# RESEARCHSPACE@AUCKLAND

## http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz

#### ResearchSpace@Auckland

### **Copyright Statement**

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage. <a href="http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback">http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback</a>

#### General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the <u>Library Thesis Consent Form</u> and <u>Deposit Licence</u>.

#### **Note: Masters Theses**

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

**Cognitive Processing Capacity Management** in the Teaching of Consecutive Interpreting

**Proposal for a Conceptual Mapping Model** 

Ying Jin

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Translation Studies,

The University of Auckland, 2011

To my grandfather Wang Wen-Da (王文 这) and my grandmother Zhou Hui-Zhen (周慧珍), who have given me the best memory of my childhood, with love.

# **Table of Contents**

| Acknowledgements  | viii  |
|---|-------|
| Abstract  | xi    |
| List of Abbreviations   | . xii |
| List of Tables  | xiv   |
| List of Figures   | . xv  |
| Chapter One Introduction  | 1     |
| 1.1 Background of Interpreting in China                                   | 2     |
| 1.1.1 An Overview of the Market   | 2     |
| 1.1.1.1 The Emergence of Freelance Professionals                          | 3     |
| 1.1.1.2 A Lack of Qualified Interpreters                                  | 4     |
| 1.1.2 Interpreter Training in China                                       | 5     |
| 1.1.2.1 An Uplifted Status of Interpreter Training                        | 5     |
| 1.1.2.2 Pedagogical Problems  | 9     |
| 1.1.3 Interpreting Research at Different Developmental Stages             | . 10  |
| 1.1.3.1 Stage 1: Translation of Western Books on Interpreting (1979-1995) | . 11  |
| 1.1.3.2 Stage 2: IR by Chinese Interpreter Trainers and Scholars          |       |
| (1996-Present)  | . 12  |
| 1.2 The Position of this Study  | . 13  |
| 1.3 Research Scope  | . 15  |
| 1.4 Research Question and Hypothesis                                      | . 16  |
| 1.5 Research Methodology  | . 18  |
| 1.6 The Outline of the Study  | . 19  |
| Chapter Two On Quality and Competence in Consecutive Interpreting         | . 21  |
| 2.1 A Typology of Interpreting Modes                                      | . 22  |

| 2.1.1 Categorization   | 23 |
|--|----|
| 2.1.2 Consecutive Interpreting.  | 25 |
| 2.1.2.1 Defining Consecutive Interpreting                              | 25 |
| 2.1.2.2 Classification   | 26 |
| 2.1.2.3 The Changing Role of Consecutive Interpreting                  | 27 |
| 2.2 The Nature of Interpreting   | 29 |
| 2.2.1 The Process-oriented Approach                                    | 30 |
| 2.2.2 The Product-oriented Approach                                    | 33 |
| 2.3 Interpreting Quality   | 35 |
| 2.3.1 Defining Interpreting Quality                                    | 36 |
| 2.3.2 Quality Criteria   | 37 |
| 2.3.2.1 Categorization   | 37 |
| 2.3.2.2 The Rating of Quality Criteria                                 | 38 |
| 2.3.2.3 Interpreter Perspective  | 39 |
| 2.3.2.4 User Perspective   | 43 |
| 2.3.2.5 Shared Quality Criteria by Interpreters and Users              | 45 |
| 2.4 Interpreter Competence   | 47 |
| 2.4.1 Terminological Clarification                                     | 48 |
| 2.4.1.1 Interpreter Competence versus Translator Competence            | 48 |
| 2.4.1.2 Interpreter Competence versus Interpreting Competence          | 50 |
| 2.4.2 The Componential Approach to Interpreter Competence              | 51 |
| 2.4.2.1 A Model of Interpreter Competence                              | 51 |
| 2.4.2.2 Cognitive sub-competence                                       | 54 |
| 2.5 Summary  | 54 |
| Chapter Three Cognitive Overload and Cognitive Processing Capacity     |    |
| Management in Consecutive Interpreting                                 | 56 |
| 3.1 Cognitive Problems as a Major Challenge to Interpreting Quality    | 57 |
| 3.2 Review of Gile's (1995) Effort Model for Consecutive Interpreting: |    |

| Memory and Attention   | 59                            |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 3.3 Cognitive Processing Capacity Management (CPCM)  | 62                            |
| 3.3.1 Memory Operations  | 62                            |
| 3.3.1.1 Working Memory and Long Term Memory  | 62                            |
| 3.3.1.2 Their Deficiencies   | 64                            |
| 3.3.2 Attention Allocation   | 64                            |
| 3.4 Cognitive Overload in Consecutive Interpreting   | 66                            |
| 3.4.1 Definition of Cognitive Overload   | 66                            |
| 3.4.2 Causes of Cognitive Overload   | 67                            |
| 3.4.2.1 Active Listening   | 67                            |
| 3.4.2.2 Note-taking  | 68                            |
| 3.4.2.3 Speaking while Note-reading  | 70                            |
| 3.5 Summary  | 70                            |
| Chapter Four The Conceptual Mapping Model for Consecutive Interpreting   | 72                            |
|  |                               |
| 4.1 The Aims of the Model  | 72                            |
| 4.1 The Aims of the Model  |                               |
|  | 74                            |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  | 74<br>74                      |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  | 74<br>74<br>75                |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  4.2.1 Scene-frame Theory (Fillmore 1977)  4.2.2 Relevance Theory (Sperber & Wilson 1986)  | 74<br>74<br>75<br>75          |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  | 74<br>74<br>75<br>75<br>78    |
| 4.2.1 Scene-frame Theory (Fillmore 1977)   | 74<br>74<br>75<br>75<br>78    |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  4.2.1 Scene-frame Theory (Fillmore 1977)  4.2.2 Relevance Theory (Sperber & Wilson 1986)  4.2.2.1 Human Communication as Ostensive-inferential  4.2.2.2 The Two Principles of Relevance  4.3 Fundamental Concepts   | 74<br>74<br>75<br>75<br>78    |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  4.2.1 Scene-frame Theory (Fillmore 1977)  4.2.2 Relevance Theory (Sperber & Wilson 1986)  4.2.2.1 Human Communication as Ostensive-inferential  4.2.2.2 The Two Principles of Relevance  4.3 Fundamental Concepts  4.3.1 Interpreting Processes: Interpreters' Preparatory Work and Their On-going  | 74 75 75 78 80                |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  | 74 74 75 75 78 80 80          |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  | 74 75 75 78 80 80 80          |
| 4.2 The Theoretical Framework for the Model  4.2.1 Scene-frame Theory (Fillmore 1977)  4.2.2 Relevance Theory (Sperber & Wilson 1986)  4.2.2.1 Human Communication as Ostensive-inferential  4.2.2.2 The Two Principles of Relevance  4.3 Fundamental Concepts  4.3.1 Interpreting Processes: Interpreters' Preparatory Work and Their On-going Interpreting  4.3.2 Segmentation  4.3.3 Mind Mapping and Concept Mapping | 74 74 75 75 78 80 80 80 82 85 |

| 88         |
|------------|
| 93         |
| 93         |
| 95         |
| 95         |
| 96         |
| 99         |
| 100        |
| 100        |
| 101        |
| 102        |
| 102        |
| Conceptual |
| 104        |
| 104        |
| 104        |
| 105        |
| 106        |
| 106        |
| 107        |
| 107        |
| 109        |
| 111        |
| 111        |
| 112        |
| 113        |
| 113        |
| 113        |
| 113        |
|            |

| 5.4.2.2 The Mid Learning Stage                         | 114 |
|--|-----|
| 5.4.2.3 The Final Learning Stage                       | 117 |
| 5.5 Research Participants                              | 118 |
| 5.6 Data Collection Tools and Methods                  | 119 |
| 5.6.1 Background Questionnaires                        | 119 |
| 5.6.1.1 Components of the Questionnaires               | 119 |
| 5.6.1.2 Procedure of Collecting the Questionnaire Data | 120 |
| 5.6.2 Testing Materials                                | 120 |
| 5.6.2.1 Selection Criteria                             | 120 |
| 5.6.2.2 The Source Text for Testing                    | 121 |
| 5.7 Data Analysis Tools and Methods                    | 122 |
| 5.7.1 Coding Schemes for the Two Questionnaires        | 122 |
| 5.7.2 Evaluation of Interpreted Texts                  | 124 |
| 5.7.2.1 Information-based Error Analysis               | 124 |
| 5.7.2.2 Categories of Information-related Errors       | 125 |
| 5.7.2.3 Quantifying the Pre-set Error Types            | 126 |
| 5.7.2.4 The Rating Principle                           | 128 |
| 5.8 Summary  | 129 |
| Chapter Six Research Findings and Discussion           | 131 |
| 6.1 Data Analysis of the Collected Questionnaires      | 131 |
| 6.1.1 Pre-training Questionnaire (Q1)                  | 132 |
| 6.1.1.1 Category for Students' LTM Management          | 132 |
| 6.1.1.2 Category for Students' Learning Expectations   | 133 |
| 6.1.1.3 Category for Students' Learning Status         | 134 |
| 6.1.2 Post-training Questionnaire (Q2)                 | 135 |
| 6.1.3 Discussion Related to Sub-hypothesis 1           | 137 |
| 6.2 Data Analysis of the Interpreted Texts             | 138 |
| 6.2.1 Types of Errors                                  | 138 |

| 6.2.1.1 Errors Related to Information Units                              | 139 |
|--|-----|
| 6.2.1.2 Errors Related to the Linkage                                    | 140 |
| 6.2.1.3 Clarity of Expression  | 141 |
| 6.2.2 The Experimental Group   | 144 |
| 6.2.3 The Control Group  | 145 |
| 6.3 Summary  | 146 |
| Chapter Seven Teaching Implications of the Application of the Conceptual |     |
| Mapping Model  | 147 |
| 7.1 Cognitive Training in the Teaching of Interpreting                   | 147 |
| 7.1.1 The Necessity of Professional Training                             | 147 |
| 7.1.2 The Quality Criteria for Professional Training                     | 148 |
| 7.1.3 The Pedagogical Challenges to Cognitive Training in the Context    |     |
| of Interpreting  | 149 |
| 7.2 Implications of the Application of the Conceptual Mapping Model      | 152 |
| 7.2.1 Different Thinking Patterns in Source Text and Target Text         | 152 |
| 7.2.2 The Important Role of Cognitive Sub-competence                     | 153 |
| 7.2.3 Learner Autonomy   | 153 |
| 7.3 A Training Model for Cognitive Training in Consecutive Interpreting  | 155 |
| 7.3.1 Learning Environment: Authenticity                                 | 155 |
| 7.3.2 Free Translation and Literal Translation                           | 156 |
| 7.3.3 Note-taking and Conceptual Mapping                                 | 158 |
| 7.3.4 A Combination of Product- and Process-oriented Feedback            | 160 |
| 7.3.5 Trainers' Role in Learner Autonomy                                 | 162 |
| 7.4 Summary  | 164 |
| Chapter Eight Conclusion   | 165 |
| 8 1 Synoneis   | 165 |

| Bibliography  | 177 |
|---|-----|
| Glossary  | 174 |
| Appendix C: Interview Questionnaire Two (after the Cognitive Training)  | 173 |
| Appendix B: Interview Questionnaire One (before the Cognitive Training) | 173 |
| Appendix A: The Analysis of the Test Material                           | 171 |
| Appendices  | 171 |
| 8.3 Working Directions for Future Research                              | 169 |
| 8.2 Limitations   | 167 |

# Acknowledgements

I would like to take the opportunity of thanking everyone who has helped me in my doctoral research in Interpreting Studies. With their great support and care, I have known more about not only my academic research, but also the importance of love, care and friendship.

First of all, I owe my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Associate Professor Frank Austermühl, Director of the Centre for Translation Studies at The University of Auckland. I always admire him as a highly intelligent and prolific scholar, and feel fortunate to be his first Chinese PhD student in New Zealand. During my doctoral research, I benefited a lot from his insights into Translation Studies. Providing invaluable input and ideas on my research, he deepened my understanding of how to carry out my research scientifically. He also encouraged me to be active in the doctoral forum and international conferences to express my research results. Most importantly, he guided me to carry out empirical research in my teaching of interpreting courses in the interpreter training program (Postgraduate Certificate) offered by the Centre for Translation Studies at The University of Auckland. This thesis would be impossible without his support, guidance and patience.

I am also grateful for Dr. Robert Sanders, my co-supervisor, who amazingly has so much knowledge about the Chinese language and culture. I greatly benefited from his comments on the overall organization of this thesis and his suggestions on discourse analysis. I am in debt to Dr. Sabine Fenton, formerly Director of the Centre for Translation and Interpreting Studies, The University of Auckland, who encouraged me to continue my interpreting research after I completed my postgraduate study under her guidance. My thanks also go to Professor Heinz Stoll who provided valuable comments on my proposal during his visit to The University of Auckland in 2007; and to Professor Ulrich Kautz, who gave an overall comment on my research project and encouraged me to carry on my study.

I sincerely thank Vanessa Enríquez Raído, my former colleague at the Centre for Translation Studies at The University of Auckland, who gave me many constructive suggestions on carrying out my empirical study. I also feel grateful to her for her great care, help and hospitality, which meant so much to me when I stayed alone in New Zealand to conduct my doctoral research.

I am grateful to Dr. Susan Carter of the Student Learning Centre at The University of Auckland, who spent a lot of time helping me improve my writing skills and gave me spiritual support to complete my doctoral research.

My thanks also go to other teaching staff of the Student Learning Centre, senior tutor Julie Bartlett-Trafford for her invaluable instructions on thesis formatting and for her warmheartedness and sweet smiles; Dr. Frances Kelly, who spent time on reading and commenting on part of my thesis writing; Emil Melnichenko, who patiently solved my technical problems. I am also grateful to Françoise Godet, who has helped me through my revision stage. I would also like to express my thanks to all the students who gave me inspiration in my teaching and in my empirical study.

For their kindness and support, I would like to extend my thanks to my best friend Wei Yan-Ling (魏艳玲), David Atkinson and his wife Li Yin-Ning (李银宁), Sabine Hillebrandt, Laureen Boucher, Edward Mclean and Françoise Godet.

Last but not least, I want to thank my family for their great love and support. First of all, I am grateful to my mother Wang Yi-Hong (王亦红) and my father Jin Rong-Zhen (金荣臻). Also I want to thank my grandfather Wang Wen-Da (王文达) and my grandmother Zhou Hui-Zhen (周慧珍), who raised me and gave me the best memory of my childhood. I also would like to give my thanks to my uncle Wang Xiang-Guan (王祥冠) for his care and support.

I want to take this opportunity to say sorry to my grandfather. Before I realized that I should have spared more time to take care of my grandparents rather than selfishly plunging myself into my study and my career, my grandfather passed away suddenly not long after I came to New Zealand for the first time. The feeling of guilt will accompany me all my life.

I want to thank my husband Yin Hang (尹航), who has always taken good care of me and given me great encouragement especially when I was alone doing my study in a foreign country. I will use all my life to love him. I also want to thank my two lovely daughters, Fiona Yi-Fan Yin (尹一帆) and her little sister Angela Yi-Xu Yin (尹一旭). When I was thousands of miles away from them for such a long time, they studied excellently at school, helped their father at home and always sent beautiful e-cards to me telling me that they

missed me so much. All I want to say to them is: I have been very fortunate that we four can be a family in this life. I will be a good wife and good mum to make all of you happy.

A doctoral study is more than academic pursuit for knowledge. For me, it has helped me to know the true meaning of life!

### **Abstract**

This study aims at reducing student interpreters' cognitive overload by optimizing their cognitive processing capacity management in consecutive interpreting. I analyze the causes of cognitive overload resulting from a conflict between cognitive requirements and interpreters' limited cognitive resources (i.e. memory and attention). Using Sperber and Wilson's (1986) Relevance Theory as a theoretical framework, I establish a conceptual mapping model to optimize student interpreters' memory operations and attention allocation in consecutive interpreting. In order to test the impact of applying my conceptual mapping model on student interpreters' performances, I carry out case study research in which the experimental group receives cognitive training via the learning of my model and the control group does not. The empirical findings of my case study show that with proper cognitive training on processing capacity management, student interpreters can improve their interpreting performances. Finally, based on my conceptual mapping model and case study, I propose teaching implications for the acquisition of cognitive competence in processing capacity management.

### **List of Abbreviations**

AIIC International Association of Conference Interpreters

BTI Bachelor of Translation and Interpreting

CATTI China Aptitude Test for Translators and Interpreters

CI Conference Interpreting

CPCM Cognitive Processing Capacity Management

DG-SCIC European Commission Directorate General for Interpreting services

and conference organization

EA Error Analysis

EIC English Interpreter Certificate

ELT Experiential Learning Theory

EMCI European Masters in Conference Interpreting

ESIT Ecole Supérieure d'Interprètes et de Traducteurs

EU European Union

GAM Generated Abstract Memory

GSTI Graduate Schools of Translation and Interpreting

IR Interpreting Research

ISO International Organization for Standardization

IT Interpreted Text

LISA Localization Industry Standards Association

LTM Long Term Memory

MAITS MA Interpreting and Translation Studies

MTI Master of Translation and Interpreting

NAATI National Accreditation Authority for Translators and Interpreters

NAETI National Accreditation Examination for Translators and Interpreters

PGCertAdvInterp Postgraduate Certificate in Advanced Interpreting

RT Relevance Theory

SI Simultaneous Interpreting

SIA Shanghai Accreditation for Interpreters

SSLMIT Scuola Superiore di Lingue Moderne per Interpreti e Traduttori

STM Short Term Memory

T&I Translation and Interpreting

TAC Translator Association of China

UIBE The University of International Business and Economic

WM Working Memory

# **List of Tables**

| <b>Table 1.1</b> Translation of Western interpreting theories published in China                              |      |
|---|------|
| between 1979 and 2001   | 11   |
| <b>Table 2.1</b> Evaluating standards for interpreters' output quality (Kalina 2002b:125)                     | 37   |
| Table 2.2 Degree of importance of quality criteria from Kurz's (1989) survey                                  | 44   |
| <b>Table 2.3</b> Relative importance of quality criteria between the surveys of Kurz (1989) and Bühler (1986) | 45   |
| <b>Table 2.4</b> Specific sub-competence for translators and for interpreters (Kermis 2009)                   | 49   |
| Table 3.1 Interpreting efforts and cognitive efforts in consecutive interpreting                              | 5 61 |
| Table 5.1 Quantifying concept units and information units in evaluation of interpreted texts                  | 127  |
| Table 6.1 Students' LTM management before cognitive training  | 132  |
| Table 6.2 Students' learning expectations   | 133  |
| Table 6.3 Students' learning status   | 134  |
| Table 6.4 Students' LTM management after cognitive training   | 136  |

# **List of Figures**

| <b>Figure 1.1</b> Organizational structure of T&I training in China's universities   | 7 |
|--|---|
| Figure 1.2 Statistical report of the number of the papers submitted for the  National Seminar for Interpreting Theory between 1996 and 2008  (Mu and Wang 2009:21) | 3 |
| <b>Figure 2.1</b> Expectations of interpreting quality from Bühler's empirical study in 1986   | 0 |
| <b>Figure 4.1</b> Information processing in human communication  | 6 |
| Figure 4.2 Mind mapping (Buzan 2011:n.pag.)  | 3 |
| Figure 4.3 Concept mapping (Novak & Cañas 2008:2)  | 4 |
| Figure 4.4 Relevance in conceptual mapping during consecutive interpreting8  | 8 |
| Figure 4.5 Mind mapping of a government press conference   | 9 |
| <b>Figure 4.6</b> The conceptual structure of a journalist's questioning in this study9  | 2 |
| <b>Figure 4.7</b> The relationship among conceptual map, concept units and information units9  | 5 |
| Figure 4.8 Note format based on concept units  | 8 |
| Figure 4.9 Note format based on information units  | 9 |
| Figure 5.1 Research design 11  | 0 |
| <b>Figure 5.2</b> Checklist for the evaluation of quality in consecutive interpreting 11   | 6 |
| Figure 6.1 Coding scheme for questionnaire one   | 1 |