



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.
<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the [Library Thesis Consent Form](#) and [Deposit Licence](#).

Note : Masters Theses

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

Do older people set goals?

**A study to determine the impact of a goal
facilitation tool on home based support services**

John Parsons

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in Health Science, The University of Auckland, 2010

Table of Contents

2.15.1	Contingency theory.....	78
2.15.2	Organisational performance and health	79
2.16	Model development.....	81
2.17	Inter-organisational relationships in health.....	82
2.17.1	Needs Assessment Service Coordination and Home Based Support Services as boundary spanners	84
2.17.2	The role of trust in inter-organisational relationships.....	85
2.18	Role identity and trust	87
2.19	Staff-Client relationships.....	89
2.20	Model development.....	91
Section IV:	Integration of factors into a model of analysis	93
2.21	Summary of evidence	93
Chapter Three: Methods		96
3.1	Introduction.....	96
3.2	Objectives.....	96
3.3	Participants.....	97
3.4	Interventions.....	100
3.4.1	TARGET process.....	100
3.4.2	TARGET training.....	103
3.4.3	Control group process.....	103
3.5	Outcomes	104
3.5.1	Clinical effectiveness outcomes	105
3.5.2	Interpersonal, service and client factors	113
3.5.3	Group interaction factors	118
3.5.4	National socio-political factors	123
3.6	Sample size.....	123
3.7	Randomisation.....	125
3.7.1	Sequence generation	125
3.7.2	Implementation	127
3.8	A mixed methods approach	127
3.8.1	Quantitative analysis	128
3.8.1	Qualitative analysis.....	136
3.9	Reliability and validity.....	137
3.10	Adverse events reporting	138
3.11	Summary.....	138
Chapter Four: Results.....		140
4.1	Introduction.....	140
4.2	Recruitment.....	141
4.3	National socio-political influences	143
4.4	Clinical interaction sphere	147
4.4.1	Client / whānau.....	147
4.4.2	Needs Assessment Service Coordination.....	155
4.4.3	Home Based Support Service providers	157
4.5	Client interaction level.....	161
4.5.1	Interpersonal factors: interaction between NASC and HBSS providers	162
4.5.2	Service factors.....	175
4.5.3	Client factors.....	187
4.6	Clinical outcomes	202
4.6.1	Health Related Quality of life.....	202
4.6.2	Other measures	208
4.7	Summary	215
4.7.1	Clinical outcomes	217

4.7.2 Client interaction level.....	217
4.7.3 Clinical interaction level	218
4.7.4 National socio-political influences	219
Chapter Five: Discussion	220
5.1 Introduction	220
5.2 Interpreting the findings	220
Section I - Study outcomes.....	222
5.3 Introduction	222
5.4 Health related Quality of Life	223
5.4.1 Short Form 36 Health Survey	223
5.4.2 Hua Oranga.....	230
5.4.3 Summary	231
5.5 Physical function	232
5.5.1 Variables included in the linear mixed model.....	233
5.6 Social support	235
5.6.1 Quality of life, physical function and social support.....	235
5.6.2 Variables included in the linear mixed model.....	237
5.7 Summary	239
5.7.1 Health Related Quality of Life	239
5.7.2 Physical function and social support.....	239
Section II - Client interaction sphere	240
5.8 Introduction	240
5.9 Interpersonal factors.....	241
5.9.1 Trust	242
5.9.2 Changing roles	243
5.9.3 Shared training.....	245
5.10 Service factors.....	246
5.10.1 Participant Goals.....	247
5.10.2 Content of Home Based Support Services	250
5.10.3 Allied health input.....	254
5.10.4 Reviews and discharges.....	257
5.11 Client factors.....	260
5.11.1 Successful ageing and proactive coping	260
5.11.2 Association between the Proactive Coping Inventory and other study variables	261
5.11.3 Relationships with NASC and HBSS and experience of services	263
5.12 Summary	265
Section III - Clinical interaction sphere	267
5.13 Introduction.....	267
5.14 Client / whānau.....	268
5.14.1 Age and gender.....	268
5.14.2 Ethnicity	268
5.14.3 Socioeconomic status	269
5.14.4 Living arrangement.....	270
5.14.5 Informal Carers	270
5.15 Needs Assessment Service Coordination.....	272
5.16 Home Based Support Service providers	274
5.16.1 Organisational structure.....	276
5.16.2 Contingency theory.....	276
5.16.3 Coordinator experience.....	278
5.17 Summary	279
5.18 Chapter summary.....	280

Chapter Six: Study limitations	283
6.1 Introduction	283
6.2 Internal validity.....	284
6.3 Measurement bias.....	284
6.4 Selection bias.....	284
6.5 External validity.....	285
6.6 Expectancy effect.....	285
6.7 Experimenter effects	286
6.8 Data recording and analysis effects	286
6.9 Summary	287
Chapter Seven: Conclusions and future directions	288
7.1 Introduction	288
7.2 Implications for policy and practice.....	288
7.2.1 NASC / HBSS relationship.....	289
7.2.2 Service factors.....	289
7.2.3 Individual client factors.....	293
7.2.4 HBSS organisational attributes	294
7.3 Future research	294
7.3.1 Goal setting and older people	295
7.3.2 Maximising outcomes for older people.....	295
7.3.3 Content of HBSS services	296
7.3.4 Further issues.....	297
7.4 Conclusions	297
Chapter Eight: Reflections	300
8.1 Introduction	300
8.2 Conceptual frameworks	300
8.3 Study methodology	302
8.3.1 Ontological and epistemological considerations.....	302
8.4 Interaction between research and participants	304
8.4.1 Referrals to NASC	304
8.4.2 Control group	305
8.4.3 Training	305
8.4.4 Ongoing sustainability.....	306
8.5 The impact of the researchers professional paradigm.....	307
8.6 Goal setting	308
8.7 Allied Health	308
8.8 Summary	309
Appendices	310
Appendix 1: Glossary	311
Appendix 2: Information and consent forms	315
Appendix 3: Short Form 36 Health Survey Licence	321
Appendix 4: Components of training programme	322
Appendix 5: Interview Guides	324
References	329

List of tables and figures

Figure 1-1:	National socio-political influences on older people living in the community in New Zealand.....	10
Figure 2-1:	Summary of sections and main themes reviewed in literature	19
Figure 3-2:	The TARGET process	102
Figure 3-3:	The potential clinical factors that influence the effect of TARGET on clinical outcomes among a group of older people referred for Home Based Support Services in CMDHB	105
Figure 3-4:	The methods used to explore the impact of interpersonal factors on the effect of TARGET on clinical outcomes among a group of older people referred for home based support in CMDHB.....	114
Figure 3-5:	The potential group interaction factors that influence the effect of TARGET on clinical outcomes among a group of older people referred for home based support in CMDHB	119
Figure 3-6:	The potential national socio-political factors that influence the effect of TARGET on clinical outcomes among a group of older people referred for Home Based Support Services in CMDHB	
	123
Figure 3-7:	Process of randomisation utilised in TARGET trial	
	126
Figure 4-1:	Recruitment for a trial exploring the impact the effect of TARGET on clinical outcomes among a group of older people referred for home based support in CMDHB.....	142
Figure 4-2:	Categories and themes from analysis of relevant CMDHB policy documents	144
Figure 4-3:	The clinical interaction component of a model utilised to examine the effect of the TARGET tool on clinical outcomes	147
Figure 4-4:	The client interaction component of a model utilised to examine the effect of the TARGET tool on clinical outcomes	
	162
Figure 4-5:	Codes categories and themes for NASC qualitative data.....	
	168
Figure 4-6:	Codes categories and themes for HBSS coordinator qualitative data	172

Figure 4-7: Number of allied health referrals by NASC in the intervention group per 100 clients	178
Figure 4-8: Percentage of participants with no goal identified using TARGET	181
Figure 4-9: Proportion of goals (%) classified according to WHO ICF classification of functioning for older people in the intervention group.....	182
Figure 4-10: Percentage of HBSS support plans incorporating the four major categories of services for intervention and control groups	187
Figure 4-11: Codes categories and themes for older people qualitative data	199
Figure 4-14: Individual, whānau, NASC and total mean Hua Oranga scores across intervention and control groups	208
Figure 5-1: Conceptual model for exploration of the variables influencing the effect of a goal facilitation tool on clinical outcomes of older people receiving home based support service.....	221
Figure 5-1: The client interaction component of a model utilised to examine the effect of the TARGET tool on clinical outcomes.....	241
Figure 7-1: Summary of factors from the model of analysis that had an significant impact on clinical outcomes	291
Figure 7-2: Summary of factors from the model of analysis that were supported by qualitative findings of the study or were significantly difference across the study groups.....	292
 Table 2-1: Key elements of restorative care.....	72
Table 2-2: Organisational and managerial factors related to organisational performance (Adapted from Collins and Porras ⁽³¹⁹⁾)	80
Table 3-1: The health domains of the Short Form 36 survey tool with the factor coefficients used for calculating the two component scores.....	107
Table 3-2: Components of Hua Oranga	110
Table 3-3: Scoring system for Short Physical Performance Battery component scales.....	111
Table 3-4: The Duke Social Support Index (DSSI)	113

Table 3-5:	The methods used for data collection to examine the influence of interpersonal, service and client factors on the effect of TARGET on clinical outcomes among a group of older people referred for Home Based Support Services in CMDHB	122
Table 3-6:	Variables considered for use as classification variables and fixed effects in a mixed model analysis of the effect of a designated goal facilitation tool on clinical outcomes.....	131
Table 3-7:	Mixed model entered into SAS 9.1 to ascertain the effect of TARGET on SF-36.....	132
Table 3-8:	Sources of qualitative data	137
Table 4-1:	Number of participants in each cluster	143
Table 4-2:	Client / whānau characteristics for participants in intervention and control groups.....	149
Table 4-3:	Baseline characteristics for intervention and control groups	151
Table 4-4:	Characteristics and baseline caregiver stress levels across intervention and control groups.....	152
Table 4-5:	Baseline SPPB and SF36 scores in participants with no informal caregiver identified	153
Table 4-6:	Baseline SF-36 in participants with informal caregiver identified	154
Table 4-7:	Results of linear mixed model examining the change in Caregiver Reaction Assessment at baseline and follow-up.....	155
Table 4-8:	Demographic information for control group Needs Assessment Service Coordinators	156
Table 4-9:	Demographic information for intervention group Needs Assessment Service Coordinators	156
Table 4-10:	Summary of organisational characteristics for HBSS providers	160
Table 4-11:	Mean score on a 10 point scale in answer to; ‘how would you rate the interaction between yourself and the HBSS provider in relation to this client? ‘(Trust 1)	164
Table 4-12:	Mean score on a 10 point scale in answer to the question ‘I felt confident about asking the HBSS provider to fulfil the tasks I outlined’ (Trust 2)	165

Table 4-13: Mean score on a 10 point scale in answer to; 'I will keep surveillance over the HBSS provider after asking them to do something '(Trust 3)	166
Table 4-14: Mean Trust Questionnaire score for intervention and control groups NASC in relation to HBSS service providers	167
Table 4-15: Mean number of hours per week of Home Based Support Services allocated in control and intervention groups	176
Table 4-16: Number of reviews and discharges three months after HBSS services commenced for participants in the intervention group.....	179
Table 4-17: Participant outcomes at six month follow-up assessment....	179
Table 4-18: Proportion of goals (%) classified according to WHO ICF classification of functioning and the spread of goals across the 13 domains for older people across the seven clusters	184
Table 4-19: Percentage of HBSS support plans including the main categories of services for intervention and control participants	186
Table 4-20: Mean Proactive Coping Inventory total scores and component scores for intervention and control groups.....	188
Table 4-21: Independent T-Test analysis of intervention and control group NASC Home Care Satisfaction Measure Scores.....	190
Table 4-22: Mean Home Care Satisfaction Measure scores for control group NASC	190
Table 4-23: Mean Home Care Satisfaction Measure scores for intervention group NASC.....	190
Table 4-24: Independent T-Test analysis of intervention and control group HBSS Home Care Satisfaction Measure Scores.....	191
Table 4-25: Median average Home Care Satisfaction Measure scores for HBSS providers and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test analysis.....	192
Table 4-26: Characteristics of the sub-sample of participants interviewed	198
Table 4-27: Summary statistics for SF36 component scores for baseline and follow-up	203

Table 4-28: Results of linear mixed model examining the change in Physical and Mental components of the Short Form (SF36) Health Survey at baseline and follow-up adjusted for co-variates.....	205
Table 4-29: Results of undajusted linear mixed model examining the change in Physical and Mental Components of the Short Form (SF36) Health Survey at baseline and follow-up	205
Table 4-30: Client, whānau, NASC and total scores obtained for Hua Oranga tool	207
Table 4-31: Summary statistics for SPPB scores for baseline and follow-up	209
Table 4-32: Results of adjusted linear mixed model examining the change in Short Physical Performance Battery total scores and component scores at baseline and follow-up	210
Table 4-33: Results of unadjusted linear mixed model examining the change in Short Physical Performance Battery total scores and component scores at baseline and follow-up.....	212
Table 4-34: Summary statistics for Dukes Social Support Index at Baseline and Follow-up	214
Table 4-35: Results of adjusted linear mixed model examining the change in Dukes Social Support scores at baseline and follow-up.....	214
Table 4-36: Results of unadjusted linear mixed model examining the change in Dukes Social Support scores at baseline and follow-up	215
Table 4-37: Key study findings	216
Table 5-1: Potential effects considered in a mixed model analysis of the effect of a designated goal facilitation tool on clinical outcomes.....	
.....	223

Abstract

The primary purpose of the study was to assess the impact of a designated goal facilitation tool on health related quality of life, social support and physical function among a sample of 205 community dwelling older people referred for home based support services (HBSS). A comprehensive model was developed to consider the complex interactions inherent within the healthcare and social system within which the study occurred. One specific purpose of the model was to determine how the use of the goal facilitation tool facilitated the implementation of core components of a ‘restorative model’ of support for older people living in the community.

The participants were cluster randomised to either an intervention or control assessor (NASC). The intervention arm involved the participant completing the goal setting tool with a NASC. This established the aims of the rehabilitation episode. The participants in the control group received a standard assessment of needs. Following this the clients from both groups were referred to a HBSS organisation for service delivery. The NASC staff randomised to the intervention and all HBSS coordinators attended a standardised training programme prior to the start of the trial.

The main finding was a significantly greater change over time in Health Related Quality of Life (as measured by the two component scores of the Short Form 36 Survey (SF-36)) in the intervention group ($p<0.0001$ for physical component and 0.001 for mental component). The intervention group also showed significant improvements in physical function over time, as measured by the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), compared to the control group ($p=0.003$). There was no difference in social support over time between the two groups ($p=0.09$). The degree of trust between NASC and HBSS coordinators was measured using standardised questionnaires and shown to be of crucial importance in optimising outcomes for the participants and was included in the model of analysis of change in SPPB and the physical component score of the SF-36 . There was a marked variation across HBSS providers in terms of the types of services provided to the participants and there was a difference in the ability of the different intervention group NASC to facilitate goal directed services.

The study findings contribute to a greater understanding of the factors necessary to implement improvements in the services provided to older people receiving assistance in the home and highlight the complex interaction between the client, their family / whānau, the assessment and service delivery organisations and the direction provided by local and national policies and directives.

Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to thank those who participated in the study. The older people, their families and the NASC and provider coordinators were fantastic. The chance to be welcomed into the homes of the participants was a great honour.

I acknowledge the financial support provided by the New Zealand Health Research Council through the award of a Disability Research Placement Programme PhD Scholarship. In addition the support provided by The School of Nursing was very much appreciated and without the assistance, advice and encouragement of Judy Kilpatrick, the head of the School, it would not have been possible to complete this work. I owe enormous gratitude for the unremitting encouragement and support provided by Ross Smith, Jenni Coles, Diana Dowdle and Bev Blake from Counties Manukau District Health Board.

I am indebted to my supervisors; Professor Martin Connolly and Associate Professor Paul Rouse, for skilfully guiding my thinking throughout the research process, and for countless hours spent checking my manuscript. In addition the advice and guidance received from Elizabeth Robinson around analysis of the data was of inestimable value.

The assistance provided by my wonderful brother Matthew throughout the process was epitomised by the eight hours spent formatting the document whilst on an international flight. I am grateful to Dinah Walker and Lois Palmer for their hard work and wonderful attitude while collecting data and performing the baseline and follow-up assessments. I would also like to thank my family on the other side of the world: my parents and sisters, Helen and Dina, were there for me every step of the way in my journey to submission. Finally I am forever in awe of the patience shown by my wife, Annabel and my two children Oliver and Libby who have endured my three years of thesis composition and data analysis. It will be great to get our lives back.