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 $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-> room temp., 18 h . (For yields and product ratios, see table 1).

Table 1: Product ratios for allylic and homoallylic alcohols (2) and (3).

| Epoxide | Solvent | Product Ratio <br> Allylic: Homoallylic | Overall Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | THF | $1.0: 1.3$ | $73 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Ether/Hexane (2:1) | $1.4: 1.0$ | $74 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Hexane | $4.0: 1.0$ | $79 \%$ |

Isomerisations of epoxides to allylic alcohols have been effected by strong non-nucleophilic bases such as lithium dialkylamides. The formation of allylic alcohols from the reaction of epoxides with lithium amide bases appears to proceed via a b-elimination pathway when the reaction is performed in relatively non-polar solvents [1].

To a solution of dry diethylamine $(0.085 \mathrm{ml}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry hexane ( 40 ml ) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -35 deg.C, was added n-butyllithium ( 1.3 ml of a 1.7 mol L solution in hexane, 7.93 mmol ) dropwise, and the resultant suspension stirred for 0.5 h . To this was added [ $\left.2 \mathrm{R}^{*}, 4 \mathrm{~S}^{*}, 5 \mathrm{~S}^{*}, 6 \mathrm{~S}^{*}\right]-4,5$-epoxy-2-methyl-1,7-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (1) ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via a closed solid addition tube, the suspension allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h . After quenching with sodium dihydrogen phosphate solution ( $10 \mathrm{ml}, 10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ), the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 50 ml ). The combined extracts were washed with water ( 20 ml ) and dried over sodium sulphate. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave an orange oil, that was purified by flash chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (6:4) as eluent to afford [2R*,5S*,6S*]-2-Methyl-1,7-dioxaspiro[5.5]undec-3-en-5-ol (2, $80 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) as colourless needles [2].
M.p. 82-83 deg.C.

IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3640-3200(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 1650(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1019$ (C-O), 890 (m, C-O).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} \mathrm{Me}, 27.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.47-2.04\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 10-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 11-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and OH ), $3.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{5,4} 5.0\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{J}_{5,3} 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.67\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}_{8 \mathrm{ax}, 8 \mathrm{eq}} 11.2$, $\mathrm{J}_{8 \mathrm{ax}, 9 \mathrm{ax}} 11.2$ and $\left.\mathrm{J}_{8 \mathrm{ax}, 9 \mathrm{eq}} 3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{ax}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.73-3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{eq}-\mathrm{H}), 4.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddq}, \mathrm{J}_{2, \mathrm{Me}} 7.0, \mathrm{~J}_{2,3} 3.4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{J}_{2,4} 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $5.84\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{3,4} 10.2\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{J}_{3,2} 3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}_{4,3} 10.2, \mathrm{~J}_{4,5} 5.0$ and $\left.\mathrm{J}_{4,2} 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{H}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 18.3,25.0,30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{C}-10\right.$ and C-11), $20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}\right), 62.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}-8), 64.6(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-2), 66.6(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-5), 97.1$ (quat, $\mathrm{C}-6), 124.2,133.8$ (C-3 and C-4).

CI-MS 185, (M+H, 40\%), $167\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100\right), 101$ (50), 84 (20).

Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{C}, 65.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.56 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 185.1180$ found $\mathrm{C}, 65.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.75 \% ; \mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{H}$, 185.1177.
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