



<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>

ResearchSpace@Auckland

Copyright Statement

The digital copy of this thesis is protected by the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

This thesis may be consulted by you, provided you comply with the provisions of the Act and the following conditions of use:

- Any use you make of these documents or images must be for research or private study purposes only, and you may not make them available to any other person.
- Authors control the copyright of their thesis. You will recognise the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis, and due acknowledgement will be made to the author where appropriate.
- You will obtain the author's permission before publishing any material from their thesis.

To request permissions please use the Feedback form on our webpage.

<http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/feedback>

General copyright and disclaimer

In addition to the above conditions, authors give their consent for the digital copy of their work to be used subject to the conditions specified on the [Library Thesis Consent Form](#) and [Deposit Licence](#).

Note : Masters Theses

The digital copy of a masters thesis is as submitted for examination and contains no corrections. The print copy, usually available in the University Library, may contain corrections made by hand, which have been requested by the supervisor.

**REINFORCEMENT SENSITIVITY THEORY, PERSONALITY,
AND SENIOR EXECUTIVE PERFORMANCE**

ANN MECHTHILD HUTCHISON

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
Management, The University of Auckland, 2010.

Abstract

This thesis explores the extent to which Jeffrey Gray's reinforcement sensitivity theory of personality can predict and explain the job performance of top-level executive leaders. In this study, 189 senior executives underwent a battery of psychometric, biographical and performance measures. The dimensions of approach and avoidance motivation were measured using Carver and White's (1994) 'BIS/BAS Scales', and the predictive power of these dimensions was compared to R. Hogan and Hogan's (1997) ambition and adjustment traits. Overall, a model of senior executive performance prediction was tested using structural equation modelling. The results showed that the 'BIS/BAS Scales' did not predict the selected aspects of executive performance. However, the Hogan ambition and adjustment traits did, but only when certain facets of these traits were used. Most notably, the executives' levels of experience and perceived reward at work were stronger predictors of performance than personality was. The results suggest that reinforcement sensitivity theory does not provide a particularly promising explanation for senior executive job performance, with the chosen measures, but that selected Hogan measures do. However, executive performance prediction is very much a nuanced phenomenon, and these nuances only emerge when the performance and predictor domains are measured and analysed at a facet level.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, this thesis would not have been possible without the individual executives who gave of their time and themselves to participate in this project, and their numerous colleagues who completed performance surveys. I thank each of these people for their generosity of spirit.

I am equally grateful to the numerous chief executives, consultants, human resource directors, friends, and University of Auckland colleagues who opened doors for me so that I could reach my data collection target. In particular, I thank Mattie Wall, Brad Jackson, Marie Wilson, Barry Spicer, Kevin McCaffrey, Galia Barhava-Monteith, Owen and Rebecca Swinson, and Jean Avery for putting me in touch with their executive contacts.

Grateful thanks go to Arran Caza, who shared his wealth of knowledge in structural equation modelling and provided comments on chapter 8, Philip Corr, for his helpful feedback on chapter 3, and David Scott, who kindly gave of his time and statistical expertise.

Robert Hogan and his team at Hogan Assessments Systems were extremely generous in allowing me to use their commercial tools for this research, and in particular I acknowledge Matt Lemming for his continuous assistance. Korn/Ferry International also kindly allowed me to use their Lominger tools. Finally, I acknowledge the Human Resource Institute of New Zealand, and the University of Auckland itself, for providing me with financial support.

Most importantly, the people in my everyday life provided me with so much support that to say ‘thank you’ seems trite, but I’ll try. First of all, I thank my friend Vanessa Frost for all her practical help. I thank my parents and Grandad for the various steps they each took to get me to this point and for their moral support of this project over the last four years.

I express deep gratitude to Giles Burch and Peter Boxall, my supervisors, who put in huge amounts of interest, insight, and energy, mentored me, and gave me constant friendship throughout the journey. Finally, I thank my family for their love and support: Bryce, for graciously taking on this project, sharing the experience with me, and providing every kind of support I needed to finish it; and Travis and Miles, who gave me reason to do this in the first place.

Table of Contents

	Page
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 The business case for this research	2
1.2 Linking reinforcement sensitivity theory to executive performance: A bridge between neuroscience and management	3
1.3 The study and its findings	4
1.4 Thesis structure	6
Chapter 2 What is Executive Performance?	8
2.1 Defining the term ‘executive’	8
2.2 Guiding principles for understanding executive performance	9
2.3 Differentiating performance from effectiveness	11
2.4 The executive function: What are executives hired to do?	12
2.5 Task and contextual performance	14
2.6 Constructive and destructive behaviour	17
2.7 Conclusion	20
Chapter 3 Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory	23
3.1 The neurobiology of personality, and reinforcement sensitivity theory’s contribution	24
3.2 The behavioural approach system (BAS)	25
3.3 The behavioural inhibition system (BIS) and fight-flight-freeze system (FFFS)	27
3.4 The empirical support for reinforcement sensitivity theory	30
3.5 Reinforcement sensitivity theory as a predictor of workplace outcomes	39
3.6 A critical view of reinforcement sensitivity theory	42
3.7 Conclusion	44
Chapter 4 Personality and Executive Performance	46
4.1 What is personality?	47
4.2 Dominant paradigms in personality research	48
4.3 Neuropsychology as an alternative paradigm	53
4.4 Personality and job performance: What is already known?	54
4.5 Executive performance and personality	61
4.6 Conclusion	67
Chapter 5 Towards a Model of Executive Performance	69
5.1 Characteristics of effective executives	70
5.2 Drive	75
5.3 Cognitive ability	79
5.4 Relationship-building	81
5.5 When drive becomes too much	85
5.6 The interaction between the BIS and BAS dimensions	87
5.7 The moderating role of rewards and punishments	88
5.8 A coherent set of hypotheses	90
5.9 Ambition and adjustment	92
5.10 Conclusion	93

Chapter 6	Summary of Research Objectives and Hypotheses	95
6.1	Research objectives	95
6.2	Hypotheses	96
Chapter 7	Research Design and Methods	101
7.1	Quantitative versus qualitative research	101
7.2	Research design decisions	102
7.3	Measurement approach	103
7.4	Method: Participants, procedure, ethics and measures	105
7.5	Pilot study	125
7.6	Data analysis plan	126
7.7	Conclusion	130
Chapter 8	Results	131
8.1	Preliminary steps	131
8.2	Modelling and interpretative approach	137
8.3	Stage 1: Confirmatory factor analysis	140
8.4	Stage 2: The relationship between competencies and performance outcomes	141
8.5	Stage 3: The predictors of executive performance	146
8.6	Interactions, curvilinearity, and further mediating effects	152
8.7	Assessment of hypotheses	155
8.8	Conclusion	159
Chapter 9	Discussion	162
9.1	A summary of the study's key findings	163
9.2	Approach and avoidance motivation in the workplace: Bridging neuroscience and management theory	164
9.3	Personality and cognitive ability as predictors of senior executive performance	177
9.4	What is senior executive performance?	187
9.5	General limitations of the study and areas for further research	196
9.6	Conclusion	198
Chapter 10	Conclusion	201
10.1	What predicts senior executive performance?	203
10.2	This thesis's contribution to the field of management	205
	Appendices	209
	References	233

Tables and Figures

Figures		Page
Figure 2.1	A model of destructive and constructive leadership behaviour	19
Figure 2.2	The executive performance domain	21
Figure 4.1	The curvilinear relationship between assertiveness and leader effectiveness	59
Figure 5.1	A proposed model of senior executive performance	91
Figure 7.1	The structure of subordinate outcomes in the structural equation models	128
Figure 8.1	The competencies that predict performance outcomes	145
Figure 8.2	The predictors of executive performance	147
Tables		Page
Table 3.1	Measures of extraversion and impulsive antisocial sensation seeking (ImpASS)	36
Table 4.1	The five-factor model of personality	51
Table 4.2	Personality traits and managerial performance: Meta-analytic findings	62
Table 5.1	The core characteristics of effective executives	75
Table 7.1	Professional specialisation of participants	106
Table 7.2	Executive behaviours	123
Table 8.1	Zero-order correlations and descriptive statistics	134
Table 8.2	Performance differences between male and female executives	138
Table 8.3	Confirmatory factor analysis of the study's measures	142

