e.g., Keeley et al. 2018; Marcelino & Verbruggen 2016; von Ammon et al. 2018; Zhang 261 et al. 2018), but has never been applied to Symbiodiniaceae dinoflagellates. In this proof-262 of-concept study, we show that the technique effectively recovered similar proportions of 263 sequence reads and Symbiodiniaceae genera among the three multiplexed genes 264 investigated per sample, providing more confidence that single gene primer biases did not occur during Nextera<sup>TM</sup> indexing. Another advantage is the ability to simultaneously 265 266 visualize varying levels of phylogenetic resolution, enabling a more comprehensive

267 assessment of the diversity present. For example, while the traditional 'species-level' ITS2 268 marker (LaJeunesse 2001) enabled characterization of 46 Symbiodiniaceae sub-generic 269 sequences, the LSU marker, interestingly, offered both a similarly high resolution for 270 Symbiodiniaceae (46 sub-generic sequences) and a reduced specificity by also enabling 271 identification of other host-associated organisms such as streptophytes, as well as the host 272 Tridacna. The hyper-variable region of the chloroplast 23S marker used here is more 273 conserved, but has been successfully used for specifically targeting low abundance free-274 living Symbiodiniaceae cells from environmental samples (Decelle et al. 2018; Manning 275 & Gates 2008; Pochon et al. 2010; Takabayashi et al. 2011). The unique detection of 276 Gerakladium (clade G) using the 23S marker highlights the added value of the multi-gene 277 approach for broader Symbiodiniaceae screening efficiency. Analytical cost is an important 278 consideration for any research group aiming to monitor coral reef ecosystems, and the 279 budget needed to include HTS for biodiversity assessments is highly variable. The cost 280 ranges between AU \$40-\$100 per sample (Stat et al. 2018) and depends on the number of 281 gene regions investigated, method of library preparation, sequencing depth, and whether 282 amplicon multiplexing is employed as shown here. In this context, our approach is readily 283 scalable and has the potential to offer substantial savings in terms of both time and cost, 284 for example, by enabling coral reefs researchers to generate multi-gene Symbiodiniaceae 285 data in a 96-well format for the price of a single dual-indexed Illumina<sup>TM</sup> MiSeq run. 286 Additional studies are required to investigate whether 'true multiplexing', i.e. the mixing 287 of multiple primer sets in the original PCR to produce multi-gene amplicons (De Barba et 288 al. 2014; Fiore-Donno et al. 2018) would result in similar proportions of Symbiodiniaceae 289 genotypes between markers such as shown in the present study. Such approach, if 290 validated, would allow significant additional cost savings.

291

### 292 Paving the way for comprehensive biodiversity assessment of giant clams

293 Giant clams on shallow reefs allow for the establishment of a diverse in-situ reservoir 294 of interacting fungal, bacterial, and micro-algal communities (Baker 2003; Neo et al. 2015). 295 For example, they commonly harbor Symbiodiniaceae from at least three distinct genera 296 (Symbiodinium [clade A], Cladocopium [clade C], and/or Durusdinium [clade D]) 297 simultaneously or in isolation within one host, with Symbiodinium being the dominant 298 symbiont genus in most clams (Baillie et al. 2000; DeBoer et al. 2012; Ikeda et al. 2017; 299 Ikeda et al. 2016; Pappas et al. 2017; Trench et al. 1981). Similar to coral symbiosis, it is 300 assumed that the genotypic composition of Symbiodiniaceae in giant clams is influenced 301 by environmental or physical parameters (e.g. temperature, irradiance), or by life stages 302 and taxonomic affiliation (Ikeda et al. 2017; Pappas et al. 2017). Giant clam larvae (veliger) 303 acquire free-living Symbiodiniaceae cells 'horizontally' from their surrounding 304 environment (Fitt & Trench 1981). When mature, giant clams (e.g. *Tridacna derasa*) expel high numbers of intact symbionts in their faeces at rates of  $4.9 \times 10^5$  cells d<sup>-1</sup> (Buck et al. 305 2002; Maruyama & Heslinga 1997). Despite the dynamic interaction of symbionts between 306 307 Tridacnidae and the environment, very little is known about the extent of symbiont 308 diversity within giant clams and the potential exchange with other reef invertebrates 309 engaged in similar symbiotic associations

310

In this preliminary study, we found that genera *Symbiodinium* and *Cladocopium* dominated in adult giant clams in French Polynesia (Figure S2). Symbiodinium was the major genus in our samples and in particular the closely related sub-generic ITS2 genotypes A3 and A6, previously described as *Symbiodinium tridacniadorum*, and therefore associated

with *Tridacna* clams (Lee et al. 2015). A3 is the most dominant genotype in *T. Maxima*around the world and both A3/A6 are more likely to be sampled in giant clams from
shallow reefs (Weber 2009).

318

319 Furthermore, for *Cladocopium* we found that the generalist ITS2 genotype C1 (LaJeunesse 320 et al. 2003) co-dominated in our samples, which is consistent with a previous study 321 showing C1 as a common genotype in T. Maxima from around the world (Weber 2009). 322 Noteworthy, we also found a smaller percentage of C3z, Cspd and C50 ITS2 genotypes, 323 which to our knowledge have not yet been found in T. maxima before, but are usually 324 restricted to corals (LaJeunesse et al. 2004; LaJeunesse et al. 2010; Macdonald et al. 2008; 325 Shinzato et al. 2018). Finally, we did not detect any symbiont from the genus Durusdinium 326 (Clade D) despite the in-depth sequencing afforded by our multiplexed amplicons method. 327 However, Durusdinium has never been detected in T. maxima from French Polynesia 328 compared to other regions such as the Indian Ocean (DeBoer et al. 2012; Weber 2009). As 329 we only worked with adult clams from shallow water, it would be interesting to confirm 330 the hypotheses of Ikeda et al. (2017) and Weber (2009) who argued that Durusdinium symbionts might be restricted to 'young' T. squamosa clams (less than 11 cm) or that giant 331 332 clams harbored this dinoflagellate genus only when sampled from deeper reefs, 333 respectively. Nevertheless, the small dataset used in the present study precludes us from 334 making any relevant assumptions about potentially novel symbiont diversity in giant clams. 335 In particular, the use of the Kallisto bioinformatics pipeline which restricted the analysis to 336 100% sequence similarity hits is likely not suitable for the many studies where a high 337 degree of sequence novelty is found. It is our hope, however, that our multi-gene approach 338 will be investigated further using a more comprehensive giant clam dataset along with the

- development of an alternative bioinformatics method guiding users on the assignment ofgenus to species-level taxon ID to novel multi-gene sequences for deposition to GenBank.
- 341

### 342 CONCLUSIONS

343 This pilot project explored the use of multiplexed amplicons metabarcoding for rapid, cost-344 effective and in-depth characterization of Symbiodiniaceae dinoflagellates using the giant 345 clam T. maxima as a model. Our results showed that the technique effectively recovered 346 similar proportions of sequence reads and Symbiodiniaceae diversity among the three 347 multiplexed gene amplicons investigated per sample enabling a more comprehensive 348 assessment of the diversity present, while also offering appreciable analytical cost savings. 349 We also found that Symbiodinium (clades A) and Cladocopium (clade C) were the 350 dominant genera in adult giant clams in French Polynesia, with similar sub-generic 351 genotypes (ITS2 A3, A6, and C1) previously described as commonly associated with giant 352 clams from around the world. Our approach paves the way for more comprehensive surveys 353 of this important yet endangered group of reef invertebrates and its potential role as an 354 important Symbiodinium reservoir for declining coral reefs. Future investigations may also 355 expand on this method to clarify species-level differentiation among Symbiodinium taxa 356 using other markers (e.g. nuclear Actin, chloroplast *psbA*, mitochondrial *COI* and *16S*), or 357 simultaneously characterize all organisms (viruses, bacteria, fungi, and other eukaryotes) 358 associated with a more diverse host range. Such holistic diversity assessments will improve 359 our knowledge on the ecology and evolution of tropical holobionts and better predict the 360 adaptation of coral reefs in a rapidly changing environment.

361

### 362 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

363	We thank Jonathan Drew for his support in the laboratory, and Charley Waters, Sam
364	Murray and Chris Cornelisen for valuable discussions during manuscript preparation.
365	
366	Funding Statement
367	This work was supported by Cawthron Institute Internal Investment Fund (IIF) #BST16931
368	and by the French National Research Center (CNRS). The funders have no role in study
369	design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
370	
371	
372	Table 1 Number of DNA sequences recovered from each sample (S141-S152), before and after
373	quality filtration, and after demultiplexing into each gene. Samples S141 to S143 were used as

374 control samples, each targeting only one of three PCR amplicons. Columns highlighted in grey375 show a low background contamination.

Sample	Source	Filtered	238	ITS2	LSU
ID	reads	reads	reads	reads	reads
Multiplexed	l				
S141	75731	53654	22072	17813	13435
S142	89975	65312	26504	24395	14040
S143	78009	48881	21061	10256	17321
S144	172319	126860	48941	39131	38128
S145	147293	104743	31048	34457	38662
S146	72548	51886	23268	16817	11537
S147	118815	79339	29870	32449	16332
S148	50176	34810	12577	11695	10264

Total reads	1590047	1104687	398442	339780	359768
S143	27894	24239	24149	1	3
S142	42004	36422	36263	3	9
S141	77522	66763	66399	3	3
23S only					
S143	114431	69823	9	0	69318
S142	92110	62629	23	0	62129
S141	56565	31134	8	7	30758
LSU only					
S143*	130	13	5	6	2
S142	81924	52270	10	51988	6
S141	85824	52588	8	52335	1
ITS2 only					
Controls					
S152	60107	42239	17075	13108	11707
S151	53016	38314	15964	12882	9298
S150	88926	59387	20788	22068	16216
S149	4728	3381	2400	366	599

376 \*One control sample (S143 ITS2) failed at sequencing, resulting in only 130 raw reads.

377



- 380 Figure 1 Location and sample identification for the twelve *Tridacna maxima* samples investigated
- 381 in this study (credit to R. Canavesio).





389

Figure 2 Global Symbiodiniaceae diversity charts obtained from each of the three datasets (left to right: *23S*, *ITS2*, and *LSU*). The proportion of sequences matching one of the three in-house reference databases or NCBI (inner circles) and their corresponding phylogenetic affiliation at genus (i.e clade; middle circles) and sub-generic (i.e. subclade; outer circles) levels. Sequence reads representing <0.1% of total read abundance are not included.

- 396
- 397
- 398
- 399

400	
401	
402	
403	
404	Supplementary Information
405 406	Table S1 Identification numbers, collection localities and date collected for the twelve samples of
407	Tridacna maxima investigated in this study.
408	
409	<b>Table S2</b> List of primers used for generating PCR amplicons. Illumina adaptors are shown in bold.
410	
411	Table S3 Sequence counts and blast annotations for the 21 PCR amplicon samples analysed in
412	multiplex and individually (controls) over three distinct genes (23S, ITS2, LSU). Sheet 1 includes
413	the merged counts and dereplicated data; Sheet 2 includes exact 23S sequence matches against the
414	Takabayashi et al. (2012) database and NCBI; Sheet 3 includes retained 23S genotypes following
415	the 0.05% abundance threshold; Sheet 4 includes exact ITS2 sequence matches against the
416	GeoSymbio database and NCBI; Sheet 5 includes retained ITS2 genotypes following the 0.05%
417	abundance threshold; Sheet 6 includes exact LSU sequence matches against the Pochon et al. (2012)
418	database and NCBI; and Sheet 7 includes retained $LSU$ genotypes following the 0.05% abundance
419	threshold.
420	
421	Table S4 Percentage comparison of each Symbiodiniaceae sub-generic genotype recovered using
422	the three amplicon markers in 'Multiplex' versus single 'Control' markers (see Table 1). The
423	proportion of each sub-generic type between 'Multiplex' and 'Control' is almost identical for the
424	23S marker, but shows some minor differences for the ITS2 and LSU markers. For example, four
425	ITS2 types were detected in the 'Multiplex' but not in the 'Control' samples, and there were five
426	instances where LSU types were detected in the 'Control' but not in the 'Multiplex' samples. These
427	minor differences are likely attributable to PCR or sequencing biases.

428	
429	Figure S1 Unrooted circled trees of Symbiodiniaceae genotypes inferred using the Neighbor-
430	Joining method, with (A) 11 23S sequences, (B) 46 ITS2 sequences, and (C) 51 LSU sequences.
431	
432	Figure S2 Distribution of Symbiodiniaceae genera (i.e. clades) in <i>Tridacna maxima</i> obtained from
433	each of the three datasets (left to right: 23S, ITS2, and LSU) per sample identification (S141-152).
434	
435	
436	REFERENCES
437	Baillie BC, Belda-Baillie CA, Silvestre V, Sison M, Gomez AV, Gomez ED, and Monje
438	V. 2000. Genetic variation in Symbiodinium isolates from giant clams based on
439	random-amplified-polymorphic DNA (RAPD) patterns. Marine Biology 136:829-
440	836.
441	Baker AC. 2003. Flexibility and specificity in coral-algal symbiosis: diversity, ecology,
442	and biogeography of Symbiodinium. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and
443	<i>Systematics</i> <b>34</b> :661-689.
444	DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132417
445	Boulotte NM, Dalton SJ, Carroll AG, Harrison PL, Putnam HM, Peplow LM, and van
446	Oppen MJ. 2016. Exploring the Symbiodinium rare biosphere provides evidence
447	for symbiont switching in reef-building corals. <i>ISME J</i> 10:1-9. DOI 10:2693-
448	2/01. 10.1038/ismej.2016.54 Draw NL Dimental II. Malatad D. and Dachton L. 2016. Naar antimal machabilistic DNA
449	bray NL, Plinenter H, Melsted P, and Pacher L. 2010. Near-optimal probabilistic KNA-
450	DOI 10 1038/mbt 3510
452	Buck BH Rosenthal H and Saint-Paul II 2002 Effect of increased irradiance and
453	thermal stress on the symbiosis of Symbiodinium microadriaticum and Tridacna
454	gigas. Aquatic Living Resources 15:107-117.
455	Coffroth MA, and Santos SR. 2005. Genetic diversity of symbiotic dinoflagellates in the
456	genus Symbiodinium. Protist <b>156</b> :19-34. DOI 10.1016/j.protis.2005.02.004
457	Cunning R, Yost DM, Guarinello ML, Putnam HM, and Gates RD. 2015. Variability of
458	Symbiodinium communities in waters, sediments, and corals of thermally distinct
459	reef pools in American Samoa. PLoS One 10:e0145099.
460	DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0145099
461	De Barba M, Miquel C, Boyer F, Mercier C, Rioux D, Coissac E, and Taberlet P. 2014.
462	DNA metabarcoding multiplexing and validation of data accuracy for diet
463	assessment: application to omnivorous diet. Molecular Ecology Resources
464	<b>14</b> :306-323. DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.12188
465	DeBoer TS, Baker AC, Erdmann MV, Ambariyanto, Jones PR, and Barber PH. 2012.
466	Patterns of <i>Symbiodinium</i> distribution in three giant clam species across the
467	biodiverse Bird's Head region of Indonesia. <i>Marine Ecology Progress Series</i>
468	<b>444</b> :11/-132. DOI 10.3354/meps09413

469	Decelle J, Carradec Q, Pochon X, Henry N, Romac S, Mahe F, Dunthorn M, Kourlaiev
470	A, Voolstra CR, Wincker P, and de Vargas C. 2018. Worldwide occurrence and
471	activity of the reef-building coral symbiont Symbiodinium in the open ocean.
472	Current Biology 28:3625-3633. DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.024
473	Dubousquet V, Berteaux-Lecellier V, Lopes V, Raharivelomanana P, and Lecellier G.
474	2018. Existence of two novel and non-sectorized clades of the giant clam
475	Tridacna maxima in French Polynesia: implications for connectivity and origin.
476	HAL Archives Ouvertes:hal-01713884.
477	Edgar RC. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
478	Bioinformatics 26:2460-2461. DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461
479	Edgar RC. 2013. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon
480	reads. Nature Methods 10:996-998. DOI 10.1038/nmeth.2604
481	Edmunds PJ, Pochon X, Levitan DR, Yost DM, Belcaid M, Putnam HM, and Gates RD.
482	2014. Long-term changes in Symbiodinium communities in Orbicella annularis in
483	St. John, US Virgin Islands. Marine Ecology Progress Series 506:129-144. DOI
484	10.3354/meps10808
485	Felsenstein J. 1985. Phylogenies and the Comparative Method. American Naturalist
486	<b>125</b> :1-15.
487	Fiore-Donno AM, Rixen C, Rippin M, Glaser K, Samolov E, Karsten U, Becker B, and
488	Bonkowski M. 2018. New barcoded primers for efficient retrieval of cercozoan
489	sequences in high-throughput environmental diversity surveys, with emphasis on
490	worldwide biological soil crusts. <i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i> 18:229-239. DOI
491	10.1111/1755-0998.12729
492	Fitt WK, and Trench RK. 1981. Spawning, development, and acquisition of
493	zooxanthellae by Tridacna squamosa (mollusca, bivalvia). Biological Bulletin
494	<b>161</b> :213-235.
495	Franklin EC, Stat M, Pochon X, Putnam HM, and Gates RD. 2012. GeoSymbio: a hybrid,
496	cloud-based web application of global geospatial bioinformatics and
497	econformatics for Symbiodinium-host symbioses. Molecular Ecology Resources
498	12:369-3/3. DOI 10.1111/j.1/55-0998.2011.03081.x
499	Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
500	program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Research 41:95-98.
501	Hume BCC, Ziegler M, Poulain J, Pochon X, Romac S, Boissin E, de Vargas C, Planes S,
502	Wincker P, and Voolstra CR. 2018. An improved primer set and amplification
503	protocol with increased specificity and sensitivity targeting the <i>Symbiodinium</i>
504	1182 region. PeerJ 6:e4816. DOI 10. //1//peerJ.4816
505	Ikeda S, Yamashita H, Kondo SN, Inoue K, Morishima SY, and Koike K. 2017.
506	Zooxanthelial genetic varieties in giant clams are partially determined by species-
509	intrinsic and growth-related characteristics. <i>PLoS One</i> 12:e01/2285. DOI 10.1271/june 0172285
508	10.13/1/journal.pone.01/2285
509	Ikeda S, Yamashita H, Liao LM, and Koike K. 2016. A simple and rapid determination
510 511	Eichemier Science <b>92</b> ,747,752, DOI 10,1007/125(2,01) (1004 -
512	$F is neries Science \delta 2: (4/-/55, DOI 10.100//S12562-016-1004-X)$ $Washes N, Washes A, and Bashen Y, 2019, Development and any line increase 1:1, i.e., f$
31Z 512	Keeley IN, wood SA, and Pocnon A. 2018. Development and preliminary validation of a multi-traphic metahamadica histic in dev for monitoring heathing and the second
JIJ 514	muni-irophic metabarcoung blouc index for monitoring benthic organic
314 515	enrichment. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> $\mathbf{\delta}5$ :1044-1057.
515	DOI 10.1016/J.ecolind.2017.11.014

516	Klumpp D, and Griffiths C. 1994. Contributions of phototrophic and heterotrophic
517	nutrition to the metabolic and growth requirements of four species of giant clam
518	(Tridacnidae). Marine Ecology Progress Series 115:103-115.
519	Knop D. 1996. Giant clams - a comprehensive guide to the identification and care of
520	tridacnid clams. Dähne Verlag. Ettlingen:p. 255.
521	Kozich II. Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, and Schloss PD, 2013, Development
522	of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation nineline for analyzing amplicon
523	sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform <i>Applied</i>
523	Environmental Microbiology 70:5112-5120
525	DOI 10 1128/ $\Lambda$ EM 010/3 13
525	Kymer S. Stacher C. and Tamura V. 2016. MECA7: Molecular Evolutionary Constian
520	Kumar S, Stecher G, and Tamura K. 2010. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
527	Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. <i>Molecular Biology and Evolution</i>
528	<b>33</b> :18/0-18/4. DOI 10.1093/molbev/msw054
529	LaJeunesse T, Thornhill D, Cox E, Stanton F, Fitt W, and Schmidt G. 2004. High
530	diversity and host specificity observed among symbiotic dinoflagellates in reef
531	coral communities from Hawaii. Coral Reefs 23:596-603.
532	DOI 10.1007/s00338-004-0428-4
533	LaJeunesse TC. 2001. Investigating the biodiversity, ecology, and phylogeny of
534	endosymbiotic dinoflagellates in the genus symbiodinium using the its region: in
535	search of a "species" level marker. <i>Journal of Phycology</i> <b>37</b> :866–880.
536	LaJeunesse TC, Loh WKW, van Woesik R, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Schmidt GW, and Fitt
537	WK. 2003. Low symbiont diversity in southern Great Barrier Reef corals, relative
538	to those of the Caribbean. <i>Limnology and Oceanography</i> <b>48</b> :2046-2054.
539	LaJeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Gabrielson PW, Jeong HJ, Reimer JD, Voolstra CR, and
540	Santos SR. 2018. Systematic revision of Symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity
541	and diversity of coral endosymbionts. <i>Current Biology</i> <b>28</b> :2570-2580. DOI
542	10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.008
543	LaJeunesse TC, Smith R, Walther M, Pinzon J, Pettay DT, McGinley M, Aschaffenburg
544	M, Medina-Rosas P, Cupul-Magana AL, Perez AL, Reyes-Bonilla H, and Warner
545	ME. 2010. Host-symbiont recombination versus natural selection in the response
546	of coral-dinoflagellate symbioses to environmental disturbance. Proceedings of
547	the Royal Society B 277:2925-2934. DOI 10.1098/rspb.2010.0385
548	Lee SY, Jeong HJ, Kang NS, Jang TY, Jang SH, and Laieunesse TC. 2015.
549	Symbiodinium tridacnidorum sp. nov., a dinoflagellate common to Indo-Pacific
550	giant clams, and a revised morphological description of Symbiodinium
551	microadriaticum Freudenthal, emended Trench & Blank, European Journal of
552	Phycology <b>50</b> :155-172 DOI 10 1080/09670262 2015 1018336
553	List IR 2018 The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species [WWW Document]
554	Macdonald AHH Samnavo FM Ridgway T and Schlever MH 2008 Latitudinal
555	symbiont zonation in Stylophora nistillata from southeast A frica Marine Biology
556	<b>15</b> <i>A</i> ·200 217 DOI 10 1007/s00227 008 0015 1
557	Manning MM and Gates BD 2008 Diversity in populations of free living Symbiodinium
559	from a Caribbean and Dacific roof Limnology and Occarrography 52,1052 1961
550	Marcoline VP and Verbruggen H 2016 Multi merker metabargoding of correl alcoletors
559	reveals a rich microbiome and diverse evolutionary origins of endelithic along
561	Scientific Deports 6.21509, DOI 10.1029/ares 21509
201	scientific reports 0:51508. DOI 10.1058/srep51508

562 563	Maruyama T, and Heslinga GA. 1997. Fecal discharge of zooxanthellae in the giant clam <i>Tridacna derasa</i> , with reference to their in situ growth rate. <i>Marine Biology</i>
564	<b>127</b> :473-477.
565	Mercier A, and Hamel JF. 1996. The secret of the giant clam. Freshwater and Marine
566	<i>Aquaculture</i> <b>19</b> :112-113.
567	Neo ML, Eckman W, Vicentuan K, Teo SLM, and Todd PA. 2015. The ecological
568	significance of giant clams in coral reef ecosystems. Biological Conservation
569	<b>181</b> :111-123. DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.004
570	Pappas MK, He S, Hardenstine RS, Kanee H, and Berumen ML. 2017. Genetic diversity
571	of giant clams ( <i>Tridacna</i> spp.) and their associated <i>Symbiodinium</i> in the central
572	Red Sea. Marine Biodiversity 47:1209-1222. DOI 10.1007/s12526-017-0715-2
573	Pochon X, Putnam HM, Burki F, and Gates RD. 2012. Identifying and characterizing
574	alternative molecular markers for the symbiotic and free-living dinoflagellate
575	genus Symbiodinium. PLoS One 7:e29816.
576	DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0029816
577	Pochon X, Putnam HM, and Gates RD. 2014. Multi-gene analysis of Symbiodinium
578	dinoflagellates: a perspective on rarity, symbiosis, and evolution. PeerJ 2:e394.
579	DOI 10.7717/peerj.394
580	Pochon X, Stat M, Takabayashi M, Chasqui L, Chauka LJ, Logan DDK, and Gates RD.
581	2010. Comparison of endosymbiotic and free-living <i>Symbiodinium</i> (Dinophyceae)
582	diversity in a Hawaiian reef environment1. Journal of Phycology 46:53-65. DOI
583	10.1111/j.1529-8817.2009.00797.x
584	Rhodes J, Beale MA, and Fisher MC. 2014. Illuminating choices for library prep: a
585	comparison of library preparation methods for whole genome sequencing of
586	Cryptococcus neoformans using Illumina HiSeq. PLoS One 9:e113501.
587	DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0113501
588	Shinzato C, Zayasu Y, Kanda M, Kawamitsu M, Satoh N, Yamashita H, and Suzuki G.
589	2018. Using seawater to document coral-zoothanthella diversity: a new approach
590	to coral reef monitoring using environmental DNA. Frontiers in Marine Science
591	<b>5</b> : 28. DOI 10.3389/fmars.2018.00028
592	Smith EG, Ketchum RN, and Burt JA. 2017. Host specificity of Symbiodinium variants
593	revealed by an ITS2 metahaplotype approach. <i>ISME J</i> <b>11</b> :1500-1503. DOI
594	10.1038/ismej.2016.206
595	Stat M, Carter D, and Hoegh-Guldberg O. 2006. The evolutionary history of
596	Symbiodinium and scleractinian hosts—Symbiosis, diversity, and the effect of
597	climate change. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 8:23-
598	<b>43</b> . DOI 10.1016/j.ppees.2006.04.001
599	Stat M, Huggett MJ, Bernasconi R, DiBattista JD, Berry TE, Newman SJ, Harvey ES,
600	and Bunce M. 2017. Ecosystem biomonitoring with eDNA: metabarcoding across
601	the tree of life in a tropical marine environment. Scientific Reports 7:12240. DOI
602	10.1038/s41598-017-12501-5
603	Stat M, John J, DiBattista JD, Newman SJ, Bunce M, and Harvey ES. 2018. Combined
604	use of eDNA metabarcoding and video surveillance for the assessment of fish
605	biodiversity. Conservervation Biology, in press.
606	DOI 10.1111/cobi.13183
607	Takabayashi M, Adams LM, Pochon X, and Gates RD. 2011. Genetic diversity of free-
608	living Symbiodinium in surface water and sediment of Hawai'i and Florida. Coral
609	<i>Reefs</i> <b>31</b> :157-167. DOI 10.1007/s00338-011-0832-5

610	Thomas L, Kendrick GA, Kennington WJ, Richards ZT, and Stat M. 2014. Exploring
611	Symbiodinium diversity and host specificity in Acropora corals from geographical
612	extremes of Western Australia with 454 amplicon pyrosequencing. Molecular
613	<i>Ecology</i> <b>23</b> :3113-3126. DOI 10.1111/mec.12801
614	Trench R, Wethey D, and Porter J. 1981. Observations on the symbiosis with
615	zooxanthellae among the tridacnidae (Mollusca, Bivalvia). Biological Bulletin
616	<b>161</b> :180-198.
617	von Ammon U, Wood SA, Laroche O, Zaiko A, Tait L, Lavery S, Inglis G, and Pochon
618	X. 2018. The impact of artificial surfaces on marine bacterial and eukaryotic
619	biofouling assemblages: A high-throughput sequencing analysis. Marine
620	Environmental Research 133:57-66.
621	DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.12.003
622	Weber M. 2009. The Biogeography and Evolution of Symbiodinium in Giant Clams
623	(Tridacnidae). PhD Dissertation, UC Berkeley:103 pages + appendices.
624	Wecker P, Fournier A, Bosserelle P, Debitus C, Lecellier G, and Berteaux-Lecellier V.
625	2015. Dinoflagellate diversity among nudibranchs and sponges from French
626	Polynesia: insights into associations and transfer. Comptes Rendus Biologies
627	<b>338</b> :278-283. DOI 10.1016/j.crvi.2015.01.005
628	Zhang GK, Chain FJJ, Abbott CL, and Cristescu ME. 2018. Metabarcoding using
629	multiplexed markers increases species detection in complex zooplankton
630	communities. Evolutionary Applications 11:1901-1914.
631	DOI 10.1111/eva.12694